SELECTION THEOREMS AND TREEABILITY

GREG HJORTH

(Communicated by Julia Knight)

ABSTRACT. We show that domains of non-trivial Σ_1^1 trees have Δ_1^1 members. Using this, we show that smooth treeable equivalence relations have Borel transversals, and essentially countable treeable equivalence relations have Borel complete countable sections. We show also that treeable equivalence relations which are ccc idealistic, measured, or generated by a Borel action of a Polish group have Borel complete countable sections.

1. INTRODUCTION

Suppose that E and F are equivalence relations on Polish spaces X and Y . A *reduction of E to F* is a function $\pi : X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$\forall x_1, x_2 \in X \ (x_1 E x_2 \Leftrightarrow \pi(x_1) F \pi(x_2)).$$

We say that E is *smooth* if there is a Polish space Y and a Borel reduction of E to the diagonal $\Delta(Y) = \{(y, y) : y \in Y\}$. It is clear that every smooth equivalence relation is Borel. We say that E is *countable* if its equivalence classes are all countable. We say that E is *essentially countable* if it is Borel reducible to a countable equivalence relation on a Polish space. A simple reflection argument can be used to show that every essentially countable Borel equivalence relation is Borel reducible to a countable Borel equivalence relation.

A *graph on X* is an irreflexive, symmetric set $\mathcal{G} \subseteq X \times X$. A $\mathcal{G}$ -*path* is a sequence $\langle x_i \rangle_{i \leq n}$ such that $\forall i < n \ ((x_i, x_{i+1}) \in \mathcal{G})$. We say that $\mathcal{G}$ is *acyclic* if there is no $\mathcal{G}$ -path $\langle x_i \rangle_{i \leq n+2}$ such that $x_0 = x_{n+2}$ and $\forall i \leq n \ (x_i \neq x_{i+2})$. We use $E_{\mathcal{G}}$ to denote the equivalence relation on X consisting of pairs (x, y) for which there is a $\mathcal{G}$ -path from x to y , and we use $[x]_{\mathcal{G}}$ to denote the corresponding equivalence class.

A *treeing of E* is an acyclic graph $\mathcal{T}$ on X such that $E = E_{\mathcal{T}}$. We say that E is *treeable* if it has a Σ_1^1 treeing. A simple boundedness argument can be used to show that every Σ_1^1 treeing of a Borel equivalence relation is Borel. We say that E is σ -*treeable* if it is the union of countably many treeable subequivalence relations. A simple reflection argument can be used to show that every σ -treeable Borel equivalence relation is the union of countably many treeable Borel equivalence relations. While the notion of treeability has played an important role in the study

Received by the editors November 20, 2006.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 03E15.

Key words and phrases. Borel equivalence relations, treeable equivalence relations, selection theorem, uniformization.

The author gratefully acknowledges partial support from the Australian Research Council.

©2008 American Mathematical Society
 Reverts to public domain 28 years from publication

of countable Borel equivalence relations, it has gone largely unstudied outside of the countable case.

An *E-transversal* is a set $B \subseteq X$ whose intersection with each E -class is a singleton. An *E-countable section* is a set $B \subseteq X$ whose intersection with each E -class is countable. An *E-complete section* is a set $B \subseteq X$ whose intersection with each E -class is non-empty.

Our first two results concern the circumstances under which Σ_1^1 equivalence relations admit Borel transversals and complete countable sections.

Theorem. *Every σ -treeable smooth equivalence relation has a Borel transversal.*

Theorem. *Suppose that E is an essentially countable Σ_1^1 equivalence relation on a Polish space. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1) *E has a Borel complete countable section;*
- (2) *E is σ -treeable.*

In order to obtain these results, we employ the methods of effective descriptive set theory. We direct the reader unfamiliar with this area to [5] for an excellent introduction to the subject and to [6] for a more thorough treatment.

We say that a subset A of a recursively presented Polish space is $\sigma(x)$ -treeable if there are acyclic $\Sigma_1^1(x)$ graphs T_n on A such that $A \times A = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} E_{T_n}$. The above results are corollaries of the following basis theorem:

Theorem. *Let $x \in 2^{\mathbb{N}}$. Every non-empty $\sigma(x)$ -treeable $\Sigma_1^1(x)$ set has a $\Delta_1^1(x)$ member.*

We say that a σ -ideal $\mathcal{I}$ on X is *ccc* if there is no sequence $\langle A_\alpha \rangle_{\alpha < \omega_1}$ of $\mathcal{I}$ -positive Σ_1^1 sets such that $\forall \alpha < \beta < \omega_1$ ($A_\alpha \cap A_\beta \in \mathcal{I}$). An assignment $C \mapsto \mathcal{I}_C$ of σ -ideals $\mathcal{I}_C$ on C to each equivalence class C of E is Π_1^1 on Σ_1^1 if for every Polish space Y and Σ_1^1 set $R \subseteq (X \times Y) \times X$, the set

$$\bigcup_{C \in X/E} \{(x, y) \in C \times Y : R_{(x, y)} \in \mathcal{I}_C\}$$

is Π_1^1 . We say that E is *ccc idealistic* if there is a Π_1^1 on Σ_1^1 assignment $C \mapsto \mathcal{I}_C$ of non-trivial ccc σ -ideals $\mathcal{I}_C$ on C to each equivalence class C of E . We say that E is *measured* if there is an assignment $C \mapsto \mu_C$ of non-zero σ -finite measures μ_C on C to each equivalence class C of E such that for every $r \in \mathbb{R}$, Polish space Y , and Σ_1^1 set $R \subseteq (X \times Y) \times X$, the set

$$\bigcup_{C \in X/E} \{(x, y) \in C \times Y : \mu(R_{(x, y)}) \leq r\}$$

is Π_1^1 . Clearly, every measured equivalence relation is ccc idealistic. The following fact can be viewed as an analog of Theorem 1.5 of [3] for treeable equivalence relations and answers a question that was raised in [1].

Theorem. *Every ccc idealistic σ -treeable equivalence relation has a Borel complete countable section. In particular, this holds for σ -treeable equivalence relations which are either measured or generated by a Borel action of a Polish group.*

The primary new ingredient behind our results is the isolation of a non-empty set of critical points associated with any pair $(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{I})$, where $\mathcal{T}$ is an acyclic graph and $\mathcal{I}$ is a σ -ideal on the domain of $\mathcal{T}$. In §2, we define this set and use some of its

basic properties to obtain our first three theorems. In §3, we show that if $\mathcal{I}$ is ccc, then the corresponding set of critical points is necessarily countable. We then use this observation to obtain our final theorem.

2. TREEABLE SETS AND ESSENTIALLY COUNTABLE EQUIVALENCE RELATIONS

Suppose that X is a set, $\mathcal{T}$ is an acyclic graph on X , and $\mathcal{I}$ is a σ -ideal on X . We use the notation $\forall^{\mathcal{I}} x \in A \phi(x)$ to indicate that $\{x \in A : \neg\phi(x)\} \in \mathcal{I}$. We say that x is $\mathcal{T}$ -between y, z if $yE_{\mathcal{T}}z$ and the injective $\mathcal{T}$ -path from y to z passes through x . Let $d_{\mathcal{T}}$ denote the usual graph metric, and set $C_{\mathcal{T}}(y, r) = \{x \in X : d_{\mathcal{T}}(x, y) = r\}$; note then that $C_{\mathcal{T}}(y, 1) = \mathcal{T}_y$, the points directly adjacent to y in $\mathcal{T}$. We say that y is $(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{I}, r)$ -critical if $C_{\mathcal{T}}(y, r) \notin \mathcal{I}$ and

$$\forall^{\mathcal{I}} v \in C_{\mathcal{T}}(y, r) \forall^{\mathcal{I}} w \in C_{\mathcal{T}}(y, r) \text{ (} y \text{ is } \mathcal{T}\text{-between } v, w \text{)}.$$

Proposition 1. *Suppose that X is a set, $\mathcal{T}$ is an acyclic graph on X , $\mathcal{I}$ is a σ -ideal on X , and $[x]_{\mathcal{T}} \notin \mathcal{I}$. Then $[x]_{\mathcal{T}}$ contains a $(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{I}, r)$ -critical point, for some $r \in \mathbb{N}$.*

Proof. Fix $r \in \mathbb{N}$ least for which there exists $y \in [x]_{\mathcal{T}}$ such that $C_{\mathcal{T}}(y, r) \notin \mathcal{I}$. We claim that y is $(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{I}, r)$ -critical. If $r = 0$, then this is clear. Otherwise, suppose that $v \in C_{\mathcal{T}}(y, r)$, and fix $z \in \mathcal{T}_y$ the first point along the injective $\mathcal{T}$ -path from y to v . Our choice of r ensures that $C_{\mathcal{T}}(z, r-1) \in \mathcal{I}$, and it is clear that if $w \in C_{\mathcal{T}}(y, r) \setminus C_{\mathcal{T}}(z, r-1)$, then y is $\mathcal{T}$ -between v, w . $\square$

Given sets X, Y and σ -ideals $\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J}$ on X, Y , let $\mathcal{I} * \mathcal{J}$ denote the σ -ideal of sets $R \subseteq X \times Y$ such that $\forall^{\mathcal{I}} x \in X \forall^{\mathcal{J}} y \in Y ((x, y) \notin R)$.

Proposition 2. *Suppose that X is a Polish space, $\mathcal{T}$ is an acyclic Σ_1^1 graph on X , $\mathcal{I}$ is a σ -ideal on X , $x \in X$, $R \subseteq X \times Y$, and*

$$\{(y, z) \in R : x \text{ is } \mathcal{T}\text{-between } y, z\} \notin \mathcal{I} * \mathcal{I}.$$

Then there are Σ_1^1 sets $A, B \subseteq X$ such that $(A \times B) \cap R \notin \mathcal{I} * \mathcal{I}$ and

$$\forall y \in A \forall z \in B \text{ (} x \text{ is } \mathcal{T}\text{-between } y, z \text{)}.$$

Proof. For each Borel set $U \subseteq X$, define

$$U' = \{y \in [x]_{\mathcal{T}} : x = y \text{ or } \exists z \in U \cap \mathcal{T}_x \text{ (} z \text{ is } \mathcal{T}\text{-between } x, y \text{)}\},$$

and set $U'' = (X \setminus U)'$. Thus U' is the set of points y either equal to x or for which we have an injective path from y to x , the last point of which prior to x is in U .

Lemma 3. *There is an open set $U \subseteq X$ such that $(U' \times U'') \cap R \notin \mathcal{I} * \mathcal{I}$.*

Proof. The notion of betweenness associated with $\mathcal{T}$ is given by

$$B_{\mathcal{T}} = \{(x, (y, z)) \in X \times (X \times X) : x \text{ is } \mathcal{T}\text{-between } y, z\}.$$

It is clearly sufficient to show that $(B_{\mathcal{T}})_x$ is contained in the union of the sets of the form $U' \times U''$, where U varies over some fixed countable basis for X . Towards this end, suppose that $(y, z) \in (B_{\mathcal{T}})_x$. If $x = y = z$ and U is any basic open set, then $(y, z) \in U' \times U''$. If $x \neq y$ and $x = z$, then there exists $v \in \mathcal{T}_x$ such that v is $\mathcal{T}$ -between x, y . If U is any basic open set containing v , then $(y, z) \in U' \times U''$. If $x = y$ and $x \neq z$, then there exists $w \in \mathcal{T}_x$ such that w is $\mathcal{T}$ -between x, z . If U is any basic open set avoiding w , then $(y, z) \in U' \times U''$. If $x \neq y$ and $x \neq z$, then there exist $v, w \in \mathcal{T}_x$ such that v is $\mathcal{T}$ -between x, y and w is $\mathcal{T}$ -between x, z . If U is any basic open set containing v and avoiding w , then $(y, z) \in U' \times U''$. $\square$

Fix an open set $U \subseteq X$ as in the lemma, and observe that $A = U'$ and $B = U''$ are Σ_1^1 sets, $R \cap (A \times B) \notin \mathcal{I}$, and $\forall y \in A \forall z \in B$ (x is $\mathcal{T}$ -between y, z). $\square$

We now prove two results for Σ_1^1 graphings. For any parameter $x \in 2^{\mathbb{N}}$, these proofs relativize in the usual way to $\Sigma_1^1(x)$ graphings for Polish spaces which are recursively presented in x .

We say that a subset of a recursively presented Polish space X is Σ_1^1 -(co)meager if it is (co)meager in the *Gandy-Harrington topology* generated by Σ_1^1 subsets of X .

Proposition 4. *Suppose that X is a recursively presented Polish space, $\mathcal{T}$ is an acyclic Σ_1^1 graph on X , $\mathcal{I}$ is the σ -ideal of Σ_1^1 -meager subsets of X , $r \in \mathbb{N}$, and x is $(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{I}, r)$ -critical. Then x is Δ_1^1 .*

Proof. By Proposition 2, there are $\mathcal{I}$ -positive Σ_1^1 sets $A, B \subseteq C_{\mathcal{T}}(x, r)$ such that

$$\forall y \in A \forall z \in B \text{ (x is $\mathcal{T}$ -between y, z)}.$$

By localization (see, for example, Proposition 8.26 of [4]), there are non-empty Σ_1^1 sets $A', B' \subseteq X$ such that A and B are Σ_1^1 -comeager in A' and B' , respectively. Then $A \times B$ is Σ_1^1 -comeager in $A' \times B'$ (see, for example, Lemma 9.3.2 of [5]).

Fix a sequence $\langle U_n \rangle_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of Δ_1^1 subsets of X which separates points, and for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let R_n denote the set of pairs $(y, z) \in A' \times B'$ with the property that there is an injective $\mathcal{T}$ -path $\langle x_i \rangle_{i \leq 2r}$ from y to z such that exactly one of x, x_r is in U_n . Then R_n is a Σ_1^1 subset of $A' \times B'$ which is disjoint from $A \times B$; thus $R_n = \emptyset$. It follows that x is the unique point for which there is an injective $\mathcal{T}$ -path $\langle x_i \rangle_{i \leq 2r}$ such that $(x_0, x_{2r}) \in A' \times B'$ and $x = x_r$, so $\{x\}$ is Σ_1^1 ; thus x is Δ_1^1 (see, for example, Lemma 7.3.5 of [5]). $\square$

We can now prove our basis theorem for σ -treeable sets:

Theorem 5. *Suppose that X is a recursively presented Polish space and A is a non-empty σ -treeable Σ_1^1 subset of X . Then A has a Δ_1^1 member.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{I}$ denote the σ -ideal of Σ_1^1 -meager subsets of X , and fix $x \in A$. As A is σ -treeable, there is an acyclic Σ_1^1 graph $\mathcal{T}$ on A such that $[x]_{\mathcal{T}} \notin \mathcal{I}$. Proposition 1 implies that there is a $(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{I}, r)$ -critical point $y \in [x]_{\mathcal{T}}$, for some $r \in \mathbb{N}$, and Proposition 4 ensures that y is the desired Δ_1^1 member of A . $\square$

We can now characterize the existence of Borel complete countable sections:

Theorem 6. *Suppose that X is a Polish space and E is an essentially countable Σ_1^1 equivalence relation on X . Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1) E has a Borel complete countable section;
- (2) E is σ -treeable.

Proof. To see (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2), suppose that $B \subseteq X$ is a Borel E -complete countable section. By Exercise 35.13 of [4], there are functions f_n with Σ_1^1 graphs such that

$$E \cap ((X \setminus B) \times B) = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \text{graph}(f_n),$$

and functions g_n with Σ_1^1 graphs such that $\text{dom}(g_n) \cap \text{range}(g_n) = \emptyset$ and

$$E \cap (B \times B) \setminus \Delta(B) = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \text{graph}(g_n).$$

Then $\mathcal{T}_{mn} = \text{graph}(f_m^{\pm 1}) \cup \text{graph}(g_n^{\pm 1})$ is an acyclic Σ_1^1 graph, for all $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, and $E = \bigcup_{m,n \in \mathbb{N}} E_{\mathcal{T}_{mn}}$; thus E is σ -treeable.

To see (2) $\Rightarrow$ (1) note that, by the usual relativization arguments, we can assume that X is recursively presented, E is Σ_1^1 , there is a sequence $\langle \mathcal{T}_n \rangle_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of acyclic Σ_1^1 graphs on X such that $E = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} E_{\mathcal{T}_n}$, and there is a recursively presented Polish space Y , a countable equivalence relation F on Y , and a Δ_1^1 reduction $\pi : X \rightarrow Y$ of E to F . Then for each $y \in \pi[X]$, the $\Delta_1^1(y)$ set $[\pi^{-1}(y)]_E$ is $\sigma(y)$ -treeable; thus the obvious relativization of Theorem 5 ensures that it has a $\Delta_1^1(y)$ member. The uniformization criterion for Δ_1^1 sets (see, for example, §8.4 of [5]) then implies that there is a Δ_1^1 function $\phi : \pi[X] \rightarrow X$ such that $\forall x \in X (xE\phi \circ \pi(x))$, and it follows that $\phi \circ \pi[X]$, being the image of a countable-to-one Δ_1^1 function, is the desired Δ_1^1 countable complete section. $\square$

Along similar lines, we can now characterize the existence of Borel transversals.

Theorem 7. *Suppose that X is a Polish space and E is a smooth σ -treeable equivalence relation on X . Then E has a Borel transversal.*

Proof. By the usual relativization arguments, we can assume that X is recursively presented, E is Σ_1^1 , there is a sequence $\langle \mathcal{T}_n \rangle_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of acyclic Σ_1^1 graphs on X such that $E = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} E_{\mathcal{T}_n}$, and there is a recursively presented Polish space Y and a Δ_1^1 reduction $\pi : X \rightarrow Y$ of E to $\Delta(Y)$. Then for each $y \in \pi[X]$, the $\Delta_1^1(y)$ set $[\pi^{-1}(y)]_E$ is $\sigma(y)$ -treeable; thus the obvious relativization of Theorem 5 ensures that it has a $\Delta_1^1(y)$ member. The uniformization criterion for Δ_1^1 sets then implies that there is a Δ_1^1 function $\phi : \pi[X] \rightarrow X$ such that $\forall x \in X (xE\phi \circ \pi(x))$, and it follows that $\phi \circ \pi[X]$ is the desired Δ_1^1 transversal. $\square$

3. IDEALISTIC EQUIVALENCE RELATIONS

We say that a σ -ideal $\mathcal{I}$ on a Polish space X is Π_1^1 on Σ_1^1 if for every Polish space W and Σ_1^1 set $R \subseteq W \times X$, the set $\{w \in W : R_w \in \mathcal{I}\}$ is Π_1^1 . We say that a set $A \subseteq W \times X$ is *pairwise disjoint* if

$$\forall v, w \in W (v \neq w \Rightarrow A_v \cap A_w = \emptyset).$$

We say that $\mathcal{I}$ is *weakly ccc* if for every Polish space W and Σ_1^1 pairwise disjoint set $A \subseteq W \times X$, there are only countably many $w \in W$ such that $A_w \notin \mathcal{I}$. A routine boundedness or reflection argument gives that a Π_1^1 on Σ_1^1 σ -ideal $\mathcal{I}$ is weakly ccc if and only if there is no Borel pairwise disjoint set $B \subseteq 2^{\mathbb{N}} \times X$ such that $\forall w \in 2^{\mathbb{N}} (B_w \notin \mathcal{I})$.

Proposition 8. *Suppose that X, Y are Polish spaces and $\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J}$ are Π_1^1 on Σ_1^1 weakly ccc σ -ideals on X, Y . Then $\mathcal{I} * \mathcal{J}$ is weakly ccc.*

Proof. Suppose, towards a contradiction, that there is a Polish space W and a Σ_1^1 pairwise disjoint set $A \subseteq W \times (X \times Y)$ for which there are uncountably many $w \in W$ such that $A_w \notin \mathcal{I} * \mathcal{J}$. Then the set $B = \{(w, x) \in W \times X : (A_w)_x \notin \mathcal{J}\}$ is Σ_1^1 and there are uncountably many $w \in W$ such that $B_w \notin \mathcal{I}$.

Lemma 9. $\forall x \in X (|B^x| \leq \aleph_0)$.

Proof. Simply note that if $x \in X$, then the set $C = \{(w, y) \in W \times Y : (w, x, y) \in A\}$ is a Σ_1^1 pairwise disjoint set and $\forall w \in B^x (C_w \notin \mathcal{J})$, so the fact that $\mathcal{J}$ is weakly ccc ensures that B^x is countable. $\square$

Fix functions f_n with Σ_1^1 graphs such that $B = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \text{graph}(f_n^{-1})$. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, set $B_n = \text{graph}(f_n^{-1})$, and observe that if $B_w \notin \mathcal{I}$, then there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $(B_n)_w \notin \mathcal{I}$. Fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$ for which there are uncountably many $w \in W$ such that $(B_n)_w \notin \mathcal{I}$. As B_n is clearly pairwise disjoint, this contradicts our assumption that $\mathcal{I}$ is weakly ccc. $\square$

Let $B_{\mathcal{T}} = \{(x, (y, z)) \in X \times (X \times X) : x \text{ is } \mathcal{T}\text{-between } y, z\}$, and let

$$\text{crit}(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{I}) = \{x \in X : (B_{\mathcal{T}})_x \notin \mathcal{I} * \mathcal{I}\}$$

denote the set of $(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{I})$ -critical points.

Proposition 10. *Suppose that X is a Polish space, $\mathcal{T}$ is an acyclic Σ_1^1 graph on X , and $\mathcal{I}$ is a Π_1^1 on Σ_1^1 weakly ccc σ -ideal on X . Then $|\text{crit}(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{I})| \leq \aleph_0$.*

Proof. For each $r \in \mathbb{N}$, let A_r denote the set of $(x, (y, z)) \in B_{\mathcal{T}}$ such that x is the r^{th} point along the injective $\mathcal{T}$ -path from y to z . It is clear that A_r is Σ_1^1 , and if $v \neq w$, then $(A_r)_v \cap (A_r)_w = \emptyset$. Proposition 8 then ensures that there are only countably many x such that $(A_r)_x \notin \mathcal{I} * \mathcal{I}$, and it follows that there are only countably many $(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{I})$ -critical points. $\square$

We can now establish the promised sufficient conditions for essential countability:

Theorem 11. *Suppose that X is a Polish space and E is a ccc idealistic σ -treeable equivalence relation on X . Then E has a Borel complete countable section.*

Proof. Fix a Π_1^1 on Σ_1^1 assignment $C \mapsto \mathcal{I}_C$ of non-trivial ccc σ -ideals $\mathcal{I}_C$ on C to each equivalence class C of E , as well as acyclic Σ_1^1 graphs $\mathcal{T}_n$ such that $E = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} E_{\mathcal{T}_n}$. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, set $A_n = \bigcup_{C \in X/E} \text{crit}(\mathcal{T}_n, \mathcal{I}_C)$, and observe that

$$A_n = \bigcup_{C \in X/E} \{x \in C : \{y \in C : \{z \in C : (x, (y, z)) \in B_{\mathcal{T}_n}\} \notin \mathcal{I}\} \notin \mathcal{I}\};$$

thus A_n is Σ_1^1 . Proposition 8 ensures that A_n is an E -countable section. For each $C \in X/E$ and $x \in C$, the fact that $C = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} [x]_{\mathcal{T}_n}$ implies that there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $[x]_{\mathcal{T}_n} \notin \mathcal{I}_C$; thus Proposition 1 ensures that $A_n \cap [x]_{\mathcal{T}_n} \neq \emptyset$. It follows that $A = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n$ is an E -complete countable section. As A is Σ_1^1 and the Mazurkiewicz-Sierpiński Theorem (see, for example, Theorem 29.19 of [4]) implies that the property of being an E -countable section is Π_1^1 on Σ_1^1 , it follows from the first reflection theorem (see, for example, Theorem 35.10 of [4]) that A is contained in a Borel E -complete countable section. $\square$

As a corollary, we obtain the following:

Theorem 12. *Suppose that X is a Polish space and E is a σ -treeable equivalence relation on X which is either generated by a Borel action of a Polish group or measured. Then E has a Borel complete countable section.*

Proof. In light of Theorem 11, it is enough to show that if E is generated by a Borel action of a Polish group, then E is ccc idealistic. Towards this end, suppose that G is a Polish group which acts in a Borel fashion on X so as to generate E . For each $x \in X$, let $\mathcal{I}_x$ denote the σ -ideal of sets $A \subseteq [x]_E$ such that $\{g \in G : g \cdot x \in A\}$ is meager. Localization easily implies that $\mathcal{I}_x$ is ccc. As meagerness is preserved under right multiplication, it follows that if xEy , then $\mathcal{I}_x = \mathcal{I}_y$; thus we obtain an assignment $C \mapsto \mathcal{I}_C$ of σ -ideals to the equivalence classes of E by setting $\mathcal{I}_C = \mathcal{I}_x$,

for $x \in C$. Novikov's Theorem (see, for example, Theorem 29.22 of [4]) implies that $C \mapsto \mathcal{I}_C$ is Σ_1^1 on Π_1^1 , and it follows that E is ccc idealistic. $\square$

Recall that E_1 is the equivalence relation on $(2^{\mathbb{N}})^{\mathbb{N}}$ given by

$$xE_1y \Leftrightarrow \exists n \in \mathbb{N} \forall m \geq n (x(m) = y(m)).$$

In light of [2] and our work here, it is natural to ask the following:

Question 13. Suppose that E is a σ -treeable Borel equivalence relation on a Polish space. Must it be the case that exactly one of the following holds:

- (1) E is essentially countable, or
- (2) $E_1 \leq_B E$?

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author thanks the referee for first making a penetrating reading of the original version of this paper and then for taking the truly extraordinary step of completely rewriting and reorganizing the entire paper.

REFERENCES

- [1] Greg Hjorth. Non-treeability for product group actions. To appear in the Israel Journal of Mathematics, 2008.
- [2] Greg Hjorth and Alexander S. Kechris. New dichotomies for Borel equivalence relations. *Bull. Symbolic Logic*, 3(3):329–346, 1997. MR1476761 (98m:03101)
- [3] Alexander S. Kechris. Countable sections for locally compact group actions. *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems*, 12(2):283–295, 1992. MR1176624 (94b:22003)
- [4] Alexander S. Kechris. *Classical descriptive set theory*, volume 156 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1995. MR1321597 (96e:03057)
- [5] Alexander S. Kechris and D. Anthony Martin. Infinite games and effective descriptive set theory. In *Analytic sets*, pages 403–470. Academic Press, London, 1980.
- [6] Yiannis N. Moschovakis. *Descriptive set theory*, volume 100 of *Studies in Logic and the Foundations of Mathematics*. North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, 1980. MR561709 (82e:03002)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, UNIVERSITY OF MELBOURNE, PARKVILLE,
3010 VICTORIA, AUSTRALIA

E-mail address: `greg.hjorth@gmail.com`

URL: `http://www.math.ucla.edu/~greg`