SPACES THAT ADMIT HYPERCYCLIC OPERATORS WITH HYPERCYCLIC ADJOINTS

HENRIK PETERSSON

(Communicated by Joseph A. Ball)

ABSTRACT. A continuous linear operator $T : X \rightarrow X$ is hypercyclic if there is an $x \in X$ such that the orbit $\{T^n x\}_{n \geq 0}$ is dense. A result of H. Salas shows that any infinite-dimensional separable Hilbert space admits a hypercyclic operator whose adjoint is also hypercyclic. It is a natural question to ask for what other spaces X does $\mathcal{L}(X)$ contain such an operator. We prove that for any infinite-dimensional Banach space X with a shrinking symmetric basis, such as c_0 and any ℓ_p ($1 < p < \infty$), there is an operator $T : X \rightarrow X$, where both T and $T' : X' \rightarrow X'$ are hypercyclic.

1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

Let T be a continuous linear operator on a separated (real or complex) locally convex space X . We let $\text{Orb}(T, x) \equiv \{T^n x : n \geq 0\}$ denote the orbit of $x \in X$ under T . Recall that T is hypercyclic if $\text{Orb}(T, x)$ is dense in X for some (hypercyclic) $x \in X$. Thus, the existence of a hypercyclic operator on X requires that X is separable and, by a well-known result of Rolewicz [8], that X is infinite-dimensional. (More generally, no inductive limit of finite-dimensional spaces admits a hypercyclic operator [3].) In fact, every infinite-dimensional separable Fréchet space X carries a hypercyclic operator T [1, 3]. In particular, when X is a Hilbert space, Salas showed [9] that it is possible find such a T whose adjoint also is hypercyclic (see also [10]). This motivates us to study the following question: For what other types of spaces X does there exist an operator $T : X \rightarrow X$ where both T and $T' : X' \rightarrow X'$ are hypercyclic?

Definition 1. An operator $T : X \rightarrow X$ is said to be *dual hypercyclic* when both T and $T' : X' \rightarrow X'$ are hypercyclic. (We assume here that the dual X' is provided with the strong topology so, in particular, X' carries the norm topology when X is a Banach space (see Remark (i) for comments).)

By the discussion above, a necessary condition for the existence of a dual hypercyclic operator on X is that both X and X' are separable, so, for example, ℓ_1 does not support such an operator. An extra necessary condition is the following simple proposition (the proof runs parallel to that of a result of C. Kitai in her thesis).

Received by the editors July 4, 2004 and, in revised form, January 3, 2005.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 47A15, 47A16, 47A05.

Key words and phrases. Hypercyclic, adjoint, Schauder basis, symmetric and shrinking basis.

The author was supported by the The Royal Swedish Academy of Sciences.

Proposition 1. *Let X be a locally convex space and assume that $T : X \rightarrow X$ is dual hypercyclic. Then, for any scalar λ , $T - \lambda$ and $T' - \lambda$ are one-to-one maps, $T - \lambda$ has a dense range and $T' - \lambda$ a $\sigma(X', X)$ -dense range.*

Proof. We assume that X is complex, the real case follows similarly. We prove that $T - \lambda$ has a dense range, or equivalently, that $T' - \lambda$ is one-to-one. Let x be a hypercyclic vector for T . Then $\mathbb{C}(y) \equiv \{\langle T^n x, y \rangle\}_{n \geq 0}$ forms a dense set in $\mathbb{C}$ for any $y \neq 0$ in X' . Assume now that λ is an eigenvalue for T' and y a corresponding eigenvector. Then $\mathbb{C}(y) = \{\langle T^n x, y \rangle = \lambda^n \langle x, y \rangle\}$ which cannot be dense and hence a contradiction. Next, since the transpose of T' is T for the duality (X, X') , the arguments above complete the proof. $\square$

Example 1. a) No unilateral (weighted) backward shift S on $\ell_2 = \ell_2(\mathbb{N})$ has a hypercyclic adjoint since $\ker S \neq 0$ (another argument is that S^* is a forward shift, which is not hypercyclic). $T \equiv S + \text{Id}$ is hypercyclic for any such shift S with positive weights, but $T^* - 1 = S^*$ which has no dense range, so T^* is not hypercyclic. (See [10] for details on all this.)

b) Any non-trivial convolution operator T (such as any translation τ_a , $a \neq 0$, and any $P(D)$, $P \in \mathbb{C}[z_1, \dots, z_n] \setminus \mathbb{C}$), on the Fréchet space $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ of n -variable entire functions (compact-open-topology) is hypercyclic [4, Theorem 5.1]. However, no such operator has a hypercyclic adjoint. Indeed, for any convolution operator T and $z_0 \in \mathbb{C}^n$, $\lambda \equiv T e^{\langle \cdot, z_0 \rangle}(0)$, where $\langle z, \xi \rangle \equiv \sum z_i \xi_i$, is an eigenvalue for T .

c) $\omega = \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{C}$, and the ring of formal power-series $\hat{\mathcal{O}}[z_1, \dots, z_n]$ in n -variables, provided with their natural product topologies (thus reflexive Fréchet spaces), do not support operators with hypercyclic adjoints. Indeed, their strong duals are inductive limits of finite-dimensional spaces.

We shall prove that any infinite-dimensional Banach space with a shrinking symmetric basis (see below) admits a dual hypercyclic operator.

We recall that the theory of cyclicity originates from the invariant subspace theory, and we close this Introduction with a reference to the survey article [6].

2. THE RESULT

We restate the result of Salas in [9] in the following way:

Proposition 2. *Let (u_n) denote the unit basis of $\ell_2(\mathbb{Z})$. There is a bounded sequence of scalars $(b_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ (see [9, p. 766]), such that the (forward shift) operator $W u_n \equiv b_{n+1} u_{n+1}$ and its adjoint $W^* u_n = b_n u_{n-1}$ (backward shift) both are hypercyclic.*

Of course, since any infinite-dimensional separable Hilbert space H is isomorphic to $\ell_2(\mathbb{Z})$, the result shows that any such H admits a hypercyclic operator whose adjoint is also hypercyclic. In view of our purposes, it is convenient to pass over to $\ell_2 = \ell_2(\mathbb{N})$ instead of $\ell_2(\mathbb{Z})$ in the following way.

Let (e_n) denote the canonical unit basis of ℓ_2 . Let $u : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ be an arbitrary bijection (see e.g. Example 2), and let U denote the corresponding isomorphism $\ell_2(\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \ell_2$ defined by $U(u_n) \equiv e_{u(n)}$. Then $S = S_u \equiv U W U^{-1}$ and $S^* = U^{*-1} W^* U^*$ are hypercyclic on ℓ_2 and $S e_{u(n)} = b_{n+1} e_{u(n+1)}$. It is convenient to write out S explicitly. Let m_n denote the unique integer such that $u(m_n) = n$, i.e. $m_n \equiv u^{-1}(n)$, and let $\pi = \pi_u$ denote the permutation of $\mathbb{N}$ defined by $\pi(n) \equiv$

$u(m_n + 1)$. Then S can be written

$$(1) \quad Sx = S_u x = \sum_{n \geq 0} b_{m_n+1}(x, e_n) e_{\pi(n)} = \sum_{k \geq 0} b_{m_{\pi^{-1}(k)}+1}(x, e_{\pi^{-1}(k)}) e_k,$$

and the adjoint S^* is obtained by similar expressions by noting that the adjoint of $x \mapsto (x, f)e$ is $y \mapsto (y, e)f$. (Here $(\cdot, \cdot)$ denotes the inner product in ℓ_2 .)

We recall some fundamentals on Schauder bases of Banach spaces; for a comprehensive exposition of this theory we refer to [7]. If (e_n) denotes a (Schauder-) basis of a Banach space X , we shall tacitly assume that (f_n) denote the corresponding biorthogonal functionals in X' , which are defined by $\langle e_n, f_m \rangle = \delta_m^n$. Recall that (f_n) is a basic sequence in X' , and (e_n) is a *shrinking* basis iff (f_n) is a basis of X' . Thus, ℓ_1 lacks shrinking bases since the dual $\ell_1' \simeq \ell_\infty$ is not separable. Let us also recall that a basis (e_n) is called *symmetric* if $(e_{\pi(n)})$ and (e_n) are equivalent basic sequences for any permutation π of $\mathbb{N}$. (It then follows that $(e_{\pi(n)})$ also forms a basis.) A symmetric basis is necessarily unconditional and, by [7, Theorem 1.c.9], shrinking iff X has no subspace isomorphic to ℓ_1 . The canonical unit bases of c_0 and of ℓ_p ($1 < p < \infty$) are both shrinking and symmetric. (Other types of spaces that admit such bases are Orlicz and Lorentz spaces [7]; recall that ℓ_p is an Orlicz space.)

Lemma 1. *Let X be a Banach space with a symmetric basis (e_n) . Then, for any bounded sequence (ω_n) of scalars and permutation π of $\mathbb{N}$, $Tx \equiv \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \omega_n \langle x, f_n \rangle e_{\pi(n)}$ defines a continuous linear operator on X . The adjoint of T is given by $T'y = \sum \omega_n \langle e_{\pi(n)}, y \rangle f_n$ with weak* convergence.*

Proof. For any unconditional basis (e_n) , $x = \sum \langle x, f_n \rangle e_n \mapsto \sum \omega_n \langle x, f_n \rangle e_n$ defines a bounded operator (see [7, Prop. 1.c.7]). Now if (e_n) is a symmetric basis, the principle of uniform boundedness gives that $x \mapsto \sum \langle x, f_n \rangle e_{\pi(n)}$ forms a bounded operator [7, p. 113]. In particular, $(e_{\pi(n)})$ is an unconditional basis, so, by piecing together the first and the latter part of the proof, we conclude that T is continuous. Hence T' exists and is continuous on X' . (f_n) forms a weak basis, which means that $y = \sum \langle e_n, y \rangle f_n$ weakly in X' for any $y \in X'$ [7, p. 8]. From this it is easily checked that $T'y = \sum \omega_n \langle e_{\pi(n)}, y \rangle f_n$ weakly. $\square$

The series for T , in the lemma, converges unconditionally, and if (e_n) is shrinking, (f_n) is also a symmetric basis, and hence the series for T' converges unconditionally in norm.

Theorem 1. *Any infinite-dimensional Banach space X with a shrinking symmetric basis admits a dual hypercyclic operator $T : X \rightarrow X$.*

Proof. Let (e_n) be a normalized shrinking and symmetric basis in X . Consider the (non-linear) map $Q : \ell_2 \rightarrow X$ defined by $Q((\alpha_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}) \equiv \sum_0^{\infty} \alpha_n^2 e_n$. Since (e_n) is a bounded sequence and $(\alpha_n^2) \in \ell_1$, the series converges in X , and we shall prove that Q is continuous with dense range. The latter is obvious, so we concentrate on the continuity. Let B denote the set in X formed by $B \equiv \{\sum_0^{\infty} \alpha_n e_n : \sum |\alpha_n| \leq 1\}$. It is clear that B is bounded and absolutely convex, and we let X_B denote the normed space obtained from the span of B provided with the Minkowski functional $\|\cdot\|_B$. Clearly, $\text{Im } Q \subseteq X_B$, and since B is bounded, the inclusion map $X_B \rightarrow X$ is continuous. Hence, it suffices to prove that $Q : \ell_2 \rightarrow X_B$ is continuous. By

Cauchy-Schwarz' inequality we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|Q(\alpha) - Q(\beta)\|_B &= \inf\{\lambda > 0 : \lambda^{-1} \sum_{n \geq 0} (\alpha_n^2 - \beta_n^2) e_n \in B\} \\ &\leq \sum_{n \geq 0} |(\alpha_n^2 - \beta_n^2)| = \sum_{n \geq 0} |(\alpha_n - \beta_n)| |(\alpha_n + \beta_n)| \leq \|\alpha - \beta\|_2 \|\alpha + \beta\|_2, \end{aligned}$$

hence the continuity.

Now, let $T = T_u$ be the operator on X defined by

$$(2) \quad Tx = T_u x \equiv \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_{m_n+1}^2 \langle x, f_n \rangle e_{\pi(n)},$$

where b_n are those from Proposition 2, and m_n and the permutation $\pi = \pi_u$ come from the expression (1) for $S = S_u$. Lemma 1 shows that T is continuous. In view of (1) it is easily checked that $TQ = QS$, and hence T is hypercyclic. Indeed, the identity $TQ = QS$ gives that $Q \text{Orb}(S, \alpha) = \text{Orb}(T, Q(\alpha))$, and thus, if α is hypercyclic for S , then $Q \text{Orb}(S, \alpha)$ forms a dense set (since Q is continuous and has a dense range), and hence, $Q(\alpha)$ is hypercyclic for T . In the same way we deduce that the adjoint $T' = T'_u : X' \rightarrow X'$, given by $T'y = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_{m_n+1}^2 \langle e_{\pi(n)}, y \rangle f_n$ (Lemma 1), is hypercyclic. Indeed, since (e_n) is normalized, (f_n) is bounded in X' , and hence, by $Q' : \alpha \mapsto \sum \alpha_n^2 f_n$, we obtain a continuous map between ℓ_2 and X' such that $T'Q' = Q'S^*$. Since (e_n) is shrinking, (f_n) forms a basis of X' and consequently, Q' has a dense range. Thus $Q'(\alpha)$ forms a hypercyclic vector for T' for any such vector $\alpha \in \ell_2$ for S^* . $\square$

Example 2. With u defined by $u(n) \equiv 2n - 1$ for $n \geq 1$ and $u(n) \equiv -2n$ for $n \leq 0$ (i.e. $m_{2n-1} = n$, $m_{2n} = -n$; $\pi(n) = n \pm 2$ when n is odd, respectively even, $\neq 0$ and $\pi(0) = 1$), $T = T_u$ takes the form

$$Tx \equiv b_1^2 \langle x, f_0 \rangle e_1 + \sum_{n \geq 1} b_{n+1}^2 \langle x, f_{2n-1} \rangle e_{2n+1} + b_{-n+1}^2 \langle x, f_{2n} \rangle e_{2n-2},$$

and T'_u is obtained by using $[\langle \cdot, f \rangle e]' = \langle e, \cdot \rangle f$.

3. REMARKS AND QUESTIONS

Remark. (i) We have pointed out that since $\mathcal{L}(\ell_\infty)$ lacks hypercyclic operators, ℓ_1 does not support any dual hypercyclic operator. However, if we allow the dual $\ell'_1 \simeq \ell_\infty$ to be endowed with some other topology, i.e. different from the (strong) norm topology, we may find such an operator. Indeed, the proof of Theorem 1 shows that, for any (normalized) symmetric basis (e_n) (i.e. not necessarily shrinking), (2) defines a hypercyclic operator. So let $T : \ell_1 \rightarrow \ell_1$ denote the hypercyclic operator thus obtained when (e_n) is the (symmetric) unit basis of ℓ_1 . Then the transpose T' exists for the duality (ℓ_1, ℓ_∞) and is thus continuous for $\sigma(\ell_\infty, \ell_1)$. The biorthogonal functionals in ℓ_∞ corresponding to the unit basis of ℓ_1 form a weak basis of ℓ_∞ (see the proof of Lemma 1). From this we deduce that $T' : \ell_\infty \rightarrow \ell_\infty$ is hypercyclic for the weak topology $\sigma(\ell_\infty, \ell_1)$. The general conclusion is: Any infinite-dimensional Banach space with a symmetric basis supports a hypercyclic operator T with T' $\sigma(X', X)$ -hypercyclic.

(ii) We have proved that, given a (normalized) shrinking symmetric basis, then, for *any* choice of bijection u , we obtain by (2) an operator $T = T_u$ of Lemma 1 that is dual hypercyclic. The study of operators with *hypercyclic subspaces*, i.e.

infinite-dimensional closed subspaces whose non-zero vectors are hypercyclic, has become of great interest (see [6, p. 356] for further remarks). In [5] it is proved that a Banach space operator T , that is hereditarily hypercyclic (and thus hypercyclic), has a hypercyclic subspace iff the essential spectrum $\sigma_e(T)$ meets the closed unit disc. Hence, if $T : X \rightarrow X$ and T' are hereditarily hypercyclic, then T has a hypercyclic subspace iff T' does, since $\sigma_e(T) = \sigma_e(T')$. From this we conclude:

Proposition 3. *Both $T_u : X \rightarrow X$ (2) and $T'_u : X' \rightarrow X'$ have a hypercyclic subspace. Thus, every Banach space X with a shrinking symmetric basis admits an operator $T : X \rightarrow X$, where both T and T' have a hypercyclic subspace.*

Proof. It is easily checked that an operator of Lemma 1 is bijective iff (ω_n) is bounded away from zero—which is not satisfied for $(\omega_n = b_{m_n+1}^2)$ in the definition (2) for T_u . Thus, by Proposition 1, $\text{Im } T_u$ is not closed, so $0 \in \sigma_e(T_u) = \sigma_e(T'_u)$. Now, it is known that W and W^* both are hereditarily hypercyclic [2, p. 96], from which we deduce that so are T_u and T'_u . $\square$

(iii) It is a natural question to ask if there is a hypercyclic self-adjoint Hilbert space operator $T : H \rightarrow H$, since it would then be dual hypercyclic. The answer is negative when H is complex, since, for any $x \in H$, $\{(T^n x, x)\}_{n \geq 0} \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ which is not dense in $\mathbb{C}$, so x cannot be hypercyclic for T . Next, the existence of a self-adjoint hypercyclic operator T on a real Hilbert space H would show that the well-known Hypercyclicity Criterion [2, 4, 6] is not necessary for hypercyclicity, which we recall is an open problem. Indeed, T extends to a self-adjoint operator $\tilde{T} : (x, y) \mapsto (Tx, Ty)$, on the complexification $\tilde{H}$ of H , and $\tilde{T}$ cannot satisfy the criterion by the discussion above, so T does not satisfy the criterion by [2, Cor. 2.8].

(iv) Natural questions are:

Question 1. Does there exist a dual hypercyclic operator that is not of the form given by Theorem 1?

Question 2. Does every separable Banach space with separable dual support a dual hypercyclic operator?

Of course, a positive answer to the second question gives also a positive answer to the first one. Further, most of the arguments in Section 2 extend to Fréchet spaces with basis, such as $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{C}^n)$. However, the crucial point is to obtain a bounded basis whose biorthogonal functionals also form a bounded basis which, we think, is not possible. We close with the following:

Question 3. Does there exist any non-normable, say, Fréchet space X that supports a dual hypercyclic operator?

REFERENCES

- [1] S. Ansari, Existence of hypercyclic operators on topological vector spaces, *J. Funct. Anal.* **148** (2) (1997), 384-390. MR1469346 (98h:47028a)
- [2] J. Bés and A. Peris, Hereditarily hypercyclic operators, *J. Funct. Anal.* **167** (1999), 94-112. MR1710637 (2000f:47012)
- [3] J. Bonnet and A. Peris, Hypercyclic Operators on Non-normable Fréchet Spaces, *J. Funct. Anal.* **159** (1998), 587-595. MR1658096 (99k:47044)
- [4] G. Godefroy and J. Shapiro, Operators with dense, invariant, cyclic vector manifolds, *J. Funct. Anal.* **98** (1991), 229-296. MR1111569 (92d:47029)

- [5] M. González, F. L. Saavedra, and A. M. Rodríguez, Semi-Fredholm Theory: Hypercyclic and Supercyclic Subspaces, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* (3) **81** (2000), 169-189. MR1757050 (2001g:47013)
- [6] K.-G. Grosse-Erdmann, Universal families and hypercyclic operators, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* (N.S.) **36** (1999), 345-381. MR1685272 (2000c:47001)
- [7] J. Lindenstrauss and L. Tzafriri, *Classical Banach Spaces I*, Springer-Verlag (Heidelberg), 1977. MR0500056 (58:17766)
- [8] S. Rolewicz, On orbit elements, *Studia Math.* **32** (1969), 17-22. MR0241956 (39:3292)
- [9] H. Salas, A hypercyclic operator whose adjoint is also hypercyclic, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **112** (1991), 765-770. MR1049848 (91j:47016)
- [10] H. Salas, Hypercyclic weighted shifts, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **347** (1995), 993-1004. MR1249890 (95e:47042)

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, CHALMERS/GÖTEBORG UNIVERSITY, SE-412 96,
GÖTEBORG, SWEDEN

E-mail address: `henripet@math.chalmers.se`