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#### Abstract

We consider algebras over a field $K$ presented by generators $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$ and subject to $\binom{n}{2}$ square-free relations of the form $x_{i} x_{j}=x_{k} x_{l}$ with every monomial $x_{i} x_{j}, i \neq j$, appearing in one of the relations. It is shown that for $n>1$ the Gelfand-Kirillov dimension of such an algebra is at least two if the algebra satisfies the so-called cyclic condition. It is known that this dimension is an integer not exceeding $n$. For $n \geq 4$, we construct a family of examples of Gelfand-Kirillov dimension two. We prove that an algebra with the cyclic condition with generators $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$ has Gelfand-Kirillov dimension $n$ if and only if it is of $I$-type, and this occurs if and only if the multiplicative submonoid generated by $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$ is cancellative.


## 1. Introduction

In 44 Gateva-Ivanova and Van den Bergh studied the structure of monoids of left $I$-type and their algebras. These monoids originate from the work of Tate and Van den Bergh on homological properties of Sklyanin algebras [8. It was shown in (4) that a monoid of left $I$-type has a presentation with generators $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$ and $\binom{n}{2}$ relations of the form $x_{i} x_{j}=x_{k} x_{l}$ such that every monomial $x_{i} x_{j}$ with $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ appears at most once in one of the relations. Moreover, such monoids yield settheoretical solutions of the quantum Yang-Baxter equation, and the corresponding monoid algebras share many properties with commutative polynomial algebras. In particular, they are noetherian domains of finite global dimension, satisfy a polynomial identity, are Koszul, Auslander-Gorenstein, Cohen-Macaulay and have Gelfand-Kirillov dimension $n$. In [6 the monoids of left $I$-type are characterized as natural submonoids of semidirect products of the free abelian monoid of rank $n$ and the symmetric group of degree $n$. As a consequence, it is proved that a monoid is of left $I$-type if and only if it is of right $I$-type, [6, Corollary 2.3].

A monoid $S$ is said to be of skew type if it has a presentation with $n \geq 2$ generators $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$ and $\binom{n}{2}$ square-free relations of the form $x_{i} x_{j}=x_{k} x_{l}$ with every monomial $x_{i} x_{j}, i \neq j$, appearing in one of the relations (see [3], where a systematic study of these monoids and their algebras was initiated). Recall that

[^0]a monoid $S=\left\langle x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\rangle$ of skew type is said to be right (respectively left) nondegenerate if for every $1 \leq i, k \leq n$ there exist $1 \leq j, l \leq n$ so that $x_{i} x_{j}=x_{k} x_{l}$ ( $x_{l} x_{k}=x_{j} x_{i}$ respectively). Furthermore $S$ is said to satisfy the cyclic condition if for every relation $x_{i} x_{j}=x_{k} x_{l}$ one also has a relation $x_{i} x_{k}=x_{r} x_{l}$ for some $r$ (see [3, Lemma 2.1]). The latter is a powerful combinatorial condition that has already proved crucial in the study of monoids of $I$-type, their algebras and corresponding torsion-free groups, 4, 6]. The cyclic condition is symmetric, [3, Proposition 2.1]. Hence it is easy to see that it implies left and right non-degeneracy. It was shown in [3] that for monoids $S$ satisfying the cyclic condition we have $1 \leq \operatorname{GK}(K[S]) \leq n$, where $\mathrm{GK}(K[S])$ denotes the Gelfand-Kirillov dimension of the monoid algebra $K[S]$. Furthermore there exist non-degenerate monoids $S$ of skew type on $4^{m}$ generators (for any positive $m$ ) so that $\operatorname{GK}(K[S])=1$, 1 .

In this paper we prove that $\mathrm{GK}(K[S]) \geq 2$ for any monoid $S$ of skew type that satisfies the cyclic condition. For any $n \geq 4$ we construct examples of such monoids on $n$ generators with $\operatorname{GK}(K[S])=2$. Furthermore we show that $\operatorname{GK}(K[S])=n$ if and only if $S$ is of $I$-type, and this occurs if and only if $S$ is cancellative.

## 2. The Gelfand-Kirillov dimension

Let $S=\left\langle x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\rangle$ be a monoid of skew type that satisfies the cyclic condition.

Let $F=\left\langle y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots, y_{n}\right\rangle$ be the free monoid of rank $n$ and let $\pi: F \rightarrow S$ be the natural epimorphism, that is $\pi\left(y_{i}\right)=x_{i}$ for $i=1, \ldots, n$. Let $x \in S$. We say that a word $w \in F$ represents $x$ if $\pi(w)=x$.

It is known that two words $w, w^{\prime} \in F$ represent the same element $x \in S$ if and only if there exists a finite sequence of words

$$
w=w_{0}, w_{1}, w_{2}, \ldots, w_{m}=w^{\prime}
$$

such that $w_{i}$ is obtained from $w_{i-1}(i=1, \ldots, m)$ by substituting a subword $y_{j} y_{k}$ by $y_{p} y_{q}$, where $x_{j} x_{k}=x_{p} x_{q}$ is a defining relation of $S$. In this case, we say that $w_{i}$ is obtained from $w_{i-1}$ by an $S$-relation.

Lemma 2.1. If $x_{i_{1}} x_{j_{1}}=x_{j_{2}} x_{i_{2}}$ is a defining relation of $S$, then there exist positive integers $r, s$ such that $r+s \leq n$ and the submonoid $\left\langle x_{i_{1}}^{r}, x_{j_{1}}^{s}\right\rangle$ is free abelian of rank 2.

Proof. By [3, Proposition 2.1], since $S$ satisfies the cyclic condition, there exist positive integers $r, s$ and $s+r$ different integers

$$
i_{1}, i_{2}, \ldots, i_{s}, j_{1}, j_{2}, \ldots, j_{r} \in\{1,2, \ldots, n\}
$$

such that

$$
\begin{array}{cccc}
x_{i_{1}} x_{j_{1}}=x_{j_{2}} x_{i_{2}}, & x_{i_{2}} x_{j_{1}}=x_{j_{2}} x_{i_{3}}, & \ldots, & x_{i_{s}} x_{j_{1}}=x_{j_{2}} x_{i_{1}} \\
x_{i_{1}} x_{j_{2}}=x_{j_{3}} x_{i_{2}}, & x_{i_{2}} x_{j_{2}}=x_{j_{3}} x_{i_{3}}, & \ldots, & x_{i_{s}} x_{j_{2}}=x_{j_{3}} x_{i_{1}} \\
\vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\
x_{i_{1}} x_{j_{r}}=x_{j_{1}} x_{i_{2}}, & x_{i_{2}} x_{j_{r}}=x_{j_{1}} x_{i_{3}}, & \ldots, & x_{i_{s}} x_{j_{r}}=x_{j_{1}} x_{i_{1}}
\end{array}
$$

From the relations in the first column we have

$$
x_{i_{1}}^{r} x_{j_{1}}=x_{j_{1}} x_{i_{2}}^{r}
$$

Similarly, from the other columns, we obtain

$$
x_{i_{2}}^{r} x_{j_{1}}=x_{j_{1}} x_{i_{3}}^{r}, \quad \ldots, \quad x_{i_{s}}^{r} x_{j_{1}}=x_{j_{1}} x_{i_{1}}^{r} .
$$

Hence $x_{i_{1}}^{r} x_{j_{1}}^{s}=x_{j_{1}}^{s} x_{i_{1}}^{r}$, and thus the submonoid $\left\langle x_{i_{1}}^{r}, x_{j_{1}}^{s}\right\rangle$ is abelian. Note that the only words that represent $x_{i_{1}}^{m}$ and $x_{j_{1}}^{m}$ are $y_{i_{1}}^{m}$ and $y_{j_{1}}^{m}$ respectively. Let $p, q$ be positive integers and $x=x_{i_{1}}^{r p} x_{j_{1}}^{s q}$. We claim that any word $w \in F$ that represents $x$ is of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
w=y_{i_{l_{1}}}^{n_{1}} y_{j_{k_{1}}}^{m_{1}} y_{i_{l_{2}}}^{n_{2}} y_{j_{k_{2}}}^{m_{2}} \ldots y_{i_{i_{g-1}}}^{n_{g-1}} y_{j_{k_{g-1}}}^{m_{g-1}} y_{i_{l_{g}}}^{n_{g}}, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $g$ is an integer greater than $1 ; n_{1}, n_{g}$ are non-negative integers; $l_{1}=l_{g}=1$, and $n_{2}, n_{3}, \ldots, n_{g-1}, m_{1}, m_{2}, \ldots, m_{g-1}$ are positive integers such that
(i) $n_{1}+k_{1} \equiv k_{g-1}-n_{g} \equiv 1(\bmod r)$ and $l_{t+1}-l_{t} \equiv m_{t}(\bmod s)$ for all $1 \leq t \leq g-1 ;$
(ii) if $g>2$, then $k_{u}-k_{u+1} \equiv n_{u+1}(\bmod r)$ for all $1 \leq u \leq g-2$;
(iii) $n_{1}+n_{2}+\cdots+n_{g}=r p$ and $m_{1}+m_{2}+\cdots+m_{g-1}=s q$.

Note that the word $y_{i_{1}}^{r p} y_{j_{1}}^{s q}$ represents $x$ and satisfies conditions $(i),(i i)$ and (iii). Therefore, in order to prove the claim, it is sufficient to see that given any word $w$ of the form (11) that satisfies conditions (i), (ii) and (iii), all the words obtained from $w$ by an $S$-relation also satisfy conditions (i), (ii) and (iii). Suppose that $g=2$. In this case

$$
w=y_{i_{1}}^{n_{1}} y_{j_{k_{1}}}^{m_{1}} y_{i_{1}}^{n_{2}}
$$

with $m_{1}=s q>0, n_{1}+n_{2}=r p$ and $n_{1}+k_{1} \equiv k_{1}-n_{2} \equiv 1(\bmod r)$. If $n_{1}>0$, we can obtain by an $S$-relation (the relation $x_{i_{1}} x_{j_{k_{1}}}=x_{j_{k_{1}+1}} x_{i_{2}}$ ), the word

$$
w^{\prime}=y_{i_{1}}^{n_{1}-1} y_{j_{k_{1}+1}} y_{i_{2}} y_{j_{k_{1}}}^{m_{1}-1} y_{i_{1}}^{n_{2}}
$$

where $k_{1}+1$ is taken modulo $r$ in the set $\{1, \ldots, r\}$, and it is easy to see that $w^{\prime}$ satisfies conditions $(i),(i i)$ and $(i i i)$. If $n_{2}>0$, we can obtain by an $S$-relation the word

$$
w^{\prime}=y_{i_{1}}^{n_{1}} y_{j_{k_{1}}}^{m_{1}-1} y_{i_{s}} y_{j_{k_{1}-1}} y_{i_{1}}^{n_{2}-1}
$$

where $k_{1}-1$ is taken modulo $r$ in the set $\{1, \ldots, r\}$, and it is easy to see that $w^{\prime}$ satisfies the conditions $(i),(i i)$ and (iii). Similarly, it is straightforward to prove that, if $g>2$, all the words $w^{\prime}$ obtained from $w$ by an $S$-relation satisfy conditions $(i),(i i)$ and (iii). Now condition (iii) implies that the submonoid $\left\langle x_{i_{1}}^{r}, x_{j_{1}}^{s}\right\rangle$ is free abelian of rank 2 .

As a direct consequence of Lemma 2.1 we get the following result.
Corollary 2.2. Let $S=\left\langle x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\rangle$ be a monoid of skew type that satisfies the cyclic condition. Let $m=(n-1)$ !. Then the submonoid $A=\left\langle x_{1}^{m}, \ldots, x_{n}^{m}\right\rangle$ is commutative.

Theorem 2.3. Let $S=\left\langle x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\rangle$ (with $n>1$ ) be a monoid of skew type that satisfies the cyclic condition. Let $A=\left\langle x_{1}^{m}, \ldots, x_{n}^{m}\right\rangle$, where $m=(n-1)$ !. If $K$ is a field, then the Gelfand-Kirillov dimension of the monoid algebra $K[S]$ is an integer such that $2 \leq \operatorname{GK}(K[S])=\mathrm{GK}(K[A]) \leq n$. Moreover, $\operatorname{GK}(K[S])$ is equal to the maximal rank $k$ of a free abelian submonoid of the form $\left\langle x_{i_{1}}^{m}, \ldots, x_{i_{k}}^{m}\right\rangle \subseteq S$.

Proof. By [3, Theorem 4.5] and the comment after [3, Proposition 2.4], $K[S]$ is a finite left and right module over the commutative subring $K[A]$, where $A=$ $\left\langle x_{1}^{p}, \ldots, x_{n}^{p}\right\rangle$ for some $p \geq 1$. The proof actually shows that we may take $p=(n-1)$ !. Hence $\operatorname{GK}(K[S])=\operatorname{GK}(K[A]) \leq n$ and it is an integer. By Lemma 2.1 we have that $2 \leq \operatorname{GK}(K[S])$. Let $P$ be a prime ideal of $K[A]$. Then the image $A_{P}$ of
$A$ in $K[A] / P$ is a 0 -cancellative monoid. Let $C=\left\langle z_{i_{1}}^{m}, \ldots, z_{i_{r}}^{m}\right\rangle \subseteq A_{P}$ be a free abelian submonoid of maximal rank that is generated by certain images $z_{i}^{m}$ of the elements $x_{i}^{m}$. Then $B=\left\langle x_{i_{1}}^{m}, \ldots, x_{i_{r}}^{m}\right\rangle \subseteq A$ is free abelian of rank $r$. It is easy to see that the group $G_{P}$ of quotients of the cancellative semigroup of nonzero elements of $A_{P}$ is of rank $r$, whence $\mathrm{GK}(K[A] / P) \leq \operatorname{GK}\left(K\left[A_{P}\right]\right) \leq \operatorname{GK}\left(K\left[G_{P}\right]\right)=r \leq k$. Therefore $\operatorname{GK}(A) \leq k$, since the Gelfand-Kirillov and the classical Krull dimensions coincide on finitely generated commutative algebras, [7, Theorem 4.5]. The result follows.

## 3. Examples of dimension two

For $n \geq 4$, let $T^{(n)}$ be the monoid of skew type generated by $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$ with defining relations

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{1} x_{2}=x_{3} x_{1}, \quad \ldots, \quad x_{1} x_{n-2}=x_{n-1} x_{1}, \quad x_{1} x_{n-1}=x_{2} x_{1}, \\
& x_{n} x_{1}=x_{n-1} x_{n}, \quad x_{n} x_{n-1}=x_{1} x_{n}, \\
& x_{i} x_{i+1}=x_{i+2} x_{i}, \quad \ldots, \quad x_{i} x_{n-1}=x_{n} x_{i}, \quad x_{i} x_{n}=x_{i+1} x_{i}
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $2 \leq i \leq n-2$. Note that $T^{(n)}$ satisfies the cyclic condition.
Lemma 3.1. Let $\rho$ be the least cancellative congruence on $T^{(n)}$. If $n>4$, then $x_{2} x_{1} x_{2}=x_{n} x_{1} x_{2}$ and $x_{1} \rho x_{2} \rho \ldots \rho x_{n}$.
Proof. By using the defining relations, we have

$$
x_{2} x_{1} x_{2}=x_{1} x_{n-1} x_{2}=x_{1} x_{2} x_{n-2}=x_{3} x_{1} x_{n-2}=x_{3} x_{n-1} x_{1}=x_{n} x_{3} x_{1}=x_{n} x_{1} x_{2}
$$

Since $x_{2} x_{1} x_{2}=x_{n} x_{1} x_{2}$, it follows that $x_{2} \rho x_{n}$. Now the relations

$$
x_{2} x_{3}=x_{4} x_{2}, \quad \ldots, \quad x_{2} x_{n-1}=x_{n} x_{2}
$$

imply that $x_{2} \rho x_{3} \rho \ldots \rho x_{n}$. Since $x_{n} x_{1}=x_{n-1} x_{n}$, we also get

$$
x_{1} \rho x_{2} \rho \ldots \rho x_{n} .
$$

Let $T_{n}^{\prime}$ be the subset of $T^{(n)}$ of all elements right divisible by all generators of $T^{(n)}$. Since $T^{(n)}$ is left non-degenerate, $T_{n}^{\prime}$ is an ideal of $T^{(n)}$; see 3].

Lemma 3.2. Consider $z=x_{2} x_{1} x_{2} \in T^{(n)}$. Then $z \in T_{n}^{\prime}$.
Proof. For $n=4$ we have

$$
z=x_{2} x_{1} x_{2}=x_{2} x_{3} x_{1}=x_{4} x_{2} x_{1}=x_{4} x_{1} x_{3}=x_{3} x_{4} x_{3}=x_{3} x_{1} x_{4} \in T_{n}^{\prime}
$$

Suppose that $n>4$. By Lemma 3.1 $z=x_{n} x_{1} x_{2}$ and thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
z & =x_{n} x_{1} x_{2}=x_{n-1} x_{n} x_{2}=x_{n-1} x_{2} x_{n-1}=x_{2} x_{n-2} x_{n-1} \\
& =x_{2} x_{n} x_{n-2}=x_{3} x_{2} x_{n-2}=x_{3} x_{n-1} x_{2}=x_{n} x_{3} x_{2}=x_{n} x_{2} x_{n} \\
& =x_{2} x_{n-1} x_{n}=x_{2} x_{n} x_{1}=x_{3} x_{2} x_{1}=x_{3} x_{1} x_{n-1}=x_{1} x_{2} x_{n-1} \\
& =x_{1} x_{n} x_{2}=x_{n} x_{n-1} x_{2}=x_{n} x_{2} x_{n-2}=x_{2} x_{n-1} x_{n-2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We claim that $z=x_{2} x_{i+1} x_{i}$ for all $n-2 \geq i \geq 3$. We prove this by induction. If $n=5$ the claim is proved. Suppose that $n>5$ and that we know $z=x_{2} x_{i+1} x_{i}$ for
some $4 \leq i \leq n-2$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
z & =x_{2} x_{i+1} x_{i}=x_{2} x_{i} x_{n}=x_{i+1} x_{2} x_{n}=x_{i+1} x_{3} x_{2} \\
& =x_{3} x_{i} x_{2}=x_{3} x_{2} x_{i-1}=x_{2} x_{n} x_{i-1}=x_{2} x_{i-1} x_{n-1} \\
& =x_{i} x_{2} x_{n-1}=x_{i} x_{n} x_{2}=x_{i+1} x_{i} x_{2}=x_{i+1} x_{2} x_{i-1}=x_{2} x_{i} x_{i-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

which proves the inductive claim. It follows that $z \in T^{(n)} x_{i}$, for all $3 \leq i \leq n-2$. Since $z=x_{2} x_{1} x_{2}=x_{2} x_{3} x_{1}=x_{n-1} x_{2} x_{n-1}=x_{n} x_{2} x_{n}$, we have that $z \in T_{n}^{\prime}$.

Let $m=(n-1)$ !. By Corollary 2.2, the submonoid $A=\left\langle x_{1}^{m}, \ldots, x_{n}^{m}\right\rangle$ of $T^{(n)}$ is commutative.

Lemma 3.3. If $n>4$, then $x_{k}^{2 m} x_{j}^{2 m} x_{i}^{2 m} \in T_{n}^{\prime}$ for all $1 \leq i<j<k \leq n$.
Proof. Note that from the relations

$$
x_{1} x_{2}=x_{3} x_{1}, \quad \ldots, \quad x_{1} x_{n-2}=x_{n-1} x_{1}, \quad x_{1} x_{n-1}=x_{2} x_{1}
$$

it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{1}^{j} x_{i}=x_{j+i} x_{1}^{j}, \quad x_{1}^{n-2} x_{i}=x_{i} x_{1}^{n-2} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $2 \leq i \leq n-1$ and $1 \leq j<n-i$. From the relations

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{n} x_{1}=x_{n-1} x_{n}, \quad x_{n} x_{n-1}=x_{1} x_{n}, \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{n}^{2} x_{1}=x_{1} x_{n}^{2} \quad \text { and } \quad x_{n}^{2} x_{n-1}=x_{n-1} x_{n}^{2} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

For each $2 \leq i \leq n-2$, the relations

$$
x_{i} x_{i+1}=x_{i+2} x_{i}, \quad \ldots, \quad x_{i} x_{n-1}=x_{n} x_{i}, \quad x_{i} x_{n}=x_{i+1} x_{i}
$$

imply that

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{i}^{n-i} x_{j}=x_{j} x_{i}^{n-i} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $2 \leq i<j \leq n$.
Case 1. $1<i<j<k \leq n$. In this case it is easy to see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{k} x_{j}^{k-j-1}=x_{j}^{k-j-1} x_{j+1} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{j} x_{i}^{j-i+1}=x_{i}^{j-i+1} x_{n-1} . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{k}^{2 m} x_{j}^{2 m} x_{i}^{2 m}=x_{j}^{k-j-1} x_{j+1}^{2 m} x_{j}^{2 m-k+j+1} x_{i}^{2 m} \quad \text { (by (6)) } \\
& \left.=x_{j}^{k-j-1} x_{j+1}^{2 m} x_{j}^{m} x_{i}^{2 m} x_{j}^{m-k+j+1} \quad \text { (by (5) }\right) \\
& =x_{j}^{k-j-1} x_{j}^{m} x_{j+1}^{2 m} x_{i}^{2 m} x_{j}^{m-k+j+1} \quad \text { (by (5)) } \\
& =x_{j}^{k-j-1} x_{i}^{j-i+1} x_{n-1}^{m} x_{n}^{2 m} x_{i}^{2 m-j+i-1} x_{j}^{m-k+j+1} \quad \text { (by (7)) } \\
& =x_{j}^{k-j-1} x_{i}^{j-i+1} x_{n} x_{1}^{m} x_{n}^{2 m-1} x_{i}^{2 m-j+i-1} x_{j}^{m-k+j+1} \quad \text { (by (3)) } \\
& =x_{j}^{k-j-1} x_{i}^{j-i+1} x_{n} x_{1}^{m} x_{n}^{2} x_{i}^{m} x_{n}^{2 m-3} x_{i}^{m-j+i-1} x_{j}^{m-k+j+1} \quad \text { (by (5)) } \\
& =x_{j}^{k-j-1} x_{i}^{j-i+1} x_{n}^{3} x_{1}^{m} x_{i}^{m} x_{n}^{2 m-3} x_{i}^{m-j+i-1} x_{j}^{m-k+j+1} \quad \text { (by (4)) } \\
& =x_{j}^{k-j-1} x_{i}^{j-i+1} x_{n}^{3} x_{1}^{m-n+i} x_{2}^{m} x_{1}^{n-i} x_{n}^{2 m-3} \\
& \text { • } x_{i}^{m-j+i-1} x_{j}^{m-k+j+1} \quad\left(\text { since } x_{2} x_{1}^{n-i}=x_{1}^{n-i} x_{i}\right) \\
& =x_{j}^{k-j-1} x_{i}^{j-i+1} x_{n} x_{1}^{m-n+i-1} x_{n}^{2} x_{1} x_{2}^{m} x_{1}^{n-i} x_{n}^{2 m-3} \\
& \cdot x_{i}^{m-j+i-1} x_{j}^{m-k+j+1} \quad \text { (by (4)) } \\
& =\left(x_{j}^{k-j-1} x_{i}^{j-i+1} x_{n} x_{1}^{m-n+i-1} x_{n}\right) z\left(x_{2}^{m-1} x_{1}^{n-i} x_{n}^{2 m-3}\right. \\
& \text { - } \left.x_{i}^{m-j+i-1} x_{j}^{m-k+j+1}\right) \text {. }
\end{aligned}
$$

By Lemma 3.2 we know that $z \in T_{n}^{\prime}$. Since $T_{n}^{\prime}$ is an ideal of $T^{(n)}$, it follows that $x_{k}^{2 m} x_{j}^{2 m} x_{i}^{2 m} \in T_{n}^{\prime}$.

Case 2. $1=i<j<k \leq n$ and $j<n-1$. Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
x_{k}^{2 m} x_{j}^{2 m} x_{1}^{2 m} & =x_{j}^{k-j-1} x_{j+1}^{2 m} x_{j}^{2 m-k+j+1} x_{1}^{2 m} \quad(\text { by (6) }) \\
& =x_{j}^{k-j-1} x_{j+1}^{2 m} x_{j}^{m} x_{1}^{2 m} x_{j}^{m-k+j+1} \quad(\text { by (2) }) \\
& =x_{j}^{k-j-1} x_{j}^{m} x_{j+1}^{2 m} x_{1}^{2 m} x_{j}^{m-k+j+1} \quad(\text { by (5) }) \\
& =x_{j}^{k-j-1} x_{j}^{m} x_{j+1} x_{1}^{2 m} x_{j+1}^{2 m-1} x_{j}^{m-k+j+1} \quad(\text { by (22) }) \\
& =x_{j}^{k-j-1} x_{j}^{m} x_{1}^{j-2} x_{3} x_{1}^{2 m-j+2} x_{j+1}^{2 m-1} x_{j}^{m-k+j+1} \quad(\text { by (22) }) \\
& =x_{j}^{k-j-1} x_{1}^{j-2} x_{2}^{m} x_{3} x_{1}^{2 m-j+2} x_{j+1}^{2 m-1} x_{j}^{m-k+j+1} \quad \text { (by (21)) } \\
& =\left(x_{j}^{k-j-1} x_{1}^{j-2} x_{2}^{m-1}\right) z\left(x_{1}^{2 m-j+1} x_{j+1}^{2 m-1} x_{j}^{m-k+j+1}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

By Lemma 3.2, $x_{k}^{2 m} x_{j}^{2 m} x_{i}^{2 m} \in T_{n}^{\prime}$ in this case.
Case 3. $i=1, j=n-1$ and $k=n$. Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
x_{n}^{2 m} x_{n-1}^{2 m} x_{1}^{2 m} & =x_{n}^{2 m} x_{n-1} x_{1}^{2 m} x_{n-1}^{2 m-1} \quad(\text { by (2) }) \\
& =x_{n}^{2 m} x_{1}^{n-3} x_{2} x_{1}^{2 m-n+3} x_{n-1}^{2 m-1} \quad(\text { by (22) }) \\
& =x_{n}^{2 m-1} x_{n-1}^{n-4} x_{n} x_{1} x_{2} x_{1}^{2 m-n+3} x_{n-1}^{2 m-1} \quad(\text { by (3) }) \\
& =\left(x_{n}^{2 m-1} x_{n-1}^{n-4}\right) z\left(x_{1}^{2 m-n+3} x_{n-1}^{2 m-1}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Again, by Lemma 3.2, $x_{k}^{2 m} x_{j}^{2 m} x_{i}^{2 m} \in T_{n}^{\prime}$ in this case.
Therefore $x_{k}^{2 m} x_{j}^{2 m} x_{i}^{2 m} \in T_{n}^{\prime}$ for all $1 \leq i<j<k \leq n$.
Theorem 3.4. Let $K$ be a field. Then $\operatorname{GK}\left(K\left[T^{(n)}\right]\right)=2$ for all $n \geq 4$.

Proof. For $n=4$ the result follows from [5, Proposition 2.1], because $T^{(4)}$ coincides with the monoid $C^{(1)}$ of [5]. Suppose that $n>4$. As above, let $m=(n-1)$ !. From [3, Proposition 6.3] we know that $\left(T_{n}^{\prime}\right)^{q} I(\rho)=0$ for some $q$, where $I(\rho)$ is the ideal of $K\left[T^{(n)}\right]$ determined by the least cancellative congruence $\rho$ on $T^{(n)}$. In particular, by Lemma 3.1, $x_{k}^{m}-x_{j}^{m} \in I(\rho)$ for all $k, j$. Therefore, from Lemma 3.3 it follows that

$$
x_{k}^{2 m q} x_{j}^{2 m q} x_{i}^{2 m q}\left(x_{k}^{m}-x_{j}^{m}\right)=0
$$

for all $1 \leq i<j<k \leq n$, which implies that $x_{k}^{m}, x_{j}^{m}, x_{i}^{m}$ do not generate a free abelian semigroup. Therefore Theorem 2.3 implies that $\mathrm{GK}\left(K\left[T^{(n)}\right]\right)=2$.

Corollary 3.5. For any integers $n \geq 4$ and $2 \leq j \leq n$, there exists a monoid $M=\left\langle x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\rangle$ of skew type, satisfying the cyclic condition and such that $\mathrm{GK}(K[M])=j$ for any field $K$.
Proof. If $j=n$, then the free abelian monoid of rank $n, M=\mathrm{FaM}_{n}$, satisfies the conditions.

Suppose that $j=n-1$. By [5], there exists a monoid $A$ of skew type with 4 generators that satisfies the cyclic condition such that, for any field $K, \operatorname{GK}(K[A])=$ 3. Let $M=A \times \mathrm{FaM}_{n-4}$. Then it is easy to see that $M$ is a monoid of skew type with $n$ generators that satisfies the cyclic condition. Since $K[M]$ is the polynomial algebra over $K[A]$ with $n-4$ indeterminates, by [7, Example 3.6], $\operatorname{GK}(K[M])=$ $3+(n-4)=n-1$.

Suppose that $j \leq n-2$. Let $M=T^{(n-j+2)} \times \mathrm{FaM}_{j-2}$. It is easy to see that $M$ is a monoid of skew type with $n$ generators that satisfies the cyclic condition. Since $K[M]$ is the polynomial algebra over $K\left[T^{(n-j+2)}\right]$ with $j-2$ indeterminates, by [7, Example 3.6], $\operatorname{GK}(K[M])=\operatorname{GK}\left(K\left[T^{(n-j+2)}\right]\right)+(j-2)$. By Theorem 3.4, $\operatorname{GK}(K[M])=j$.

## 4. I-TYPE MONOIDS

Let $\mathrm{FaM}_{n}$ be the multiplicative free abelian monoid of rank $n$ with basis $u_{1}, \ldots$, $u_{n}$. Recall that a monoid $S$ generated by $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$ is said to be of left $I$-type if there exists a bijection (called a left $I$-structure)

$$
v: \mathrm{FaM}_{n} \rightarrow S
$$

such that

$$
v(1)=1 \quad \text { and } \quad\left\{v\left(u_{1} a\right), \ldots, v\left(u_{n} a\right)\right\}=\left\{x_{1} v(a), \ldots, x_{n} v(a)\right\}
$$

for all $a \in \mathrm{FaM}_{n}$. As mentioned in the introduction, it is proved in [6] that a monoid $S$ is of left $I$-type if and only if it is of right $I$-type. So we call a monoid of left or right $I$-type simply a monoid of $I$-type.

Let $S=\left\langle x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\rangle$ be a monoid of skew type. Let $X=\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\}$. As in [6], we define the associated bijective map $r: X \times X \rightarrow X \times X$ by

$$
r\left(x_{i}, x_{j}\right)=\left(x_{k}, x_{l}\right)
$$

if $x_{i} x_{j}=x_{k} x_{l}$ is a defining relation of $S$, and $r\left(x_{i}, x_{i}\right)=\left(x_{i}, x_{i}\right)$. For each $x \in X$, we also denote by $f_{x}: X \rightarrow X$ and $g_{x}: X \rightarrow X$ the mappings defined by $f_{x}\left(x_{i}\right)=$ $p_{1}\left(r\left(x, x_{i}\right)\right)$ and $g_{x}\left(x_{i}\right)=p_{2}\left(r\left(x_{i}, x\right)\right)$, where $p_{1}$ and $p_{2}$ denote the projections onto the first and second component respectively. So $r\left(x_{i}, x_{j}\right)=\left(f_{x_{i}}\left(x_{j}\right), g_{x_{j}}\left(x_{i}\right)\right)$. Suppose that $S$ is right non-degenerate. So $f_{x}$ is bijective for all $x \in X$. We denote by $\sigma_{i} \in \operatorname{Sym}_{n}$ the permutation defined by $f_{x_{i}}\left(x_{j}\right)=x_{\sigma_{i}(j)}$.

The next result is a partial generalization of Proposition 2.2(c) of [2].
Theorem 4.1. Let $S=\left\langle x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\rangle$ be a right non-degenerate monoid of skew type. Then the following conditions are equivalent.
(i) $S$ is of I-type.
(ii) $\sigma_{i} \circ \sigma_{\sigma_{i}^{-1}(j)}=\sigma_{j} \circ \sigma_{\sigma_{j}^{-1}(i)}$ for all $i, j$.
(iii) For every defining relation $x_{i} x_{j}=x_{k} x_{l}$ of $S$ we have $\sigma_{i} \circ \sigma_{j}=\sigma_{k} \circ \sigma_{l}$.

Proof. We denote by $r_{i}: X^{3} \rightarrow X^{3}$, for $i=1,2$, the mappings defined by $r_{1}=$ $r \times i d_{X}$ and $r_{2}=i d_{X} \times r$. Then

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
\left(r_{1} \circ r_{2} \circ r_{1}\right)\left(x_{i}, x_{j}, x_{k}\right) & =\left(r_{1} \circ r_{2}\right)\left(x_{\sigma_{i}(j)}, x_{\sigma_{\sigma_{i}(j)}^{-1}(i)}, x_{k}\right) \\
& =r_{1}\left(x_{\sigma_{i}(j)}, x_{\sigma_{\sigma_{\sigma_{i}(j)}^{-1}(i)}(k)}, x_{\sigma_{\sigma_{\sigma_{i}(j)}^{-1}}-1}(k)\left(\sigma_{\sigma_{i}(j)}^{-1}(i)\right)\right. \tag{8}
\end{array}\right)
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(r_{2} \circ r_{1} \circ r_{2}\right)\left(x_{i}, x_{j}, x_{k}\right) & =\left(r_{2} \circ r_{1}\right)\left(x_{i}, x_{\sigma_{j}(k)}, x_{\sigma_{\sigma_{j}(k)}^{-1}(j)}\right) \\
& =r_{2}\left(x_{\sigma_{i}\left(\sigma_{j}(k)\right)}, x_{\sigma_{\sigma_{i}\left(\sigma_{j}(k)\right)}^{-1}}, x_{\sigma_{\sigma_{j}(k)}^{-1}(j)}\right) . \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

Recall from [6, Corollary 3.1] that $S$ is of $I$-type if and only if $r$ yields a solution of the quantum Yang-Baxter equation, that is $r_{1} \circ r_{2} \circ r_{1}=r_{2} \circ r_{1} \circ r_{2}$. Therefore, if $S$ is of $I$-type, then by (8) and (9), we have

$$
\sigma_{\sigma_{i}(j)}\left(\sigma_{\sigma_{\sigma_{i}(j)}^{-1}(i)}(k)\right)=\sigma_{i}\left(\sigma_{j}(k)\right),
$$

for all $i, j, k$. Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{\sigma_{i}(j)} \circ \sigma_{\sigma_{\sigma_{i}(j)}^{-1}(i)}=\sigma_{i} \circ \sigma_{j} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $i, j$. By putting $j^{\prime}=\sigma_{i}(j)$, we can write (10) as

$$
\sigma_{j^{\prime}} \circ \sigma_{\sigma_{j^{\prime}}^{-1}(i)}=\sigma_{i} \circ \sigma_{\sigma_{i}^{-1}\left(j^{\prime}\right)}
$$

for all $i, j^{\prime}$. Therefore $(i i)$ is a consequence of $(i)$.
Suppose that

$$
\sigma_{i} \circ \sigma_{\sigma_{i}^{-1}(j)}=\sigma_{j} \circ \sigma_{\sigma_{j}^{-1}(i)}
$$

for all $i, j$. We will prove that $r$ yields a solution of the quantum Yang-Baxter equation and thus $S$ is of $I$-type. By (8) and (9), it is sufficient to prove the following equalities:
(a) $\sigma_{\sigma_{i}(j)}\left(\sigma_{\sigma_{\sigma_{i}(j)}^{-1}(i)}(k)\right)=\sigma_{i}\left(\sigma_{j}(k)\right)$;
(b) $\sigma_{\sigma_{\sigma_{i}(j)}^{-1}\left(\sigma_{\sigma_{\sigma_{i}(j)}^{-1}(i)}^{-1}(k)\right)}\left(\sigma_{i}(j)\right)=\sigma_{\sigma_{\sigma_{i}\left(\sigma_{j}(k)\right)}^{-1}(i)}\left(\sigma_{\sigma_{j}(k)}^{-1}(j)\right)$;
(c) $\sigma_{\sigma_{\sigma_{i}(j)}^{-1}(i)}^{-1}(k)\left(\sigma_{\sigma_{i}(j)}^{-1}(i)\right)=\sigma_{\sigma_{\sigma_{i}\left(\sigma_{j}(k)\right)}^{-1}\left(\sigma_{\sigma_{j}(k)}^{-1}(j)\right)}\left(\sigma_{\sigma_{i}\left(\sigma_{j}(k)\right)}^{-1}(i)\right)$.

The equality ( $a$ ) follows from

$$
\sigma_{j^{\prime}} \circ \sigma_{\sigma_{j^{\prime}}^{-1}(i)}=\sigma_{i} \circ \sigma_{\sigma_{i}^{-1}\left(j^{\prime}\right)}
$$

with $j^{\prime}=\sigma_{i}(j)$. By $(a)$, the equality $(b)$ is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{\sigma_{i}\left(\sigma_{j}(k)\right)}^{-1}\left(\sigma_{i}(j)\right)=\sigma_{\sigma_{\sigma_{i}\left(\sigma_{j}(k)\right)}^{-1}(i)}\left(\sigma_{\sigma_{j}(k)}^{-1}(j)\right) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the latter follows from our assumption

$$
\sigma_{l}^{-1} \circ \sigma_{i}=\sigma_{\sigma_{l}^{-1}(i)} \circ \sigma_{\sigma_{i}^{-1}(l)}^{-1}
$$

with $l=\sigma_{i}\left(\sigma_{j}(k)\right) . \mathrm{By}(a)$, the equality $(c)$ is equivalent to

In view of (11), this equality is equivalent to

$$
\sigma_{\sigma_{\sigma_{i}(j)}^{-1}\left(\sigma_{i}\left(\sigma_{j}(k)\right)\right)}^{-1}\left(\sigma_{\sigma_{i}(j)}^{-1}(i)\right)=\sigma_{\sigma_{\sigma_{i}\left(\sigma_{j}(k)\right)}^{-1}\left(\sigma_{i}(j)\right)}^{-1}\left(\sigma_{\sigma_{i}\left(\sigma_{j}(k)\right)}^{-1}(i)\right)
$$

and the latter follows from our assumption

$$
\sigma_{\sigma_{j^{\prime}}^{-1}(l)}^{-1} \circ \sigma_{j^{\prime}}^{-1}=\sigma_{\sigma_{l}^{-1}\left(j^{\prime}\right)}^{-1} \circ \sigma_{l}^{-1}
$$

with $l=\sigma_{i}\left(\sigma_{j}(k)\right)$ and $j^{\prime}=\sigma_{i}(j)$. Hence $r$ yields a solution of the quantum Yang-Baxter equation and (ii) implies (i).

Finally, notice that $x_{i} x_{p}=x_{j} x_{q}$ if and only if $\sigma_{i}(p)=j$ and $\sigma_{j}(q)=i$. The latter is equivalent to $\sigma_{i}^{-1}(j)=p$ and $\sigma_{j}^{-1}(i)=q$. Hence, saying that $\sigma_{i} \sigma_{p}=$ $\sigma_{j} \sigma_{q}$ whenever $x_{i} x_{p}=x_{j} x_{q}$ is equivalent to saying that $\sigma_{i} \sigma_{\sigma_{i}^{-1}(j)}=\sigma_{j} \sigma_{\sigma_{j}^{-1}(i)}$. So conditions (ii) and (iii) are equivalent. This completes the proof.

## 5. The dimension $n$ case

Let $S=\left\langle x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\rangle$ be a monoid of skew type that satisfies the cyclic condition. In this section we study the second extreme case, namely the case where $\operatorname{GK}(K[S])=n$ for any field $K$. As in Section 4] we define $\sigma_{i} \in \operatorname{Sym}_{n}$ by

$$
\sigma_{i}(j)=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
i & \text { if } \quad j=i, \\
k & \text { if } \quad x_{i} x_{j}=x_{k} x_{l} \quad \text { is a defining relation of } S
\end{array}\right.
$$

Let $m=(n-1)$ !. Since $S$ satisfies the cyclic condition, for all $i, j$ we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{i} x_{j}^{m}=x_{\sigma_{i}(j)}^{m} x_{i} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 5.1. Let $S=\left\langle x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\rangle$ be a monoid of skew type that satisfies the cyclic condition. Let $K$ be a field. Then the following conditions are equivalent:
(i) $\operatorname{GK}(K[S])=n$.
(ii) $S$ is of I-type.
(iii) $S$ is cancellative.

Proof. $(i) \Rightarrow(i i)$. Suppose that $\mathrm{GK}(K[S])=n$. Let $m=(n-1)$ !. We know that $A=\left\langle x_{1}^{m}, \ldots, x_{n}^{m}\right\rangle$ is abelian. Moreover $\operatorname{GK}(K[A])=\operatorname{GK}(K[S])=n$ by Theorem 2.3. This implies that $A$ is a free abelian monoid of rank $n$. Indeed, otherwise the natural map $K\left[y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right] \rightarrow K[A]$ has a nontrivial kernel, whence the classical Krull dimension of $K[A]$ is smaller than $n$, while it is equal to the Gelfand-Kirillov dimension; see [7, Theorem 4.5].

Suppose that $x_{i} x_{j}=x_{k} x_{l}$ is a defining relation of $S$. Then for all $t \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$ we have, by (12),

$$
x_{i} x_{j} x_{t}^{m}=x_{i} x_{\sigma_{j}(t)}^{m} x_{j}=x_{\sigma_{i}\left(\sigma_{j}(t)\right)}^{m} x_{i} x_{j} .
$$

Also we have

$$
x_{k} x_{l} x_{t}^{m}=x_{k} x_{\sigma_{l}(t)}^{m} x_{l}=x_{\sigma_{k}\left(\sigma_{l}(t)\right)}^{m} x_{k} x_{l} .
$$

Since

$$
x_{i} x_{j} x_{j}^{m-1} x_{i}^{m-1}=x_{i} x_{j}^{m} x_{i}^{m-1}=x_{k}^{m} x_{i} x_{i}^{m-1}=x_{k}^{m} x_{i}^{m}
$$

multiplying the two previous equalities by $x_{j}^{m-1} x_{i}^{m-1}$ on the right, we get

$$
x_{\sigma_{i}\left(\sigma_{j}(t)\right)}^{m} x_{k}^{m} x_{i}^{m}=x_{\sigma_{k}\left(\sigma_{l}(t)\right)}^{m} x_{k}^{m} x_{i}^{m} .
$$

Since $A$ is free abelian, this implies that

$$
\sigma_{i}\left(\sigma_{j}(t)\right)=\sigma_{k}\left(\sigma_{l}(t)\right)
$$

By Theorem 4.1, $S$ is of $I$-type.
$($ ii $) \Rightarrow(i)$. The definition of a monoid of $I$-type implies that the growth function of $S$ is the same as that of a free abelian monoid of rank $n$. Hence $\operatorname{GK}(K[S])=n$.
$(i i) \Rightarrow(i i i)$. This follows from [4, Corollary 1.5].
$($ iii $) \Rightarrow(i i)$. Suppose that $x_{i} x_{j}=x_{k} x_{l}$ is a defining relation of $S$. Then for all $t \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$, as in the proof of the implication $(i) \Rightarrow(i i)$ we get

$$
x_{\sigma_{i}\left(\sigma_{j}(t)\right)}^{m} x_{i} x_{j}=x_{\sigma_{k}\left(\sigma_{l}(t)\right)}^{m} x_{k} x_{l}
$$

Since $S$ is cancellative, this implies that

$$
x_{\sigma_{i}\left(\sigma_{j}(t)\right)}^{m}=x_{\sigma_{k}\left(\sigma_{l}(t)\right)}^{m}
$$

By the form of the defining relations of $S$, it is then clear that

$$
\sigma_{i}\left(\sigma_{j}(t)\right)=\sigma_{k}\left(\sigma_{l}(t)\right)
$$

By Theorem 4.1, $S$ is of $I$-type.
Corollary 5.2. Let $S$ be a monoid of skew type. Then $S$ is of I-type if and only if $S$ is cancellative and satisfies the cyclic condition.

Proof. Suppose that $S=\left\langle x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\rangle$ is of $I$-type. By [4, Theorem 1.3], the associated map $r: X^{2} \rightarrow X^{2}$, where $X=\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\}$, yields a solution of the quantum Yang-Baxter equation. By [6, Corollary 3.1], $S$ satisfies the cyclic condition. Now the result follows from Theorem 5.1.
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