PROCEEDINGS OF THE AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY Volume 137, Number 3, March 2009, Pages 845–852 S 0002-9939(08)09577-4 Article electronically published on September 17, 2008

# ON SEMILOCAL RINGS

#### HONGBO ZHANG

(Communicated by Birge Huisgen-Zimmermann)

ABSTRACT. In this paper, semilocal rings are characterized in different ways; in particular, it is proved that a ring R is semilocal if and only if every descending chain of principal right ideals of R,  $a_0R \supseteq a_1R \supseteq a_2R \supseteq \cdots \supseteq a_nR \supseteq \cdots$  with  $a_{i+1} = a_i - a_ib_ia_i$  eventually terminates. Then modules with semilocal endomorphism rings are characterized by chain conditions.

### 1. Introduction

All rings in this paper are associative with identity; modules are unital right R-modules.

A finite set  $A_1, \dots, A_n$  of proper submodules of M is said to be *coindependent* if for each  $i, 1 \leq i \leq n, A_i + \bigcap_{j \neq i} A_j = M$ , and a family of submodules of M is said to be coindependent if each of its finite subfamilies is coindependent. The module M is said to have *finite hollow dimension* (or finite dual Goldie dimension) if every coindependent family of submodules of M is finite. It can be shown that, in this case, there is a maximal coindependent family of submodules of M. If this set is finite, then its cardinality (denoted by h.dim(M) or codim(M)) is uniquely determined and is called the *hollow dimension* of M (or dual Goldie dimension of M). A module M with hollow dimension 1 is said to be hollow, and a cyclic hollow module is said to be local.

A ring R with Jacobson radical J(R) is said to be semilocal if R/J(R) is a semisimple ring. Semilocal rings are characterized as those rings with finite hollow dimension (see [2], Proposition 2.43). For a semilocal ring R,

h.dim(R) = composition length of the right R-module R/J(R).

It is well known that semilocal rings have stable range one, and so any modules M with semilocal endomorphism rings can cancel from the direct sum; i.e.  $M \oplus B \cong M \oplus C$  implies  $B \cong C$ . Facchini, Herbera, Levy and Vámos [3] proved that if M has a semilocal endomorphism ring, then M has the "n-th root property"; i.e.,  $M^n \cong N^n$  implies  $M \cong N$ .

In 1993, Camps and Dicks [1] obtained a number of new characterizations of semilocal rings. Facchini stated two of their many characterizations in [2], Theorem 4.2 as follows.

Received by the editors December 6, 2007, and, in revised form, March 1, 2008. 2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 16L30, 16S50, 16P70. Key words and phrases. Semilocal rings, hollow dimension, uniform dimension.

**Theorem 1** (Camps and Dicks). The following conditions are equivalent for a ring R.

- (a) R is semilocal.
- (b) There exists an integer  $n \geq 0$  and a function  $d: R \rightarrow \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$  such that
  - (i) for every  $a, b \in R$ , d(a aba) = d(a) + d(1 ab);
  - (ii) if  $a \in R$  and d(a) = 0, then  $a \in U(R)$ .
  - (c) There exists a partial order  $\leq$  on the set R such that
    - (iii)  $(R, \leq)$  is an Artinian partial order set;
    - (iv) if  $a, b \in R$  and  $1 ab \notin U(R)$ , then a aba < a.

In this paper, inspired by Camps and Dicks's Theorem 1, a new notion "hollow length" is introduced (Definition 2). Then some properties of hollow length are presented in Proposition 6, Corollary 7 and Proposition 8. We give different characterizations of semilocal rings in Theorem 9 and Corollary 10. Modules with a semilocal endomorphism ring are characterized in Theorem 11. Theorem 3 (1), (2) of [4] and Theorem 5 of [1] are extended in Corollaries 12 and 13.

Refer to [2], [5] and [6] for details concerning hollow dimension and semilocal rings.

Throughout the paper, J(R) will denote the Jacobson radical of a ring R.  $\overline{R}$  and  $\overline{a}$  denote respectively R/J(R) and a+J(R). Denote by dim(M) the Goldie dimension of M and by U(R) the group of units in the ring R. r.U(R) (resp. l.U(R)) denotes the set of right (resp. left) invertible elements of R.

## 2. Main results

**Definition 2.** Let R be a ring,  $a \in R$ . A **right hollow chain** of a is a strictly descending chain

$$a_0R \supseteq a_1R \supseteq a_2R \supseteq a_3R \supseteq \cdots$$

with  $a_0 = a$  and for all  $n \ge 0$ ,  $a_{n+1} = a_n - a_n b_n a_n$  for some  $b_n \in R$ .

Set  $r = \sup\{n \in \mathbb{Z} \mid a_0R \supsetneq a_1R \supsetneq a_2R \supsetneq \cdots \supsetneq a_{n-1}R \supsetneq a_nR \text{ is a hollow chain of } a_0 = a\}$ . r is called the **right hollow length** of a, denoted as r.h.length(a) = r.

Remark. "Left hollow chain" and "left hollow length" can be defined similarly. For simplicity, "right hollow chain" and "right hollow length" are called in this paper respectively "hollow chain" and "hollow length", and "r.h.length(a)" is written as "h.length(a)".

**Lemma 3.** Let R be a ring,  $a, x \in R$ . The following conditions are equivalent:

- $(1) \quad aR = (a axa)R.$
- (2)  $a \in (1 ax)R$ .
- $(3) \quad 1 ax \in r.U(R).$
- $(4) \quad \overline{a}\overline{R} = (\overline{a axa})\overline{R}.$

*Proof.*  $(1) \Rightarrow (2)$  and  $(1) \Rightarrow (4)$  are trivial.

- $(2) \Rightarrow (3)$  Set a = (1 ax)t. Then 1 = (1 ax) + ax = (1 ax) + (1 ax)tx = (1 ax)(1 + tx), so  $1 ax \in r.U(R)$ .
- (3)  $\Rightarrow$  (1) Set (1 ax)v = 1. Then v = 1 + axv, and so  $a = [(1 ax)v]a = (1 ax)(1 + axv)a = (a axa)(1 + xva) \in (a axa)R$ .
- $(4) \Rightarrow (3) \ \overline{aR} = (\overline{a-axa})\overline{R}$  shows that there exists  $t \in R$  such that  $a-(a-axa)t \in J(R)$ , so  $(1-ax)(1+atx) = 1-[a-(a-axa)t]x \in U(R)$ , and so  $1-ax \in r.U(R)$ .

Remark 4. Let M be a module and End(M) its endomorphism ring. For all  $f,g \in End(M)$ , (f-fgf)(M)=f(M) implies that 1-fg is surjective. In fact, f(M)=(f-fgf)(M) shows  $f(M)=(1-fg)f(M)\subseteq (1-fg)(M)$ . Thus  $\forall x\in M,\,fg(x)=(1-fg)(y)$  for some  $y\in M$ , so  $x=(1-fg)(x)+fg(x)=(1-fg)(x)+(1-fg)(y)=(1-fg)(x+y)\in (1-fg)(M)$ ; i.e., 1-fg is surjective.

Now we present some properties of hollow length.

**Lemma 5.** Let R be a ring,  $a \in R$ .

- (1) h.length(a) = 0 if and only if  $a \in J(R)$ .
- (2) If h.length(a) = 1, then  $\overline{a}\overline{R}$  is a simple  $\overline{R}$ -module.

*Proof.* (1) h.length(a) = 0 if and only if for all  $x \in R$ , aR = (a - axa)R. Lemma 3 shows that this means  $1 - ax \in r.U(R)$  for all  $x \in R$ , i.e.,  $a \in J(R)$ .

(2) Suppose that h.length(a)=1. We need to prove that for all  $x\in R$ , if  $0\neq \overline{ax}\overline{R}$ , then  $\overline{ax}\overline{R}=\overline{a}\overline{R}$ . In fact,  $0\neq \overline{ax}\overline{R}$  gives  $ax\notin J(R)$ , so there exists  $y\in R$  such that  $1-axy\notin r.U(R)$ . Lemma 3 shows  $aR\supsetneq (a-axya)R$ . Since h.length(a)=1, we have h.length(a-axya)=0, so  $a-axya\in J(R)$ , i.e.,  $\overline{a}-\overline{axya}=\overline{0}\in \overline{R}$ . Thus  $\overline{ax}\overline{R}=\overline{a}\overline{R}$ , as desired.

Remark. Essentially, the proof of Lemma 5(2) has its origin in Camps and Dicks's proof of Theorem 1.

We can characterize hollow length as follows.

**Proposition 6.** Let R be a ring,  $a \in R$  and let  $n \ge 0$  be an integer. The following conditions are equivalent:

- (1) h.length(a) = n.
- (2)  $\overline{a}\overline{R}$  is a semisimple  $\overline{R}$ -module with  $dim(\overline{a}\overline{R}) = n$ .

*Proof.* For n=0, this is Lemma 5(1). We now prove the equivalence for  $n\geq 1$ .

 $(1)\Rightarrow (2)\quad \text{We use induction on }n. \text{ The case }n=1 \text{ is Lemma }5(2). \text{ Let }n>1 \text{ and suppose that our implication holds for all }x\in R \text{ with }h.length(x)\leq n-1. \text{ Let }aR\supsetneq a_1R\supsetneq \cdots \supsetneq a_{n-1}R\supsetneq a_nR \text{ be a hollow chain of }a, \text{ where }a_1=a-aba \text{ and }a_{i+1}=a_i-a_ib_ia_i \text{ for all }i\ge 1. \text{ Then }h.length(a_i)=n-i \text{ for all }i\in \{0,1,\cdots,n\}. \\ \text{Since }h.length(a_n)=0, \text{ Lemma }5(1) \text{ gives }a_n\in J(R). \text{ Therefore }\overline{a_n}=\overline{a_{n-1}}-\overline{a_{n-1}}b_{n-1}a_{n-1}=\overline{0}, \text{ i.e., }\overline{a_{n-1}}=\overline{a_{n-1}}b_{n-1}a_{n-1}. \\ \text{Thus }\overline{a_{n-1}b_{n-1}}\text{ is idempotent and }\overline{R}=\overline{(1-a_{n-1}b_{n-1})R}\oplus a_{n-1}b_{n-1}\overline{R}, \text{ and so }\overline{a}\overline{R}=\overline{(1-a_{n-1}b_{n-1})a}\overline{R}\oplus a_{n-1}b_{n-1}a\overline{R}. \\ \text{Noting that }a_{n-1}\in aR, \text{ we have }\overline{a_{n-1}}=\overline{a_{n-1}b_{n-1}a_{n-1}}\in \overline{a_{n-1}b_{n-1}aR}, \text{ so }\overline{a_{n-1}}\overline{R}=\overline{a_{n-1}b_{n-1}aR}. \\ \text{Therefore we have }a_{n-1}a=\overline{a_{n-1}b_{n-1}a_{n-1}}\in \overline{a_{n-1}b_{n-1}aR}, \text{ so }\overline{a_{n-1}}\overline{R}=\overline{a_{n-1}b_{n-1}aR}. \\ \text{Therefore we have }a_{n-1}a=\overline{a_{n-1}b_{n-1}a_{n-1}}\in \overline{a_{n-1}b_{n-1}aR}. \\ \text{Therefore we have }a_{n-1}a=\overline{a_{n-1}b_{n-1}a_{n-1}}\in \overline{a_{n-1}b_{n-1}aR}. \\ \text{Therefore we have }a_{n-1}a=\overline{a_{n-1}b_{n-1}a_{n-1}}=\overline{a_{n-1}b_{n-1}a_{n-1}}\in \overline{a_{n-1}b_{n-1}aR}. \\ \text{Therefore we have }a_{n-1}a=\overline{a_{n-1}b_{n-1}a_{n-1}}=\overline{a_{n-1}b_{n-1}$ 

$$(*) \overline{a}\overline{R} = \overline{(1 - a_{n-1}b_{n-1})a}\overline{R} \oplus \overline{a_{n-1}}\overline{R}.$$

Note that  $h.length(a_{n-1}) = 1$ . Lemma 5(2) shows that  $\overline{a_{n-1}}\overline{R}$  is a simple  $\overline{R}$ -module.

Since  $a_{n-1}R \supseteq a_nR = (a_{n-1} - a_{n-1}b_{n-1}a_{n-1})R$ , we know from Lemma 3 that  $(1 - a_{n-1}b_{n-1}) \notin r.U(R)$ , and so Lemma 3 gives  $aR \supseteq (a - a_{n-1}b_{n-1}a)R$ . Thus we have  $h.length(a - a_{n-1}b_{n-1}a) < h.length(a) = n$ . By induction we know  $(a - a_{n-1}b_{n-1}a)\overline{R}$  is a semisimple module with  $dim((a - a_{n-1}b_{n-1}a)\overline{R}) \le n - 1$ . Therefore equation (\*) yields that  $\overline{a}\overline{R}$  is a semisimple module with  $dim(\overline{a}\overline{R}) \le n$ .

Now we prove  $dim(\overline{a}\overline{R}) \geq n$ . Noting that  $\overline{a_1}\overline{R} \subseteq \overline{a}\overline{R}$  and  $\overline{a}\overline{R}$  is semisimple, we have  $\overline{a}\overline{R} = \overline{a_1}\overline{R} \oplus D$  for some  $D \subseteq \overline{a}\overline{R}$ . Since  $h.length(a_1) = n - 1$ , by induction,  $\overline{a_1}\overline{R}$  is a semisimple module with  $dim(\overline{a_1}\overline{R}) = n - 1$ . If we can prove  $D \neq 0$ , then

we have  $dim(\overline{aR}) = dim(\overline{a_1R}) + dim(D) \ge n$ . In fact, if D = 0, then  $\overline{aR} = \overline{a_1R}$ . Lemma 3 gives  $aR = a_1R$ , a contradiction.

 $(2) \Rightarrow (1)$  Suppose that  $\overline{aR}$  is a semisimple module with  $dim(\overline{aR}) = n$ . First assume that  $h.length(a) = \infty$ . Then there is a finite descending chain

$$a_0R \supseteq a_1R \supseteq a_2R \supseteq a_3R \supseteq \cdots \supseteq a_mR$$

with  $a_0 = a$ ,  $a_{i+1} = a_i - a_i b_i a_i$   $(0 \le i \le m-1)$  and m > n. By Lemma 3, there is a finite descending chain

$$\overline{a}\overline{R} \supseteq \overline{a_1}\overline{R} \supseteq \overline{a_2}\overline{R} \supseteq \overline{a_3}\overline{R} \supseteq \cdots \supseteq \overline{a_m}\overline{R}.$$

Thus  $dim(\overline{a}\overline{R}) \geq m > n$ , a contradiction.

Suppose  $h.length(a) = m < \infty$ . Then by  $(i) \Rightarrow (ii)$ , we know that  $\overline{a}\overline{R}$  is a semisimple module with  $dim(\overline{a}\overline{R}) = m$ . Therefore m = n.

**Corollary 7.** Let R be a ring. If  $a, b \in R$  and  $aR \subseteq bR$ , then  $h.length(a) \le h.length(b)$ . In particular, for all  $a \in R$ ,  $h.length(a) \le h.length(1_R)$ .

*Proof.* Suppose that  $h.length(b) = n < \infty$ . By Proposition 6,  $\overline{bR}$  is a semisimple  $\overline{R}$ -module with  $dim(\overline{bR}) = n$ , so  $\overline{aR}$  is a semisimple  $\overline{R}$ -module with  $dim(\overline{aR}) \le n$ . Therefore  $h.length(a) = dim(\overline{aR}) \le n = h.length(b)$ .

**Proposition 8.** Let R be a semilocal ring,  $a, b \in R$ . Then

- (1) h.length(a) = h.dim(R) h.dim(R/aR).
- (2) h.length(a) + h.length(1 ab) h.length(a aba) = h.dim(R).
- (3) h.length(a) = h.length(a aba) + 1 if and only if aR/(a aba)R is local.

*Proof.* (1) Since (aR+J(R))/aR is small in R/aR, applying [2], Proposition 2.42 (d) to the exact sequence

$$(aR + J(R))/aR \hookrightarrow R/aR \rightarrow (R/aR)/(aR + J(R)/aR) \cong R/(aR + J(R)),$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} h.dim(R/aR) &= h.dim(R/(aR+J(R))) = h.dim(\overline{R}/\overline{a}\overline{R}) \\ &= h.dim(\overline{R}) - h.dim(\overline{a}\overline{R}) = h.dim(R) - h.dim(\overline{a}\overline{R}). \end{aligned}$$

By Proposition 6, we get h.dim(R/aR) = h.dim(R) - h.length(a).

- (2) This result comes directly from (1) and the fact that  $h.dim(R/(a-aba)R) = h.dim(R/aR \oplus R/(1-ab)R) = h.dim(R/aR) + h.dim(R/(1-ab)R)$ .
- (3) By (2), h.length(a) = h.length(a aba) + 1 if and only if h.length(1 ab) = h.dim(R) 1, i.e., h.dim(R) h.dim(R/(1 ab)R) = h.dim(R) 1; this means that h.dim(R/(1 ab)R) = 1. By [2], Proposition 2.42 (b), this means that R/(1 ab)R is hollow. Since R/(1 ab)R is cyclic, [5, 1.1.5 (b)] shows that  $R/(1 ab)R = (aR + (1 ab)R)/(1 ab)R \cong aR/(a aba)R$  is local.

We now give our characterizations of semilocal rings.

**Theorem 9.** The following conditions are equivalent for a ring R and an integer n > 0:

- (1) R is a semilocal ring and dim(R/J(R)) = n.
- (2)  $h.length(1_R) = n$ .
- (3) There exists a descending chain of principal right ideals of R

$$R = a_0 R \supset a_1 R \supset a_2 R \supset \cdots \supset a_n R$$
 with  $a_{i+1} = a_i - a_i b_i a_i$ 

such that

- (i)  $a_n \in J(R)$ ;
- (ii)  $a_i R/a_{i+1}R$  is local for all  $0 \le i \le n-1$ .
- (4) There exist  $d, d_1, \dots, d_n \in R$  with  $dR = \bigcap_{i=1}^n d_i R \subseteq J(R)$  such that  $R/d_i R$  is local for all  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  and  $R/dR \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^n R/d_i R$ .
- (5) There exists  $d \in J(R)$  such that  $R/dR \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^n A_i$ , where  $\{A_i\}_{i \in \{1,\dots,n\}}$  are local modules.
  - $(2^*)$ ,  $(3^*)$ ,  $(4^*)$ ,  $(5^*)$ . The left-right duals of (2), (3), (4) and (5).

*Proof.* (1)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (2) comes directly from Proposition 6 by setting  $a = 1_R$ .

- $(2) \Rightarrow (3)$  Let  $R = a_0 R \supsetneq a_1 R \supsetneq a_2 R \supsetneq \cdots \supsetneq a_n R$  with  $a_{i+1} = a_i a_i b_i a_i$  a hollow chain of  $a_0 = 1_R$ . Then (i) and (ii) come respectively from Lemma 5(1) and Proposition 8(3).
- $(3) \Rightarrow (4)$  Assume that (3) holds. Let  $d = a_n$ ,  $d_1 = a_1$  and  $d_{i+1} = (1 a_i b_i)$  for all  $i \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$ . Then  $dR = \bigcap_{i=1}^n d_i R \subseteq J(R)$  and  $R/d_1 R$  is local. Obviously, for all  $i \in \{2, \dots, n\}$ ,  $R/d_i R \cong a_{i-1} R/a_i R$  is also local. Moreover,

$$R/dR = R/a_n R = R/(a_{n-1} - a_{n-1}b_{n-1}a_{n-1})R \cong R/a_{n-1}R \oplus R/(1 - a_{n-1}b_{n-1})R$$

$$= R/(a_{n-2} - a_{n-2}b_{n-2}a_{n-2})R \oplus R/(1 - a_{n-1}b_{n-1})R$$

$$\cong R/a_{n-2}R \oplus R/(1 - a_{n-2}b_{n-2})R \oplus R/(1 - a_{n-1}b_{n-1})R$$

$$\cong \cdots$$

$$\cong R/a_1 R \oplus R/(1 - a_1b_1)R \oplus \cdots \oplus R/(1 - a_{n-2}b_{n-2})R \oplus R/(1 - a_{n-1}b_{n-1})R$$

$$= R/d_1 R \oplus R/d_2 R \oplus \cdots \oplus R/d_{n-1}R \oplus R/d_nR.$$

- $(4) \Rightarrow (5)$  is trivial.
- $(5) \Rightarrow (1)$   $d \in J(R)$  shows that dR is small in R, and so

$$h.dim(R) = h.dim(R/dR) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} h.dim(A_i)$$
$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} 1 = n.$$

Corollary 10. The following conditions are equivalent for a ring R:

- (1) R is a semilocal ring.
- (2) Every right hollow chain

$$a_0R \supseteq a_1R \supseteq a_2R \supseteq \cdots \supseteq a_nR \supseteq \cdots$$
 with  $a_{i+1} = a_i - a_ib_ia_i$ 

eventually terminates.

- (3) There exists a partial order  $\leq$  on the set R such that
  - (i)  $(R, \leq)$  is an Artinian partial order set;
  - (ii) if  $a, b \in R$  and  $1 ab \notin r.U(R)$ , then a aba < a.
- (4) Every descending chain of principal right ideals of R

$$a_0R \supset a_1R \supset a_2R \supset \cdots \supset a_nR \supset \cdots$$
 with  $a_{i+1} = a_i - a_ib_ia_i$ 

eventually terminates.

 $(2^*)$ ,  $(3^*)$ ,  $(4^*)$ . The left-right duals of (2), (3) and (4).

*Proof.*  $(4) \Rightarrow (2)$  is trivial.

- $(1) \Rightarrow (2)$  comes from Theorem 9(2) and Corollary 7.
- $(2) \Rightarrow (3)$  If (2) holds, define an order  $\leq$  on R via

$$b \le a$$
 if  $a = b$  or  $aR \supseteq bR$  and  $b = a - ada$  for some  $d \in R$ .

If we can prove that  $\leq$  is a partial order, then (3) is easily verified. We need only to prove the transitivity of " $\leq$ ". In fact, suppose that  $a_3 < a_2$  and  $a_2 < a_1$ . Set  $a_{i+1} = a_i - a_i b_i a_i$  (i = 1, 2), where  $1 - a_i b_i \notin r.U(R)$ . Write  $d = b_1 + (1 - b_1 a_1)b_2(1 - a_1 b_1)$ . Then

$$a_3 = a_2 - a_2 b_2 a_2 = (a_1 - a_1 b_1 a_1) - (a_1 - a_1 b_1 a_1) b_2 (a_1 - a_1 b_1 a_1)$$
$$= a_1 - a_1 [b_1 + (1 - b_1 a_1) b_2 (1 - a_1 b_1)] a_1 = a_1 - a_1 da_1.$$

Noting that  $1 - a_1 d = 1 - a_1 [b_1 + (1 - b_1 a_1) b_2 (1 - a_1 b_1)] = (1 - a_1 b_1) [1 - a_1 b_2 (1 - a_1 b_1)]$  and  $1 - a_1 b_1 \notin r.U(R)$ , we get  $1 - a_1 d \notin r.U(R)$ , and so  $a_3 < a_1$ , as desired.

- $(3) \Rightarrow (1)$  Note that  $\forall a \in R, a \in J(R)$  if and only if  $1 ab \in r.U(R)$  for all  $b \in R$ . Then using the same proof of Camps and Dicks' Theorem 1 (see  $(c) \Rightarrow (a)$  of [2, Theorem 4.2] or  $(f) \Rightarrow (a)$  of [1, Theorem 1], we can prove (1).
  - $(1),(2) \Rightarrow (4)$  We prove first the following result.

Claim. For all descending chains

$$a_1R \supseteq a_2R \supseteq a_3R \supseteq \cdots \supseteq a_nR \supseteq \cdots$$
 with  $a_{n+1} = a_n - a_nb_na_n$ .

If  $a_1R = a_2R$ , then we have a descending chain

$$a_1R \supseteq a_3'R \supseteq \cdots \supseteq a_n'R \supseteq \cdots$$
 with  $a_3' = a_1 - a_1da_1, a_{n+1}' = a_n' - a_n'd_na_n'$ 

for some  $d, d_n \in R$   $(n \ge 3)$ , and  $a'_n R = a'_{n+1} R$  if and only if  $a_n R = a_{n+1} R$ .  $a'_3 R = a_1 R$  if and only if  $a_3 R = a_2 R$ .

In fact,  $a_1R = a_2R$  with  $a_2 = a_1 - a_1b_1a_1$  implies that  $1 - a_1b_1 \in r.U(R) = U(R)$ , i.e.,  $1 - b_1a_1 \in U(R)$ .

For  $n \geq 3$ , set  $a'_n = a_n(1 - b_1a_1)^{-1}$ . Then  $a'_nR = a_nR$ , so  $a'_nR = a'_{n+1}R$  if and only if  $a_nR = a_{n+1}R$ . In addition,  $a'_{n+1} = (a_n - a_nb_na_n)(1 - b_1a_1)^{-1} = a'_n - a'_n(1 - b_1a_1)b_na'_n$ .

 $a_3' = a_3(1 - b_1a_1)^{-1} = (a_2 - a_2b_2a_2)(1 - b_1a_1)^{-1} = [a_1 - a_1(1 - b_1a_1)b_2a_1],$  and  $a_3'R = a_1R$  means that  $a_3(1 - b_1a_1)^{-1}R = a_1R$ , that is,  $a_3R = a_1R = a_2R$ .

Assume that there exists a descending chain

$$a_0R \supseteq a_1R \supseteq a_2R \supseteq \cdots \supseteq a_nR \supseteq \cdots$$
 with  $a_{i+1} = a_i - a_ib_ia_i$ 

which never terminates. Then, by the claim, we can obtain an infinite hollow chain

$$d_0R \supseteq d_1R \supseteq d_2R \supseteq \cdots \supseteq d_nR \supseteq \cdots$$
 with  $d_{i+1} = d_i - d_ic_id_i$ ,

a contradiction. 
$$\Box$$

As an application of Corollary 10, we can characterize modules with a semilocal endomorphism ring as follows.

**Theorem 11.** The following conditions are equivalent for a module M:

- (1) The ring End(M) is semilocal.
- (2) For every  $f_0 \in End(M)$  and every sequence  $g_0, g_1, g_2, \cdots$  of elements of End(M), if we set  $f_{n+1} = f_n f_n g_n f_n$  for every  $n \geq 0$ , then the chains
  - (i)  $f_0(M) \supseteq f_1(M) \supseteq \cdots \supseteq f_n(M) \supseteq \cdots$
  - (ii)  $\ker f_0 \subseteq \ker f_1 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq \ker f_n \subseteq \cdots$

of submodules of M both eventually terminate.

*Proof.* (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2) Set E = End(M). Corollary 10 shows that

$$f_0E \supseteq f_1E \supseteq f_2E \supseteq \cdots$$

of E eventually terminates, so there exists  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\forall n > m$ ,  $f_{n+1}E = (f_n - f_n g_n f_n)E$ , i.e.,  $1 - f_n g_n \in r.U(E) = U(E)$ . Thus  $1 - g_n f_n \in U(E)$ . So  $\forall n > m$ ,  $f_{n+1}(M) = (f_n - f_n g_n f_n)(M) = f_n(1 - g_n f_n)(M) = f_n(M)$ , and  $\ker f_{n+1} = \ker f_n \oplus \ker (1 - g_n f_n) = \ker f_n \oplus 0 = \ker f_n$ . Therefore the two chains (i) and (ii) eventually terminate.

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1) Suppose that  $\forall n > m$ ,  $\ker f_{n+1} = \ker f_n \oplus \ker (1 - g_n f_n) = \ker f_n$  and  $f_{n+1}(M) = (f_n - f_n g_n f_n)(M) = f_n(M)$ .

Then  $\ker(1-g_nf_n)=0$ . By [2, Lemma 4.1 (a)],  $\ker(1-f_ng_n)\cong \ker(1-g_nf_n)=0$ . so  $1-f_ng_n$  is injective.

By Remark 4,  $f_{n+1}(M) = (f_n - f_n g_n f_n)(M) = f_n(M)$  implies that  $1 - f_n g_n$  is surjective.

Therefore  $\forall n > m, 1 - f_n g_n$  is bijective. Lemma 3 shows that the descending chain of E

$$E = f_0 E \supseteq f_1 E \supseteq f_2 E \supseteq \cdots$$

with  $f_{n+1} = f_n - f_n g_n f_n$  eventually terminates. Corollary 10 yields that End(M) is semilocal.

We extend Theorem 3 (2) of [4] as follows.

**Corollary 12.** The following conditions are equivalent for a module M for which every epimorphism  $M \to M$  splits:

- (i) The ring End(M) is semilocal.
- (ii) For every  $f_0 \in End(M)$  and every sequence  $g_0, g_1, g_2, \cdots$  of elements of End(M), if we set  $f_{n+1} = f_n f_n g_n f_n$  for every  $n \geq 0$ , then the chain  $f_0(M) \supseteq f_1(M) \supseteq \cdots \supseteq f_n(M) \supseteq \cdots$  of submodules of M eventually terminates.

Moreover,  $h.dim(End(M)) \le h.dim(M)$ .

*Proof.* By Theorem 11, we need only to prove  $(ii) \Rightarrow (i)$ . Set E = End(M). Given a descending chain  $f_0E \supseteq f_1E \supseteq \cdots \supseteq f_nE \supseteq \cdots$  with  $f_{n+1} = f_n - f_ng_nf_n$ , by (ii), there exists  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\forall n > m$ ,  $f_{n+1}(M) = (f_n - f_ng_nf_n)(M) = f_n(M)$ . By Remark 4,  $1 - f_ng_n$  is surjective, so  $1 - f_ng_n \in r.U(E)$ . Lemma 3 and Corollary 10 yield that End(M) is semilocal.

Assume that  $h.dim(M) = m < \infty$  and h.dim(End(M)) > m. Then by Theorem 9 there exists a hollow chain in E,

$$E = f_0 E \supseteq f_1 E \supseteq \cdots \supseteq f_m E \supseteq f_{m+1} E$$
,

with  $f_{i+1} = f_i - f_i g_i f_i \in E$  for all  $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, m\}$ . Then for all  $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, m\}$ ,  $1 - f_i g_i \notin r.U(E)$ , and so  $1 - f_i g_i$  is not surjective. By Remark 4,  $f_0(M) \supseteq f_1(M) \supseteq \cdots \supseteq f_m(M) \supseteq f_{m+1}(M)$  is a strictly descending chain. Write  $N_1 = f_1(M) \subseteq M$  and  $N_{i+1} = (1_M - f_i g_i)(M) \subseteq M$  for all  $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ . Then for all  $i \ge 1$ ,  $(N_1 \cap N_2 \cap \cdots \cap N_i) + N_{i+1} = f_i(M) + (1_M - f_i g_i)(M) = M$ , and so  $\{N_i | i = 1, \dots, m+1\}$  is a coindependent set of proper submodules of M. Thus h.dim(M) > m, a contradiction.

Similarly, we extend Theorem 5 of [1] and Theorem 3 (1) of [4] as follows.

Corollary 13. The following conditions are equivalent for a module M for which every monomorphism  $M \to M$  splits:

- (i) The ring End(M) is semilocal.
- (ii) For every  $f_0 \in End(M)$  and every sequence  $g_0, g_1, g_2, \cdots$  of elements of End(M), if we set  $f_{n+1} = f_n f_n g_n f_n$  for every  $n \geq 0$ , then the chain  $\ker f_0 \subseteq \ker f_1 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq \ker f_n \subseteq \cdots$  of submodules of M eventually terminates.

Moreover,  $h.dim(End(M)) \leq dim(M)$ .

Proof. Set E = End(M). We need only to prove (ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i). For this, it is enough to show that every descending chain  $Ef_0 \supseteq Ef_1 \supseteq \cdots \supseteq Ef_n \supseteq \cdots$  of left ideals of E with  $f_{n+1} = f_n - f_n g_n f_n$  eventually terminates. Given such a chain, by (ii) there exists  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\forall n > m$ ,  $\ker f_{n+1} = \ker(f_n - f_n g_n f_n) = \ker f_n$ , i.e.,  $\ker f_n \oplus \ker(1 - g_n f_n) = \ker f_n$ , so  $\ker(1 - g_n f_n) = 0$ , i.e.,  $1 - g_n f_n$  is injective, and so  $1 - g_n f_n \in l.U(E)$  for all n > m. It follows that  $Ef_0 \subseteq Ef_1 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq Ef_n \subseteq \cdots$  eventually terminates, so End(M) is semilocal.  $h.dim(End(M)) \leq dim(M)$  comes from Theorem 9(2) and the fact that  $\forall i \geq 1$ ,  $\ker f_{i+1} = \ker(f_i - f_i g_i f_i) = \ker f_i \oplus \ker(1 - g_i f_i)$ .

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This paper is dedicated to my research supervisors, Yongxi Yu and Wenting Tong. It is a pleasure to thank the referee for excellent suggestions and corrections which have helped me to improve considerably the first version of this paper. Especially, the original proof of the  $(2) \Rightarrow (1)$  of Proposition 6 was not precise; the present one is due to the referee.

### References

- R. Camps and W. Dicks, On semilocal rings, Israel J. Math. 81, 203-211, 1993. MR1231187 (94m:16027)
- [2] A. Facchini, Module Theory: Endomorphism Rings and Direct Sum Decompositions in Some Classes of Modules, Progress in Math., Vol. 167, Birkhäuser Boston, 1998. MR1634015 (99h:16004)
- [3] A. Facchini, D. Herbera, L. Levy and P. Vámos, Krull-Schmidt fails for Artinian modules, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 123, 3587-3592, 1995. MR1277109 (96b:16020)
- [4] D. Herbera and A. Shamsuddin, Modules with semi-local endomorphism ring, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 123, 3593-3600, 1995. MR1277114 (96b:16014)
- C. Lomp, On dual Goldie dimension, Diplomarbeit, Heinrich Heine Universität, Düsseldorf, 1996.
- [6] K. Varadarajan, Dual Goldie dimension, Comm. Algebra 7, 565-610, 1979. MR524269 (80d:16014)

School of Physics and Mathematics, Jiangsu Polytechnic University, Changzhou, Jiangsu 213016, People's Republic of China

E-mail address: hbzhang1212@yahoo.com.cn