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#### Abstract

We show that every continuous action of a nilpotent group on a 1 -arcwise connected continuum has at least one fixed point.


## 1. Introduction

Let $X$ be a topological space, $G$ a topological group, and $\phi: G \times X \longrightarrow X$ a continuous action of $G$ on $X$. We call $x \in X$ a fixed point of $G$ if

$$
\phi(g, x)=x, \quad \text { for all } g \in G
$$

Denote by $\operatorname{Fix}_{X}(G)$ (or simply $\operatorname{Fix}(G)$ ) all fixed points of $G$, which is a closed subset of $X$. The following question has wide interest.

Under which conditions on $G$ and $X$ is the set $\operatorname{Fix}_{X}(G)$ nonempty regardless of $\phi$ ?

By a continuum, we mean a nonempty, connected, compact and metrizable topological space. A continuum is said to be 1-arcwise connected (or uniquely arcwise connected) if for any two different points $x, y$ of it, there is a unique arc in it with endpoints $x$ and $y$. This is equivalent to saying that the continuum is arcwise connected and contains no circle.

In 1957, Isbell proved in [3] that $\operatorname{Fix}_{X}(G)$ is nonempty if $G$ is commutative, and $X$ is a dendrite, i.e., a locally connected, 1 -arcwise connected continuum. In 1975, Mohler answered a question raised by Bing in [1], by proving in [6 that $\mathrm{Fix}_{X}(G)$ is nonempty if $G$ is the discrete cyclic group $\mathbb{Z}$, and $X$ is a 1 -arcwise connected continuum. For further studies of fixed point theory of 1-arcwise connected spaces, one may consult [2, 4, 5].

The purpose of this paper is to prove the following common generalization of the above results of Isbell and Mohler.

Theorem 1.1. If $X$ is a 1-arcwise connected continuum and $G$ is nilpotent as an abstract group, then $\operatorname{Fix}_{X}(G)$ is nonempty.

[^0]If $X$ is an arc and $G$ is the solvable group $(\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}) \ltimes \mathbb{Z}$, then there is a continuous action of $G$ on $X$ such that $\operatorname{Fix}_{X}(G)$ is empty (See Remark 2.5). Therefore nilpotency of $G$ is also necessary. Young constructed a 1-arcwise connected continuum and a continuous self map of it without fixed points (see [8]). Therefore in Theorem 1.1, we cannot replace $G$ by the semigroup $\mathbb{N}=\{0,1,2, \cdots\}$.

## 2. The proof

Let $G$ be a group. Recall that the commutator of two elements $a, b$ of $G$ is by definition

$$
[a, b]=a^{-1} b^{-1} a b
$$

For any two subsets $A$ and $B$ of $G$, define $[A, B]$ to be the subgroup generated by the set $\{[a, b]: a \in A, b \in B\}$. Set $G_{0}=G$ and $G_{i+1}=\left[G_{i}, G\right]$, for $i=0,1,2, \cdots$. Then we get a sequence

$$
G_{0}=G \triangleright G_{1} \triangleright G_{2} \triangleright \cdots
$$

of normal subgroups of $G$. If there is some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $G_{n}=\{e\}$, then $G$ is called nilpotent and

$$
G_{0}=G \triangleright G_{1} \triangleright G_{2} \triangleright \cdots \triangleright G_{n}=\{e\}
$$

is called the lower center sequence of $G$, where $e$ is the identity of $G$.
When $X$ is a 1 -arcwise connected continuum and $x, y \in X$, we use the symbol $[x, y]$ to denote the unique arc in $X$ from $x$ to $y$ (if $x=y$, then $[x, y]$ is defined to be the point set $\{x\}$ ).

We should note that, though the symbol [, ] has two different meanings as above, it is easy to distinguish them in context. For simplicity, we write

$$
g x=\phi(g, x), \quad \text { for all } g \in G, x \in X
$$

Lemma 2.1. Let $G$ be a nilpotent group, $X$ the unit closed interval $[0,1]$ and let $\phi: G \times X \longrightarrow X$ be a continuous action. Then $\operatorname{Fix}_{X}(G)$ is nonempty.

Proof. If for every $g \in G$ we have $g(0)=0$ and $g(1)=1$, then 0 and 1 are both fixed points of $G$. Otherwise there is some $g_{0} \in G$ such that $g_{0}(0)=1$ and $g_{0}(1)=0$. Then $g_{0}$ has a unique fixed point $x_{0} \in I$, and clearly $g_{0}$ is not the identity $e$. In the following, we will show that $x_{0}$ is also a fixed point of $G$.

Define inductively a sequence of subsets $N_{i} \subset G$ as follows. Let $N_{0}=\{e\}$, where $e$ is the identity of $G$. Suppose that $N_{i}$ has been defined; then define $N_{i+1}=\{g \in$ $\left.G:\left[g, g_{0}\right]=g^{-1} g_{0}^{-1} g g_{0} \in N_{i}\right\}$. Since $G$ is a nilpotent group, there is a natural number $m$ such that $N_{m}=G$. Thus we get a sequence of subsets: $\{e\}=N_{0} \subset$ $N_{1} \subset \cdots \subset N_{m}=G$. If $g\left(x_{0}\right)=x_{0}$ for all $g \in N_{i}$, then for $g \in N_{i+1}$ we have

$$
g_{0}^{-1} g x_{0}=g\left(g^{-1} g_{0}^{-1} g g_{0}\right) x_{0}=g\left[g, g_{0}\right] x_{0}=g x_{0}
$$

So $g x_{0}$ is also a fixed point of $g_{0}$. But $x_{0}$ is the unique fixed point of $g_{0}$, so $g x_{0}=x_{0}$. Thus $x_{0}$ is also a common fixed point of elements in $N_{i+1}$. Inductively, we get at last that for any $g \in G=N_{m}, g x_{0}=x_{0}$. That is, $x_{0}$ is a fixed point of $G$.

Lemma 2.2. Let $G$ be a nilpotent group, $H$ a normal subgroup of $G$ and suppose that $G / H$ is a cyclic group. Let $X$ be a 1-arcwise connected space, and let $\phi$ : $G \times X \longrightarrow X$ be a group action. If $\operatorname{Fix}(H) \neq \emptyset$, then $\operatorname{Fix}(G) \neq \emptyset$.

Proof. Let $G / H=<\bar{g}_{0}>$, where $g_{0} \in G$ and $\bar{g}_{0}$ denotes the coset class of $g_{0}$ in $G / H$. Let $Y=\operatorname{Fix}(H)$. For each $y \in Y$ and $h \in H$, since $g_{0}^{-1} h g_{0} \in H$, we have that $h g_{0} y=g_{0}\left(g_{0}^{-1} h g_{0}\right) y=g_{0} y$. Thus $g_{0}(Y) \subseteq Y$. Replacing $g_{0}$ by $g_{0}^{-1}$, we get $g_{0}^{-1}(Y) \subseteq Y$ similarly. Hence $g_{0}(Y)=Y$. Let $\mathcal{A}=\left\{\left[x, g_{0}(x)\right]: x \in Y\right\}$. Define a partial order " $\prec$ " in $\mathcal{A}:\left[x, g_{0}(x)\right] \prec\left[x^{\prime}, g_{0}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right]$ if and only if $\left[x, g_{0}(x)\right] \supseteq\left[x^{\prime}, g_{0}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right]$. It is easy to see that if $\mathcal{B}=\left\{\left[x_{\lambda}, g_{0}\left(x_{\lambda}\right)\right]: \lambda \in \Lambda\right\}$ is a totally ordered subset of $\mathcal{A}$, then $\bigcap_{\lambda \in \Lambda}\left[x_{\lambda}, g_{0}\left(x_{\lambda}\right)\right]$ is an upper bound of $\mathcal{B}$. So, by Zorn's Lemma, there is a maximal element $\left[y_{0}, g_{0}\left(y_{0}\right)\right] \in \mathcal{A}$. Now we discuss this in three cases.

Case 1. $g_{0}\left(y_{0}\right)=y_{0}$. Then $y_{0}$ is a fixed point of $g_{0}$, and thus is a fixed point of $G$.
Case 2. $g_{0}^{2}\left(y_{0}\right)=y_{0}$. Then by the uniquely arcwise connected property we see that $g_{0}\left(\left[y_{0}, g_{0} y_{0}\right]\right)=\left[y_{0}, g_{0} y_{0}\right]$, and then $\left[y_{0}, g_{0} y_{0}\right]$ is a $G$-invariant interval. From Lemma 2.1, there is a fixed point of $G$ in $\left[y_{0}, g_{0} y_{0}\right]$.

Case 3. $g_{0}\left(y_{0}\right) \neq y_{0}$ and $g_{0}^{2}\left(y_{0}\right) \neq y_{0}$. We will show that $\left[y_{0}, g_{0} y_{0}\right] \cap\left[g_{0} y_{0}, g_{0}^{2} y_{0}\right]=$ $\left\{g_{0} y_{0}\right\}$. First by the uniquely arcwise connected property, $\left[y_{0}, g_{0} y_{0}\right] \cap\left[g_{0} y_{0}, g_{0}^{2} y_{0}\right]=$ $\left[x, g_{0} y_{0}\right]$ for some $x \in X$. Then for each $h \in H$, we have $\left[h(x), g_{0} y_{0}\right]=h\left(\left[x, g_{0} y_{0}\right]\right)=$ $h\left(\left[y_{0}, g_{0} y_{0}\right]\right) \cap h\left(\left[g_{0} y_{0}, g_{0}^{2} y_{0}\right]\right)=\left[x, g_{0} y_{0}\right]$. Thus $h(x)=x$, and hence $x \in \operatorname{Fix}(H)$. Since $x \in\left[g_{0} y_{0}, g_{0}^{2} y_{0}\right]$, there exists some $x^{\prime} \in\left[y_{0}, g_{0} y_{0}\right]$ such that $g_{0}\left(x^{\prime}\right)=x$. Then $\left[x^{\prime}, x\right]=\left[x^{\prime}, g_{0}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right] \in \mathcal{A}$. On the other hand, since $\left[x^{\prime}, x\right] \subseteq\left[y_{0}, g_{0} y_{0}\right]$ and $\left[y_{0}, g_{0} y_{0}\right]$ is maximal in $\mathcal{A}$, it can only be that $\left[x^{\prime}, x\right]=\left[y_{0}, g_{0} y_{0}\right]$. This implies that $x^{\prime}=y_{0}, x=g_{0} y_{0}$ (Since $g_{0}^{2}\left(y_{0}\right) \neq y_{0}$, the case $x^{\prime}=g_{0}\left(y_{0}\right)$ and $x=y_{0}$ will not occur.) This completes the proof of the claim. It follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[g_{0}^{n-1} y_{0}, g_{0}^{n} y_{0}\right] \cap\left[g_{0}^{n} y_{0}, g_{0}^{n+1} y_{0}\right]=\left\{g_{0}^{n} y_{0}\right\}, \text { for all } n \in \mathbb{Z} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Denote $L=\bigcup_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty}\left[g_{0}^{n} y_{0}, g_{0}^{n+1} y_{0}\right], L^{+}=\bigcup_{n=0}^{+\infty}\left[g_{0}^{n} y_{0}, g_{0}^{n+1} y_{0}\right]$, and $L^{-}=\bigcup_{n=-\infty}^{-1}$ [ $g_{0}^{n} y_{0}, g_{0}^{n+1} y_{0}$ ]. Then $L=L^{+} \cup L^{-}$. Noting that there is no circle in $X$, from (2.1) we see that $L$ is an image of an injective continuous map defined over the real line $\mathbb{R}$. Now we discuss this in three cases.

Case 3.1. If there exists an arc $[a, b] \subseteq X$ such that $L^{+} \subseteq[a, b]$, then $y=$ $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} g_{0}^{n+1} y_{0} \in Y$ exists. Clearly, $y$ is a fixed point of $g_{0}$, and moreover is a fixed point of $G$.

Case 3.2. If there exists an $\operatorname{arc}[a, b] \subseteq X$ such that $L^{-} \subseteq[a, b]$, then similar to Case 3.1, we can also get a fixed point of $G$ in $Y$.

Case 3.3. For any arc $[a, b] \subseteq X$, neither $L^{+} \subseteq[a, b]$ nor $L^{-} \subseteq[a, b]$. This implies that for each $x \in X$, there exists a unique $p(x) \in L$ such that $\left[x, y_{0}\right] \cap L=\left[y_{0}, p(x)\right]$. For each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, set $X_{n}=\left\{x \in X: p(x) \in\left[g_{0}^{n}\left(y_{0}\right), g_{0}^{n+1}\left(y_{0}\right)\right\}\right.$. Then $\left\{X_{n}: n \in \mathbb{Z}\right\}$ becomes a partition of $X$. From [6] we know that each $X_{n}$ is a Borel measurable set. Let $\mu$ be a $g_{0}$-invariant Borel probability measure. (For the existence of such a measure, one may consult [7, Corollary 6.9.1). Since $g_{0}\left(X_{n}\right)=X_{n+1}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have $\mu\left(X_{n}\right)=\mu\left(X_{m}\right)$ for all $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$. This contradicts $\mu(X)=1$. So this case will not happen.

Lemma 2.3. Let $X$ be a 1-arcwise connected continuum and let $\phi: G \times X \rightarrow X$ be an action of $G$ on $X$. Suppose $H$ is a normal subgroup of $G$ and $G / H$ is a finitely generated abelian group. If $\operatorname{Fix}(H) \neq \emptyset$, then $\operatorname{Fix}(G) \neq \emptyset$.

Proof. Since $G / H$ is a finitely generated abelian group, $G / H \cong \mathbb{Z}_{k_{1}} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{k_{n}}$, where $\mathbb{Z}_{k_{i}}=\mathbb{Z} /<k_{i}>$, for $1 \leq i \leq n$ ( $k_{i}$ may be 0 ). Let $\bar{H}_{i}$ be a subgroup of $G / H$ defined by $\bar{H}_{i}=\mathbb{Z}_{k_{1}} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{k_{i}}$. Let $\phi: G \longrightarrow G / H$ be the quotient homomorphism, and let $H_{i}=\phi^{-1}\left(\bar{H}_{i}\right)$. Then $H=H_{0} \triangleleft H_{1} \triangleleft \cdots \triangleleft H_{n}=G$ is a sequence of normal subgroups of $G$ and

$$
H_{i+1} / H_{i} \cong\left(H_{i+1} / H_{0}\right) /\left(H_{i} / H_{0}\right)=\left(\mathbb{Z}_{k_{1}} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{k_{i+1}}\right) /\left(\mathbb{Z}_{k_{1}} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{k_{i}}\right) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{k_{i+1}}
$$

So $H_{i+1} / H_{i}$ is a cyclic group. Since $\operatorname{Fix}\left(H_{0}\right)=\operatorname{Fix}(H) \neq \emptyset$, using Lemma 2.2 repeatedly we obtain that $\operatorname{Fix}(G) \neq \emptyset$.

Lemma 2.4. Let $X$ be a 1-arcwise connected continuum and let $\phi: G \times X \rightarrow X$ be an action of $G$ on $X$. Suppose that $H$ is a normal subgroup of $G$ and $G / H$ is abelian. If $\operatorname{Fix}(H) \neq \emptyset$, then $\operatorname{Fix}(G) \neq \emptyset$.

Proof. For any finite subset $S$ of $G$, we define $A_{S}$ to be the group generated by $H$ and $S$, that is, $A_{S}=<H, S>$. Since $A_{S} / H$ is a finitely generated abelian group, it follows from Lemma 2.3 that $\operatorname{Fix}\left(A_{S}\right) \neq \emptyset$. As $\operatorname{Fix}\left(A_{S}\right) \cap \operatorname{Fix}\left(A_{S^{\prime}}\right)=\operatorname{Fix}\left(A_{S \cup S^{\prime}}\right)$, we know $\mathcal{K}=\left\{\operatorname{Fix}\left(A_{S}\right): S\right.$ is a finite subset of $\left.G\right\}$ has the finite intersection property. Since $X$ is compact, we have $Y=\bigcap_{K \in \mathcal{K}} K \neq \emptyset$. Obviously $Y \subseteq \operatorname{Fix}(G)$, so $\operatorname{Fix}(G) \neq \emptyset$.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Consider the lower central sequence $G=G_{0} \triangleright G_{1} \triangleright \cdots \triangleright$ $G_{n}=\{e\}$ of $G$. Since $G_{i+1}=\left[G, G_{i}\right]$, we know $G_{i} / G_{i+1}$ is an Abelian group, for $0 \leq i \leq n-1$. Also, because $\operatorname{Fix}\left(G_{n}\right)=\operatorname{Fix}(\{e\})=X \neq \emptyset$, it follows inductively from Lemma 2.4 that $\operatorname{Fix}(G) \neq \emptyset$.

Remark 2.5. If the action group $G$ is solvable, then Theorem 1.1 does not hold. For example, let $f$ and $g$ be the maps on the real line $\mathbb{R}$ defined by $f(x)=x+1, g(x)=$ $-x$, for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$. It is well known that the group $<f, g>$ generated by $f$ and $g$ is solvable. Let $h:\left(-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be defined by $x \mapsto \tan x$. Now we define two homeomorphisms $\tilde{f}$ and $\tilde{g}$ on the closed interval $\left[-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}\right]$ by

$$
\tilde{f}\left(-\frac{\pi}{2}\right)=-\frac{\pi}{2}, \tilde{\sim} \tilde{\sim}\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right)=\frac{\pi}{2}, \text { and } \tilde{f}(x)=h^{-1} \circ f \circ h(x), \text { for } x \in\left(-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}\right),
$$

$$
\tilde{g}\left(-\frac{\pi}{2}\right)=\frac{\pi}{2}, \tilde{g}\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right)=-\frac{\pi}{2}, \text { and } \tilde{g}(x)=h^{-1} \circ g \circ h(x), \text { for } x \in\left(-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}\right) .
$$

Then the group $<\tilde{f}, \tilde{g}>$ generated by $\tilde{f}$ and $\tilde{g}$ is isomorphic to the group $<f, g>$. So $<\tilde{f}, \tilde{g}>$ is a solvable group acting on $\left[-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}\right]$. It is obvious that there is no fixed point for this action.
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