POLYNOMIALS GENERATED BY LINEAR OPERATORS

P. GALINDO, M. L. LOURENÇO, AND L. A. MORAES

(Communicated by Jonathan M. Borwein)

ABSTRACT. We study the class of Banach algebra-valued n -homogeneous polynomials generated by the n^{th} powers of linear operators. We compare it with the finite type polynomials. We introduce a topology w_{EF} on E , similar to the weak topology, to clarify the features of these polynomials.

The finite type scalar-valued polynomials play an important role when dealing with algebras of holomorphic functions. For instance, the spectrum of the Fréchet algebra of entire functions of bounded type on a complex Banach space E with the approximation property coincides with E'' if, and only if, the finite type polynomials are dense in the algebra [2]. When dealing with holomorphic mappings with values in a complex Banach algebra F , a new class of “finite type” polynomials arises: In [12] the subspace $\mathcal{P}_f(^nE, F)$ of all n -homogeneous polynomials generated by n^{th} powers of linear operators was introduced. In this paper we study the relationship of this subspace with other commonly used classes of polynomials. Unless otherwise stated, the space of n -homogeneous polynomials $P(^nE, F)$ will be endowed with the norm topology. For uniform Banach algebras F and Banach spaces E with the approximation property, we prove that the closure of the n -homogeneous finite type polynomials $\mathcal{P}_f(^nE, F)$ and the closure of $\mathcal{IP}_f(^nE, F)$ coincide if, and only if, all linear operators from E into F are compact. We also show that it may happen that the closure of $\mathcal{IP}_f(^nE, F)$ lies strictly between the closure of $\mathcal{P}_f(^nE, F)$ and the whole space of polynomials $P(^nE, F)$.

We introduce a topology w_{EF} on E , like the weak topology, which is the coarsest that makes continuous all elements in $\mathcal{IP}_f(^nE, F) \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$. When $F = \mathbb{C}$, this topology coincides with the weak topology w in E . It is known that the finite type scalar polynomials on E are the weakly continuous ones. However, it may happen that a polynomial is w_{EF} -continuous but not in $\mathcal{IP}_f(^nE, F)$ ([12]; see also section 2). It is shown that the Aron-Berner extension of w_{EF} -continuous polynomials is $w_{E''F''}$ -continuous and also that it extends polynomials in $\mathcal{IP}_f(^nE, F)$ to polynomials in $\mathcal{IP}_f(^nE'', F'')$ where F'' carries the Arens product.

For background on polynomials on Banach spaces we refer to [6].

Received by the editors September 4, 2002.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 46G20.

Key words and phrases. n -homogeneous polynomials, linear operator, Arens product.

The first author was supported by CCInt-USP and FAPEMIG.

The second author was supported in part by agreement USP/UV and FAPESP.

The third author was supported in part by CNPq, Research Grant 300016/82-4 and PROAP/UFRJ.

1. POLYNOMIALS GENERATED BY LINEAR OPERATORS

Let E be a complex Banach space, and let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. If F is a complex Banach algebra with identity, let $\mathcal{P}_f(^nE, F)$ denote the space generated by $\{T^n : T \in L(E, F)\}$, where $T^n(x) = (T(x))^n$ for each $x \in E$. This space was introduced in [12] where it is shown that $\mathcal{P}_f(^nE, F) \subset \overline{\mathcal{P}_f(^nE, F)}$. Recall that $\mathcal{P}_f(^nE, F) = \mathcal{P}_f(^nE) \otimes F$, where $\mathcal{P}_f(^nE)$ is the space generated by the n^{th} powers of elements in E' . This section begins with the study of the relationship between the norm closures of $\mathcal{P}_f(^nE, F)$ and the finite type polynomials. Later we will check that the Aron-Berner extension of a polynomial in $\mathcal{P}_f(^nE, F)$ is the n^{th} power of the bitranspose of the generating linear operators.

Recall that given an arbitrary Banach space $(F, \|\cdot\|)$ one can define in F a product $\odot$ and a norm $\|\cdot\|$ such that $(F, \|\cdot\|, \odot)$ is a commutative Banach algebra with identity. Indeed, let $e \in F$ with $\|e\| = 1$ and consider $\varphi \in F'$ such that $\varphi(e) = 1$. Let $F_1 = \ker(\varphi)$. Define $u \odot v := abe + (bx + ay)$ for $u = ae + x$ and $v = be + y$ where $a, b \in \mathbb{C}$ and $x, y \in F_1$. Moreover, define $\|u\| = |a| + \|x\|$ for all $u = ae + x$ with $a \in \mathbb{C}$ and $x \in F_1$. It is easy to verify that $\|\cdot\|$ is equivalent to $\|\cdot\|$ and that $(F, \|\cdot\|, \odot)$ endowed with the product $\odot$ is a commutative Banach algebra with identity. It is also clear that such a φ turns out to be a multiplicative form.

If E is a Banach space and F is a Banach algebra, it is easy to check that $\varphi \circ P \in \mathcal{P}_f(^nE)$ whenever $P \in \mathcal{P}_f(^nE, F)$ and $\varphi \in F'$ is multiplicative.

Observe that $\overline{\mathcal{P}_f(^nE)} = P(^nE)$ whenever $\overline{\mathcal{P}_f(^nE, F)} = P(^nE, F)$ for some Banach algebra F . Indeed, let $P \in P(^nE)$. Take a homomorphism $\varphi : F \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and $e \in F$ such that $\varphi(e) = 1$ and define $Q : E \rightarrow F$ by $Q(x) := P(x) \cdot e \forall x \in E$. Clearly $P = \varphi \circ Q$ and $Q \in P(^nE, F)$. Since $\overline{\mathcal{P}_f(^nE, F)} = P(^nE, F)$ by hypothesis, there exists $(Q_k) \subset \mathcal{P}_f(^nE, F)$ such that $Q_k \xrightarrow{\|\cdot\|} Q$ and so $\|\varphi \circ Q_k - \varphi \circ Q\| \leq \|\varphi\| \cdot \|Q_k - Q\| \rightarrow 0$. Since $(\varphi \circ Q_k) \subset \mathcal{P}_f(^nE)$ and $\varphi \circ Q = P$, we have $P \in \overline{\mathcal{P}_f(^nE)}$.

It is known that $\overline{\mathcal{P}_f(^nE)} = P(^nE)$ does not imply $\overline{\mathcal{P}_f(^nE, F)} = P(^nE, F)$ for every Banach space F . The same situation occurs for $\mathcal{P}_f(^nE, F)$, that is, it may happen that $\overline{\mathcal{P}_f(^nE, F)} \neq P(^nE, F)$ despite $\overline{\mathcal{P}_f(^nE)} = P(^nE)$. We give some explanation for the reader's convenience. Indeed, take $E = \ell_3$ and $F = \ell_2$ endowed with the product $\odot$ introduced above. All $\mathbb{C}$ -valued 2-homogeneous polynomials on ℓ_3 are weakly uniformly continuous on bounded sets by Pitt's theorem, hence $\overline{\mathcal{P}_f(^2\ell_3)} = P(^2\ell_3)$. On the other hand, since $L(\ell_3, \ell_2) = K(\ell_3, \ell_2)$, it follows from Proposition 2.5 in [12] that $\overline{\mathcal{P}_f(^2\ell_3, \ell_2)} = \mathcal{P}_f(^2\ell_3, \ell_2)$. This shows that $\mathcal{P}_f(^2\ell_3, \ell_2)$ is not dense, since the polynomial $(x_i) \in \ell_3 \mapsto (x_i^2) \in \ell_2$ is not a compact one.

Now we are going to establish conditions under which $\overline{\mathcal{P}_f(^nE, F)} = \overline{\mathcal{P}_f(^nE, F)}$. For this we require the following result.

Theorem 1.1. *Let E be a Banach space, and let F be a uniform Banach algebra. If $T \in L(E, F)$ is such that $T^n, n \in \mathbb{N}$, is a (weakly) compact polynomial, then T is a (resp. weakly) compact operator.*

Proof. Since F is a closed subspace of $\mathcal{C}(K)$ for some compact set K , it suffices to prove that T is a (weakly) compact operator from E into $\mathcal{C}(K)$.

Assume first that T^n is compact. By the Ascoli Theorem it suffices to show that $T(B_E)$ is an equicontinuous subset of $\mathcal{C}(K)$. Let $u \in K$. If $T(x)(u) = 0$ for all $x \in B_E$, given $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a neighbourhood U of u in K such that $|T^n(x)(t)| <$

ϵ^n for all $t \in U$ and for all $x \in B_E$ since $T^n(B_E)$ is clearly equicontinuous. So $|T(x)(t) - T(x)(u)| \leq \epsilon \forall t \in U$ and $\forall x \in B_E$.

Suppose now that there exists $a \in E$ such that $T(a)(u) \neq 0$. Without loss of generality, we may suppose that $T(a)(u) = 1$. Given $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a neighbourhood W of u such that $|T^{n-1}(a)(t) - 1| < \frac{\epsilon}{2\|T\|}$ for all $t \in W$ since $T^{n-1}(a) \in \mathcal{C}(K)$. Moreover, $T^{n-1}(a)T(B_E)$ is equicontinuous since $T^{n-1}(a) \cdot T$ is a compact operator from E into $\mathcal{C}(K)$ by Proposition 3.4 of [3]. Consequently, there exists a neighbourhood V of u in K such that $V \subset W$ and

$$|[T^{n-1}(a) \cdot T(x)](t) - T(x)(u)| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$$

for all $x \in B_E$ and for all $t \in V$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} & |T(x)(t) - T(x)(u)| \\ & \leq |T(x)(t) - [T^{n-1}(a) \cdot T(x)](t)| + |[T^{n-1}(a) \cdot T(x)](t) - T(x)(u)| \\ & = |T(x)(t)| \cdot |1 - T^{n-1}(a)(t)| + |[T^{n-1}(a) \cdot T(x)](t) - T(x)(u)| \\ & \leq \|T\| \frac{\epsilon}{2\|T\|} + \frac{\epsilon}{2} = \epsilon \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in B_E$ and for all $t \in V$.

The argument for the weakly compact case is similar. According to [7], Theorem 14, p. 269, it suffices to show that $T(B_E)$ is quasi-equicontinuous, that is, to show that given $u \in K$ and a net $(u_\alpha)_{\alpha \in A} \subset K$ converging to u , for $\epsilon > 0$ and $\alpha_0 \in A$ there are a finite number of indices, $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m \in A$ with $\alpha_i \geq \alpha_0$ such that

$$\min_{1 \leq i \leq m} |T(x)(u_{\alpha_i}) - T(x)(u)| \leq \epsilon \quad \forall x \in B_E.$$

Since $T^n(B_E)$ is weakly relatively compact, it is quasi-equicontinuous; hence in case $T(x)(u) = 0$ for all $x \in B_E$, we get, for $\epsilon > 0$ and $\alpha_0 \in A$, the existence of $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m \in A$ with $\alpha_i \geq \alpha_0$ such that

$$\min_{1 \leq i \leq m} |T^n(x)(u_{\alpha_i})| = \min_{1 \leq i \leq m} |T^n(x)(u_{\alpha_i}) - T^n(x)(u)| \leq \epsilon^n \quad \forall x \in B_E,$$

which is enough.

Suppose now that there exists $a \in E$ such that $T(a)(u) = 1$. Given $\epsilon > 0$ and $\alpha_0 \in A$ the continuity of T^{n-1} leads to α'_0 such that

$$|1 - T^{n-1}(a)(u_\alpha)| = |T^{n-1}(a)(u) - T^{n-1}(a)(u_\alpha)| \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2\|T\|} \quad \forall \alpha \geq \alpha'_0.$$

By Theorem 3.2 in [14] the derivative mapping $nT^{n-1}(a)T : E \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(K)$ is a weakly compact mapping. In particular, $[T^{n-1}(a)T](B_E)$ is a weakly relatively compact set and therefore $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m \in A$ with $\alpha_i \geq \alpha'_0$ may be found such that

$$\min_{1 \leq i \leq m} |T^{n-1}(a)(u_{\alpha_i}) \cdot T(x)(u_{\alpha_i}) - T^{n-1}(a)(u) \cdot T(x)(u)| \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2} \quad \forall x \in B_E.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} & |T(x)(u_{\alpha_i}) - T(x)(u)| \\ & \leq |T(x)(u_{\alpha_i}) - [T^{n-1}(a) \cdot T(x)](u_{\alpha_i})| + |[T^{n-1}(a) \cdot T(x)](u_{\alpha_i}) - T(x)(u)| \\ & = |T(x)(u_{\alpha_i})| \cdot |1 - T^{n-1}(a)(u_{\alpha_i})| + |[T^{n-1}(a) \cdot T(x)](u_{\alpha_i}) - T^{n-1}(a)(u)T(x)(u)|. \end{aligned}$$

Thus for all $x \in B_E$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \min_{1 \leq i \leq m} |T(x)(u_{\alpha_i}) - T(x)(u)| \\ & \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \min_{1 \leq i \leq m} |T^{n-1}(a)(u_{\alpha_i}) \cdot T(x)(u_{\alpha_i}) - T^{n-1}(a)(u) \cdot T(x)(u)| \leq \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

□

Corollary 1.2. *Let E be a Banach space such that E' has the approximation property, and let F be a uniform Banach algebra. The following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) $L(E, F) = K(E, F)$.
- (2) $\overline{P_f(^n E, F)} = \overline{\mathbb{P}_f(^n E, F)} \quad \forall n \geq 1$.
- (3) $\overline{P_f(^n E, F)} = \overline{\mathbb{P}_f(^n E, F)}$ for some $n \geq 1$.

Proof. (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2) Apply Proposition 2.5 of [12].

(3) $\Rightarrow$ (1) If $\overline{P_f(^n E, F)} = \overline{\mathbb{P}_f(^n E, F)}$, then every element of $\overline{\mathbb{P}_f(^n E, F)}$ is compact. Now, given $T \in L(E, F)$ we have that T^n is a compact polynomial and so, by Theorem 1.1, T is compact. □

Under the assumptions of the above corollary the equivalent conditions mean also that $\overline{\mathbb{P}_f(^n E, F)}$ coincides with the space of all compact polynomials. Theorem 1.1 shows that all elements in $L(E, F)$ are weakly compact if, and only if, all elements in $\overline{\mathbb{P}_f(^n E, F)}$ are weakly compact. It is tempting to ask whether in this case $\overline{\mathbb{P}_f(^n E, F)}$ coincides with the space of all weakly compact polynomials; however, the example quoted before Theorem 1.1 prevents such a coincidence.

Remark 1.3. Theorem 1.1 is not true if the Banach algebra F is not uniform as the following example shows:

Consider $T : c_0 \rightarrow L(\ell_\infty, \ell_\infty)$ defined by

$$T(x)(y) = (0, x_1 \cdot y_1, 0, x_3 \cdot y_3, 0, \dots, 0, x_{2n+1} y_{2n+1}, 0, \dots)$$

for all $x = (x_i) \in c_0$ and $y = (y_i) \in \ell_\infty$. It is clear that T is a linear operator such that T^2 is compact since $T^2 \equiv 0$. Nevertheless T is not compact since the sequence $(T(e_{2n+1}))$ does not have convergent subsequences. Neither T is weakly compact; otherwise the transpose mapping T^t would be a weakly compact operator into the Schur space ℓ_1 , and hence T^t would be compact.

Recall that a set $A \subset E$ is said to be a limited set if weak* null sequences in E^* are uniformly convergent on A . The Josefson-Nissenzweig Theorem guarantees that the unit ball of any infinite-dimensional Banach space is not a limited set (see [6], p. 234).

Theorem 1.4. *Let E be a Grothendieck space having a quotient isomorphic to ℓ_p . Then*

$$\overline{P_f(^n E, c)} \not\subseteq \overline{\mathbb{P}_f(^n E, c)} \not\subseteq P(^n E, c) \quad \forall n \geq p, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Proof. Since E is a Grothendieck space, all elements in $L(E, c)$ are weakly compact. Recall that in a uniform algebra the product of two weakly relatively compact sets is a weakly relatively compact set. Then we have that every element in $\overline{\mathbb{P}_f(^n E, c)}$ maps bounded sets into weakly relatively compact sets, and the same holds for all the elements in $\overline{\mathbb{P}_f(^n E, c)}$.

Fix $n \geq p$. In order to prove that $\overline{\mathbb{P}_f(^nE, c)} \not\subseteq P(^nE, c)$ we define a continuous n -homogeneous polynomial $Q : \ell_p \rightarrow c$ by

$$Q(x) = \left(- \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_i^n, (-1)^2 \sum_{i=2}^{\infty} x_i^n, \dots, (-1)^k \sum_{i=k}^{\infty} x_i^n, \dots \right)$$

for every $x = (x_i)_{i=1}^{\infty} \in \ell_p$. We remark that Q is not weakly compact since the sequence $(Q(e_m))_{m=1}^{\infty} \subset \overline{Q(B_{\ell_p})}$ does not have a weakly convergent subsequence. Now, if $q : E \rightarrow \ell_p$ is the quotient mapping, there exists $\lambda > 0$ such that $\lambda Q(B_{\ell_p}) \subset (Q \circ q)(B_E)$. Therefore, $Q \circ q$ is not weakly compact and $(Q \circ q) \in P(^nE, c) \setminus \overline{\mathbb{P}_f(^nE, c)}$.

Since c is a Banach algebra with identity, $P_f(^nE, c) \subset \mathbb{P}_f(^nE, c)$ ([12], Proposition 2.2). Furthermore, $\overline{P_f(^nE, c)} \not\subseteq \overline{\mathbb{P}_f(^nE, c)}$ because otherwise $\overline{\mathbb{P}_f(^nE, c)} = \overline{P_f(^nE, c)}$ and then Corollary 1.2 would yield that $L(E, c) = K(E, c)$, which is not possible since there are noncompact operators from E into c because the unit ball of E is not limited. $\square$

Corollary 1.5. *Let E be a Grothendieck space. If $\overline{\mathbb{P}_f(^nE, c)} = P(^nE, c)$ for some $n > 1$, then E is reflexive.*

Proof. Suppose that E is nonreflexive. By Proposition 1 of [8], E contains a copy of ℓ_1 , and hence it has a quotient isomorphic to ℓ_2 . Therefore by Theorem 1.4 we have that $\overline{\mathbb{P}_f(^nE, c)} \not\subseteq P(^nE, c)$ for all $n \geq 2$, a contradiction. $\square$

The elements in $\mathbb{P}_f(^nE, F)$ behave in the most suitable way regarding the Aron-Berner extension to the bidual. We refer the reader to [5] for a survey of properties of the Arens extension to F'' of the product in F .

Proposition 1.6. *The Aron-Berner extension of every $P \in \mathbb{P}_f(^nE, F)$ belongs to $\mathbb{P}_f(^nE'', F'')$ when F'' is considered as carrying the left Arens product.*

Proof. We have to show that for any $L \in L(E, F)$, L''^n , the n th power of the double transpose $L'' : E'' \rightarrow F''$, coincides with the Aron-Berner extension of the polynomial L^n .

Recall that for a multilinear mapping $A \in L(^nE, F)$, its Aron-Berner extension $\tilde{A}$ and fixed $a_1, \dots, a_{j-1} \in E$ and $a''_{j+1}, \dots, a''_n \in E''$, the mapping

$$x'' \in E'' \mapsto \tilde{A}(a_1, \dots, a_{j-1}, x'', a''_{j+1}, \dots, a''_n)$$

is weak*-weak* continuous (see [6], 6.2).

Now, for $T_1, \dots, T_n \in L(E, F)$ the n -linear mapping $A := T_1 \cdot \dots \cdot T_n : E \times \dots \times E \rightarrow F$ given by $A(x_1, \dots, x_n) = T_1(x_1) \cdot \dots \cdot T_n(x_n)$ may be extended according to $(x''_1, \dots, x''_n) \in E'' \times \dots \times E'' \mapsto T''_1(x''_1) \cdot \dots \cdot T''_n(x''_n) \in F''$. Since the transpose mappings are always weak*-weak* continuous and for the left Arens product the mappings $f'' \in F'' \mapsto f'' \cdot g'' \in F''$ and $f'' \in F'' \mapsto h \cdot f'' \in F''$ are weak*-weak* continuous for fixed $g'' \in F''$ and $h \in F$ respectively (see [5], p. 311), it follows that the mapping

$$x'' \in E'' \mapsto T_1(a_1) \cdot \dots \cdot T_{j-1}(a_{j-1}) \cdot T''_j(x'') \cdot T_{j+1}(a''_{j+1}) \cdot \dots \cdot T''_n(a''_n)$$

is also weak*-weak* continuous. Therefore by the weak* density of E in E'' , we obtain that $\tilde{A} = T''_1 \cdot \dots \cdot T''_n$. $\square$

2. THE w_{EF} TOPOLOGY AND THE w_{EF} -CONTINUOUS POLYNOMIALS

Let E and $F \neq \{0\}$ be complex normed spaces. For each $T \in L(E, F)$ we set $p_T(x) := \|T(x)\|$ for all $x \in E$. Then p_T is a seminorm on E , and the family $\{p_T : T \in L(E, F)\}$ defines a locally convex topology w_{EF} on E . This topology is the weakest topology on E that makes continuous all $T \in L(E, F)$ and hence, all polynomials in $\mathcal{P}_f({}^n E, F) \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$. We denote by $L_{wsc}(E, F)$ the subspace of all weakly sequentially continuous elements of $L(E, F)$.

It is easy to verify that the weak topology is coarser than the w_{EF} topology, which in turn is also coarser than the norm topology and consequently, w_{EF} is compatible with the dual pair (E, E') . Note that $w_{EF} = w$ in case $F = \mathbb{C}$, and w_{EF} is the norm topology in case E is a subspace of F . Later, Propositions 2.3, 2.4 and 2.5 show that these topologies can be different.

Remark 2.1. The relationship between different w_{EF} topologies when varying the reference spaces may be summarized as follows: For fixed E , whenever Y is a subspace of Z , then $w_{EY} \preceq w_{EZ}$, and for fixed Y , whenever E is a subspace of F , then $w_{FY|_E} \preceq w_{EY}$.

Proposition 2.2. *Let E and F be Banach spaces, and let T be a linear mapping from E into F . Then T is continuous if and only if $T : (E, w_{EF}) \rightarrow (F, w)$ is continuous.*

Proof. If $T : (E, w_{EF}) \rightarrow (F, w)$ is continuous, $T(x_n) \xrightarrow{w} T(x)$ whenever $x_n \xrightarrow{w_{EF}} x$. Now, if $(x_n, T(x_n)) \rightarrow (x, y)$ in $E \times F$ we have $T(x_n) \xrightarrow{w} T(x)$ since w_{EF} is weaker than the norm topology and clearly $T(x_n) \xrightarrow{w} y$. So, $T(x) = y$ and we have that $T : E \rightarrow F$ is continuous by the Closed Graph Theorem.

The converse is clearly true since $w \preceq w_{EF}$. $\square$

Proposition 2.3. *Let E and F be Banach spaces. The following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) $L(E, F) = L_{wsc}(E, F)$.
- (2) *Given any sequence $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in E we have that $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to x in (E, w) if and only if $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to x in (E, w_{EF}) .*
- (3) *The weak topology w and the w_{EF} topology coincide on the weakly compact subsets of E .*

Proof. The equivalence between (1) and (2) is clear. It is also clear that (3) implies (2).

(2) $\Rightarrow$ (3) Let K be a weakly compact subset of E . It is enough to show that $\overline{A}^w = \overline{A}^{w_{EF}}$ for all $A \subset K$. Since $A \subset K$ is weakly relatively compact, given any $x \in \overline{A}^w$, there exists, by the Eberlein-Smulian Theorem, $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset A$ such that $x_n \xrightarrow{w} x$. By using (2) we get $\overline{A}^w \subset \overline{A}^{w_{EF}}$, and the proof is complete since clearly $\overline{A}^{w_{EF}} \subset \overline{A}^w$. $\square$

To emphasize the different behaviour of the w_{EF} topology and the norm or the weak topology, we point out, for instance, that the canonical embedding $\iota : E \rightarrow E''$ may not be $(w_{EF} - w_{E''F''})$ -continuous: just pick $E = \ell_\infty$ and $F = c_0$, and remark that $L(E, F) = L_{wsc}(E, F)$ since $E = \ell_\infty$ is a Grothendieck space and has the Dunford-Pettis property. Since any weakly convergent sequence $(x_n) \subset \ell_\infty$ is w_{EF} -convergent by Proposition 2.3, if $\iota : E \rightarrow E''$ were $(w_{EF} - w_{E''F''})$ -continuous,

$(\iota(x_n))$ would be $w_{E''F''}$ -convergent. In particular, for the extension to E'' of the mapping $\iota : E \rightarrow \ell_\infty$, that is, the projection $\pi : (\ell_\infty)'' \rightarrow \ell_\infty$, since $\pi \in L(E'', F'')$, we would obtain that $(x_n) = (\pi(\iota(x_n)))$ norm converges in $F'' = \ell_\infty$. Consequently, ℓ_∞ would be a Schur space.

Proposition 2.4. *Let E and F be Banach spaces. The following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) $L(E, F) = K(E, F)$.
- (2) *Given any bounded net $(x_\beta)_{\beta \in I}$ in E we have that $(x_\beta)_{\beta \in I}$ converges to x in (E, w) if, and only if, $(x_\beta)_{\beta \in I}$ converges to x in (E, w_{EF}) .*
- (3) *The weak topology w and the w_{EF} topology coincide on the bounded subsets of E .*

Proof. The equivalence between (2) and (3) is clear.

(1) $\Rightarrow$ (2) Let $(x_\beta)_{\beta \in I}$ be a bounded net in E such that $x_\beta \xrightarrow{w} x$. Since $L(E, F) = K(E, F)$, every $T \in L(E, F)$ is weakly continuous on the bounded set $\{x_\beta : \beta \in I\} \cup \{x\}$ and consequently $T(x_\beta) \rightarrow T(x)$ for all $T \in L(E, F)$. This means that $x_\beta \xrightarrow{w_{EF}} x$. From this the result follows.

(2) $\Rightarrow$ (1) If (2) is true, by Proposition 2.3 we have that $L(E, F) = L_{wsc}(E, F)$. So, if $L(E, F) \neq K(E, F)$ there exists $T \in L_{wsc}(E, F)$ such that $T \notin K(E, F)$. Consequently, there exists a bounded subset X of E such that $T|_X$ is not weakly continuous. So, there exists $x \in X$ and $(x_\beta)_{\beta \in I} \subset X$ such that $x_\beta \xrightarrow{w} x$ but $T(x_\beta) \not\xrightarrow{\|\cdot\|} T(x)$. This means that $x_\beta \not\xrightarrow{w_{EF}} x$ despite $x_\beta \xrightarrow{w} x$. $\square$

Proposition 2.5.

- (1) *If E is a reflexive infinite-dimensional Banach space, then there exists a sequence $(x_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ in E such that $x_k \xrightarrow{w_{E\ell_1}} x$ and $x_k \not\xrightarrow{\|\cdot\|} x$.*
- (2) *If $L(E, F) = L_{wsc}(E, F)$ and E is not a Schur space, then there exists a sequence $(x_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ in E such that $x_k \xrightarrow{w_{EF}} x$ and $x_k \not\xrightarrow{\|\cdot\|} x$.*

Proof. (1) Since E is reflexive, there exists $(x_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset E$ such that $x_k \xrightarrow{w} x$ and $x_k \not\xrightarrow{\|\cdot\|} x$. On the other hand, $x_k \xrightarrow{w_{E\ell_1}} x$ since $L(E, \ell_1) = L_{wsc}(E, \ell_1)$.

The proof of (2) is similar. $\square$

Proposition 2.6. *Let E and F be Banach spaces. Consider the following statements:*

- (1) $L(E, F)$ is reflexive.
- (2) E is reflexive and $L(E, F) = K(E, F)$.
- (3) $\overline{B_E}$ is w_{EF} -compact.

Then (2) $\Leftrightarrow$ (3). Also, (2) $\Rightarrow$ (1) when F is a reflexive space. If, furthermore, either E or F has the compact approximation property, then (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2).

Proof. (2) $\Rightarrow$ (3) Follows from Proposition 2.4.

(3) $\Rightarrow$ (2) The reflexivity of E is a consequence of $w \preceq w_{EF}$. Since every $T \in L(E, F)$ is w_{EF} -continuous, $T(B_E)$ is relatively compact in F .

If F is reflexive, (2) $\Rightarrow$ (1) is just part of Theorem 1 of [11].

(1) $\Rightarrow$ (2) Under the condition (1), both E and F are reflexive spaces since E^* and F are isomorphic to complemented subspaces of $L(E, F)$. Then, if E or F has the compact approximation property, we apply Theorem 2.1 of [13] to complete the proof. $\square$

Recall that a completely regular Hausdorff space X is a k -space when a subset G of X is open if and only if its intersection with each compact subset K of X is open in K . The space (E, w_{EF}) is completely regular since it is a locally convex space.

If $F = \mathbb{C}$, it is known that (E, w_{EF}) is a k -space if and only if $\dim E < \infty$ (since w_{EF} coincides with the weak topology). In general we have:

Proposition 2.7. *Let E and F be complex Banach spaces such that (E, w_{EF}) is a k -space. If $L(E, F) = K(E, F)$ (respectively, $L(E, F) = L_{wsc}(E, F)$), then E is reflexive (resp., reflexive or Schur).*

Proof. Assume $L(E, F) = K(E, F)$. By Proposition 2.4, the weak topology and the w_{EF} topology coincide on the bounded subsets of E . So, if bw denotes the finest topology in E that induces the weak topology on every bounded subset of E , it is obvious that $w_{EF} \preceq bw$ and that a subset K of E is w_{EF} -compact if and only if it is bw -compact. So, given a bw -closed subset C of E we have that $C \cap K$ is w_{EF} -closed for every w_{EF} -compact K and, by our hypothesis, C is w_{EF} -closed. This implies that $w_{EF} = bw$; so bw is a locally convex topology, and this has been shown to imply that E is reflexive (see [10], Theorem 3.7).

In the case $L(E, F) = L_{wsc}(E, F)$, we replace in the above argument bw by kw , the finest topology agreeing with the weak topology on weakly compact sets which was introduced in [9], to come up with $w_{EF} = kw$, which in turn implies E to be a reflexive or Schur space by Theorem 2.9 in [9]. $\square$

Let $P_{w_{EF}}(^nE, F)$ be the space of all w_{EF} -continuous n -homogeneous polynomials from E into F . The set $P_{w_{EF}}(E, F) = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} P_{w_{EF}}(^nE, F)$ of all w_{EF} -continuous polynomials from E into F is a complex algebra, since for given $Q \in P_{w_{EF}}(^nE, F)$ and $P \in P_{w_{EF}}(^mE, F)$, the polynomial PQ is also w_{EF} -continuous. Indeed, given $\epsilon > 0$ there exist $T_1, T_2, \dots, T_k \in L(E, F)$ such that $\|Q(x)\| < \sqrt{\epsilon}$ and $\|P(x)\| < \sqrt{\epsilon}$ whenever $\sup_{1 \leq i \leq k} \|T_i(x)\| < 1$. So $\sup_{1 \leq i \leq k} \|T_i(x)\| < 1 \Rightarrow \|Q(x)P(x)\| \leq \|Q(x)\| \|P(x)\| < \epsilon$. Therefore, PQ is w_{EF} -continuous since w_{EF} is a locally convex topology.

Now we may construct w_{EF} -continuous polynomials just by multiplying continuous functionals on E by w_{EF} -continuous polynomials from E into F .

If E is a subspace of F , we have $P_{w_{EF}}(^nE, F) = P(^nE, F)$, and if $F = \mathbb{C}$, we have $P_{w_{EC}}(^nE) = P_w(^nE)$ where $P_w(^nE)$ denotes the space of all weakly continuous n -homogeneous polynomials. It is well known that $P_f(^nE) = P_w(^nE)$.

Clearly, $\mathbb{P}_f(^nE, F) \subset P_{w_{EF}}(^nE, F)$. If $F = \mathbb{C}$, we have $\mathbb{P}_f(^nE) = P_w(^nE)$, and it is natural to ask whether $\mathbb{P}_f(^nE, F) = P_{w_{EF}}(^nE, F)$ for a Banach algebra F . This is not true in general. For instance, in case $E = c_0$ and $F = \ell_{\infty}$, since $c_0 \subset \ell_{\infty}$, every element of $P(^nc_0, \ell_{\infty})$ is $w_{c_0\ell_{\infty}}$ -continuous. If $P : c_0 \rightarrow \ell_{\infty}$ is defined by $P((x_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}) = a \cdot (\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^k} x_k^n)$ where $a = (a_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \in c_0$, $a \neq 0$, it is clear that $P \in P(^nc_0, \ell_{\infty})$ and $P \notin \mathbb{P}_f(^nc_0, \ell_{\infty})$ (cf. Example 2.3 of [12]).

Proposition 2.8. *Let E be a complex Banach space. The following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) E is a finite-dimensional space.
- (2) $\mathcal{P}_f(^nE, F) = P_{w_{EF}}(^nE, F)$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and for every complex Banach algebra with identity F .
- (3) $\mathcal{P}_f(^nE, F) = P_{w_{EF}}(^nE, F)$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and for every complex Banach algebra with identity F .

Proof. If E is a finite-dimensional space, the w_{EF} topology coincides with the norm topology on E , and by using Proposition 2.3 of [12] we get (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2). It is obvious that (2) $\Rightarrow$ (3).

Let us show that (3) $\Rightarrow$ (1). Fix $e \in E$ with $\|e\| = 1$ and $\varphi \in E'$ such that $\varphi(e) = 1$, and consider the complex Banach algebra $F = (E, \odot)$ defined at the very beginning of §1 for the given Banach space E . In this case, the w_{EF} topology coincides with the norm topology on E , and so $P_{w_{EF}}(^nE, F) = P(^nE, F)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. If E is an infinite-dimensional space, then there exists a biorthogonal system $\{x_k, f_k : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ such that $\{x_k : k \in \mathbb{N}\} \subset E$, $\{f_k : k \in \mathbb{N}\} \subset E'$ and $f_k(x_j) = \delta_{kj}$. It is clear that

$$Q(x) := \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^k \|f_k\|} f_k^n(x), \quad x \in E,$$

defines a continuous n -homogeneous polynomial in E . To complete the proof it is enough to show that the continuous n -homogeneous polynomial $P : E \rightarrow F$ defined by $P(x) := Q(x) \cdot e$ for all $x \in E$ does not belong to $\mathcal{P}_f(^nE, F)$. Indeed, if $P \in \mathcal{P}_f(^nE, F)$, we have $Q \in P_f(^nE)$ by Remark 2.8 since $\varphi \circ P = Q$. Then $Q = \sum_{i=1}^m \psi_i^n$ where $\{\psi_1, \dots, \psi_m\} \subset E'$, and then for each $x \in E$ we have

$$dQ(x) = \sum_{i=1}^m n \psi_i^{n-1}(x) \psi_i = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{n}{2^k \|f_k\|} f_k^{n-1}(x) f_k.$$

So, for every $j \in \mathbb{N}$, $dQ(x_j) = \frac{n}{2^j \|f_j\|} \cdot f_j = \sum_{i=1}^m n \psi_i^{n-1}(x_j) \psi_i$, and this means that $\{f_k : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a subset of the finite-dimensional subspace of E' spanned by $\{\psi_1, \dots, \psi_m\}$. This contradicts the linear independence of the set $\{f_k : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$. $\square$

Proposition 1.6 implies that the Aron-Berner extension of polynomials in $\mathcal{P}_f(^nE, F)$ is $w_{E''F''}$ -continuous. This holds for all w_{EF} -continuous polynomials:

Proposition 2.9. *The Aron-Berner extension of a w_{EF} -continuous polynomial is $w_{E''F''}$ -continuous.*

Proof. By the polarization formula the multilinear mapping associated to a w_{EF} -continuous polynomial is also w_{EF} -continuous. Let $A \in L(^nE, F)$ be w_{EF} -continuous. Then there are $T_{i,j} \in L(E, F)$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, $j = 1, \dots, j(i)$, such that

$$\|A(x_1, \dots, x_n)\| \leq \sup_{1 \leq j \leq j(1)} \|T_{1,j}(x_1)\| \cdot \dots \cdot \sup_{1 \leq j \leq j(n)} \|T_{n,j}(x_n)\|.$$

Since the norm in F'' is weak* lower semicontinuous, each of the functions $x'' \in E'' \mapsto \sup_{1 \leq j \leq j(i)} \|T''_{i,j}(x'')\|$ is weak* lower semicontinuous on E'' . Therefore, if $(z_\alpha) \subset E''$ weak* converges to $x'' \in E''$, then by ([4], IV, §6, Prop. 4)

$$\lim_{\alpha} \sup_{1 \leq j \leq j(i)} \|T''_{i,j}(z_\alpha)\| = \sup_{1 \leq j \leq j(i)} \|T''_{i,j}(x'')\|, \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

Since for fixed f in the unit ball of F' , and $a_1, \dots, a_{k-1} \in E$ and $a''_{k+1}, \dots, a''_n \in E''$, the mapping

$$x'' \in E'' \mapsto |f \circ \tilde{A}(a_1, \dots, a_{k-1}, x'', a''_{k+1}, \dots, a''_n)|$$

is weak* continuous on E'' , we can prove that for a net $(x_\alpha) \subset E$ weak* convergent to $x'' \in E''$,

$$\begin{aligned} |f \circ \tilde{A}(a_1, \dots, a_{k-1}, x'', a''_{k+1}, \dots, a''_n)| &= \lim_{\alpha} |f \circ \tilde{A}(a_1, \dots, a_{k-1}, x_\alpha, a''_{k+1}, \dots, a''_n)| \\ &\leq \sup_{1 \leq j \leq j(1)} \|T_{1,j}(a_1)\| \cdot \dots \cdot \lim_{\alpha} \sup_{1 \leq j \leq j(k)} \|T_{k,j}(x_\alpha)\| \cdot \dots \cdot \sup_{1 \leq j \leq j(n)} \|T''_{n,j}(a''_n)\| \\ &= \sup_{1 \leq j \leq j(1)} \|T_{1,j}(a_1)\| \cdot \dots \cdot \sup_{1 \leq j \leq j(k)} \|T''_{k,j}(x'')\| \cdot \dots \cdot \sup_{1 \leq j \leq j(n)} \|T''_{n,j}(a''_n)\|. \end{aligned}$$

To check this we begin with the last variable and go backwards until the first one, and, finally, we obtain

$$|f \circ \tilde{A}(a''_1, \dots, a''_n)| \leq \sup_{1 \leq j \leq j(1)} \|T''_{1,j}(a''_1)\| \cdot \dots \cdot \sup_{1 \leq j \leq j(n)} \|T''_{n,j}(a''_n)\|.$$

This shows the $w_{E''F''}$ -continuity of $\tilde{A}$. □

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Part of this paper was done during a São Paulo-Valencia exchange visit. The final version of it was written on the occasion of the 55th SBA-Uberlândia. The authors gratefully thank Prof. Geraldo Botelho of Universidade Federal de Uberlândia for the support and hospitality. Also, the valuable referee's comments are appreciated.

REFERENCES

- [1] R.M. Aron and P. Berner, *A Hahn-Banach theorem for analytic mappings*, Bull. Soc. Math. France **106** (1978), 3-24. MR 80e:46029
- [2] R.M. Aron, B.J. Cole and T.W. Gamelin, *Weak-star continuous analytic functions*, Canad. J. Math. **47** (4) (1995), 673-683. MR 96d:46060
- [3] R.M. Aron and M. Schottenloher, *Compact holomorphic mappings on Banach spaces and the approximation property*, J. Functional Anal. **21** (1976), 7-30. MR 53:6323
- [4] N. Bourbaki, *Éléments de mathématique. Topologie Générale*, Hermann, Paris (1971). MR 50:11111
- [5] J. Duncan and S.A.R. Hosseini, *The second dual of a Banach algebra*, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh **84A** (1979), 309-325. MR 81f:46057
- [6] S. Dineen, *Complex Analysis on Infinite Dimensional Spaces*, Springer (1999). MR 2001a:46043
- [7] N. Dunford and J. Schwartz, *Linear Operators*, Part I, Interscience (1958). MR 22:8302
- [8] M. González and J. Gutiérrez, *Polynomial Grothendieck properties*, Glasgow Math. J. **37** (1995), 211-219. MR 96h:46025
- [9] M. González and J. Gutiérrez, *The compact weak topology on a Banach space*, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh **120A** (1992), 367-379. MR 93c:46022
- [10] J. Gómez, *On local convexity of bounded weak topologies on Banach spaces*, Pacific J. Math. **110** (1984), 71-76. MR 85a:46014
- [11] J. R. Holub, *Reflexivity of $L(E, F)$* , Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **39** (1) (1973), 175-177. MR 47:3956

- [12] M. L. Lourenço and L. A. Moraes, *A class of polynomials from Banach spaces into Banach algebras*, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci., Kyoto Univ. **37** (2001), 521-529. MR 2002k:46110
- [13] J. Mujica, *Reflexive spaces of homogeneous polynomials*, Bull. Polish Acad. of Sci. **49** (3) (2001), 211-222. MR 2002i:46019
- [14] R. Ryan, *Weakly compact holomorphic mappings on Banach spaces*, Pacific J. Math. **131** (1988), 179-190 MR 89a:46103

DEPARTAMENTO DE ANÁLISIS MATEMÁTICO, FACULTAD DE MATEMÁTICAS, UNIVERSIDAD DE VALENCIA 46.100, BURJASOT-VALENCIA, SPAIN
E-mail address: `Pablo.Galindo@uv.es`

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA, INSTITUTO DE MATEMÁTICA E ESTATÍSTICA, UNIVERSIDADE DE SÃO PAULO, CAIXA POSTAL 66281 - CEP : 05315-970, SÃO PAULO, BRAZIL
E-mail address: `mllouren@ime.usp.br`

INSTITUTO DE MATEMÁTICA, UNIVERSIDADE FEDERAL DO RIO DE JANEIRO, CP 68530 - CEP: 21945-970, RIO DE JANEIRO, BRAZIL
E-mail address: `luiza@im.ufrj.br`