SEPARATION OF SPECTRA FOR BLOCK TRIANGLES

ROBIN HARTE AND CORA STACK

(Communicated by Joseph A. Ball)

ABSTRACT. Separation of the spectra of the diagonal elements of a block triangle corresponds to comparison with its fundamental projection.

Suppose

$$(0.1) \quad G = \begin{pmatrix} A & M \\ N & B \end{pmatrix} \equiv \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & m \\ n & b \end{pmatrix} : (a, m, n, b) \in A \times M \times N \times B \right\}$$

is a Banach algebra with block structure: thus [3] A and B are Banach algebras with identities and M and N are bimodules over A and B and everything is explained by formal matrix multiplication. An *upper triangle* in G is an element of the form

$$(0.2) \quad T = \begin{pmatrix} a & m \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix}.$$

It is well known [2], [3], that of the three spectra

$$(0.3) \quad \sigma_G(T), \sigma_A(a), \sigma_B(b),$$

each is contained in the union of the other two: this extends more generally to “spectral triangles” [4]. Disjointness between the spectra of $a \in A$ and $b \in B$, or significant subsets of them, has consequences expressible [3] in terms of a comparison between the operators

$$(0.4) \quad S = \begin{pmatrix} a & am - mb \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix}, \quad P = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & m \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

1. Theorem. *If $f \in \text{Holo}(\sigma(a) \cup \sigma(b))$ is holomorphic near the spectra of $a \in A$ and $b \in B$, then*

$$(1.1) \quad f \begin{pmatrix} a & am - mb \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} f(a) & f(a)m - mf(b) \\ 0 & f(b) \end{pmatrix}.$$

In particular if and only if S has disjoint diagonal spectra

$$(1.2) \quad \sigma_A(a) \cap \sigma_B(b) = \emptyset,$$

then P is a holomorphic function of S :

$$(1.3) \quad P = f(S) \text{ with } f \in \text{Holo } \sigma(S).$$

Received by the editors January 30, 2007, and, in revised form, June 14, 2007.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 47A10.

Key words and phrases. Banach algebra, separation of spectra, block matrices.

Proof. We are writing $f \in \text{Holo}(K)$, for subsets $K \subseteq \mathbf{C}$, to mean that $f : D_f \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ is defined and holomorphic on some neighbourhood $D_f \in \text{Nbd}(K)$. Generally,

$$(1.4) \quad f \begin{pmatrix} a & m \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} f(a) & f^\wedge(a; m; b) \\ 0 & f(b) \end{pmatrix}$$

with

$$(1.5) \quad f^\wedge(a; m; b) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{\sigma(a) \cup \sigma(b)} f(z)(z-a)^{-1}m(z-b)^{-1}dz ;$$

now replace m by $am - mb = m(z-b) - (z-a)m$ for (1.1). For (1.3) take

$$(1.6) \quad f = \chi_K \text{ with } K = \sigma(a) \subseteq \sigma(a) \cup \sigma(b) ;$$

conversely if (1.3) holds, then

$$(1.7) \quad \sigma_A(a) \subseteq f^{-1}(1) , \quad \sigma_B(b) \subseteq f^{-1}(0)$$

are necessarily disjoint. □

If in particular $m = 0$, then $S = T$, as in Theorem 1 of [3].

If we write [3], for $f \in \text{Holo}(U, X)$ on open $U \subseteq \mathbf{C}$,

$$(1.8) \quad \Delta f(\alpha, \beta) = \delta_\alpha f(\beta) = \delta_\beta f(\alpha) = \begin{cases} (f(\beta) - f(\alpha))/(\beta - \alpha) & \beta \neq \alpha \\ f'(\alpha) & \beta = \alpha \end{cases},$$

then if $\sigma(a) \cup \sigma(b) \subseteq U$, we can write

$$(1.9) \quad f^\wedge(a; m; b) = \Delta f(L_a, R_b)(m) ,$$

at least if $\exists (L_a - R_b)^{-1} \in B(M)$. At another extreme, if $B = A$,

$$(1.10) \quad f^\wedge(a; m; a) = d_a f(m)$$

can be interpreted as a Fréchet differential.

If the projection P is a holomorphic function of the operator S , then it lies in the double commutant of S in G :

2. Theorem. *If $L_a - R_b \in B(M)$ and $L_b - R_a \in B(N)$ are left invertible, then we can factorize*

$$(2.1) \quad L_S - R_S = \mathbf{W}(L_P - R_P) \text{ with } \mathbf{W} \in B(G).$$

Proof. Replacing 2×2 matrices with 4×1 columns, write

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{aligned} (L_S - R_S)^\wedge &= \begin{pmatrix} L_a - R_a & 0 & L_{am-mb} & 0 \\ -R_{am-mb} & L_a - R_b & 0 & L_{am-mb} \\ 0 & 0 & L_b - R_a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -R_{am-mb} & L_b - R_b \end{pmatrix}, \\ (L_P - R_P)^\wedge &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & L_m & 0 \\ -R_m & I & 0 & L_m \\ 0 & 0 & -I & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -R_m & 0 \end{pmatrix}; \end{aligned}$$

now with

$$(2.3) \quad U(L_a - R_b) = I = V(L_b - R_a),$$

take

$$(2.4) \quad \mathbf{W}^\wedge = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & L_m V & 0 \\ -UR_m & U & U(L_m + R_m)V & UL_m \\ 0 & 0 & -V & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -R_m V & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

□

Sufficient for the left invertibility of $L_a - R_b$ and $L_b - R_a$ is [1] a weaker spectral disjointness:

$$(2.5) \quad \sigma_A^{left}(a) \cap \sigma_B^{right}(b) = \emptyset = \sigma_A^{right}(a) \cap \sigma_B^{left}(b) ;$$

this disjointness is in turn necessary if [3] the algebra G is for example “ultraprime”. It is sufficient for the double commutant condition that the operator $L_a - R_b$ is one-one:

3. Theorem. *If*

$$(3.1) \quad (L_a - R_b)^{-1}(0) = \{0\} \subseteq M,$$

then

$$(3.2) \quad (L_S - R_S)^{-1}(0) \subseteq (L_P - R_P)^{-1}(0) \subseteq G .$$

Proof. Generally,

$$\begin{aligned} (L_S - R_S) \begin{pmatrix} a' & m' \\ n' & b' \end{pmatrix} = O &\iff a'a - aa' = b'b - bb' = n' = 0 \\ &= am' - m'b - a'(am - mb) + (am - mb)b' \\ &\implies n' = mn' = 0 = (L_a - R_b)(m' - a'm + mb') \\ &\implies n' = mn' = 0 = m' - a'm + mb', \end{aligned}$$

giving if $(L_a - R_b)^{-1}(0) = \{0\}$,

$$(L_P - R_P) \begin{pmatrix} a' & m' \\ n' & b' \end{pmatrix} = O. \quad \square$$

The condition (3.2) says precisely that the commutant of S is a subalgebra of the commutant of P , or equivalently that P is in the double commutant of S . Sufficient ([3], Theorem 2) is that the left approximate point spectrum of $a \in A$ is disjoint from the right approximate point spectrum of $b \in B$. If the algebra G is “prime”, then it is necessary that the corresponding point spectra are disjoint.

REFERENCES

- [1] R. E. Harte, *Invertibility and singularity for bounded linear operators*, Marcel Dekker, 1988. MR0920812 (89d:47001)
- [2] R. E. Harte, *Invertibility and singularity of operator matrices*, Proc. Royal Irish Acad. **88A** (1988), 103-118. MR986217 (90a:15019)

- [3] R. E. Harte, *Block diagonalization in Banach algebras*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **129** (2000), 181-190. MR1784022 (2001k:47013)
- [4] R. E. Harte and C. M. Stack, *Invertibility for spectral triangles*, Operators and Matrices **1** (2007), 445–453.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, TRINITY COLLEGE, DUBLIN, IRELAND
E-mail address: `rharte@maths.tcd.ie`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY AT TALLAGHT, DUBLIN, IRELAND
E-mail address: `cora.stack@itt dublin.ie`