SPECTRAL GAP FOR HYPERBOUNDED OPERATORS

FENG-YU WANG

(Communicated by Joseph A. Ball)

ABSTRACT. Let $(E, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$ be a probability space, and P a symmetric linear contraction operator on $L^2(\mu)$ with $P1 = 1$ and $\|P\|_{L^2(\mu) \rightarrow L^4(\mu)} < \infty$. We prove that $\|P\|_{L^2(\mu) \rightarrow L^4(\mu)}^4 < 2$ is the optimal sufficient condition for P to have a spectral gap. Moreover, the optimal sufficient conditions are obtained, respectively, for the defective log-Sobolev and for the defective Poincaré inequality to imply the existence of a spectral gap. Finally, we construct a symmetric, hyperbounded, ergodic contraction C_0 -semigroup without a spectral gap.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $(E, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$ be a probability space, and P a symmetric contraction linear operator on $L^2(\mu)$ with $P1 = 1$. Then the spectral radius of P is $R(P) = 1$. We say that P has a spectral gap if

$$R_1(P) := \sup\{\|Pf\|_{L^2(\mu)} : \mu(f^2) = 1, \mu(f) = 0\} < 1.$$

According to Simon and Høegh-Krohn [15], P is called hyperbounded if

$$\delta(P) := \|P\|_{L^2(\mu) \rightarrow L^4(\mu)}^4 < \infty.$$

We consider the following question raised in [15]: *does a hyperbounded operator possess a spectral gap?*

It turns out that the answer is NO for nonergodic positive definite operators. Recall that P is called positive definite if $\mu(fPf) \geq 0$ for all $f \in L^2(\mu)$, and is called ergodic if (see [20])

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n P^k f = \mu(f), \quad f \in L^2(\mu);$$

here and in the sequel the limit is taken in $L^2(\mu)$. Let $\mathcal{H} := \{f : Pf = f\}$, and let $\pi : L^2(\mu) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ be the orthogonal projection. For positive definite P it follows from the spectral theorem that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P^n f = \pi f + \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^{1-} \lambda^n dE_\lambda(f) = \pi f, \quad f \in L^2(\mu),$$

Received by the editors October 15, 2002 and, in revised form, June 3, 2003.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 47D07, 60H10.

Key words and phrases. Hyperboundedness, ergodicity, log-Sobolev inequality, spectral gap.

Supported in part by NNSFC(10025105, 10121101), TRAPOYT and the 973-Project.

where $\{E_\lambda : \lambda \in [0, 1]\}$ is the resolution of the identity of P . Therefore, it is easy to see that the ergodicity is equivalent to each of the following two statements (we write $f = g$ if these two functions are equal μ -a.e.):

- (a) for any $f \in L^2(\mu)$, $P^n f \rightarrow \mu(f)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$;
 (b) for any $f \in L^2(\mu)$, if $Pf = f$, then f is constant.

Obviously, (a) and hence the ergodicity implies the positivity-improving property (or the μ -essential irreducibility [11, 18]):

- (c) for any A, B with $\mu(A)\mu(B) > 0$, there exists $n \geq 1$ such that $\mu(1_A P^n 1_B) > 0$.
 Conversely, if P is positive (or positivity-preserving), i.e., $Pf \geq 0$ for all $f \geq 0$, then (c) implies (b) and hence is equivalent to the ergodicity. Indeed, for $Pf = f$ with $\mu(f) = 0$, one has $Pf^+ \geq (Pf)^+ = f^+$, where $f^+ := f \vee 0$. But $\mu(Pf^+) = \mu(f^+)$, and so one has $Pf^+ = f^+$. Thus, for any $n \geq 1$ and any $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$0 = \mu(f^+ f^-) = \mu(f^- P^n f^+) \geq \varepsilon^2 \mu(1_{\{f^- > \varepsilon\}} P^n 1_{\{f^+ > \varepsilon\}}).$$

By (c) one has $\mu(f^- > \varepsilon)\mu(f^+ > \varepsilon) = 0$ for all $\varepsilon > 0$. Hence, either $\mu(f^+) = 0$ or $\mu(f^-) = 0$. But $\mu(f) = 0$ implies $\mu(f^-) = \mu(f^+)$, and one concludes that $f = 0$. Therefore, (c) implies (b).

Let us recall some progress concerning this question. In the context of a symmetric contraction C_0 -semigroup $(P_t)_{t \geq 0}$ with $P_t 1 = 1$, the Rothaus-Simon mass gap theorem says that the hypercontractivity (i.e., there exists $t > 0$ such that $\delta(P_t) = 1$) implies the existence of a spectral gap; see [13, 14] and also [8]. More precisely, if $\delta(P_T) = 1$, then (see e.g. [4, Lemma 6.1.5])

$$R_1(P_t) \leq 3^{-[t/T]/2}, \quad t \geq T,$$

where $[r] := \sup\{n \in \mathbb{Z} : n \leq r\}$. According to [12, Theorem 2.3], this implies the following lower bound estimate for the spectral gap of the generator $(L, \mathcal{D}(L))$:

$$(1.1) \quad \text{gap}(L) := \inf\{-\mu(fLf) : f \in \mathcal{D}(L), \mu(f^2) = 1, \mu(f) = 0\} \geq \frac{\log 3}{2T}.$$

Therefore, $\delta(P_T) = 1$ implies $R_1(P_t) \leq 3^{-t/(2T)}$ for all $t \geq 0$.

Next, in 1998, Aida [1] proved that $\text{gap}(L) > 0$ provided there is $t > 0$ such that P_t is hyperbounded and is uniformly positivity-improving (Kusuoka [10]):

$$\inf\{\mu(1_A P_t 1_B) : \mu(A), \mu(B) \geq \varepsilon\} > 0, \quad \varepsilon > 0.$$

Obviously, the uniformly positivity-improving property is stronger than the ergodicity. In 2000, Wu [18] introduced the notion of uniform integrability for linear operators and studied the above question for ergodic, uniformly integrable, positive operators (see [18, Problem 3.10]). Moreover, Aida's above-mentioned result was generalized or extended in [9, 6] for general positive operators. Very recently, the essential spectral radius of Markov operators was estimated by Wu [19].

Our first observation in this note is that the hyperbound estimate $\delta(P) < 2$ is the minimal sufficient condition for the existence of a spectral gap.

Theorem 1.1. (1) If $\delta(P) < 2$, then P has a spectral gap with

$$(1.2) \quad R_1(P) \leq \left\{ \frac{\sqrt{2\delta(P)}}{3\sqrt{2\delta(P)} - 4\sqrt{\delta(P) - 1}} \right\}^{1/2} < 1.$$

(2) There exists a Markov operator P with $\delta(P) = 2$ and $R_1(P) = 1$ (i.e., P does not have a spectral gap).

(3) If P is positive definite and $\delta := \delta(P) < 2$, then $\|P^r\|_{L^2(\mu) \rightarrow L^4(\mu)} = 1$ for all $r \geq r_0$, where

$$r_0 := \frac{\log \{3 + 3(17\delta - 8 - 12\sqrt{2\delta(\delta - 1)})/16\}}{\log(3 - 4\sqrt{\delta - 1}/\sqrt{2\delta})} < \infty.$$

Theorem 1.1 (1) generalizes [4, Lemma 5.5.11] where $\delta(P) = 1$ is considered, and the proof of [4, Lemma 5.5.11] does not work for $\delta(P) > 1$. Below is a direct consequence of Theorem 1.1 in the context of contraction C_0 -semigroups, which improves the corresponding known result (see e.g. [4, Corollary 6.1.17]).

Corollary 1.2. *Let $(P_t)_{t \geq 0}$ be the symmetric contraction C_0 -semigroup generated by a negative definite selfadjoint operator $(L, \mathcal{D}(L))$ on $L^2(\mu)$ with $L1 = 0$.*

(1) *If there exists $t > 0$ such that $\delta(P_t) < 2$, then*

$$(1.3) \quad \text{gap}(L) \geq -\frac{1}{2t} \log \frac{\sqrt{2\delta(P_t)}}{3\sqrt{2\delta(P_t)} - 4\sqrt{\delta(P_t) - 1}} > 0.$$

(2) *If P_t is Markovian and the defective log-Sobolev inequality holds,*

$$(1.4) \quad \mu(f^2 \log f^2) \leq -C_1 \mu(fLf) + C_2, \quad f \in \mathcal{D}(L), \quad \mu(f^2) = 1,$$

for some $C_1 > 0$ and $C_2 \in [0, \log 2)$, then

$$(1.5) \quad \text{gap}(L) \geq -\frac{2}{C_1 \log 3} \log \frac{\sqrt{2e^{C_2}}}{3\sqrt{2e^{C_2}} - 4\sqrt{e^{C_2} - 1}} > 0.$$

In particular, if (1.4) holds for $C_2 = 0$, then $\text{gap}(L) \geq \frac{2}{C_1}$, as is well known.

(3) *There exists an example such that (1.4) holds for $C_1 = 0$ and $C_2 = \log 2$, but $\text{gap}(L) = 0$.*

Corollary 1.2 shows that $C_2 < \log 2$ is the optimal sufficient condition for the defective log-Sobolev inequality (1.4) to imply $\text{gap}(L) > 0$. Below we present the optimal sufficient condition for the defective Poincaré inequality to imply the existence of a spectral gap.

Theorem 1.3. *Let $(L, \mathcal{D}(L))$ be the generator associated with a conservative Dirichlet form $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}))$. If there exist $C_1 > 0$ and $C_2 \in [1, 2)$ such that*

$$(1.6) \quad \mu(f^2) \leq C_1 \mathcal{E}(f, f) + C_2 \mu(|f|)^2, \quad f \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}),$$

then

$$\text{gap}(L) \geq \frac{1 - \sqrt{C_2(C_2 - 1)/2}}{2C_1} > 0.$$

On the other hand, there exists a conservative Dirichlet form such that (1.6) holds for $C_2 = 2$ but $\text{gap}(L) = 0$.

Finally, a counterexample is constructed in the paper to show that even in the ergodic case the hyperboundedness is insufficient for the existence of a spectral gap. This example enables us to state the following result.

Theorem 1.4. *There exist $(E, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$ and a symmetric, hyperbounded, ergodic contraction C_0 -semigroup $(P_t)_{t \geq 0}$ on $L^2(\mu)$ without a spectral gap.*

We remark that Theorem 1.4 does not provide any negative answer to Wu's problem 3.10 in [18], since the operator constructed in the counterexample is not positivity-preserving. Wu ([18, Example 1.8]) provided an example of a hyperbounded (but non- C_0) semigroup without a spectral gap.

2. PROOFS OF THEOREM 1.1 AND COROLLARY 1.2

Proof of Theorem 1.1. (1) For $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ and $f \in L^2(\mu)$ with $\mu(f^2) = 1$ and $\mu(f) = 0$, let $g := \sqrt{\varepsilon} + \sqrt{1 - \varepsilon}f$. We assume that $\mu((Pf)^3) \geq 0$; otherwise simply replace f by $-f$. Since $\mu(Pf) = \mu(fP1) = \mu(f) = 0$ and since $\mu((Pf)^4) \geq \mu((Pf)^2)^2$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \delta(P) &:= \|P\|_{L^2(\mu) \rightarrow L^4(\mu)}^4 \geq \mu((Pg)^4) \\ &= \varepsilon^2 + (1 - \varepsilon)^2 \mu((Pf)^4) + 6\varepsilon(1 - \varepsilon) \mu((Pf)^2) \\ &\quad + 4\varepsilon^{3/2} \sqrt{1 - \varepsilon} \mu(Pf) + 4\sqrt{\varepsilon}(1 - \varepsilon)^{3/2} \mu((Pf)^3) \\ &\geq (1 - \varepsilon)^2 \mu((Pf)^2)^2 + 6\varepsilon(1 - \varepsilon) \mu((Pf)^2) + \varepsilon^2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\mu((Pf)^2) \leq \frac{\sqrt{8\varepsilon^2 + \delta(P)} - 3\varepsilon}{1 - \varepsilon} =: h(\varepsilon), \quad \mu(f) = 0, \mu(f^2) = 1, \varepsilon \in (0, 1).$$

Therefore,

$$(2.1) \quad R_1(P)^2 \leq \xi(\delta(P)) := \inf_{\varepsilon \in (0, 1)} h(\varepsilon).$$

It is easy to see that when $\delta(P) = 1$ one has

$$\xi(1) = \lim_{\varepsilon \uparrow 1} \frac{\sqrt{8\varepsilon^2 + 1} - 3\varepsilon}{1 - \varepsilon} = \frac{1}{3}.$$

Next, for $1 < \delta(P) < 2$, the minimum of $h(\varepsilon)$ over $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ is reached at

$$\varepsilon(\delta(P)) := \delta(P) - \frac{3}{4} \sqrt{2\delta(P)(\delta(P) - 1)}.$$

Indeed, one has (where “ $\Longleftrightarrow$ ” means “is equivalent to”)

$$\begin{aligned} h'(\varepsilon) = 0 &\Longleftrightarrow (1 - \varepsilon) \left(\frac{8\varepsilon}{\sqrt{8\varepsilon^2 + \delta(P)}} - 3 \right) + \sqrt{8\varepsilon^2 + \delta(P)} - 3\varepsilon = 0 \\ &\Longleftrightarrow 8\varepsilon + \delta(P) = 3\sqrt{8\varepsilon^2 + \delta(P)} \\ &\Longleftrightarrow 8\varepsilon^2 - 16\delta(P)\varepsilon + 9\delta(P) - \delta(P)^2 = 0 \\ &\Longleftrightarrow \varepsilon = \delta(P) - \frac{1}{4} \sqrt{16\delta(P)^2 - 2(9\delta(P) - \delta(P)^2)} =: \varepsilon(\delta(P)). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\xi(\delta(P)) = h(\varepsilon(\delta(P)))$. It remains to calculate $h(\varepsilon(\delta))$ for $\delta \geq 1$. Observing that

$$\begin{aligned} &8 \left(\delta - \frac{3}{4} \sqrt{2\delta(\delta - 1)} \right)^2 + \delta = 8\delta^2 + 9\delta(\delta - 1) - 12\delta \sqrt{2\delta(\delta - 1)} + \delta \\ &= 8\delta(\delta - 1) - 12\delta \sqrt{2\delta(\delta - 1)} + 9\delta^2 = \left(3\delta - 2\sqrt{2\delta(\delta - 1)} \right)^2, \end{aligned}$$

and noting that $3\delta > 2\sqrt{2\delta(\delta-1)}$ for $\delta \geq 1$, one has

$$\xi(\delta) = h(\varepsilon(\delta)) = \frac{\sqrt{2\delta(\delta-1)}}{3\sqrt{2\delta(\delta-1)} - 4(\delta-1)} = \frac{\sqrt{2\delta}}{3\sqrt{2\delta} - 4\sqrt{\delta-1}}.$$

Therefore, (1.2) follows from (2.1).

(2) Let $E = \{0, 1\}$, $\mu(0) = \mu(1) = \frac{1}{2}$ and $P = I$ (the identity operator). Then P does not have any spectral gap. Next, let $f_1(0) = -1$ and $f_1(1) = 1$. For any $f \in L^2(\mu)$ with $\mu(f^2) = 1$, there exist $c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $c_1^2 + c_2^2 = 1$ and $f = c_1 + c_2 f_1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mu((Pf)^4) &= \mu(f^4) = c_1^4 + c_2^4 + 6c_1^2 c_2^2 = (c_1^2 + c_2^2)^2 + 4c_1^2 c_2^2 \\ &\leq \sup_{r \in (0,1)} \{1 + 4r(1-r)\} = 2, \end{aligned}$$

with equality holding when $c_1^2 = c_2^2 = \frac{1}{2}$. Thus, $\delta(P) = 2$.

(3) For $f \in L^2(\mu)$ with $\mu(f^2) = 1$, let $\hat{f} := f - \mu(f)$. Let R denote the upper bound of $R_1(P)$ given in (1), and simply denote $\delta = \delta(P)$. One has $R < 1$, since $\delta < 2$. Since P is positive definite, P^r is well-defined for $r \geq 1$ and we have $\mu(P^r \hat{f}) = \mu(\hat{f}) = 0$. Hence

$$\mu((P^r f)^4) = \mu(f)^4 + 4\mu(f)\mu((P^r \hat{f})^3) + 6\mu(f)^2\mu((P^r \hat{f})^2) + \mu((P^r \hat{f})^4).$$

Noting that

$$\begin{aligned} 4\mu(f)\mu((P^r \hat{f})^3) &\leq 4|\mu(f)|\sqrt{\mu((P^r \hat{f})^4)\mu((P^r \hat{f})^2)} \\ &\leq 2t\mu(f)^2\mu((P^r \hat{f})^2) + 2t^{-1}\mu((P^r \hat{f})^4), \quad t > 0, \\ \mu((P^r \hat{f})^2) &\leq R^{2r}\mu(\hat{f}^2), \\ \mu((P^r \hat{f})^4) &\leq \delta\mu((P^{r-1}\hat{f})^2)^2 \leq \delta R^{4(r-1)}\mu(\hat{f}^2)^2, \end{aligned}$$

we obtain

$$(2.2) \quad \mu((P^r f)^4) \leq \mu(f)^4 + 2R^{2r}(3+t)\mu(f)^2\mu(\hat{f}^2) + (1+2/t)\delta R^{4(r-1)}\mu(\hat{f}^2)^2, \quad t > 0.$$

Letting

$$t = \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \sqrt{9 + 2\delta R^{2r-4} + \delta^2 R^{4r-8}} + \delta R^{2r-4} - 3 \right\},$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} R^{2r}(3+t) &= \delta R^{4(r-1)}(1+2/t) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} R^{2r} \left\{ 3 + \delta R^{2r-4} + \sqrt{9 + 2\delta R^{2r-4} + \delta^2 R^{4r-8}} \right\} =: h(r). \end{aligned}$$

It suffices to prove that $h(r_0) \leq 1$. Indeed, if $h(r_0) \leq 1$, then it follows from (2.2) that

$$\mu((P^{r_0} f)^4) \leq \{\mu(f)^2 + \mu(\hat{f}^2)\}^2 = 1$$

for all $f \in L^2(\mu)$ with $\mu(f^2) = 1$.

Let $s = 3\delta/(16R^4)$; then $r_0 = \frac{\log(3+s)}{\log R^{-2}}$ and

$$3 + 2s - \frac{\delta}{(3+s)R^4} \geq 3 + \frac{3\delta}{8R^4} - \frac{\delta}{3R^4} > 0.$$

Moreover, $R^{2r_0} = \frac{1}{3+s}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} h(r_0) \leq 1 &\iff \frac{1}{6+2s} \left\{ 3 + \frac{\delta R^{-4}}{3+s} + \left(9 + \frac{2\delta R^{-4}}{3+s} + \frac{\delta^2 R^{-8}}{(3+s)^2} \right)^{1/2} \right\} \leq 1 \\ &\iff \left(3 + 2s - \frac{\delta R^{-4}}{3+s} \right)^2 \geq 9 + \frac{2\delta R^{-4}}{3+s} + \frac{\delta^2 R^{-8}}{(3+s)^2} \\ &\iff 4s^2 + 12s \geq \frac{6\delta R^{-4}(1+s)}{3+s} \\ &\iff \frac{12s^2 + 2s^3 + 18s}{1+s} \geq 3\delta R^{-4}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $s^2 \geq 2s - 1$, we have

$$12s^2 + 2s^3 + 18s \geq 12s^2 + 2s(2s - 1) + 18s = 16s(1 + s).$$

Therefore, $h(r_0) \leq 1$ follows from the fact that

$$\frac{16s(1+s)}{1+s} = 16s = 3\delta R^{-4}.$$

□

Proof of Corollary 1.2. (1) If $\delta(P_t) < 2$ for some $t > 0$, then by Theorem 1.1 one has

$$\mu((P_t f)^2) \leq \frac{\sqrt{2\delta(P_t)}}{3\sqrt{2\delta(P_t)} - 4\sqrt{\delta(P_t)} - 1} =: \varepsilon_t < 1, \quad \mu(f) = 0, \quad \mu(f^2) = 1.$$

Thus, it follows from [16, Lemma 2.1] (see also [12, Lemma 2.2]) that

$$\mu((P_s f)^2) \leq \mu((P_t f)^2)^{s/t} \mu(f^2)^{1-s/t} \leq \varepsilon_t^{s/t}, \quad s \in [0, t], \quad \mu(f) = 0, \quad \mu(f^2) = 1.$$

Since all three terms in the above formula are equal when $s = 0$, it follows that

$$-2\mu(fLf) = \frac{d}{ds} \mu((P_s f)^2)|_{s=0} \leq \frac{1}{t} \log \varepsilon_t, \quad f \in \mathcal{D}(L), \quad \mu(f) = 0, \quad \mu(f^2) = 1.$$

Thus, we arrive at the Poincaré inequality

$$\mu(f^2) - \mu(f)^2 \leq -\frac{2t}{\log \varepsilon_t} \mu(fLf), \quad f \in \mathcal{D}(L),$$

and hence (1.3) holds.

(2) If (1.4) holds, then by Gross' theorem one has $\|P_t\|_{L^2(\mu) \rightarrow L^4(\mu)} \leq e^{C_2/4}$ for $t := \frac{C_1}{4} \log 3$, see e.g. [2, Theorem 1.5]. Hence (1.5) follows from (1.3). Finally, let $E = \{0, 1\}$ with $\mu(0) = \mu(1) = \frac{1}{2}$. Let $L = 0$ (hence the corresponding form $\mathcal{E} = 0$), which does not have a spectral gap. We have, for any function f with $\mu(f^2) = 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(f^2 \log f^2) &= \frac{1}{2} (f(0)^2 \log f(0)^2 + f(1)^2 \log f(1)^2) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \sup_{r \in [0, 2]} \{r \log r + (2-r) \log(2-r)\} = \log 2. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, (1.4) holds for $C_1 = 0$ and $C_2 = \log 2$.

□

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.3

To prove Theorem 1.3 we need the following lemma, which is a dual version of [17, Proposition 3.2] in the setting of infinite reference measures.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $(L, \mathcal{D}(L))$ be associated with a Dirichlet form $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}))$. Assume that there are four constants $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_1, \beta_2 > 0$ such that*

$$(3.1) \quad \mu(f^2) \leq \alpha_1 \mathcal{E}(f, f) + \beta_1 \{(\sup f)^2 \wedge (\inf f)^2\}, \quad f \in \mathcal{D}(L), \quad \mu(f) = 0,$$

and

$$(3.2) \quad \mu(f^2) \leq \alpha_2 \mathcal{E}(f, f) + \beta_2 \mu(|f|)^2, \quad f \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}).$$

If $\beta_1 \beta_2 < 2$, then

$$\text{gap}(L) \geq \frac{1 - \sqrt{\beta_1 \beta_2 / 2}}{2(\alpha_1 \vee \alpha_2)} > 0.$$

Proof. Let $f \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E})$ with $\mu(f) = 0$ and $\mu(f^2) = 1$. For any $r > 0$, let $r' \in [r, \infty]$ be such that either $\mu((f \wedge r) \vee (-r')) = 0$ or $\mu((f \wedge r') \vee (-r)) = 0$. Indeed, if $\mu((f \wedge r) \vee (-r)) \geq 0$, then there exists $R' \in [r, \infty]$ such that $\mu((f \wedge r) \vee (-r')) = 0$ (note that $\mu(f \wedge r) \leq 0$ since $\mu(f) = 0$, while if $\mu((f \wedge r) \vee (-r)) < 0$, then there exists $r' \in [r, \infty]$ such that $\mu((f \wedge r') \vee (-r)) = 0$). Without loss of generality, assume that $\mu((f \wedge r) \vee (-r')) = 0$ for some $r' \geq r$. Let $f_r := (f \wedge r) \vee (-r')$. It follows from (3.1) that

$$(3.3) \quad \mu(f_r^2) \leq \alpha_1 \mathcal{E}(f_r, f_r) + \beta_1 r^2.$$

Next, one has

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \mu(|f - f_r|) &\leq \mu((|f| - r)^+) = \mu((|f| - r)1_{\{|f| > r\}}) \\ &\leq \sqrt{\mu(|f| > r)} - r\mu(|f| > r) \leq \sup_{s \in (0, r^{-1})} (s - s^2 r) = \frac{1}{4r}. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, one has $\mu((f + r')^-) = \mu((f - r)^+) = \frac{1}{2}\mu(|f - f_r|)$, since $\mu(f - f_r) = 0$. Then it follows from (3.2) and (3.4) that

$$(3.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \mu((f - f_r)^2) &= \mu((f - r)^{+2}) + \mu((f + r')^{-2}) \\ &\leq \alpha_2 \{ \mathcal{E}((f - r)^+, (f - r)^+) + \mathcal{E}((f + r')^-, (f + r')^-) \} + \frac{\beta_2}{2} \mu(|f - f_r|)^2 \\ &\leq \alpha_2 \{ \mathcal{E}(f, f) - \mathcal{E}(f_r, f_r) \} + \frac{\beta_2}{32r^2}, \end{aligned}$$

where, in the last step, we have used the fact that (see e.g. [5, Lemma 2.2])

$$\mathcal{E}(f_r, f_r) + \mathcal{E}((f - r)^+, (f - r)^+) + \mathcal{E}((f + r')^-, (f + r')^-) \leq \mathcal{E}(f, f).$$

Combining (3.3), (3.4) and (3.5), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 1 = \mu(f^2) &\leq 2\mu(f_r^2) + 2\mu((f - f_r)^2) \\ &\leq 2(\alpha_1 \vee \alpha_2) \mathcal{E}(f, f) + 2\beta_1 r^2 + \frac{\beta_2}{16r^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Letting $r^2 = \frac{1}{4}\sqrt{\beta_2/(2\beta_1)}$, we arrive at

$$\mathcal{E}(f, f) \geq \frac{1 - \sqrt{\beta_1\beta_2/2}}{2(\alpha_1 \vee \alpha_2)},$$

and hence the proof is finished. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Let $f \in L^2(\mu)$ with $\mu(f) = 0$. Applying (1.6) to $f - \inf f$, we obtain

$$\mu(f^2) \leq C_1\mathcal{E}(f, f) + (C_2 - 1)(\inf f)^2.$$

Similarly, the same holds for $(\sup f)^2$ in place of $(\inf f)^2$. Thus,

$$(3.6) \quad \mu(f^2) \leq C_1\mathcal{E}(f, f) + (C_2 - 1)\{(\sup f)^2 \wedge (\inf f)^2\}, \quad f \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}), \quad \mu(f) = 0.$$

Then the first assertion follows from Lemma 3.1.

On the other hand, let $E = \{0, 1\}$ with $\mu(0) = \mu(1) = \frac{1}{2}$. Let $L = 0$ (hence the corresponding form $\mathcal{E} = 0$), which does not have a spectral gap. We have, for any function f ,

$$\mu(f^2) = \frac{1}{2}\{f(0)^2 + f(1)^2\} \leq \frac{1}{2}(|f(0)| + |f(1)|)^2 = 2\mu(|f|)^2.$$

Hence (1.6) holds for $C_1 = 0$ and $C_2 = 2$. $\square$

4. A COUNTEREXAMPLE: PROOF OF THEOREM 1.4

Consider, for instance, $E_0 := \{0, 1\}$ and

$$L_0 f(i) := \frac{1}{2}[f(j) - f(i)], \quad i, j \in E_0, \quad j \neq i.$$

Let $\mu_0(0) = \mu_0(1) = \frac{1}{2}$. Then L_0 is selfadjoint in $L^2(\mu_0)$ with spectrum $\{0, -1\}$, where the nontrivial eigenfunction is given by $u(0) = -1, u(1) = 1$. Moreover, the corresponding Markov semigroup is determined by

$$P_t^{(0)} f := \mu(f) + e^{-t}\mu(fu)u, \quad t \geq 0, \quad f \in L^2(\mu_0).$$

Now, let $E = E_0^{\mathbb{N}} := \{(x_n)_{n \geq 1} : x_n \in E_0, n \geq 1\}$ and let $\mu = \mu_0^{\mathbb{N}}$ be the product measure. Consider the semigroup

$$P_t := \prod_{n \geq 1} P_t^{(n)}, \quad t \geq 0,$$

where $P_t^{(n)} := P_t^{(0)}$ acting on the n -th space. Therefore, P_t is a symmetric C_0 Markov semigroup on $L^2(\mu)$ generated by

$$L = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} L_0^{(n)}$$

with domain $\mathcal{D}(L)$ containing all functions $f \in L^2(\mu)$ such that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} L_0^{(n)} f$ converges in $L^2(\mu)$, where $L_0^{(n)}$ stands for the operator L_0 acting on the n -th variable. It is clear that the spectrum of L contains merely eigenvalues $\{0, -1, -2, \dots\}$, and the multiplicity of each eigenvalue is infinite. Let $\mathcal{H}_i$ denote the eigenspace w.r.t. the eigenvalue $-i$. One has $\mathcal{H}_0 = \mathbb{R}$ and

$$\mathcal{H}_1 = \text{span}\{f_n : f_n(x) := u(x_n), x \in E, n \geq 1\}.$$

Let $\pi_i : L^2(\mu^{\mathbb{N}}) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_i$ be the orthogonal projection, $i \geq 1$. Then the spectral representation gives

$$Lf = - \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} i\pi_i(f), \quad f \in \mathcal{D}(L).$$

We now define a new selfadjoint operator on $L^2(\mu)$ via the spectral representation

$$(4.1) \quad \tilde{L}f := - \sum_{i \neq 1} i\pi_i(f) - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} \mu(ff_n)f_n, \quad f \in \mathcal{D}(\tilde{L}),$$

where $\mathcal{D}(\tilde{L})$ contains all $f \in L^2(\mu)$ such that the right-hand side of (4.1) makes sense in $L^2(\mu)$. Let $\tilde{P}_t$ be the corresponding C_0 -semigroup. It is clear that

$$(4.2) \quad \tilde{P}_t f = P_t f, \quad f \in \mathcal{H}_1^\perp,$$

where $\mathcal{H}_1^\perp$ denotes the orthogonal complement of $\mathcal{H}_1$.

Proposition 4.1. *$\tilde{P}_t$ is a hyperbounded, ergodic, symmetric contraction C_0 -semigroup without a spectral gap.*

Proof. Since $Lf_n = -\frac{1}{n}f_n$, $n \geq 1$, there is no spectral gap. Next, let $f \in L^2(\mu)$ be such that $\tilde{P}_t f = f$ for some $t > 0$, and let f'' be the orthogonal projection of f onto $\mathcal{H}_1^\perp$. We have $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - e^{-t/n})\mu(ff_n)f_n = 0$, and hence $\mu(ff_n) = 0$ for all $n \geq 1$. Thus, $f = f''$ and $P_t f = \tilde{P}_t f = f$. By the ergodicity of P_t , the function f has to be constant. Therefore, $\tilde{P}_t$ is ergodic.

It remains to prove the hyperboundedness of $\tilde{P}_t$. For $f \in L^2(\mu)$ with $\mu(f^2) \leq 1$, one has

$$f = f' + f'' = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n f_n + f''$$

for some sequence $\{c_n\} \subset \mathbb{R}$ with $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n^2 = \mu(f'^2) \leq 1$, where f' and f'' denote, respectively, the orthogonal projections of f onto $\mathcal{H}_1$ and $\mathcal{H}_1^\perp$. Since μ is the product measure and since $\mu(f_n) = \mu(f_n^3) = 0$ and $f_n^2 = f_n^4 = 1$ for all $n \geq 1$, it follows from Fatou's lemma that

$$(4.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \mu((\tilde{P}_t f')^4) &\leq \liminf_{N \rightarrow \infty} \int_E \left(\sum_{n=1}^N c_n e^{-t/n} f_n \right)^4 d\mu \\ &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n^4 + \sum_{m,n=1}^{\infty} c_m^2 c_n^2 \leq 2\mu(f'^2)^2. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, since $P_t^{(0)}$ is hypercontractive, so is P_t (see [7]). Hence there exists $t > 0$ (independent of f) such that

$$\mu((\tilde{P}_t f'')^4) = \mu((P_t f'')^4) \leq \mu(f''^2)^2.$$

Combining this with (4.3), we arrive at

$$\mu((\tilde{P}_t f)^4) \leq 2^3 \{ \mu((\tilde{P}_t f')^4) + \mu((\tilde{P}_t f'')^4) \} \leq 2^4 [\mu(f'^2) + \mu(f''^2)]^2 = 2^4 \mu(f^2)^2.$$

Therefore, $\tilde{P}_t$ is hyperbounded. $\square$

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The author would like to thank Professor L. Wu for very useful communications and the referee for valuable comments.

REFERENCES

- [1] S. Aida, *Uniform positivity improving property, Sobolev inequalities, and spectral gaps*, J. Funct. Anal. **158** (1998), 152–185. MR **2000d**:60125
- [2] D. Bakry, *On Sobolev and logarithmic Sobolev inequalities for Markov semigroups*, in “New Trends in Stochastic Analysis” (K. D. Elworthy, S. Kusuoka and I. Shigekawa, eds.), pp. 43–75, World Scientific, 1997. MR **99m**:60110
- [3] E. B. Davies and B. Simon, *Ultracontractivity and the heat kernel for Schrödinger operators and Dirichlet Laplacians*, J. Funct. Anal. **59** (1984), 335–395. MR **86e**:47054
- [4] J. D. Deuschel and D. Stroock, *Large Deviations*, Pure and Appl. Math. Ser. 137, Academic Press, San Diego, 1989. MR **90h**:60026
- [5] F.-Z. Gong and F.-Y. Wang, *Functional inequalities for uniformly integrable semigroups and application to essential spectrums*, Forum Math. **14** (2002), 293–313. MR **2003a**:47097
- [6] F. Gong and L. Wu, *Spectral gap of positive operators and applications*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. **331** (2000), 983–988. MR **2002a**:47057
- [7] L. Gross, *Logarithmic Sobolev inequalities*, Amer. J. Math. **97** (1975), 1061–1083. MR **54**:8263
- [8] L. Gross, *Logarithmic Sobolev inequalities and contractivity properties of semigroups*, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 1563, 54–88, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1993. MR **95h**:47061
- [9] M. Hino, *Exponential decay of positivity preserving semigroups on L^p* , Osaka J. Math. **37** (2000), 603–624; **39** (2002), 771. MR **2001k**:60109; MR **2003h**:60112
- [10] S. Kusuoka, *Analysis on Wiener spaces II: differential forms*, J. Funct. Anal. **103** (1992), 229–274. MR **93c**:58230
- [11] D. Revuz, *Markov Chains*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1976. MR **54**:3852
- [12] M. Röckner and F.-Y. Wang, *Weak Poincaré inequalities and L^2 -convergence rates of Markov semigroups*, J. Funct. Anal. **185** (2001), 564–603. MR **2002j**:47075
- [13] O. S. Rothe, *Diffusion on compact Riemannian manifolds and logarithmic Sobolev inequalities*, J. Funct. Anal. **42** (1981), 102–109. MR **83f**:58080a
- [14] B. Simon, *A remark on Nelson’s best hypercontractive estimates*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **55** (1976), 376–378. MR **53**:4825
- [15] B. Simon and R. Høegh-Krohn, *Hypercontractive semigroups and two dimensional self-coupled Bose fields*, J. Funct. Anal. **9** (1972), 121–180. MR **45**:2528
- [16] F.-Y. Wang, *Functional inequalities, semigroup properties and spectrum estimates*, Infin. Dimen. Anal. Quantum Probab. Relat. Topics **3** (2000), 263–295. MR **2002b**:47083
- [17] F.-Y. Wang, *Functional inequalities for the decay of sub-Markov semigroups*, Potential Analysis **18** (2003), 1–23. MR **2004a**:47051
- [18] L. Wu, *Uniformly integrable operators and large deviations for Markov processes*, J. Funct. Anal. **172** (2000), 301–376. MR **2001e**:60062
- [19] L. Wu, *Essential spectral radius for Markov semigroups (I): discrete time case*, Probab. Theory Relat. Fields **128** (2004), 255–321.
- [20] K. Yosida, *Functional Analysis*, sixth edition, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, band 123, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1980. MR **82i**:46002

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, BEIJING NORMAL UNIVERSITY, BEIJING 100875, PEOPLE’S REPUBLIC OF CHINA

E-mail address: wangfy@bnu.edu.cn