# ON THE CONVERGENCE OF MAXIMAL MONOTONE OPERATORS 

JEAN-PAUL PENOT AND CONSTANTIN ZĂLINESCU

(Communicated by Jonathan M. Borwein)<br>Dedicated to F.E. Browder for the impact of his work on nonlinear analysis


#### Abstract

We study the convergence of maximal monotone operators with the help of representations by convex functions. In particular, we prove the convergence of a sequence of sums of maximal monotone operators under a general qualification condition of the Attouch-Brezis type.


## 1. Introduction

Maximal monotone operators represent one of the cornerstones of modern nonlinear analysis. They have been used to model several nonlinear phenomena, and their properties make them valuable in the study of evolution equations and for surjectivity results. For these reasons they have been the subject of several monographs ([2], 4], [5], 23]), and they appear in many books.

One of the incentives for obtaining representations of maximal monotone operators by convex functions is the hope of finding new results about such operators by using tools from convex analysis. Other motivations stem from the analogies between many results concerning the class of maximal monotone operators with corresponding results about closed proper convex functions. Up to now, the representations introduced in [6], 77, [8, [13, [14, [20] have enabled one to devise simple proofs of known results, but they have not been used to establish new results. In the present note we give a general convergence result for sums of maximal monotone operators. It relies on a series of papers by the authors and their collaborators ( 13 , [14], [15], [16], [17], [18, [19], 21], [22]). It encompasses previous results by Attouch-Moudafi-Riahi 1], Pennanen-Revalski-Théra [11, Pennanen-Rockafellar-Théra [12] and settles a conjecture which remained open for some time.

[^0]
## 2. A NEW REPRESENTATION

Let us first recall some basic facts about bounded convergence (also called bounded-Hausdorff convergence, or Attouch-Wets convergence or epi-distance convergence); we refer to [15], 16] for more leisurely recent expositions and bibliographical references. It is the conjunction of two notions requiring persistence and stability in rather demanding (but realistic, compared to crude Hausdorff convergence) ways. These notions are usually defined with the help of truncated Hausdorff excesses and distances; we adopt here an equivalent formulation. Given subsets $A, A_{n}(n \in \mathbb{N})$ of the n.v.s. $X$, we write $A \subset b$ - $\liminf _{n} A_{n}$ if for any bounded sequence $\left(x_{n}\right)$ of $A$ we have $\left(d\left(x_{n}, A_{n}\right)\right) \rightarrow 0$. Similarly, we write $b$ - $\lim \sup A_{n} \subset A$ if for any bounded sequence $\left(x_{n}\right)$ of $X$ such that $x_{n} \in A_{n}$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have $\left(d\left(x_{n}, A\right)\right) \rightarrow 0$. We say that the sequence $\left(A_{n}\right)$ boundedly converges to $A$, and we write $\left(A_{n}\right) \xrightarrow{b} A$ if $A \subset b-\liminf _{n} A_{n}$ and $b-\limsup A_{n} \subset A$. Recall also that for $f, f_{n}: X \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}:=\mathbb{R} \cup\{-\infty,+\infty\}$, the sequence $\left(f_{n}\right)$ boundedly converges to $f$, and we write $\left(f_{n}\right) \xrightarrow{b} f$ if $\left(\right.$ epi $\left.f_{n}\right) \xrightarrow{b}$ epi $f$, where epi $f:=$ $\{(x, s) \in X \times \mathbb{R} \mid f(x) \leq s\}$ is the epigraph of $f$. We write $f \geq b$ - $\limsup f_{n}$ if epi $f \subset b$ - $\lim \inf$ epi $f_{n}$ and $f \leq b-\liminf f_{n}$ if epi $f \supset b-\limsup f_{n}$. As usual, the domain of $f$ is $\operatorname{dom} f:=\{x \in X \mid f(x)<\infty\}$, and $f$ is said to be proper if its domain is nonempty and if $f$ does not take the value $-\infty$. The conjugate $f^{*}$ of $f$ is defined by $f^{*}\left(x^{*}\right):=\sup \left\{\left\langle x, x^{*}\right\rangle-f(x) \mid x \in X\right\}$, and the sublevel (resp. strict sublevel) set at height $r \in \mathbb{R}$ is $[f \leq r]:=\{x \in X \mid f(x) \leq r\}$ (resp. $[f<r]:=\{x \in X \mid f(x)<r\})$.

In the sequel $X$ (and any other space) is a reflexive Banach space and $\Gamma(X)$ denotes the class of proper lower semicontinuous (lsc for short) convex functions defined on $X$. If $A$ is a subset of $X$, the indicator function $\iota_{A}$ of $A$ is the function whose value is 0 on $A$ and $+\infty$ on $X \backslash A$.

A multifunction $M: X \rightrightarrows X^{*}$ is said to be monotone if for any $\left(w, w^{*}\right),\left(x, x^{*}\right)$ in its graph gph $M$, one has $\left\langle w-x, w^{*}-x^{*}\right\rangle \geq 0$. It is maximal monotone, and we write $M \in \mathfrak{M}(X)$ if there is no monotone operator whose graph strictly contains gph $M$. Given a monotone operator $M: X \rightrightarrows X^{*}$, following [8, [13] and [14, one can associate to it the two convex functions $f_{M}$ and $p_{M}$ on $X \times X^{*}$ given by

$$
f_{M}:=\left(c_{M}^{*}\right)^{\top}, \quad p_{M}:=c_{M}^{* *}, \quad \text { where } c_{M}:=c+\iota_{M}
$$

with $M$ identified with $\operatorname{gph} M$ and $c:=\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$, the coupling function on $X \times X^{*}$. It is straightforward to pass from the convergence of a sequence $\left(M_{n}\right)$ of $\mathfrak{M}(X)$ to the convergence of the associated functions $\left(c_{M_{n}}\right)$. However, to get the convergence of the sequence $\left(f_{M_{n}}\right)$ of the Fitzpatrick representatives, one would need a continuity property of the Legendre-Fenchel transform applied to nonconvex functions. To the best of our knowledge, only the following result provides such a property.

Lemma 2.1 ([17, Cor. 19]). Let $\left(f_{n}\right)$ be a family of proper functions from $X$ to $\mathbb{R} \cup\{\infty\}$ which is equi-hypercoercive in the sense that $\lim _{\|x\| \rightarrow \infty} f_{n}(x) /\|x\|=\infty$ uniformly for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Suppose $\left(f_{n}\right) \xrightarrow{b} f$, where $f$ is bounded below on bounded subsets. Then $\left(f_{n}^{*}\right) \xrightarrow{b} f^{*}$. Moreover, $f^{*}$ is bounded on bounded subsets and $\left(f_{n}^{*}\right) \rightarrow$ $f^{*}$ uniformly on bounded sets.

The stringent equi-hypercoercivity assumption is not satisfied by the sequence $\left(c_{M_{n}}\right)$. Thus, we introduce another representative function. For a monotone operator $M$ it is given by

$$
q_{M}:=\overline{\operatorname{conv}}\left(c_{M}+\frac{1}{2}\|\cdot\|^{2}\right):=\left(c_{M}+\frac{1}{2}\|\cdot\|^{2}\right)^{* *}
$$

where $\left\|\left(x, x^{*}\right)\right\|:=\left(\|x\|^{2}+\left\|x^{*}\right\|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}$. In order to relate it to previously defined representative functions, we will make use of the function $\delta: X \times X^{*} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$
\delta\left(x, x^{*}\right):=\left\langle x, x^{*}\right\rangle+\frac{1}{2}\left\|\left(x, x^{*}\right)\right\|^{2}=\left\langle x, x^{*}\right\rangle+\frac{1}{2}\|x\|^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left\|x^{*}\right\|^{2} .
$$

In [20] and 21] good use is made of this function in view of the fact that $\delta\left(x, x^{*}\right) \geq 0$ with equality if, and only if, $x^{*} \in-J(x)$, where $J$ is the usual duality mapping given by

$$
J(x):=\left\{x^{*} \in X^{*}:\left\|x^{*}\right\|=\|x\|,\left\langle x, x^{*}\right\rangle=\|x\|^{2}\right\}
$$

With the new representation, $\delta$ will play a role similar to the one played by the coupling function $c$ with respect to the previous representations.
Lemma 2.2. Let $M \in \mathfrak{M}(X)$ and $q_{M}:=\overline{\operatorname{conv}}\left(c_{M}+\frac{1}{2}\|\cdot\|^{2}\right)$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\iota_{M}+\delta=c_{M}+\frac{1}{2}\|\cdot\|^{2} \geq q_{M} \geq p_{M}+\frac{1}{2}\|\cdot\|^{2} \geq f_{M}+\frac{1}{2}\|\cdot\|^{2} \geq \delta \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover $f_{M}=\left(q_{M}-\frac{1}{2}\|\cdot\|^{2}\right)^{*}$ and $p_{M}=\left(q_{M}-\frac{1}{2}\|\cdot\|^{2}\right)^{* *}$. Furthermore,

$$
M=\left\{\left(x, x^{*}\right) \mid q_{M}\left(x, x^{*}\right)=\delta\left(x, x^{*}\right)\right\} .
$$

Proof. The first inequality in (2.1) is obvious. The second one is due to the fact that $c_{M}+\frac{1}{2}\|\cdot\|^{2} \geq p_{M}+\frac{1}{2}\|\cdot\|^{2}$ and $p_{M}+\frac{1}{2}\|\cdot\|^{2} \in \Gamma\left(X \times X^{*}\right)$. The other two inequalities follow from the relations $p_{M} \geq f_{M} \geq c$ ([14, Thm. 5]).

From the first inequality we deduce that $\left(q_{M}-\frac{1}{2}\|\cdot\|^{2}\right)^{*} \geq c_{M}^{*}=f_{M}^{\top}$, while from the second one we deduce that $\left(q_{M}-\frac{1}{2}\|\cdot\|^{2}\right)^{*} \leq p_{M}^{*}=f_{M}^{\top}$. Equality ensues. Taking conjugates, we get the second equality $p_{M}=\left(q_{M}-\frac{1}{2}\|\cdot\|^{2}\right)^{* *}$.

When $\left(x, x^{*}\right)$ is such that $q_{M}\left(x, x^{*}\right)=\delta\left(x, x^{*}\right)$, one has $q_{M}\left(x, x^{*}\right)-\frac{1}{2}\left\|\left(x, x^{*}\right)\right\|^{2}=$ $\delta\left(x, x^{*}\right)-\frac{1}{2}\left\|\left(x, x^{*}\right)\right\|^{2}=\left\langle x, x^{*}\right\rangle$, hence $p_{M}\left(x, x^{*}\right)=\left\langle x, x^{*}\right\rangle$ and so $\left(x, x^{*}\right) \in M$ ([14, Thm. 5]). Conversely, when $\left(x, x^{*}\right) \in M$, one has $\left(\iota_{M}+\delta\right)\left(x, x^{*}\right)=\delta\left(x, x^{*}\right)$ and the inequalities in (2.1) are equalities.

Lemma 2.3. Let $M \in \mathfrak{M}(X)$ and $f \in \Gamma\left(X \times X^{*}\right)$ be such that $f_{M} \leq f \leq p_{M}$. Then for every $\left(y, y^{*}\right) \in X \times X^{*}$ there exists $\left(z, z^{*}\right) \in M$ such that $z^{*}-y^{*} \in$ $J(y-z)$. Moreover, any $\left(z, z^{*}\right) \in M$ satisfying this relation satisfies the estimate $\left\|\left(z, z^{*}\right)-\left(y, y^{*}\right)\right\| \leq(\sqrt{2}+1) \cdot d\left(\left(y, y^{*}\right), M\right)$. Furthermore one has

$$
\|y-z\|^{2}=\left\|y^{*}-z^{*}\right\|^{2} \leq f\left(y, y^{*}\right)-\left\langle y, y^{*}\right\rangle
$$

Proof. Let $\left(y, y^{*}\right) \in X \times X^{*}$. The first assertion is given by [20, Thms. 10.3, 10.6] which asserts that $\operatorname{gph} M+\operatorname{gph}(-J)=X \times X^{*}$, so that there exists $\left(z, z^{*}\right) \in M$ such that $\left(y-z, z^{*}-y^{*}\right) \in \operatorname{gph} J$. Let $t:=\|y-z\|=\left\|y^{*}-z^{*}\right\|$; by definition of $J$ one has $\left\langle y-z, y^{*}-z^{*}\right\rangle=-t^{2}$. Let us pick some $\left(u, u^{*}\right) \in M$ and use the monotonicity of $M$ to write

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & \leq\left\langle u-z, u^{*}-z^{*}\right\rangle=\left\langle u-y+y-z, u^{*}-y^{*}+y^{*}-z^{*}\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle u-y, u^{*}-y^{*}\right\rangle+\left\langle y-z, u^{*}-y^{*}\right\rangle+\left\langle u-y, y^{*}-z^{*}\right\rangle+\left\langle y-z, y^{*}-z^{*}\right\rangle \\
& \leq\|u-y\| \cdot\left\|u^{*}-y^{*}\right\|+\|y-z\| \cdot\left\|u^{*}-y^{*}\right\|+\|u-y\| \cdot\left\|y^{*}-z^{*}\right\|-t^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, setting $m:=\left(\|u-y\|^{2}+\left\|u^{*}-y^{*}\right\|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}=\left\|\left(y, y^{*}\right)-\left(u, u^{*}\right)\right\|$, it follows that

$$
t^{2} \leq t\left(\left\|u^{*}-y^{*}\right\|+\|u-y\|\right)+\|u-y\| \cdot\left\|u^{*}-y^{*}\right\| \leq \sqrt{2} m t+\frac{1}{2} m^{2}
$$

and so

$$
t \leq \frac{1}{2}(\sqrt{2} m+2 m)=m\left(1+\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{2}\right)
$$

Hence $\left\|\left(z, z^{*}\right)-\left(y, y^{*}\right)\right\|=t \sqrt{2} \leq(\sqrt{2}+1) m$. Taking the infimum over $\left(u, u^{*}\right) \in M$, we obtain the announced estimate.

We also note that the choice of $\left(z, z^{*}\right)$ and the definition of $J$ yield

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2}\|y-z\|^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left\|y^{*}-z^{*}\right\|^{2} & =-\left\langle y-z, y^{*}-z^{*}\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle y, z^{*}\right\rangle+\left\langle z, y^{*}\right\rangle-c_{M}\left(z, z^{*}\right)-\left\langle y, y^{*}\right\rangle \\
& \leq f_{M}\left(y, y^{*}\right)-\left\langle y, y^{*}\right\rangle \leq f\left(y, y^{*}\right)-\left\langle y, y^{*}\right\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

The proof is complete.

## 3. Convergence results

Let us first study the passage from convergence of representative functions to convergence of the associated operators. We write $\left(M_{n}\right) \xrightarrow{b} M$ instead of $\left(\operatorname{gph} M_{n}\right) \xrightarrow{b}$ gph $M$.

Proposition 3.1. Let $M, M_{n} \in \mathfrak{M}(X)$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Consider $f$, $f_{n} \in \Gamma\left(X \times X^{*}\right)$ with $f_{M} \leq f \leq p_{M}$ and $f_{M_{n}} \leq f_{n} \leq p_{M_{n}}$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.
(a) If $f \geq b-\limsup f_{n}$, then $M \subset b-\lim \inf M_{n}$.
(b) If $f \leq b-\liminf f_{n}$, then $b-\lim \sup M_{n} \subset M$.
(c) If $\left(f_{n}\right) \xrightarrow{b} f$, then $\left(M_{n}\right) \xrightarrow{b} M$.

Proof. (a) Let $\left(\left(x_{n}, x_{n}^{*}\right)\right) \subset M$ be bounded. Then $w_{n}:=\left(x_{n}, x_{n}^{*},\left\langle x_{n}, x_{n}^{*}\right\rangle\right) \in$ epi $f$ and $\left(w_{n}\right)$ is also bounded. From our hypothesis, there exists a sequence $\left(w_{n}^{\prime}\right)$ such that $w_{n}^{\prime}:=\left(y_{n}, y_{n}^{*}, t_{n}\right) \in$ epi $f_{n}$ for every $n$ and $\left\|w_{n}-w_{n}^{\prime}\right\| \rightarrow 0$. By Lemma 2.3 applied to $M_{n}$ and $\left(y_{n}, y_{n}^{*}\right)$, there exists $\left(z_{n}, z_{n}^{*}\right) \in M_{n}$ such that

$$
\frac{1}{2}\left\|y_{n}-z_{n}\right\|^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left\|y_{n}^{*}-z_{n}^{*}\right\|^{2} \leq f_{n}\left(y_{n}, y_{n}^{*}\right)-\left\langle y_{n}, y_{n}^{*}\right\rangle \leq t_{n}-\left\langle y_{n}, y_{n}^{*}\right\rangle=: \varepsilon_{n}
$$

Because $\left\|x_{n}-y_{n}\right\| \rightarrow 0,\left\|x_{n}^{*}-y_{n}^{*}\right\| \rightarrow 0,\left|t_{n}-\left\langle x_{n}, x_{n}^{*}\right\rangle\right| \rightarrow 0$ and the sequence $\left(\left(x_{n}, x_{n}^{*}\right)\right)$ is bounded, we obtain easily that $\varepsilon_{n} \rightarrow 0$. Hence $\left\|y_{n}-z_{n}\right\| \rightarrow 0$, $\left\|y_{n}^{*}-z_{n}^{*}\right\| \rightarrow 0$, whence $d\left(\left(x_{n}, x_{n}^{*}\right), M_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$.
(b) is obtained similarly; (c) is an immediate consequence of (a) and (b).

The preceding implications can be changed into equivalences if one substitutes the new representatives $q_{M_{n}}$ for the original representatives $f_{n}$.

Proposition 3.2. Let $M_{n} \in \mathfrak{M}(X)$ for $n \geq 0$. Then the following assertions hold:
(a) if $\left(d\left((0,0), M_{n}\right)\right)$ is bounded, then $M_{0} \supset b-\lim \sup M_{n} \Leftrightarrow q_{M_{0}} \leq b-\liminf q_{M_{n}}$;
(b) $M_{0} \subset b-\lim \inf M_{n} \Leftrightarrow q_{M_{0}} \geq b-\limsup q_{M_{n}}$;
(c) $\left(M_{n}\right) \xrightarrow{b} M_{0} \Leftrightarrow\left(q_{M_{n}}\right) \xrightarrow{b} q_{M_{0}}$.

Proof. During the proof we denote by $f_{n}$ the function $\iota_{M_{n}}+\delta$ for $n \geq 0$. Of course, we have that $q_{M_{n}}=f_{n}^{* *}$.
(a) Assume that $\left(d\left((0,0), M_{n}\right)\right)_{n \geq 0}$ is bounded; this means that there exists a bounded sequence $\left(\left(x_{n}, x_{n}^{*}\right)\right)$ with $\left(x_{n}, x_{n}^{*}\right) \in M_{n}$ for every $n \geq 0$. Taking an arbitrary $\left(x, x^{*}\right) \in M_{n}$, and using the monotonicity of $M_{n}$, we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta\left(x, x^{*}\right) & =\frac{1}{2}\left\|\left(x, x^{*}\right)\right\|^{2}+\left\langle x-x_{n}, x^{*}-x_{n}^{*}\right\rangle+\left\langle x_{n}, x^{*}\right\rangle+\left\langle x, x_{n}^{*}\right\rangle-\left\langle x_{n}, x_{n}^{*}\right\rangle \\
& \geq \frac{1}{2}\left\|\left(x, x^{*}\right)\right\|^{2}-\left\|x_{n}\right\|\left\|x^{*}\right\|-\|x\|\left\|x_{n}^{*}\right\|-\left\|x_{n}\right\|\left\|x_{n}^{*}\right\| \\
& \geq \frac{1}{2}\left\|\left(x, x^{*}\right)\right\|^{2}-\alpha\left\|\left(x, x^{*}\right)\right\|-\beta=: \zeta\left(x, x^{*}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

for some $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$ (independent of $n \geq 0$ ). Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{n}:=\iota_{M_{n}}+\delta \geq \zeta \quad \forall n \geq 0 \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assume that $M_{0} \supset b-\lim \sup M_{n}$. Then $M_{0} \times \mathbb{R}_{+} \supset b-\lim \sup \left(M_{n} \times \mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$, and so $\iota_{M_{0}} \leq b-\lim \inf \iota_{M_{n}}$. Because $\delta$ is Lipschitz on bounded sets, using [3, Thm. 7.1.5] (more precisely with a similar proof) we have that $f_{0} \leq b$ - $\lim \inf f_{n}$. From (3.1) we have that the family of functions $\left\{f_{n} \mid n \geq 0\right\}$ is equi-hypercoercive and equi-bounded from below. Moreover, $\left(x_{n}, x_{n}^{*}, \delta\left(x_{n}, x_{n}^{*}\right)\right) \in$ epi $f_{n}$ for every $n$, and so $\left(d\left(0, \text { epi } f_{n}\right)\right)_{n \geq 0}$ is bounded. Using [17, Lemma 17, Prop. 18] we obtain that $f_{0}^{*} \geq b-\lim \sup f_{n}^{*}$. Now using [17, Thm. 14(a)] we obtain that $f_{0}^{* *} \leq b-\lim \inf f_{n}^{* *}$, that is $q_{M_{0}} \leq b-\liminf q_{M_{n}}$.

Conversely, assume that $q_{M_{0}} \leq b-\lim \inf q_{M_{n}}$, and take a bounded sequence $\left(\left(x_{n}, x_{n}^{*}\right)\right)_{n \geq 1}$ with $\left(x_{n}, x_{n}^{*}\right) \in M_{n}$ for every $n \geq 1$. Setting $v_{n}:=\left(x_{n}, x_{n}^{*}, \delta\left(x_{n}, x_{n}^{*}\right)\right)$ $\in$ epi $f_{n} \subset$ epi $q_{M_{n}},\left(v_{n}\right)_{n>1}$ is also bounded. Therefore, there exists a sequence $\left(w_{n}\right) \subset \operatorname{epi} q_{M_{0}}$ such that $\left\|v_{n}-w_{n}\right\| \rightarrow 0$. Let $w_{n}:=\left(y_{n}, y_{n}^{*}, t_{n}\right)$; by Lemma 2.2, $\delta\left(y_{n}, y_{n}^{*}\right) \leq q_{M_{n}}\left(y_{n}, y_{n}^{*}\right) \leq t_{n}$. By Lemma2.3, for every $n$, there exists $\left(z_{n}, z_{n}^{*}\right) \in M_{n}$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2}\left\|y_{n}-z_{n}\right\|^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left\|y_{n}^{*}-z_{n}^{*}\right\|^{2} & \leq p_{M_{n}}\left(y_{n}, y_{n}^{*}\right)-\left\langle y_{n}, y_{n}^{*}\right\rangle \\
& \leq q_{M_{n}}\left(y_{n}, y_{n}^{*}\right)-\delta\left(y_{n}, y_{n}^{*}\right) \leq t_{n}-\delta\left(y_{n}, y_{n}^{*}\right) \\
& \leq\left|t_{n}-\delta\left(x_{n}, x_{n}^{*}\right)\right|+\left|\delta\left(x_{n}, x_{n}^{*}\right)-\delta\left(y_{n}, y_{n}^{*}\right)\right| .
\end{aligned}
$$

Because $\left(\left(x_{n}, x_{n}^{*}\right)\right)$ is bounded and $\left\|x_{n}-y_{n}\right\| \rightarrow 0,\left\|x_{n}^{*}-y_{n}^{*}\right\| \rightarrow 0$, it follows that $\left|\delta\left(x_{n}, x_{n}^{*}\right)-\delta\left(y_{n}, y_{n}^{*}\right)\right| \rightarrow 0$, and so $\left\|y_{n}-z_{n}\right\| \rightarrow 0,\left\|y_{n}^{*}-z_{n}^{*}\right\| \rightarrow 0$. We get that $d\left(\left(x_{n}, x_{n}^{*}\right), M_{0}\right) \rightarrow 0$. Hence $M_{0} \supset b$ - $\lim \sup M_{n}$.
(b) Observe that $\left(d\left((0,0), M_{n}\right)\right)_{n \geq 0}$ is bounded when $M_{0} \subset b-\lim \inf M_{n}$. The rest of the proof is similar to that of (i) (noting that from (3.1) we obtain that $f_{n}^{*} \leq \zeta^{*}$ for every $n \geq 0$, and so the condition of [17, Thm. 14(b)] is satisfied).
(c) is an immediate consequence of (a) and (b).

## 4. Partial operators and their convergence

Given another Banach space $Y$, let $F: X \times Y \rightrightarrows X^{*} \times Y^{*}$ be monotone. In this section we consider the operator $G: X \rightrightarrows X^{*}$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{gph} G:=\left\{\left(x, x^{*}\right) \in X \times X^{*} \mid \exists y^{*} \in Y^{*}:\left(x, 0, x^{*}, y^{*}\right) \in \operatorname{gph} F\right\} . \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows easily that $G$ is monotone (see [19, Lemma 3.3]), but not necessarily maximal monotone. We intend to give conditions ensuring that $G$ is maximal monotone when $F$ is maximal monotone and to study convergence questions related to this construction. We first provide a preliminary result of independent interest.

Theorem 4.1. Let $F: X \times Y \rightrightarrows X^{*} \times Y^{*}$ be a monotone multifunction. Assume that $0 \in \operatorname{int}\left(\operatorname{conv}\left(\operatorname{Pr}_{Y}(\operatorname{dom} F)\right)\right)$. Then

$$
\forall p>0, \exists q>0, \forall\left(x, 0, x^{*}, y^{*}\right) \in \operatorname{gph} F:\|x\| \leq p,\left\|x^{*}\right\| \leq p \Rightarrow\left\|y^{*}\right\| \leq q
$$

Proof. Let $f_{F}$ be the Fitzpatrick function associated to $F$. Because $F$ is monotone, we have that $f_{F} \leq c_{F}\left([8],\left[14\right.\right.$, Prop. 4]), and so $\operatorname{gph} F=\operatorname{dom} c_{F} \subset$ dom $f_{F}$. It follows that $\operatorname{Pr}_{Y}(\operatorname{dom} F)=\operatorname{Pr}_{Y}(\operatorname{gph} F) \subset \operatorname{Pr}_{Y}\left(\operatorname{dom} f_{F}\right)$, and so, conv $\left(\operatorname{Pr}_{Y}(\operatorname{dom} F)\right) \subset \operatorname{Pr}_{Y}\left(\operatorname{dom} f_{F}\right)$. Using the Robinson-Ursescu Theorem, we find some $r, \rho, m>0$ such that, denoting by $U_{Z}$ the closed unit ball of a normed vector space $Z$, we have

$$
\forall y \in r U_{Y}, \exists\left(u, u^{*}, v^{*}\right) \in \rho U_{X \times X^{*} \times Y^{*}}: f_{F}\left(u, y, u^{*}, v^{*}\right) \leq m
$$

Let $p>0$ and $\left(x, 0, x^{*}, y^{*}\right) \in \operatorname{gph} F$ with $\|x\| \leq p,\left\|x^{*}\right\| \leq p$. Fix $y \in r U_{Y}$ and take $\left(u, u^{*}, v^{*}\right) \in \rho U_{X \times X^{*} \times Y^{*}}$ such that $f_{F}\left(u, y, u^{*}, v^{*}\right) \leq m$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
m & \geq\left\langle(x, 0),\left(u^{*}, v^{*}\right)\right\rangle+\left\langle(u, y),\left(x^{*}, y^{*}\right)\right\rangle-\left\langle(x, 0),\left(x^{*}, y^{*}\right)\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle x, u^{*}\right\rangle+\left\langle u, x^{*}\right\rangle+\left\langle y, y^{*}\right\rangle-\left\langle x, x^{*}\right\rangle \\
& \geq\left\langle y, y^{*}\right\rangle-p \cdot \rho-\rho \cdot p-p \cdot p,
\end{aligned}
$$

and so $\left\langle y, y^{*}\right\rangle \leq m+p(p+2 \rho)$. It follows that $\left\|y^{*}\right\| \leq q:=r^{-1}[m+p(p+2 \rho)]$. The conclusion follows.

Note that the preceding result is valid for $X, Y$ arbitrary Banach spaces (or even for barreled n.v.s.) because $f$ is convex and lsc w.r.t. the strong topologies on $X, Y, X^{*}, Y^{*}$.

As a corollary we derive the well-known result on the local boundedness of monotone operators on the interior of their domains; just take $X:=\{0\}$ in the previous result.

The proof above shows that given some $f \in \Gamma(X \times Y)$ such that $f_{F} \leq f$ one has the implication (4.2) $\Rightarrow$ (4.3) where

$$
\begin{equation*}
r U_{Y} \subset \operatorname{Pr}_{Y}\left([f \leq m] \cap \rho\left(U_{X} \times Y \times U_{X^{*}} \times U_{Y^{*}}\right)\right) \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and, setting $q(p):=r^{-1}[m+p(p+2 \rho)]$ for $p \in \mathbb{P}:=(0,+\infty)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(x, 0, x^{*}, y^{*}\right) \in \operatorname{gph} F:\|x\| \leq p,\left\|x^{*}\right\| \leq p \Rightarrow\left\|y^{*}\right\| \leq q(p) \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The above implication yields the following result.
Corollary 4.2. Let $F: X \times Y \rightrightarrows X^{*} \times Y^{*}$ be a maximal monotone multifunction. Assume that $r, \rho, m>0$ are such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
r U_{Y} \subset \operatorname{Pr}_{Y}\left(\left[q_{F} \leq m\right] \cap \rho\left(U_{X} \times Y \times U_{X^{*}} \times U_{Y^{*}}\right)\right) \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then (4.3) holds and $G$ given by (4.1) is maximal monotone.
Proof. By Lemma 2.2 we have that $f_{F} \leq p_{F} \leq q_{F}$, and so $\left[q_{F} \leq m\right] \subset\left[p_{F} \leq m\right] \subset$ $\left[f_{F} \leq m\right]$. Hence (4.4) $\Rightarrow$ (4.2), with $f=f_{F}$ or $f=p_{F}$ and so (4.3) holds. The maximality of $G$ follows from [19, Prop. 3.4].
Theorem 4.3. Let $F, F_{n}: X \times Y \rightrightarrows X^{*} \times Y^{*}$ be maximal monotone multifunctions and let $G, G_{n}: X \rightrightarrows X^{*}$ be the monotone multifunctions associated with them as defined in (4.1). Assume that $Y=\mathbb{R}_{+}\left(\operatorname{Pr}_{Y}(\operatorname{dom} F)\right)$ and $\left(F_{n}\right) \xrightarrow{b} F$. Then $G$ and $G_{n}$ for large $n$ are maximal monotone and $\left(G_{n}\right) \xrightarrow{b} G$.

Proof. From Proposition 3.2 we have that $\left(q_{F_{n}}\right) \xrightarrow{b} q_{F}$. From (2.1) we obtain that $\operatorname{gph} F \subset \operatorname{dom} q_{F} \subset \operatorname{dom} f_{F}$, and so

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Pr}_{Y}(\operatorname{dom} F)=\operatorname{Pr}_{Y}(\operatorname{gph} F) \subset \operatorname{Pr}_{Y}\left(\operatorname{dom} q_{F}\right) \subset \operatorname{Pr}_{Y}\left(\operatorname{dom} f_{F}\right) \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows that $Y=\mathbb{R}_{+}\left(\operatorname{Pr}_{Y}(\operatorname{dom} F)\right)=\mathbb{R}_{+}\left(\operatorname{Pr}_{Y}\left(\operatorname{dom} q_{F}\right)\right)$. Taking into account [18, Rem. 3.1(b)] and [18, Prop. 3.4(c)], we have that there exist some $r, \rho, m>0$ such that (4.4) holds. Applying [18, Lemma 3.5] with $Y_{0}^{\prime}=\{0\}$, we obtain that there exist $n_{0} \geq 1$ and $r^{\prime}, \rho^{\prime}, m^{\prime}>0$ such that

$$
r^{\prime} U_{Y} \subset \operatorname{Pr}_{Y}\left(\left[q_{F_{n}} \leq m^{\prime}\right] \cap \rho^{\prime}\left(U_{X} \times Y \times U_{X^{*}} \times U_{Y^{*}}\right)\right) \quad \forall n \geq n_{0}
$$

Without loss of generality, we may assume (and we do) that $r=r^{\prime}, m=m^{\prime}, \rho=\rho^{\prime}$, that is (4.4) holds with $F$ replaced by $F_{n}$, for every $n \in N_{0}:=\left\{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid n \geq n_{0}\right\}$. By [19, Prop. 12] or Corollary 4.2 we obtain that $G$ and $G_{n}$ for $n \geq n_{0}$ are maximal monotone.

Let $\left(\left(x_{n}, x_{n}^{*}\right)\right)$ be a bounded sequence in the graph of $G$. By construction and the preceding corollary, there exists a bounded sequence $\left(y_{n}^{*}\right)$ such that $\left(x_{n}, 0, x_{n}^{*}, y_{n}^{*}\right) \in$ $F$ for each $n$. Then there exists a sequence $\left(\left(u_{n}, v_{n}, u_{n}^{*}, v_{n}^{*}\right)\right)$ with $\left(u_{n}, v_{n}, u_{n}^{*}, v_{n}^{*}\right)$ in the graph of $F_{n}$ for every $n$ such that

$$
\left\|\left(u_{n}, v_{n}, u_{n}^{*}, v_{n}^{*}\right)-\left(x_{n}, 0, x_{n}^{*}, y_{n}^{*}\right)\right\| \rightarrow 0
$$

Applying Lemma 2.3 to $G_{n}\left(n \in N_{0}\right)$ and $\left(u_{n}, u_{n}^{*}\right)$, we find some $\left(w_{n}, w_{n}^{*}\right) \in G_{n}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{n}:=\left\|w_{n}-u_{n}\right\|^{2}=\left\|w_{n}^{*}-u_{n}^{*}\right\|^{2}=-\left\langle u_{n}-w_{n}, u_{n}^{*}-w_{n}^{*}\right\rangle \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The construction of $G_{n}$ yields some $z_{n}^{*} \in Y^{*}$ such that $\left(w_{n}, 0, w_{n}^{*}, z_{n}^{*}\right) \in F_{n}$. Since $F_{n}$ is monotone, we have

$$
\left\langle\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right)-\left(w_{n}, 0\right),\left(u_{n}^{*}, v_{n}^{*}\right)-\left(w_{n}^{*}, z_{n}^{*}\right)\right\rangle \geq 0
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{n}=-\left\langle u_{n}-w_{n}, u_{n}^{*}-w_{n}^{*}\right\rangle \leq\left\langle v_{n}, v_{n}^{*}-z_{n}^{*}\right\rangle \leq\left\|v_{n}\right\| \cdot\left\|v_{n}^{*}-z_{n}^{*}\right\| \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Set $p_{n}:=\max \left\{\left\|w_{n}\right\|,\left\|w_{n}^{*}\right\|\right\}$ and let $\beta>0$ be such that $\left\|u_{n}\right\|,\left\|u_{n}^{*}\right\|,\left\|v_{n}^{*}\right\| \leq \beta$ for every $n$. By Corollary 4.2 we have that $\left\|z_{n}^{*}\right\| \leq r^{-1}\left[m+p_{n}\left(p_{n}+2 \rho\right)\right]$ for $n \in N_{0}$. Assume that $\left(p_{n}\right)$ is not bounded. Then $\left(p_{n}\right)_{n \in P} \rightarrow \infty$ for an infinite subset $P$ of $N_{0}$; we may assume that $p_{n} \geq \beta$ for $n \in P$. From (4.6) and (4.7) we get

$$
\left(p_{n}-\beta\right)^{2} \leq\left\|v_{n}\right\| \cdot\left(r^{-1}\left[m+p_{n}\left(p_{n}+2 \rho\right)\right]+\beta\right) \quad \forall n \in P
$$

Dividing both sides of this inequality by $p_{n}^{2}$ and taking the limit for $n \rightarrow \infty$, we get the contradiction $1 \leq 0$ because $\left(v_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$. Hence $\left(p_{n}\right)$ is bounded, and so $\left(z_{n}^{*}\right)$ is bounded, too. From (4.7) we obtain that $\left(r_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$. Since $\left(\left(u_{n}-x_{n}, u_{n}^{*}-x_{n}^{*}\right)\right) \rightarrow$ $(0,0)$, we get $\left(d\left(\left(x_{n}, x_{n}^{*}\right), G_{n}\right)\right) \rightarrow 0$.

Now let $\left(\left(x_{n}, x_{n}^{*}\right)\right)$ be a bounded sequence such that $\left(x_{n}, x_{n}^{*}\right) \in G_{n}$ for every $n$. By the construction of $G_{n}$, there exists a sequence $\left(y_{n}^{*}\right)$ such that $\left(x_{n}, 0, x_{n}^{*}, y_{n}^{*}\right) \in F_{n}$ for each $n$. By Corollary 4.2 applied for $F$ replaced by $F_{n}$ with $n \geq n_{0}$ (relation (4.4) is satisfied by $F_{n}$ for $n \in N_{0}$ ), we obtain that $\left(y_{n}^{*}\right)$ is bounded. Then there exists a sequence $\left(\left(u_{n}, v_{n}, u_{n}^{*}, v_{n}^{*}\right)\right) \subset \operatorname{gph} F$ such that

$$
\varepsilon_{n}:=\left\|\left(u_{n}, v_{n}, u_{n}^{*}, v_{n}^{*}\right)-\left(x_{n}, 0, x_{n}^{*}, y_{n}^{*}\right)\right\| \rightarrow 0
$$

Applying Lemma 2.3 to $\left(u_{n}, u_{n}^{*}\right)$, we find some $\left(w_{n}, w_{n}^{*}\right) \in G$ such that

$$
r_{n}:=\left\|w_{n}-u_{n}\right\|^{2}=\left\|w_{n}^{*}-u_{n}^{*}\right\|^{2}=-\left\langle u_{n}-w_{n}, u_{n}^{*}-w_{n}^{*}\right\rangle
$$

The construction of $G$ yields some $z_{n}^{*} \in Y^{*}$ such that $\left(w_{n}, 0, w_{n}^{*}, z_{n}^{*}\right) \in F$. Since $F$ is monotone, we have

$$
\left\langle\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right)-\left(w_{n}, 0\right),\left(u_{n}^{*}, v_{n}^{*}\right)-\left(w_{n}^{*}, z_{n}^{*}\right)\right\rangle \geq 0
$$

and so

$$
r_{n}=-\left\langle u_{n}-w_{n}, u_{n}^{*}-w_{n}^{*}\right\rangle \leq\left\langle v_{n}, v_{n}^{*}-z_{n}^{*}\right\rangle \leq\left\|v_{n}\right\| \cdot\left\|v_{n}^{*}-z_{n}^{*}\right\|
$$

Proceeding as above, since $\left(v_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$ we obtain that $\left(r_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$. Since $\left(\left(u_{n}-x_{n}\right.\right.$, $\left.\left.u_{n}^{*}-x_{n}^{*}\right)\right) \rightarrow(0,0)$, we get $\left(d\left(\left(x_{n}, x_{n}^{*}\right), G\right)\right) \rightarrow 0$. Therefore $\left(G_{n}\right) \xrightarrow{b} G$.

## 5. Applications to the construction of new operators

As in 19, having a multifunction $F: X \times Y \rightrightarrows X^{*} \times Y^{*}$ and $A \in L(X, Y)$, that is, a continuous linear operator $A$ from $X$ into $Y$, we consider the multifunction $F_{A}: X \times Y \rightrightarrows X^{*} \times Y^{*}$ whose graph is

$$
\operatorname{gph} F_{A}:=\left\{\left(x, y, x^{*}, y^{*}\right) \mid\left(x^{*}-A^{*} y^{*}, y^{*}\right) \in F(x, A x+y)\right\}
$$

Thus $F_{A}:=S^{*} \circ F \circ S^{-1}$, where $S: X \times Y \rightarrow X \times Y$ is the linear isomorphism given by $S(x, y)=(x, y-A x)$. This observation easily implies that $F_{A}$ is monotone when $F$ is so. Observe that $\operatorname{gph} F_{A}=T(\operatorname{gph} F)$, where $T:=S^{-1} \times S^{*}: X \times Y \times X^{*} \times Y^{*} \rightarrow$ $X \times Y \times X^{*} \times Y^{*}$ is given by $T\left(x, y, x^{*}, y^{*}\right):=\left(x, y-A x, x^{*}+A^{*} y^{*}, y^{*}\right)$. The operator $T$ is an isomorphism of normed vector spaces. Now considering a sequence of multifunctions $F_{n}: X \times Y \rightrightarrows X^{*} \times Y$ and a sequence $\left(A_{n}\right)$ of $L(X, Y)$, we easily obtain

$$
\left[\left(F_{n}\right) \xrightarrow{b} F,\left\|A_{n}-A\right\| \rightarrow 0\right] \Rightarrow\left(\left(F_{n}\right)_{A_{n}}\right) \xrightarrow{b} F_{A} .
$$

The next result is then an immediate consequence of the preceding theorem, where

$$
\operatorname{gph} H:=\left\{\left(x, x^{*}\right) \in X \times X^{*} \mid \exists y^{*} \in Y^{*}:\left(x^{*}-A^{*} y^{*}, y^{*}\right) \in F(x, A x)\right\}
$$

and $H_{n}$ is similarly defined. We use the fact that $H$ is obtained from $F_{A}$ as $G$ is obtained from $F$. We also use the property that when $T, T_{n}$ are continuous linear operators with $\left(\left\|T_{n}-T\right\|\right) \rightarrow 0$ and $\left(F_{n}\right) \xrightarrow{b} F$, then $\left(T_{n}\left(\operatorname{gph} F_{n}\right)\right) \xrightarrow{b} T(\operatorname{gph} F)$.
Corollary 5.1. Let $F, F_{n} \in \mathfrak{M}(X \times Y)$ be such that $\left(F_{n}\right) \xrightarrow{b} F$ and let $A, A_{n} \in$ $L(X, Y)$ be such that $\left\|A_{n}-A\right\| \rightarrow 0$. Assume that $Y=\mathbb{R}_{+}\{A x-y \mid(x, y) \in$ $\operatorname{dom} F\}$. Then $H$ and $H_{n}$ for large $n$ are maximal monotone and $\left(H_{n}\right) \xrightarrow{b} H$.

A particular case is when $M, M_{n} \in \mathfrak{M}(X), N, N_{n} \in \mathfrak{M}(Y)$ and $F:=M \times N$, that is, $F(x, y):=M(x) \times N(y)$ and $F_{n}:=M_{n} \times N_{n}$.

Corollary 5.2. Let $M, M_{n} \in \mathfrak{M}(X), N, N_{n} \in \mathfrak{M}(Y)$ be such that $\left(M_{n}\right) \xrightarrow{b} M$, $\left(N_{n}\right) \xrightarrow{b} N$ and let $A, A_{n} \in L(X, Y)$ be such that $\left\|A_{n}-A\right\| \rightarrow 0$. Assume that $Y=\mathbb{R}_{+}(A(\operatorname{dom} M)-\operatorname{dom} N)$. Then $M+A^{*} N A$ and $M_{n}+A_{n}^{*} N_{n} A_{n}$ for large $n$ are maximal monotone and $\left(M_{n}+A_{n}^{*} N_{n} A_{n}\right) \xrightarrow{b} M+A^{*} N A$.

The special cases when $M=M_{n}=0$, and when $X=Y$ and $A=A_{n}=I_{X}$, the identify mapping on $X$, are important.

Corollary 5.3. Let $N, N_{n} \in \mathfrak{M}(Y)$, be such that $\left(N_{n}\right) \xrightarrow{b} N$, and let $A, A_{n} \in$ $L(X, Y)$ be such that $\left\|A_{n}-A\right\| \rightarrow 0$. Assume that $Y=\mathbb{R}_{+}(A(X)-\operatorname{dom} N)$. Then $A^{*} N A$ and $A_{n}^{*} N_{n} A_{n}$ for large $n$ are maximal monotone and $\left(A_{n}^{*} N_{n} A_{n}\right) \xrightarrow{b} A^{*} N A$.

Corollary 5.4. Let $M, M_{n}, N, N_{n} \in \mathfrak{M}(X)$ be such that $\left(M_{n}\right) \xrightarrow{b} M$ and $\left(N_{n}\right) \xrightarrow{b}$ $N$. Assume that $Y=\mathbb{R}_{+}(\operatorname{dom} M-\operatorname{dom} N)$. Then $M+N$ and $M_{n}+N_{n}$ for large $n$ are maximal monotone and $\left(M_{n}+N_{n}\right) \xrightarrow{b} M+N$.

Note that the result in the preceding corollary has been proved by Attouch-Moudafi-Riahi [1] for $X$ a Hilbert space under the stronger condition dom $M \cap$ $\operatorname{int}(\operatorname{dom} N) \neq \emptyset$ and a certain condition $(Q)$. Pennanen-Revalski-Théra 11 showed that the condition $(Q)$ is implied by the condition $\operatorname{dom} M \cap \operatorname{int}(\operatorname{dom} N) \neq \emptyset$. Note that when $X$ is finite dimensional, Corollary 5.4 covers the recent result by Pennanen-Rockafellar-Théra 12 .
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