ALGEBRAIC LINKS AND SKEIN RELATIONS OF THE LINKS-GOULD INVARIANT

ATSUSHI ISHII

(Communicated by Ronald A. Fintushel)

ABSTRACT. We give certain skein relations of the Links-Gould invariant. We also show that the relations lead us to recursive calculation of the invariant for algebraic links. As an application we give a formula for the Links-Gould invariant of 2-bridge links.

1. INTRODUCTION

The Links-Gould invariant is a two-variable polynomial invariant of oriented links. It was derived from a one-parameter family of four-dimensional representations of the quantum superalgebra $U_q[gl(2|1)]$. In [6], Links and Gould gave the cubic skein relation of the invariant. D. De Wit, L.H. Kauffman and J.R. Links [4] showed that the Links-Gould invariant is powerful through evaluation of some links. In particular, D. De Wit [2] showed that the invariant is complete for all prime knots of up to 10 crossings. In a previous paper [5], we studied the invariant of closed 3-braids, and tried to give an improvement on the evaluation of such a powerful invariant.

In this paper, we would like to give another step toward an improvement on its evaluation. We give certain skein relations of the invariant (Theorem 3.1). By using the relations, we describe a method for recursive calculation of the invariant for algebraic links (Theorem 4.1), and give a formula for the invariant of 2-bridge links (Proposition 5.1).

2. PRELIMINARIES

Let us recall the definition of the Links-Gould invariant. Let t_0, t_1 be complex parameters. Set $K := \mathbf{C}(t_0, t_1)$. Let V be a four-dimensional K -vector space with a basis $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^4$. A linear automorphism R of $V \otimes V$ is called an R -matrix if it is a solution of the Yang-Baxter equation:

$$(R \otimes \text{id}_V)(\text{id}_V \otimes R)(R \otimes \text{id}_V) = (\text{id}_V \otimes R)(R \otimes \text{id}_V)(\text{id}_V \otimes R).$$

Received by the editors June 9, 2003 and, in revised form, July 29, 2003.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 57M27.

Key words and phrases. Links-Gould invariant, skein relation.

If $A(e_k \otimes e_l) = \sum_{i,j} A_{kl}^{ij} e_i \otimes e_j$, then $A_{4(i-1)+j, 4(k-1)+l} = A_{kl}^{ij}$.

t_0	$t_0^{1/2}$	$t_0^{1/2}$	1
$t_0^{1/2}$	$t_0 - 1$		
. . . .	. -1		
. . . .	. . $t_0 t_1 - 1$.	. $-t_0^{1/2} t_1^{1/2}$. .	$-t_0^{1/2} t_1^{1/2} Y$. .
. . . .			. $t_1^{1/2}$. .
$t_0^{1/2}$		$t_0 - 1$	
. . . .	. . $-t_0^{1/2} t_1^{1/2}$.		Y
. . . .		. . -1 .	
. . . .			. . $t_1^{1/2}$.
. . . . 1	. . $-t_0^{1/2} t_1^{1/2} Y$.	. Y . .	Y^2
. . . .	. . . $t_1^{1/2}$		. $t_1 - 1$. .
. . . .		. . $t_1^{1/2}$	. . $t_1 - 1$.
. . . .			. . . t_1

Let B_n be the n -string braid group and let $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_{n-1}$ be the standard generators of the group. Then there is a unique homomorphism $B_n \rightarrow \text{Aut}(V^{\otimes n})$ which transforms σ_i into $\text{id}_V^{\otimes(i-1)} \otimes R \otimes \text{id}_V^{\otimes(n-i-1)}$ for $i = 1, \dots, n-1$. Denote this homomorphism by b_n^R . Let μ be the linear automorphism of V which transforms e_i into $\mu_i e_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, 4$, where $(\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3, \mu_4) = (t_0^{-1}, -t_1, -t_0^{-1}, t_1)$.

$$f(e_{i_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{i_n}) = \sum_{1 \leq j_1, \dots, j_n \leq 4} f_{i_1, \dots, i_n}^{j_1, \dots, j_n} e_{j_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{j_n}.$$
$$\begin{aligned} & \text{tr}_n^m(f)(e_{i_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{i_{n-m}}) \\ &= \sum_{1 \leq j_1, \dots, j_n \leq 4} f_{i_1, \dots, i_{n-m}, j_{n-m+1}, \dots, j_n}^{j_1, \dots, j_{n-m}, j_{n-m+1}, \dots, j_n} e_{j_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{j_{n-m}}. \end{aligned}$$

For the details we refer the reader to [8].

Let $\theta^\wedge$ denote the closure of $\theta \in B_n$. For $\theta \in B_n$, the Links-Gould invariant $LG(\theta^\wedge; t_0, t_1)$ of the link $\theta^\wedge$ is defined by the following identity:

$$\mathrm{tr}_n^{n-1} \left((\mathrm{id}_V \otimes \mu^{\otimes(n-1)})(b_R^n(\theta)) \right) = LG(\theta^\wedge; t_0, t_1) \mathrm{id}_V.$$

Note that $LG(\theta^\wedge; p^{-2}, p^2 q^2)$ ($p = q^\alpha$) coincides with the Links-Gould invariant in [4], where α is the complex parameter which represents a family of representations of $U_q[\mathfrak{gl}(2|1)]$. For the details we refer the reader to [4].

3. SKEIN RELATIONS OF THE LINKS-GOULD INVARIANT

In this section, we present some useful skein relations of the Links-Gould invariant. We first present two basic skein relations of the invariant in the following theorem. One is obtained by transforming the well-known skein relation found by [6, p. 192] so that symmetrical pairs of tangles $(T(-1/2), T(-2)), (T(0), T(\infty))$ appear. (See [1] and Section 4 for the definition of the rational tangles $T(n)$.) The remaining relation was found through computer experiments by taking a hint from the symmetrized relation.

Theorem 3.1. *The Links-Gould invariant satisfies the following skein relations.*

Skein relation (i):

$$\begin{aligned} LG \left(\text{Diagram 1} \right) + (1 - t_0 - t_1) LG \left(\text{Diagram 2} \right) \\ + (t_0 t_1 - t_0 - t_1) LG \left(\text{Diagram 3} \right) \left(\text{Diagram 4} \right) + t_0 t_1 LG \left(\text{Diagram 5} \right) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Skein relation (ii):

$$\begin{aligned} LG \left(\text{Diagram 1} \right) + (t_0 t_1 - t_0 - t_1 + 2) LG \left(\text{Diagram 2} \right) \\ - (t_0 t_1 - t_0 - t_1 + 2) LG \left(\text{Diagram 3} \right) \left(\text{Diagram 4} \right) - LG \left(\text{Diagram 5} \right) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. In [4, p. 170], the following skein relation is derived from the identity

$$(R - t_0 \mathrm{id}_V)(R - t_1 \mathrm{id}_V)(R + \mathrm{id}_V) = 0$$

(see also [6, p. 192]).

Skein relation (o):

$$\begin{aligned} LG \left(\text{Diagram 1} \right) + (1 - t_0 - t_1) LG \left(\text{Diagram 2} \right) \\ + (t_0 t_1 - t_0 - t_1) LG \left(\text{Diagram 3} \right) \left(\text{Diagram 4} \right) + t_0 t_1 LG \left(\text{Diagram 5} \right) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

By “adding” the tangle to skein relation (o) from the left, we obtain skein

relation (i). (Therefore skein relation (o) is equivalent to skein relation (i).)

By “adding” the tangle to the following skein relation from the left, we obtain skein relation (ii):

$$LG\left(\text{Diagram 1}\right) + (t_0 t_1 - t_0 - t_1 + 2) LG\left(\text{Diagram 2}\right) - (t_0 t_1 - t_0 - t_1 + 2) LG\left(\text{Diagram 3}\right) \left(\text{Diagram 4}\right) - LG\left(\text{Diagram 5}\right) = 0.$$

This equality is verified by checking the following equality with the aid of a computer:

$$b_R^2(\sigma_1^2) + (t_0 t_1 - t_0 - t_1 + 2) b_R^2(\sigma_1) - (t_0 t_1 - t_0 - t_1 + 2) b_R^2(e) - \text{tr}_3^1((\text{id}_V \otimes \text{id}_V \otimes \mu) b_R^3(\sigma_2^2 \sigma_1 \sigma_2^{-1})) = 0.$$

□

The following formulas follow from Theorem 3.1.

Corollary 3.2. *The Links-Gould invariant satisfies the following skein relations:*

$$\begin{aligned} & \bullet LG\left(\left\{\text{Diagram 6}\right\} n \text{ half twists}\right) \\ &= \left(\frac{(-1)^n}{(t_0 + 1)(t_1 + 1)} + \frac{t_0^n}{(t_0 + 1)(t_0 - t_1)} + \frac{t_1^n}{(t_1 + 1)(t_1 - t_0)}\right) LG\left(\text{Diagram 1}\right) \\ & - \left(\frac{(-1)^n(t_0 + t_1)}{(t_0 + 1)(t_1 + 1)} + \frac{t_0^n(t_1 - 1)}{(t_0 + 1)(t_0 - t_1)} + \frac{t_1^n(t_0 - 1)}{(t_1 + 1)(t_1 - t_0)}\right) LG\left(\text{Diagram 2}\right) \\ & + \left(\frac{t_0 t_1 (-1)^n}{(t_0 + 1)(t_1 + 1)} - \frac{t_1 t_0^n}{(t_0 + 1)(t_0 - t_1)} - \frac{t_0 t_1^n}{(t_1 + 1)(t_1 - t_0)}\right) LG\left(\text{Diagram 3}\right) \left(\text{Diagram 4}\right). \\ & \bullet LG\left(\left\{\text{Diagram 7}\right\} n \text{ full twists}\right) \\ &= \frac{t_0^n t_1^n - 1}{t_0 t_1 - 1} LG\left(\text{Diagram 1}\right) + \left(1 - \frac{t_0^n t_1^n - 1}{t_0 t_1 - 1}\right) LG\left(\text{Diagram 3}\right) \left(\text{Diagram 4}\right) \\ & \quad + \frac{2(t_0 - 1)(t_1 - 1)}{t_0 t_1 - 1} \left(n - \frac{t_0^n t_1^n - 1}{t_0 t_1 - 1}\right) LG\left(\text{Diagram 8}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. From skein relation (o), we obtain the first skein relation by induction. We obtain the following skein relation by subtracting skein relation (ii) from skein relation (i) after a half-rotation around a diagonal axis of tangles:

FIGURE 1.

$$\begin{aligned}
 LG\left(\begin{array}{c} \curvearrowright \\ \curvearrowleft \end{array}\right) - (t_0 t_1 + 1) LG\left(\begin{array}{c} \curvearrowright \\ \curvearrowright \end{array}\right) \left(\begin{array}{c} \curvearrowleft \\ \curvearrowleft \end{array}\right) + t_0 t_1 LG\left(\begin{array}{c} \curvearrowright \\ \curvearrowright \end{array}\right) \\
 + 2(t_0 - 1)(t_1 - 1) LG\left(\begin{array}{c} \curvearrowright \\ \curvearrowleft \end{array}\right) = 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

From this skein relation, we obtain the second skein relation by induction. $\square$

We remark that the first skein relation in Corollary 3.2 yields Proposition 1 of [6, p. 193].

To conclude this section, we summarize some of the fundamental properties of the Links-Gould invariant.

Proposition 3.3. *The Links-Gould invariant has the following properties.*

- (1) $LG(\bigcirc) = 1$ for the trivial knot $\bigcirc$.
- (2) The Links-Gould invariant of a split link vanishes.
- (3) $LG(L \# L') = LG(L)LG(L')$, where $\#$ denotes the connected sum of L and L' .
- (4) $LG(L^*; t_0, t_1) = LG(L; t_0^{-1}, t_1^{-1})$, where L^* denotes the reflection of L .
- (5) The Links-Gould invariant does not detect inversion, which implies the symmetry $LG(L; t_0, t_1) = LG(L; t_1, t_0)$.

Note that the connected sum of oriented links depends upon which components are used to produce the connected sum. The property (3) is valid whatever components are selected, which easily follows through the evaluation of the (1,1)-tangle form [4]. For the properties (2),(4),(5), we refer the reader to [4, 3].

We remark that the property (2) can be deduced from skein relations (i),(ii) as follows. Let $L = L_1 \sqcup L_2$ be the split union of links L_1 and L_2 . Let us apply the second skein relation in Corollary 3.2 to the tangle determined by the dotted circle in Figure 1. Then we have

$$\frac{2(t_0 - 1)(t_1 - 1)}{t_0 t_1 - 1} \left(n - \frac{t_0^n t_1^n - 1}{t_0 t_1 - 1} \right) LG(L) = 0.$$

Thus the Links-Gould invariant of a split link vanishes.

4. RECURSIVE CALCULATIONS OF THE LINKS-GOULD INVARIANT FOR ALGEBRAIC LINKS

Let us recall the definition of algebraic links. For tangles T_1 and T_2 , we define new tangles $T_1 + T_2$ and $T_1 \cdot T_2$, as in Figure 2. Here, we remark that the “multiplication” operation involves reflection of the first operand through a vertical plane containing its principal diagonal (NW-SE axis). We define tangles $T(1)$, $T(-1)$, $T(0)$

FIGURE 2.

FIGURE 3.

FIGURE 4.

($= T(-1) + T(1)$), $T(2)$ ($= T(1) + T(1)$), $T(\infty)$ ($= T(0) \cdot T(0)$) and $T(1/2)$ ($= T(2) \cdot T(0)$) as in Figure 3. By an *algebraic tangle*, we mean a tangle obtained from the tangles $T(1)$ and $T(-1)$ by applying the above operations. An *algebraic link* is a link obtained from an algebraic tangle by closing off the top ends and the bottom ends respectively. For the details we refer the reader to [1].

We define certain skein modules by using skein relations (i),(ii). (For basic facts concerning skein modules, see [7].) Let $\mathcal{L}$ be the set of all oriented links in S^3 considered up to ambient isotopy. Let $\mathcal{L}_A$ be the subset of $\mathcal{L}$ which consists of all oriented algebraic links. We fix four points on ∂B^3 . Let $\mathcal{T}_A$ be the set of all oriented algebraic tangles in B^3 whose ends are the four points. Let $K\mathcal{L}$, $K\mathcal{L}_A$ and $K\mathcal{T}_A$ be the free K -module generated by $\mathcal{L}$, $\mathcal{L}_A$ and $\mathcal{T}_A$ respectively. We define the skein modules $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{L}}$, $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{L}_A}^{\mathcal{L}}$ and $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{T}_A}^{\mathcal{T}}$ as the quotient of $K\mathcal{L}$, $K\mathcal{L}_A$ and $K\mathcal{T}_A$ by skein relations (i),(ii) respectively.

Put $\mathcal{G} = \{T(-1), T(0), T(1), T(\infty), T(1/2)\}$. Let $\vec{\mathcal{G}}$ be the set of the oriented tangles obtained from tangles in $\mathcal{G}$ by giving all possible orientations. Then we have the following theorem.

Theorem 4.1. *The skein module $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{T}_A}^{\mathcal{T}}$ is generated by the elements of $\vec{\mathcal{G}}$. The skein module $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{L}_A}^{\mathcal{L}}$ is generated by the trivial knot. In particular, we can evaluate the Links-Gould invariant of algebraic links recursively.*

Proof. Any link obtained from a tangle in $\mathcal{G}$ by closing off the ends is the trivial knot or a trivial link. Skein relations (i),(ii) imply that the Links-Gould invariant of a trivial link vanishes, as remarked at the end of previous section. Thus the first statement implies the rest.

Let us show the statement. It is easily checked that any algebraic tangle is obtained from the tangles $T(1)$ and $T(-1)$ by applying the two operations illustrated in Figure 4. Therefore it is enough to check that $LG(T_1 + T_2)$ and $LG(T_1 + \sim T_2)$,

where T_1 and T_2 run over all compatible pairs of oriented tangles in $\vec{\mathcal{G}}$, are K -linear combinations of $LG(T)$ ($T \in \vec{\mathcal{G}}$) using skein relations (i),(ii).

If $T_1 \tilde{+} T_2$ is not trivial, then it is equivalent to $T(1) \tilde{+} T(1) \tilde{+} \cdots \tilde{+} T(1)$ or $T(-1) \tilde{+} T(-1) \tilde{+} \cdots \tilde{+} T(-1)$. Therefore, by using Corollary 3.2, we can reduce $LG(T_1 \tilde{+} T_2)$ to a K -linear combination of $LG(T)$ ($T \in \vec{\mathcal{G}}$).

By replacing the tangle $T(1/2)$ with the tangle $T(2)$, we obtain $\mathcal{G}'$ and $\vec{\mathcal{G}}'$ from $\mathcal{G}$ and $\vec{\mathcal{G}}$ respectively. By skein relations (o),(i), it is enough to show that $LG(T'_1 + T'_2)$ ($T'_1, T'_2 \in \vec{\mathcal{G}}'$) is a K -linear combination of $LG(T')$ ($T' \in \vec{\mathcal{G}}'$), which follows from the previous case through $\pi/2$ -rotation. $\square$

Problem. Is the skein module $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{L}}$ generated by the trivial knot?

5. A FORMULA FOR THE LINKS-GOULD INVARIANT OF 2-BRIDGE LINKS

A 2-bridge link is an algebraic link which is obtained from the tangles $T(1)$ and $T(-1)$ using only the “multiplication” operation. In this section we represent an oriented 2-bridge link as follows. Let S_1 and S_2 be the generators of 3-string braid group as shown in Figure 5. Let $D(b_1, b_2, \dots, b_m)$ be the oriented 2-bridge link as shown in Figure 6. We remark that any 2-bridge link can be put in this form.

FIGURE 5.

FIGURE 6.

Let $LG(b_1, b_2, \dots, b_m)$ be the Links-Gould invariant of $D(b_1, b_2, \dots, b_m)$.

Proposition 5.1.

$$LG(b_1, b_2, \dots, b_m) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} A((-1)^m b_m) \cdots A(b_2) A(-b_1) \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

where

$$A(n) = \begin{bmatrix} a_1(n+1) & a_2(n+1) & a_3(n+1) \\ a_1(n) & a_2(n) & a_3(n) \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$a_1(n) = \frac{t_0^n t_1^n - 1}{t_0 t_1 - 1},$$

$$\begin{aligned} a_2(n) &= \frac{2n(t_0-1)(t_1-1)}{t_0t_1-1} - a_1(n) \left(\frac{(t_0t_1+1)(t_0-1)(t_1-1)}{t_0t_1-1} + 1 \right), \\ a_3(n) &= (t_0-1)(t_1-1)a_1(n) + 1. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Set $\tilde{D}(b_1, b_2, \dots, b_m) = D(-b_1, b_2, \dots, (-1)^m b_m)$. By skein relation (ii) and the second skein relation in Corollary 3.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & LG \left(\left\{ \text{diagram of } n \text{ full twists} \right\} \right) \\ &= a_1(n) LG \left(\text{diagram of a crossing} \right) + a_2(n) LG \left(\text{diagram of two crossings} \right) + a_3(n) LG \left(\text{diagram of a loop} \right) LG \left(\text{diagram of a loop} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Let us apply the skein relation to the crossings which correspond to $S_1^{-2b_m}$ or $S_2^{2b_m}$ according as m is even or odd. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} LG(\tilde{D}(b_1, b_2, \dots, b_m)) &= a_1(b_m) LG(\tilde{D}(b_1, b_2, \dots, b_{m-2}, b_{m-1} + 1)) \\ &\quad + a_2(b_m) LG(\tilde{D}(b_1, b_2, \dots, b_{m-2}, b_{m-1})) \\ &\quad + a_3(b_m) LG(\tilde{D}(b_1, b_2, \dots, b_{m-3}, b_{m-2})), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} LG(\tilde{D}(b_1, b_2)) &= a_1(b_2) LG(\tilde{D}(b_1 + 1)) + a_2(b_2) LG(\tilde{D}(b_1)) + a_3(b_2) LG(\bigcirc), \\ LG(\tilde{D}(b_1)) &= a_1(b_1) LG(\bigcirc) + a_2(b_1) LG(\bigcirc) + a_3(b_1) LG(\bigcirc\bigcirc), \end{aligned}$$

where $\bigcirc\bigcirc$ denotes a 2-component trivial link. Fix $b_1, b_2, \dots, b_m$. Put

$$\begin{aligned} X_n &= LG(\tilde{D}(b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n + 1)), \\ Y_n &= LG(\tilde{D}(b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n)). \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} X_n &= a_1(b_n + 1)X_{n-1} + a_2(b_n + 1)Y_{n-1} + a_3(b_n + 1)Y_{n-2}, \\ Y_n &= a_1(b_n)X_{n-1} + a_2(b_n)Y_{n-1} + a_3(b_n)Y_{n-2}, \\ X_2 &= a_1(b_2 + 1)X_1 + a_2(b_2 + 1)Y_1 + a_3(b_2 + 1)1, \\ Y_2 &= a_1(b_2)X_1 + a_2(b_2)Y_1 + a_3(b_2)1, \\ X_1 &= a_1(b_1 + 1)1 + a_2(b_1 + 1)1 + a_3(b_1 + 1)0, \\ Y_1 &= a_1(b_1)1 + a_2(b_1)1 + a_3(b_1)0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{bmatrix} X_n \\ Y_n \\ Y_{n-1} \end{bmatrix} = A(b_n) \begin{bmatrix} X_{n-1} \\ Y_{n-1} \\ Y_{n-2} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \begin{bmatrix} X_2 \\ Y_2 \\ Y_1 \end{bmatrix} = A(b_2)A(b_1) \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

We remark that

$$LG(\tilde{D}(b_1, b_2, \dots, b_m)) = Y_m = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} X_m \\ Y_m \\ Y_{m-1} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Therefore

$$LG(b_1, b_2, \dots, b_m) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} A((-1)^m b_m) \cdots A(b_2) A(-b_1) \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

□

To conclude this paper, we give some examples.

Example 5.2.

$$\begin{aligned} LG(3_1) &= LG(-1, 1) \\ &= -t_0^2 t_1 - t_0 t_1^2 + t_0^2 + 2t_0 t_1 + t_1^2 - t_0 - t_1 + 1, \\ LG(4_1) &= LG(1, 1) \\ &= 2t_0 t_1 - 3t_0 - 3t_1 + \frac{t_0}{t_1} + \frac{t_1}{t_0} - \frac{3}{t_0} - \frac{3}{t_1} + \frac{2}{t_0 t_1} + 7, \\ LG(5_1) &= LG(-1, 1, -1, 1) \\ &= -t_0^4 t_1 - t_0^3 t_1^2 - t_0^2 t_1^3 - t_0 t_1^4 + t_0^4 + 2t_0^3 t_1 + 2t_0^2 t_1^2 + 2t_0 t_1^3 + t_1^4 \\ &\quad - t_0^3 - 2t_0^2 t_1 - 2t_0 t_1^2 - t_1^3 + t_0^2 + 2t_0 t_1 + t_1^2 - t_0 - t_1 + 1, \\ LG(5_2) &= LG(-2, 1) \\ &= -t_0^3 t_1^2 - t_0^2 t_1^3 + t_0^3 t_1 + 4t_0^2 t_1^2 + t_0 t_1^3 - 6t_0^2 t_1 - 6t_0 t_1^2 \\ &\quad + 3t_0^2 + 10t_0 t_1 + 3t_1^2 - 5t_0 - 5t_1 + 3. \end{aligned}$$

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author would like to thank Taizo Kanenobu, Akio Kawauchi, Jun Murakami, and Makoto Sakuma for their useful advice and encouragement.

REFERENCES

1. J.H. Conway, *An enumeration of knots and links, and some of their algebraic properties*, Computational Problems in Abstract Algebra (Proc. Conf., Oxford, 1967), Pergamon Press, Oxford, 1970, pp. 329–350. MR 41:2661
2. D. De Wit, *Automatic evaluation of the Links-Gould invariant for all prime knots of up to 10 crossings*, J. Knot Theory Ramifications. **9** (2000), no.3, 311–339. MR 2001d:57015
3. D. De Wit, *An infinite suite of Links-Gould invariants*, J. Knot Theory Ramifications. **10** (2001), no.1, 37–62. MR 2002e:57015
4. D. De Wit, L.H. Kauffman and J.R. Links, *On the Links-Gould invariant of links*, J. Knot Theory Ramifications. **8** (1999), no.2, 165–199. MR 2000j:57020
5. A. Ishii, *The Links-Gould invariant of closed 3-braids*, J. Knot Theory Ramifications. **13** (2004), no.1, 41–56.
6. J.R. Links and M.D. Gould, *Two variable link polynomials from quantum supergroups*, Lett. Math. Phys. **26** (1992), no.3, 187–198. MR 93k:57018
7. J.H. Przytycki, *Skein modules of 3-manifolds*, Bull. Ac. Pol. Math. **39** (1991), 91–100. MR 94g:57011
8. V.G. Turaev, *The Yang-Baxter equation and invariants of links*, Invent. Math. **92** (1988), no.3, 527–553. MR 89e:57003

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, GRADUATE SCHOOL OF SCIENCE, OSAKA UNIVERSITY, MACHIKANEYAMA 1-16, TOYONAKA, OSAKA 560-0043, JAPAN

E-mail address: aishii@cr.math.sci.osaka-u.ac.jp