LOCALIZATION OF INJECTIVE MODULES OVER VALUATION RINGS

FRANÇOIS COUCHOT

(Communicated by Bernd Ulrich)

ABSTRACT. It is proved that E_J is injective if E is an injective module over a valuation ring R , for each prime ideal $J \neq Z$. Moreover, if E or Z is flat, then E_Z is injective, too. It follows that localizations of injective modules over h-local Prüfer domains are injective, too.

If S is a multiplicative subset of a noetherian ring R , it is well known that $S^{-1}E$ is injective for each injective R -module E . The following example shows that this result is not generally true if R is not noetherian.

Example 1. Let K be a field and let I be an infinite set. We put $R = K^I$, $J = K^{(I)}$ and $S = \{1 - r \mid r \in J\}$. Then $R/J \cong S^{-1}R$, R is an injective module, but R/J is not injective by [5, Theorem].

However, we shall see that, for some classes of non-noetherian rings, localizations of injective modules are injective, too. For instance:

Proposition 2. *Let R be a hereditary ring. For each multiplicative subset S of R and for every injective R -module E , $S^{-1}E$ is injective.*

There exist non-noetherian hereditary rings.

Proof. Let F be the kernel of the natural map: $E \rightarrow S^{-1}E$. Then E/F is injective and S -torsion-free. Let $s \in S$. We have $(0 : s) = Re$, where e is an idempotent of R . It is easy to check that $s + e$ is a non-zerodivisor. So, if $x \in E$, there exists $y \in E$ such that $x = (s + e)y$. Clearly $eE \subseteq F$. Hence $x + F = s(y + F)$. Therefore the multiplication by s in E/F is bijective, whence $E/F \cong S^{-1}E$. $\square$

In Proposition 2 and Example 1, R is a coherent ring. By [3, Proposition 1.2] $S^{-1}E$ is fp-injective if E is an fp-injective module over a coherent ring R , but the coherence hypothesis cannot be omitted; see [3, Example p. 344].

The aim of this paper is to study localizations of injective modules and fp-injective modules over a valuation ring R . Let Z be the subset of its zerodivisors. Then Z is a prime ideal. We will show the following theorem.

Received by the editors November 12, 2004.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 13F30, 13C11.

Key words and phrases. Valuation ring, injective module, h-local domain, Prüfer domain.

Theorem 3. *Let R be a valuation ring, denote by Z the set of zero divisors of R and let E be an injective (respectively fp-injective) module. Then:*

- (1) *For each prime ideal $J \neq Z$, E_J is injective (respectively fp-injective).*
- (2) *E_Z is injective (respectively fp-injective) if and only if E or Z is flat.*

In this paper all rings are associative and commutative with unity and all modules are unital. We say that an R -module E is **divisible** if, for every $r \in R$ and $x \in E$, $(0 : r) \subseteq (0 : x)$ implies that $x \in rE$, and that E is **fp-injective** (or **absolutely pure**) if $\text{Ext}_R^1(F, E) = 0$, for every finitely presented R -module F . A ring R is called **self fp-injective** if it is fp-injective as an R -module. An exact sequence $0 \rightarrow F \rightarrow E \rightarrow G \rightarrow 0$ is **pure** if it remains exact when tensoring it with any R -module. In this case we say that F is a **pure** submodule of E . Recall that a module E is fp-injective if and only if it is a pure submodule of every overmodule. A module is said to be **uniserial** if its submodules are linearly ordered by inclusion, and a ring R is a **valuation ring** if it is uniserial as an R -module. Recall that every finitely presented module over a valuation ring is a finite direct sum of cyclic modules [7, Theorem 1]. Consequently a module E over a valuation ring R is fp-injective if and only if it is divisible.

An R -module F is **pure-injective** if for every pure exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow M \rightarrow L \rightarrow 0$$

of R -modules, the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(L, F) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(M, F) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(N, F) \rightarrow 0$$

is exact. Then a module is injective if and only if it is pure-injective and fp-injective. A ring R is said to be an **IF-ring** if every injective module is flat. By [1, Theorem 2] R is an IF-ring if and only if R is coherent and self fp-injective.

In the sequel R is a valuation ring whose maximal ideal is P and Z is its subset of zerodivisors. Some preliminary results are needed to show Theorem 3.

Proposition 4. *Let R be a valuation ring, let E be an injective module and let $r \in P$. Then E/rE is injective over R/rR .*

Proof. Let J be an ideal of R such that $Rr \subset J$ and let $g : J/Rr \rightarrow E/rE$ be a non-zero homomorphism. For each $x \in E$ we denote by $\bar{x}$ the image of x in E/rE . Let $a \in J \setminus Rr$ such that $\bar{y} = g(\bar{a}) \neq 0$. Then $(Rr : a) \subseteq (rE : y)$. Let $t \in R$ such that $r = at$. Thus $ty = rz$ for some $z \in E$. It follows that $t(y - az) = 0$. So, since $at = r \neq 0$, we have $(0 : a) \subset Rt \subseteq (0 : y - az)$. The injectivity of E implies that there exists $x \in E$ such that $y = a(x + z)$. We put $x_a = x + z$. If $b \in J \setminus Ra$, then $a(x_a - x_b) \in rE$. Hence $x_b \in x_a + (rE :_E a)$. Since E is pure-injective, by [6, Theorem 4] there exists $x \in \bigcap_{a \in J} x_a + (rE :_E a)$. It follows that $g(\bar{a}) = a\bar{x}$ for each $a \in J$. $\square$

Lemma 5. *Let R be a valuation ring, let U be a module and let F be a flat module. Then, for each $r, s \in R$, $F \otimes_R (sU :_U r) \cong (F \otimes_R sU :_{F \otimes_R U} r)$.*

Proof. We put $E = F \otimes_R U$. Let ϕ be the composition of the multiplication by r in U with the natural map $U \rightarrow U/sU$. Then $(sU :_U r) = \ker(\phi)$. It follows that $F \otimes_R (sU :_U r)$ is isomorphic to $\ker(\mathbf{1}_F \otimes \phi)$ since F is flat. We easily check that $\mathbf{1}_F \otimes \phi$ is the composition of the multiplication by r in E with the natural map $E \rightarrow E/sE$. It follows that $F \otimes_R (sU :_U r) \cong (sE :_E r)$. $\square$

Proposition 6. *Let R be a valuation ring. Then every pure-injective R -module F satisfies the following property: if $(x_i)_{i \in I}$ is a family of elements of F and $(A_i)_{i \in I}$ a family of ideals of R such that the family $\mathcal{F} = (x_i + A_i F)_{i \in I}$ has the finite intersection property, then $\mathcal{F}$ has a non-empty intersection. The converse holds if F is flat.*

Proof. Let $i \in I$ such that A_i is not finitely generated. By [2, Lemma 29] either $A_i = Pr_i$ or $A_i = \bigcap_{c \in R \setminus A_i} cR$. If, $\forall i \in I$ such that A_i is not finitely generated, we replace $x_i + A_i F$ by $x_i + r_i F$ in the first case, and by the family $(x_i + cF)_{c \in R \setminus A_i}$ in the second case, we deduce from $\mathcal{F}$ a family $\mathcal{G}$ which has the finite intersection property. Since F is pure-injective, it follows that there exists $x \in F$ which belongs to each element of the family $\mathcal{G}$ by [6, Theorem 4]. We may assume that the family $(A_i)_{i \in I}$ has no smallest element. So, if A_i is not finitely generated, there exists $j \in I$ such that $A_j \subset A_i$. Let $c \in A_i \setminus PA_j$ such that $x_j + cF \in \mathcal{G}$. Then $x - x_j \in cF \subseteq A_i F$ and $x_j - x_i \in A_i F$. Hence $x - x_i \in A_i F$ for each $i \in I$.

Conversely, if F is flat, then by Lemma 5 we have $(sF :_F r) = (sR : r)F$ for each $s, r \in R$. We use [6, Theorem 4] to conclude. $\square$

Proposition 7. *Let R be a valuation ring and let F be a flat pure-injective module. Then:*

- (1) $F \otimes_R U$ is pure-injective if U is a uniserial module.
- (2) For each prime ideal J , F_J is pure-injective.

Proof. (1) Let $E = F \otimes_R U$. We use [6, Theorem 4] to prove that E is pure-injective. Let $(x_i)_{i \in I}$ be a family of elements of F such that the family $\mathcal{F} = (x_i + N_i)_{i \in I}$ has the finite intersection property, where $N_i = (s_i E :_E r_i)$ and $r_i, s_i \in R$, $\forall i \in I$.

First we assume that $U = R/A$, where A is a proper ideal of R . So $E \cong F/AF$. If $s_i \notin A$, then $N_i = (s_i F :_F r_i)/AF = (Rs_i : r_i)F/AF$. We set $A_i = (Rs_i : r_i)$ in this case. If $s_i \in A$, then $N_i = (AF :_F r_i)/AF = (A : r_i)F/AF$. We put $A_i = (A : r_i)$ in this case. For each $i \in I$, let $y_i \in F$ such that $x_i = y_i + AF$. It is obvious that the family $(y_i + A_i F)_{i \in I}$ has the finite intersection property. By Proposition 6 this family has a non-empty intersection. Then $\mathcal{F}$ has a non-empty intersection, too.

Now we assume that U is not finitely generated. It is obvious that $\mathcal{F}$ has a non-empty intersection if $x_i + N_i = E$, $\forall i \in I$. Now assume there exists $i_0 \in I$ such that $x_{i_0} + N_{i_0} \neq E$. Let $I' = \{i \in I \mid N_i \subseteq N_{i_0}\}$ and $\mathcal{F}' = (x_i + N_i)_{i \in I'}$. Then $\mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{F}'$ have the same intersection. By Lemma 5 $N_{i_0} = F \otimes_R (s_{i_0} U :_U r_{i_0})$. It follows that $(s_{i_0} U :_U r_{i_0}) \subset U$ because $N_{i_0} \neq E$. Hence $\exists u \in U$ such that $x_{i_0} + N_{i_0} \subseteq F \otimes_R Ru$. Then, $\forall i \in I'$, $x_i + N_i \subseteq F \otimes_R Ru$. We have $F \otimes_R Ru \cong F/(0 : u)F$. From the first part of the proof $F/(0 : u)F$ is pure-injective. So we may replace R with $R/(0 : u)$ and assume that $(0 : u) = 0$. Let $A_i = ((s_i U :_U r_i) : u)$, $\forall i \in I'$. Thus $N_i = A_i F$, $\forall i \in I'$. By Proposition 6 $\mathcal{F}'$ has a non-empty intersection. So $\mathcal{F}$ has a non-empty intersection, too.

- (2) We apply (1) by taking $U = R_J$. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 3. Let J be a prime ideal and let E be a module. If E is fp-injective, E is a pure submodule of an injective module M . It follows that E_J is a pure submodule of M_J . So, if M_J is injective we conclude that E_J is fp-injective. Now we assume that E is injective.

- (1) Suppose that $J \subset Z$. Let $s \in Z \setminus J$. Then there exists $0 \neq r \in J$ such that $sr = 0$. Hence rE is contained in the kernel of the natural map: $E \rightarrow E_J$.

Moreover $R_J = (R/rR)_J$ and $E_J = (E/rE)_J$. By Proposition 4, E/rE is injective over R/rR and by [2, Theorem 11] R/rR is an IF-ring. So E/rE is flat over R/rR . From Proposition 7 we deduce that E_J is pure-injective and by [3, Proposition 1.2] E_J is fp-injective. So E_J is injective.

Assume that $Z \subset J$. We set

$$F = \{x \in E \mid J \subset (0 : x)\} \quad \text{and} \quad G = \{x \in E \mid J \subseteq (0 : x)\}.$$

Let $x \in E$ and $s \in R \setminus J$ such that $sx \in F$ (respectively G). Then $sJ \subset (0 : x)$ (respectively $sJ \subseteq (0 : x)$). Since $s \notin J$ we have $sJ = J$. Consequently $x \in F$ (respectively G). Thus the multiplication by s in E/F (and E/G) is bijective because E is injective. So E/F and E/G are modules over R_J and $E_J \cong E/F$. We have $G \cong \text{Hom}_R(R/J, E)$. It follows that $E/G \cong \text{Hom}_R(J, E)$, but J is a flat module. Thus E/G is injective. Let A be an ideal of R_J and $f : A \rightarrow E/F$ an homomorphism. Then there exists a homomorphism $g : R_J \rightarrow E/G$ such that $g \circ u = p \circ f$, where $u : A \rightarrow R_J$ and $p : E/F \rightarrow E/G$ are the natural maps. It follows that there exists an homomorphism $h : R_J \rightarrow E/F$ such that $g = p \circ h$. It is easy to check that $p \circ (f - h \circ u) = 0$. So there exists an homomorphism $\ell : A \rightarrow G/F$ such that $v \circ \ell = f - h \circ u$, where $v : G/F \rightarrow E/F$ is the inclusion map. First assume that A is finitely generated over R_J . We have $A = R_J a$. If $0 \neq \ell(a) = y + F$, where $y \in G$, then $(0 : a) \subseteq Z \subseteq J = (0 : y)$. Since E is injective there exists $x \in E$ such that $y = ax$. Hence $f(a) = a(h(1) + (x + F))$. Now suppose that A is not finitely generated over R_J . If $a \in A$, then there exist $b \in A$ and $r \in J$ such that $a = rb$. We get that $\ell(a) = r\ell(b) = 0$. Hence $f = h \circ u$.

(2) Let the notation be as above. Then $E_Z = E/F$. If Z is flat, we do as above to show that E_Z is injective. If E is flat, then $F = 0$, whence $E_Z = E$. Now, assume that E_Z is fp-injective and Z is not flat. By [2, Theorem 10] R_Z is an IF-ring. It follows that E_Z is flat. Consequently F is a pure submodule of E . Suppose there exists $0 \neq x \in F$. If $0 \neq s \in Z$, then $(0 : s) \subseteq Z \subset (0 : x)$. So, there exists $y \in E$ such that $x = sy$. By [2, Lemma 2] $(0 : y) = s(0 : x) \subseteq Z$. Since F is a pure submodule, we may assume that $y \in F$. Whence $Z \subset (0 : y)$. We get a contradiction. Hence $F = 0$ and E is flat. $\square$

Now we give a consequence of Theorem 3. Recall that a domain R is said to be **h-local** if R/I is semilocal for every non-zero ideal I , and if R/P is local for every non-zero prime ideal P , [4].

Corollary 8. *Let R be an h-local Prüfer domain. For each multiplicative subset S of R and for every injective R -module E , $S^{-1}E$ is injective.*

Proof. By [4, Theorem 24] E_P is injective for each maximal ideal P . Since R_P is a valuation domain, we deduce from Theorem 3 that E_J is injective for each prime ideal J . It is easy to check that $S^{-1}R$ is an h-local Prüfer domain. So, by [4, Theorem 24] $S^{-1}E$ is injective. $\square$

REFERENCES

- [1] R. Colby, *Flat injective modules*. J. Algebra **35** (1975), 239–252. MR0376763 (51:12938)
- [2] F. Couchot, *Injective modules and fp-injective modules over valuation rings*. J. Algebra **267** (2003), 359–376. MR1993481 (2004g:13004)
- [3] F. Couchot, *Exemples d'anneaux auto-fp-injectifs*. Comm. Algebra **10**(4) (1982), 339–360. MR0649340 (83i:16027)
- [4] E. Matlis, *Torsion-free modules*. University of Chicago Press (1972). MR0344237 (49:8976)

- [5] B.L. Osofsky, *Noninjective cyclic modules*. Proc. Am. Math. Soc. **19** (1968), 1383-1384. MR0231857 (38:185)
- [6] R.B. Warfield, *Purity and algebraic compactness for modules*. Pac. J. Math. **28(3)** (1961), 689-719. MR0242885 (39:4212)
- [7] R. Warfield, *Decomposability of finitely presented modules*. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **25** (1970), 167-172. MR0254030 (40:7243)

LABORATOIRE DE MATHÉMATIQUES NICOLAS ORESME, CNRS UMR 6139, DÉPARTEMENT DE
MATHÉMATIQUES ET MÉCANIQUE, 14032 CAEN CEDEX, FRANCE

E-mail address: `couchot@math.unicaen.fr`