TWO ESTIMATES FOR CURVES IN THE PLANE

DANIEL M. OBERLIN

(Communicated by Andreas Seeger)

ABSTRACT. We obtain a Fourier transform estimate and an $L^{3/2}(\mathbb{R}^2) - L^3(\mathbb{R}^2)$ convolution estimate for certain measures on a class of convex curves in the plane.

§1. INTRODUCTION

This is the third in a series of papers concerned with estimates for operators associated with measures on a certain class of curves in the plane. The curves are just graphs $\Gamma = \{(x, \phi(x)) : a \leq x < b\}$ where $\phi^{(j)}(a) = 0$ for $j = 0, 1, 2$ and $\phi'' > 0$, $\phi^{(3)} \geq 0$ on (a, b) . Our previous results deal with the affine arclength measure $\phi''(x)^{1/3} dx$ on Γ . They are

Theorem 1 ([7]). *Writing λ for affine arclength on Γ , there is the estimate*

$$\|\lambda * \chi_E\|_{L^3(\mathbb{R}^2)} \leq 12^{1/3} \|\chi_E\|_{3/2}$$

for any measurable $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$.

Theorem 2 ([8]). *If $1 \leq p < \frac{4}{3}$ and $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{3q} = 1$, there is a constant $C = C(p)$ such that the estimate*

$$\left(\int_a^b |\widehat{f}(t, \phi(t))|^q \phi''(t)^{\frac{1}{3}} dt \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq C(p) \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^2)}$$

holds.

(Shortly after [8] appeared, it was pointed out to the author that Theorem 2 is a consequence of Theorem 2 in Sjölin's paper [9].) Part of the novelty of Theorems 1 and 2 is that the estimates they provide are uniform over the class of curves under consideration. In particular, the constant $C(p)$ in Theorem 2 is independent of ϕ . Convolution and Fourier restriction estimates like those in Theorems 1 and 2 are well known when the associated curves have nonvanishing curvature. Drury ([3]) pointed out that the damping factor $\phi''(x)^{1/3}$ could compensate for flatness in such estimates. But his and subsequent results (see, e.g., [1]) gave bounds depending on certain ancillary constants. The (quite simple) proofs of Theorems 1 and 2

Received by the editors March 27, 2002.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 42B20.

Key words and phrases. Fourier transform, convolution.

The author was partially supported by a grant from the National Science Foundation.

have no such dependence. The shortcoming of Theorem 1 is that it holds only for characteristic functions χ_E : a more natural estimate would be

$$(1) \quad \|\lambda * f\|_{L^3(\mathbb{R}^2)} \leq C \|f\|_{L^{3/2}(\mathbb{R}^2)}$$

for nonnegative and measurable functions f on $\mathbb{R}^2$ and for some absolute constant C . We have been unable to prove or disprove such an estimate. Our next result is a weaker substitute.

Theorem 3. *With ϕ as above, write $\omega(x)$ for the function $\frac{\phi'(x)^2}{\phi(x)}$ and ν for the measure on Γ given by $d\nu = \omega(x)^{1/3}dx$. Then there is an absolute constant C such that the estimate*

$$\|\nu * f\|_{L^3(\mathbb{R}^2)} \leq C \|f\|_{L^{3/2}(\mathbb{R}^2)}$$

holds for nonnegative measurable functions f on $\mathbb{R}^2$.

(One can check that Theorem 3 is weaker than (1) by verifying, as we do in the proof of Theorem 4, that the inequality $\omega(x) \leq 2\phi''(x)$ follows from the hypotheses on ϕ .)

There is also a related Fourier transform estimate.

Theorem 4. *There is an absolute constant C such that the following holds: with ϕ and ω as above, with $[c, d] \subseteq [a, b)$, and with $\zeta, \eta \in \mathbb{R}$, we have the inequality*

$$\left| \int_c^d e^{i(\zeta x + \eta \phi(x))} \omega(x)^{1/2} dx \right| \leq \frac{C}{|\eta|^{1/2}}.$$

If $\zeta = 0$, then the change of variable $t = \phi(x)^{1/2}$ shows the conclusion of Theorem 4 to follow trivially from Van der Corput's lemma applied to $\int e^{i\eta t^2} dt$. On the other hand, a stronger estimate

$$\left| \int_c^d e^{i(\zeta x + \eta \phi(x))} \omega(x)^{1/2+is} dx \right| \leq \frac{C(s)}{|\eta|^{1/2}},$$

with $C(s)$ growing, say, polynomially in $|s|$ (which we have been unable to obtain) would yield a proof of Theorem 3 different from the one we present. Results like Theorem 3, but for nondegenerate curves and without a uniform constant, date back at least to [5]. Fourier transform estimates such as that of Theorem 4, but for nondegenerate curves, are easy consequences of Van der Corput's lemma. The remainder of this note is organized as follows: §2 contains the proof of Theorem 3, and §3 contains the proof of Theorem 4.

§2. PROOF OF THEOREM 3

Theorem 3 is proved by adapting the method of Drury and Guo in [4]. The proof requires an elementary lemma.

Lemma 1. *With ϕ and $[a, b)$ as above, suppose $h > 0$ and $x, x - h \in [a, b)$. Then*

$$\frac{\phi'(x)\phi'(x-h)}{\phi(x)^{1/2}\phi(x-h)^{1/2}(\phi'(x) - \phi'(x-h))} \leq \frac{5}{|h|}.$$

Proof of Lemma 1. Since $\phi(a) = \phi'(a) = 0$ it follows, for example, that $\phi(x) = \int_a^x (x-t)\phi''(t)dt$. Thus the conclusion of Lemma 1 is equivalent to the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} h \int_a^x \phi''(t)dt \int_a^{x-h} \phi''(t)dt \\ \leq 5 \left(\int_a^x (x-t)\phi''(t)dt \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_a^{x-h} (x-h-t)\phi''(t)dt \right)^{1/2} \int_{x-h}^x \phi''(t)dt. \end{aligned}$$

It is therefore enough to establish the two inequalities

$$\begin{aligned} (2) \quad & h \left(\int_a^{x-h} \phi''(t)dt \right)^2 \\ & \leq 2 \left(\int_a^x (x-t)\phi''(t)dt \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_a^{x-h} (x-h-t)\phi''(t)dt \right)^{1/2} \int_{x-h}^x \phi''(t)dt \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (3) \quad & h \int_{x-h}^x \phi''(t)dt \int_a^{x-h} \phi''(t)dt \\ & \leq 3 \left(\int_a^x (x-t)\phi''(t)dt \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_a^{x-h} (x-h-t)\phi''(t)dt \right)^{1/2} \int_{x-h}^x \phi''(t)dt. \end{aligned}$$

With no loss of generality, assume $\phi''(x-h) = 1$. Since ϕ'' is increasing, it follows that $h \leq \int_{x-h}^x \phi''(t)dt$. Since

$$\int_a^{x-h} (x-h-t)\phi''(t)dt \leq \int_a^x (x-t)\phi''(t)dt,$$

inequality (2) will follow from

$$(4) \quad \left(\int_a^{x-h} \phi''(t)dt \right)^2 \leq 2 \int_a^{x-h} (x-h-t)\phi''(t)dt.$$

To see this, let $\epsilon = \int_a^{x-h} \phi''(t)dt$. Since $\phi''(t) \leq \phi''(x-h) = 1$ if $a \leq t \leq x-h$, the RHS of (4) is minimized when $\phi''(t) = \chi_{[x-h-\epsilon, x-h]}$ on $[a, x-h]$. This minimum is $\epsilon^2/2$, and so (4) holds. Now, if $a \leq x-2h$, (3) will follow from the inequalities

$$h \int_a^{x-2h} \phi''(t)dt \leq \left(\int_a^x (x-t)\phi''(t)dt \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_a^{x-h} (x-h-t)\phi''(t)dt \right)^{1/2}$$

and

$$h \int_{x-2h}^{x-h} \phi''(t)dt \leq 2 \left(\int_a^x (x-t)\phi''(t)dt \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_a^{x-h} (x-h-t)\phi''(t)dt \right)^{1/2}.$$

The first of these is clear since both of $x-t$ and $x-t-h$ exceed h on $[a, x-2h]$. For the second, note that

$$h^2 \leq 2 \int_{x-h}^x (x-t)\phi''(t)dt \leq 2 \int_a^x (x-t)\phi''(t)dt$$

since ϕ'' is nondecreasing and $\phi''(x-h) = 1$. Thus the desired inequality follows from (4). A slight modification handles the case $x-2h < a$ and completes the proof of the lemma. $\square$

As previously mentioned, the proof of Theorem 3 is an adaptation of the method of [4]. For $f \geq 0$ we need to estimate

$$(5) \quad \|\nu * f\|_{L^3(\mathbb{R}^2)}^3 = \int \cdots \int \prod_{j=1}^3 \left(f(x - t_j, y - \phi(t_j)) \omega^{1/3}(t_j) \right) dt_1 dt_2 dt_3 dx dy$$

where the t_j integrals are over $[a, b]$ and the x and y integrals are over $\mathbb{R}$. The change of variables $x_j = x - t_j$ leads to

$$\int \cdots \int \prod_{j=1}^3 \left(f(x_j, y - \phi(x - x_j)) \omega^{1/3}(x - x_j) \right) dx dy dx_1 dx_2 dx_3$$

where the x_j integrals and the y integral are over $\mathbb{R}$ and the x integral is over $\bigcap_j (x_j + [a, b])$. The idea of [4] is to fix temporarily the x_j and obtain an estimate of the xy integral. Accordingly, we let $g_j(z) = f(x_j, z)$ and consider

$$S(g_1, g_2, g_3) = \int \int \prod_{j=1}^3 \left(g_j(y - \phi(x - x_j)) \omega^{1/3}(x - x_j) \right) dx dy.$$

The desired estimate is

$$(6) \quad S(g_1, g_2, g_3) \leq \frac{C \|g_1\|_{L^{3/2}(\mathbb{R})} \|g_2\|_{L^{3/2}(\mathbb{R})} \|g_3\|_{L^{3/2}(\mathbb{R})}}{|(x_2 - x_3)(x_3 - x_1)(x_1 - x_2)|^{1/3}}.$$

Combining (6) with the following estimate of Christ ([2], Proposition 2.2),

$$\begin{aligned} \int \int \int \frac{|h_1(x_1)h_2(x_2)h_3(x_3)|}{|(x_2 - x_3)(x_3 - x_1)(x_1 - x_2)|^{1/3}} dx_1 dx_2 dx_3 \\ \leq C \|h_1\|_{L^{3/2}(\mathbb{R})} \|h_2\|_{L^{3/2}(\mathbb{R})} \|h_3\|_{L^{3/2}(\mathbb{R})}, \end{aligned}$$

shows that (5) is bounded by $C \|f\|_{L^{3/2}(\mathbb{R}^2)}^3$. Inequality (6) follows from an interpolation based on the three estimates (7.1), (7.2), and (7.3), where (7.1) is

$$\begin{aligned} \int \int (g_1(y - \phi(x - x_1)) \prod_{j=2}^3 (g_j(y - \phi(x - x_j)) \omega^{1/2}(x - x_j)) dx dy \\ \leq \frac{C \|g_1\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} \|g_2\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})} \|g_3\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})}}{|x_2 - x_3|} \end{aligned}$$

and (7.2) and (7.3) are analogous. To see (7.1) note that

$$\begin{aligned} \int \int \prod_{j=2}^3 (g_j(y - \phi(x - x_j)) \omega^{1/2}(x - x_j)) dx dy \\ = \int \int g_2(y - \phi(x - x_2)) g_3(y - \phi(x - x_3)) \\ \cdot \frac{\phi'(x - x_2) \phi'(x - x_3)}{\phi(x - x_2)^{1/2} \phi(x - x_3)^{1/2} |\phi'(x - x_2) - \phi'(x - x_3)|} \\ \cdot |\phi'(x - x_2) - \phi'(x - x_3)| dx dy \\ \leq \frac{5 \|g_2\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})} \|g_3\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})}}{|x_2 - x_3|} \end{aligned}$$

by Lemma 1 and the fact that the Jacobian determinant for the one-to-one mapping $(x, y) \mapsto (y - \phi(x - x_2), y - \phi(x - x_3))$ has absolute value $|\phi'(x - x_2) - \phi'(x - x_3)|$.

§3. PROOF OF THEOREM 4

We begin with a pair of lemmas.

Lemma 2. *Suppose ψ is a real-valued continuously differentiable function on a closed interval I such that ψ and ψ' are of constant sign on I . Then*

$$\left| \int_I e^{iru} \psi(u) du \right| \leq 5 \sup \left\{ \left| \int_J \psi \right| : J \text{ is a subinterval of } I \text{ with length } \leq \frac{1}{|r|} \right\}.$$

This is Lemma 1 in [6]. The change of variable $u = \alpha(x)$ yields the next lemma.

Lemma 3. *With ψ as in Lemma 2, suppose that α is a twice continuously differentiable function on I such that α' and $\alpha'\psi' - \psi\alpha''$ are of constant sign on I . Then*

$$\left| \int_I e^{i\alpha(x)} \psi(x) dx \right| \leq 5 \sup \left\{ \left| \int_{x_0}^{x_1} \psi \right| : |\alpha(x_1) - \alpha(x_0)| \leq 1 \right\}.$$

Theorem 4 is the estimate

$$(8) \quad \left| \int_c^d e^{i(\zeta x + \eta \phi(x))} \omega(x)^{1/2} dx \right| \leq \frac{C}{|\eta|^{1/2}}.$$

If ζ and η have the same sign, then one can apply an easy argument based on the change of variable $t = \phi(x)^{1/2}$. So we will assume that ζ and η have opposite signs. Furthermore, it is sufficient to establish (8) under the additional hypothesis that if $\alpha(x) = \zeta x + \eta \phi(x)$, then α' is of constant sign on $[c, d]$. Of course we intend to apply Lemma 3 with $\psi = \omega^{1/2} = \frac{\phi'}{\phi^{1/2}}$, and so we need to check that $\alpha'\psi' - \psi\alpha''$ is of constant sign. Now

$$\alpha'\psi' - \psi\alpha'' = (\zeta + \eta\phi') \frac{\phi\phi'' - \frac{1}{2}(\phi')^2}{\phi^{3/2}} - \frac{\phi'}{\phi^{1/2}}(\eta\phi'') = -\frac{\eta}{2} \frac{(\phi')^3}{\phi^{3/2}} + \zeta \frac{\phi\phi'' - \frac{1}{2}(\phi')^2}{\phi^{3/2}}.$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} \phi'(x) &= \int_a^x (x-t)\phi^{(3)}(t) dt \\ &\leq \left(\int_a^x (x-t)^2 \phi^{(3)}(t) dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_a^x \phi^{(3)}(t) dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = \sqrt{2} \phi(x)^{1/2} \phi''(x)^{1/2}, \end{aligned}$$

the fact that ζ and η have opposite signs shows that $\alpha'\psi' - \psi\alpha''$ has constant sign. To apply Lemma 2 we assume that $[x_0, x_1] \subseteq [c, d]$ and that $|\eta(\phi(x_1) - \phi(x_0)) + \zeta(x_1 - x_0)| \doteq \epsilon \leq 1$, and we will then establish the inequality

$$(9) \quad \int_{x_0}^{x_1} \frac{\phi'(x)}{\phi(x)^{1/2}} dx \leq \frac{2}{|\eta|^{1/2}}.$$

Assume without loss of generality that $\eta > 0$, and assume for the moment that

$$(10) \quad \phi' \geq -\frac{\zeta}{\eta}$$

on $[x_0, x_1]$. (This inequality or its opposite must hold on $[x_0, x_1]$ because the sign of α' is constant on $[c, d]$.) Then

$$\eta(\phi(x_1) - \phi(x_0)) = -\zeta(x_1 - x_0) + \epsilon$$

and so

$$-\frac{\zeta}{\eta} = \frac{\eta(\phi(x_1) - \phi(x_0)) - \epsilon}{\eta(x_1 - x_0)}.$$

Thus (since ϕ' is increasing) (10) holds on $[x_0, x_1]$ if and only if

$$\phi'(x_0) \geq \frac{\phi(x_1) - \phi(x_0)}{x_1 - x_0} - \frac{\epsilon}{\eta(x_1 - x_0)},$$

which is equivalent to

$$\frac{\epsilon}{\eta} \geq \phi(x_1) - \phi(x_0) - \phi'(x_0)(x_1 - x_0) = \int_{x_0}^{x_1} (x_1 - t)\phi''(t) dt.$$

If, instead of (10), we assume

$$\phi' \leq -\frac{\zeta}{\eta}$$

on $[x_0, x_1]$, then it follows similarly that

$$\frac{\epsilon}{\eta} \geq \phi(x_1) - \phi(x_0) - \phi'(x_0)(x_1 - x_0) = \int_{x_0}^{x_1} (t - x_0)\phi''(t) dt.$$

Since ϕ'' is nondecreasing, $\int_{x_0}^{x_1} (x_1 - t)\phi''(t) dt \leq \int_{x_0}^{x_1} (t - x_0)\phi''(t) dt$, and therefore

$$\left(\int_{x_0}^{x_1} (x_1 - t)\phi''(t) dt \right)^{1/2} \leq \frac{\epsilon^{1/2}}{|\eta|^{1/2}} \leq \frac{1}{|\eta|^{1/2}}.$$

Thus (9) will follow from

$$(11) \quad \int_{x_0}^{x_1} \frac{\phi'(x)}{\phi(x)^{1/2}} dx \leq 2 \left(\int_{x_0}^{x_1} (x_1 - t)\phi''(t) dt \right)^{1/2}.$$

For $x \in (a, b)$ consider

$$\inf \left\{ \frac{\phi'(x) - \phi'(x_0)}{\left(\int_{x_0}^x (x - t)\phi''(t) dt \right)^{1/2}} : a \leq x_0 < x \right\}.$$

A computation shows that

$$\frac{d}{dx_0} \left(\frac{(\phi'(x) - \phi'(x_0))^2}{\int_{x_0}^x (x - t)\phi''(t) dt} \right)$$

has the same sign as $\int_{x_0}^x \phi''(t)((x - x_0) - 2(x - t))dt$, which is nonnegative since ϕ'' is positive and nondecreasing. Thus the infimum above is realized when $x_0 = a$, and therefore

$$(12) \quad \inf \left\{ \frac{\phi'(x) - \phi'(x_0)}{\left(\int_{x_0}^x (x - t)\phi''(t) dt \right)^{1/2}} : a \leq x_0 < x \right\} = \frac{\phi'(x)}{\phi(x)^{1/2}}.$$

On the other hand, for fixed x_0 ,

$$\frac{d}{dx} \left(\int_{x_0}^x (x - t)\phi''(t) dt \right)^{1/2} = \frac{\phi'(x) - \phi'(x_0)}{2 \left(\int_{x_0}^x (x - t)\phi''(t) dt \right)^{1/2}}.$$

Thus, by (12),

$$\int_{x_0}^{x_1} \frac{\phi'(x)}{\phi(x)^{1/2}} dx \leq 2 \int_{x_0}^{x_1} \frac{d}{dx} \left(\int_{x_0}^x (x-t)\phi''(t) dt \right)^{1/2} dx = 2 \left(\int_{x_0}^{x_1} (x-t)\phi''(t) dt \right)^{1/2}.$$

This is (11), and so the proof of Theorem 4 is complete.

REFERENCES

1. Y. Choi, *Convolution operators with affine arclength measures on plane curves*, J. Korean Math. Soc. **36** (1999), 193–207. MR 2000a:42021
2. M. Christ, *On the restriction of the Fourier transform to curves: endpoint results and the degenerate case*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **287** (1985), 223–238. MR 87b:42018
3. S.W. Drury, *Degenerate curves and harmonic analysis*, Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. **108** (1990), 89–96. MR 91h:42021
4. S. W. Drury and K. Guo, *Convolution estimates related to surfaces of half the ambient dimension*, Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. **110** (1991), 151–159. MR 92j:42012
5. W. Littman, *$L^p - L^q$ estimates for singular integral operators*, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. **23** (1971), 479–481. MR 50:10909
6. D.M. Oberlin, *Oscillatory integrals with polynomial phase*, Math. Scand. **69** (1991), 45–56. MR 93c:41048
7. ———, *Convolution with affine arclength measures in the plane*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **127** (1999), 3591–3592. MR 2000c:42016
8. ———, *Fourier restriction for affine arclength measures in the plane*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **129** (2001), 3303–3305. MR 2002g:42013
9. P. Sjölin, *Fourier multipliers and estimates of the Fourier transform carried by smooth curves in $\mathbb{R}^2$* , Studia Math. **51** (1974), 169–182. MR 52:6299

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FLORIDA STATE UNIVERSITY, TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32306-4510

E-mail address: oberlin@math.fsu.edu