PROJECTIVE SURFACES WITH MANY SKEW LINES

SLAWOMIR RAMS

(Communicated by Michael Stillman)

ABSTRACT. We give an example of a smooth surface $S_d \subset \mathbb{P}_3(\mathbb{C})$ of degree d that contains $d \cdot (d - 2) + 2$ pairwise disjoint lines. In particular, our example shows that the degree in Miyaoka's bound is sharp.

Up to now the maximal number of pairwise disjoint lines on a smooth surface of degree $d \geq 5$ in $\mathbb{P}_3(\mathbb{C})$ was unknown. According to [4, p. 162] this number does not exceed

$$2 \cdot d \cdot (d - 2).$$

Quartic surfaces with 16 skew lines are studied in [1], but it is not clear to what extent Miyaoka's bound is sharp for $d \geq 5$. Here we give an example of a smooth surface $S_d \subset \mathbb{P}_3(\mathbb{C})$ of degree d that contains

$$d \cdot (d - 2) + 2$$

pairwise disjoint lines. All lines on S_d form the following configuration:

Our example is inspired by the classical Klein quartic curve.

The equation of a quintic with 19 skew lines is given in [5, Example 2.3]. For $d \geq 6$ the surface S_d contains the largest number of skew lines found on a smooth surface of degree d in $\mathbb{P}_3(\mathbb{C})$. Let us mention that the Fermat surface F_d , i.e. the surface with $3d^2$ lines (the largest number known so far for $d \neq 4, 6, 8, 12, 20$), contains no family of $3d$ pairwise disjoint lines. The latter results from the description of configuration of lines on F_d that can be found in [3].

Example. We define S_d to be the surface given by the polynomial

$$s_d := x_0^{d-1} \cdot x_1 + x_1^{d-1} \cdot x_2 + x_2^{d-1} \cdot x_3 + x_3^{d-1} \cdot x_0,$$

Received by the editors April 6, 2002 and, in revised form, August 27, 2003.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 14J25; Secondary 14J70.

Key words and phrases. Miyaoka's bound, skew lines.

Partially supported by DFG contract BA 423/8-1 and the Foundation for Polish Science.

©2004 American Mathematical Society
 Reverts to public domain 28 years from publication

where $d \geq 6$. One can easily check that S_d is smooth. Let L_1 (resp. L_2) be the line $x_0 = x_2 = 0$ (resp. $x_1 = x_3 = 0$). We claim that

- (a) S_d contains $d \cdot (d - 2) + 2$ skew lines, each of which meets L_1 and L_2 ,
- (b) the only lines on S_d are L_1 , L_2 and the above-mentioned skew lines.

Proof of (a). Fix $r_0, r_1 \in \mathbb{C}$. The line $(r_0\lambda_0 : r_1\lambda_1 : \lambda_0 : \lambda_1)$ lies on S_d iff the polynomial

$$(r_0^{d-1}r_1 + 1)\lambda_0^{d-1}\lambda_1 + (r_1^{d-1} + r_0)\lambda_0\lambda_1^{d-1}$$

vanishes identically. So the parameters r_0, r_1 satisfy the conditions

$$(1) \quad r_0 = (-r_1^{d-1}) \quad \text{and} \quad r_1^{(d-1)^2+1} = (-1)^d.$$

Let $L(r_1)$ be the line on S_d that corresponds to $r_0 = (-r_1^{d-1})$. We are to show that, for $r_1 \neq r'_1$, the lines $L(r_1), L(r'_1)$ are disjoint. Suppose that $L(r_1), L(r'_1)$ meet in the point $(y_0 : y_1 : y_2 : y_3)$. If $y_3 \neq 0$, then the parametrization of the lines in question yields $r_1 = r'_1$ and they coincide. Otherwise we have $y_2 \neq 0$; so we get $r_1^{d-1} = (r'_1)^{d-1}$. By (1) we have $r_1 = r'_1$. $\square$

Proof of (b). We claim that L_2 is the only line on S_d that does not meet L_1 . Indeed, let C_1 (resp. C_2) be the curve residual to the line L_1 in the intersection of S_d with the plane $x_0 = 0$ (resp. $x_2 = 0$). We have the parametrizations

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_1 : \mathbb{C} \ni a_1 &\rightarrow (0 : a_1 : 1 : -a_1^{d-1}) \in C_1 \setminus \{(0 : 0 : 0 : 1)\}, \\ \psi_2 : \mathbb{C} \ni a_2 &\rightarrow (1 : -a_2^{d-1} : 0 : a_2) \in C_2 \setminus \{(0 : 1 : 0 : 0)\}. \end{aligned}$$

The line through the points $\psi_1(a_1), \psi_2(a_2)$ lies on S_d iff all coefficients of the polynomial $s_d(\lambda_1, \lambda_0 a_1 - \lambda_1 a_2^{d-1}, \lambda_0, \lambda_1 a_2 - \lambda_0 a_1^{d-1})$ vanish. Write down the coefficients of the terms $\lambda_0^{d-1}\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_0^{d-4}\lambda_1^4$ to see that a_1, a_2 satisfy the conditions

$$(2) \quad -(d-1)a_1^{d-2}a_2^{d-1} + a_2 + (-1)^{d-1}a_1^{(d-1)^2} = 0,$$

$$(3) \quad \frac{d-2}{2}a_1^{d-3}a_2^{2(d-1)} = (-1)^{d-1}a_1^{(d-1)(d-2)}a_2,$$

$$(4) \quad \frac{d-3}{3}a_1^{d-4}a_2^{3(d-1)} = (-1)^{d-1}a_1^{(d-1)(d-3)}a_2^2,$$

$$(5) \quad \frac{d-4}{4}a_1^{d-5}a_2^{4(d-1)} = (-1)^{d-1}a_1^{(d-1)(d-4)}a_2^3.$$

By the equation (2) we have $a_1 = 0$ iff $a_2 = 0$. This solution corresponds to the line L_2 . Dividing (3) by (4) and (4) by (5) one gets that $a_1 = a_2 = 0$ is the unique solution. Thus L_2 is the only line on S_d that does not meet L_1 .

The symmetry $(x_0 : x_1 : x_2 : x_3) \rightarrow (x_3 : x_0 : x_1 : x_2)$ interchanges the lines L_1, L_2 . So the other lines on S_d meet both L_1 and L_2 . One can check (see the proof of (a)) that there are precisely $d \cdot (d - 2) + 2$ such lines. $\square$

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to thank Prof. W. Barth for helpful discussions.

REFERENCES

- [1] W. Barth, I. Nieto: Abelian surfaces of type (1,3) and quartic surfaces with 16 skew lines. *J. Alg. Geom.* **3** (1994), 173–222. MR1257320 (95e:14033)
- [2] T. Bauer: Quartic surfaces with 16 skew conics. *J. Reine. Angew. Math.* **464** (1995), 207–217. MR1340342 (96j:14024)

- [3] L. Caporaso, J. Harris, B. Mazur: How many rational points can a curve have? in The Moduli Space of Curves (R. Dijkgraaf, C. Faber, G. van der Geer, eds.), Progress in Math. 129, Birkhäuser Verlag, 1995, pp. 13–31. MR1363052 (97d:11099)
- [4] Y. Miyaoka: The Maximal Number of Quotient Singularities on Surfaces with Given Numerical Invariants, *Math. Ann.* **268** (1984) , 159–171. MR0744605 (85j:14060)
- [5] S. Rams: Three-divisible families of skew lines on a smooth projective quintic, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **354** (2002), 2359–2367. MR1885656 (2003b:14064)

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS UJ, UL. REYMONTA 4, 30-059 KRAKÓW, POLAND

Current address: Mathematisches Institut, FAU Erlangen-Nürnberg, Bismarckstrasse 1 1/2, D-91054 Erlangen, Germany

E-mail address: `rams@mi.uni-erlangen.de`, `rams@im.uj.edu.pl`