

A NOTE ON EVALUATIONS OF MULTIPLE ZETA VALUES

SHUICHI MUNETA

(Communicated by Ken Ono)

ABSTRACT. In this paper we give a short and simple proof of the remarkable evaluations of multiple zeta values established by D. Bowman and D. M. Bradley.

1. INTRODUCTION

The multiple zeta value (MZV) is defined by the convergent series

$$\zeta(k_1, k_2, \dots, k_n) := \sum_{m_1 > m_2 > \dots > m_n > 0} \frac{1}{m_1^{k_1} m_2^{k_2} \dots m_n^{k_n}},$$

where k_1, k_2, \dots, k_n are positive integers and $k_1 \geq 2$. One remarkable property of MZVs is that MZVs are evaluated for some special arguments as rational multiples of powers of π^2 . For example, the following evaluations were proven by many authors ([1], [5], [8]):

$$\zeta(\{2\}_m) = \frac{\pi^{2m}}{(2m+1)!} \quad (m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0})$$

where $\{2\}_m$ denotes the m -tuple $(2, 2, \dots, 2)$. In [8], D. Zagier conjectured the following evaluations:

$$\zeta(\{3, 1\}_n) = \frac{2\pi^{4n}}{(4n+2)!} \quad (n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}).$$

These evaluations were proved by J. M. Borwein, D. M. Bradley, D. J. Broadhurst and P. Lisoněk ([2], [3]). In addition, D. Bowman and D. M. Bradley proved the following theorem which contained these results:

Theorem 1 ([4]). *For nonnegative integers m, n , we have*

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\substack{j_0 + j_1 + \dots + j_{2n} = m \\ j_0, j_1, \dots, j_{2n} \geq 0}} \zeta(\{2\}_{j_0}, 3, \{2\}_{j_1}, 1, \{2\}_{j_2}, \dots, \{2\}_{j_{2n-2}}, 3, \{2\}_{j_{2n-1}}, 1, \{2\}_{j_{2n}}) \\ &= \binom{m+2n}{m} \frac{\pi^{2m+4n}}{(2n+1) \cdot (2m+4n+1)!}. \end{aligned}$$

In this article we provide a short and simple proof of Theorem 1 which refines the proof of Theorem 5.1 in [4].

Received by the editors March 13, 2008, and, in revised form, March 29, 2008.
 2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 11M41; Secondary 11M06.
Key words and phrases. Multiple zeta values, shuffle.

2. ALGEBRAIC SETUP

We summarize the algebraic setup of MZVs introduced by Hoffman (cf. [6], [7]). Let $\mathfrak{H} = \mathbb{Q}\langle x, y \rangle$ be the noncommutative polynomial ring in two indeterminates x, y and \mathfrak{H}^1 and \mathfrak{H}^0 its subrings $\mathbb{Q} + \mathfrak{H}y$ and $\mathbb{Q} + x\mathfrak{H}y$. We set $z_k = x^{k-1}y$ ($k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$). Then \mathfrak{H}^1 is freely generated by $\{z_k\}_{k \geq 1}$.

We define the \mathbb{Q} -linear map (called an evaluation map) $Z : \mathfrak{H}^0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $Z(1) = 1$ and

$$Z(u_1 u_2 \cdots u_k) = \int \cdots \int_{1 > t_1 > t_2 > \cdots > t_k > 0} \omega_{u_1}(t_1) \omega_{u_2}(t_2) \cdots \omega_{u_k}(t_k)$$

($u_1, u_2, \dots, u_k \in \{x, y\}$), where $\omega_x(t) = dt/t$ and $\omega_y(t) = dt/(1-t)$. As $u_1 u_2 \cdots u_k$ is in \mathfrak{H}^0 , we always have $\omega_{u_1}(t) = dt/t$ and $\omega_{u_k}(t) = dt/(1-t)$, so the integral converges. By the Drinfel'd integral representation, we have

$$Z(z_{k_1} z_{k_2} \cdots z_{k_n}) = \zeta(k_1, k_2, \dots, k_n).$$

We next define the shuffle product \mathfrak{m} on \mathfrak{H} inductively by

$$\begin{aligned} 1 \mathfrak{m} w &= w \mathfrak{m} 1 = w, \\ u_1 w_1 \mathfrak{m} u_2 w_2 &= u_1(w_1 \mathfrak{m} u_2 w_2) + u_2(u_1 w_1 \mathfrak{m} w_2) \end{aligned}$$

($u_1, u_2 \in \{x, y\}$ and w, w_1, w_2 are words in \mathfrak{H}), together with \mathbb{Q} -bilinearity. The shuffle product \mathfrak{m} is commutative and associative. By the standard shuffle product identity of iterated integrals, the evaluation map Z is a homomorphism with respect to the shuffle product \mathfrak{m} :

$$Z(w_1 \mathfrak{m} w_2) = Z(w_1)Z(w_2) \quad (w_1, w_2 \in \mathfrak{H}^0).$$

We also define the shuffle product $\tilde{\mathfrak{m}}$ on \mathfrak{H}^1 inductively by

$$\begin{aligned} 1 \tilde{\mathfrak{m}} w &= w \tilde{\mathfrak{m}} 1 = w, \\ u_1 w_1 \tilde{\mathfrak{m}} u_2 w_2 &= u_1(w_1 \tilde{\mathfrak{m}} u_2 w_2) + u_2(u_1 w_1 \tilde{\mathfrak{m}} w_2) \end{aligned}$$

($u_1, u_2 \in \{z_k\}_{k \geq 1}$ and w, w_1, w_2 are words in \mathfrak{H}^1), together with \mathbb{Q} -bilinearity. For example, we have

$$\begin{aligned} z_m \tilde{\mathfrak{m}} z_n &= z_m z_n + z_n z_m, \\ z_m \tilde{\mathfrak{m}} z_n z_l &= z_m z_n z_l + z_n z_m z_l + z_n z_l z_m. \end{aligned}$$

Then Theorem 1 can be restated as follows:

$$Z(z_2^m \tilde{\mathfrak{m}}(z_3 z_1)^n) = \binom{m+2n}{m} \frac{\pi^{2m+4n}}{(2n+1) \cdot (2m+4n+1)!} \quad (m, n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}).$$

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1

We restate Proposition 4.1 and Proposition 4.2 of [4] by using $\tilde{\mathfrak{m}}$ and prove them by induction.

Proposition 2. *For integers n, N which satisfy $0 \leq n \leq N$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} (1) \quad z_2^n \mathfrak{m} z_2^N &= \sum_{k=0}^n 4^k \binom{N+n-2k}{n-k} \{z_2^{N+n-2k} \tilde{\mathfrak{m}}(z_3 z_1)^k\}, \\ (2) \quad z_1 z_2^n \mathfrak{m} z_1 z_2^N &= 2 \sum_{k=0}^n 4^k \binom{N+n-2k}{n-k} z_1 \{z_2^{N+n-2k} \tilde{\mathfrak{m}} z_1 (z_3 z_1)^k\}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We prove identities (1) and (2) simultaneously by induction on n . [Step 1] The case $n = 0$ of (1) is clear. We can easily prove the case $n = 0$ of (2) by induction on N . [Step 2] Suppose that (1) and (2) have been proven for $n - 1$. We prove (1) for n by induction on N :

$$\begin{aligned}
z_2^n \mathfrak{m} z_2^n &= 2xy\{(xy)^{n-1} \mathfrak{m}(xy)^n\} + 2x^2\{y(xy)^{n-1} \mathfrak{m}y(xy)^{n-1}\} \\
&= 2 \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} 4^k \binom{2n-1-2k}{n-1-k} z_2 \{z_2^{2n-1-2k} \widetilde{\mathfrak{m}}(z_3 z_1)^k\} \\
&\quad + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} 4^{k+1} \binom{2n-2-2k}{n-1-k} z_3 \{z_2^{2n-2-2k} \widetilde{\mathfrak{m}} z_1 (z_3 z_1)^k\} \\
&= \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} 4^k \binom{2n-2k}{n-k} z_2 \{z_2^{2n-1-2k} \widetilde{\mathfrak{m}}(z_3 z_1)^k\} \\
&\quad + \sum_{k=1}^n 4^k \binom{2n-2k}{n-k} z_3 \{z_2^{2n-2k} \widetilde{\mathfrak{m}} z_1 (z_3 z_1)^{k-1}\} \\
&= \binom{2n}{n} z_2^{2n} + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} 4^k \binom{2n-2k}{n-k} \{z_2^{2n-2k} \widetilde{\mathfrak{m}}(z_3 z_1)^k\} + 4^n (z_3 z_1)^n \\
&= \sum_{k=0}^n 4^k \binom{2n-2k}{n-k} \{z_2^{2n-2k} \widetilde{\mathfrak{m}}(z_3 z_1)^k\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence (1) is true for $N = n$. Suppose that the case $N - 1$ of (1) has been proven. (We may assume that $N - 1 \geq n$ in the following calculation.)

$$\begin{aligned}
z_2^n \mathfrak{m} z_2^N &= xy\{(xy)^{n-1} \mathfrak{m}(xy)^N\} + 2x^2\{y(xy)^{n-1} \mathfrak{m}y(xy)^{N-1}\} \\
&\quad + xy\{(xy)^n \mathfrak{m}(xy)^{N-1}\} \\
&= \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} 4^k \binom{N+n-1-2k}{n-1-k} z_2 \{z_2^{N+n-1-2k} \widetilde{\mathfrak{m}}(z_3 z_1)^k\} \\
&\quad + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} 4^{k+1} \binom{N+n-2-2k}{n-1-k} z_3 \{z_2^{N+n-2-2k} \widetilde{\mathfrak{m}} z_1 (z_3 z_1)^k\} \\
&\quad + \sum_{k=0}^n 4^k \binom{N+n-1-2k}{n-k} z_2 \{z_2^{N+n-1-2k} \widetilde{\mathfrak{m}}(z_3 z_1)^k\} \\
&= \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} 4^k \binom{N+n-2k}{n-k} z_2 \{z_2^{N+n-1-2k} \widetilde{\mathfrak{m}}(z_3 z_1)^k\} \\
&\quad + \sum_{k=1}^n 4^k \binom{N+n-2k}{n-k} z_3 \{z_2^{N+n-2k} \widetilde{\mathfrak{m}} z_1 (z_3 z_1)^{k-1}\} \\
&\quad + 4^n z_2 \{z_2^{N-n-1} \widetilde{\mathfrak{m}}(z_3 z_1)^n\} \\
&= \binom{N+n}{n} z_2^{N+n} + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} 4^k \binom{N+n-2k}{n-k} \{z_2^{N+n-2k} \widetilde{\mathfrak{m}}(z_3 z_1)^k\} \\
&\quad + 4^n \{z_2^{N-n} \widetilde{\mathfrak{m}}(z_3 z_1)^n\} \\
&= \sum_{k=0}^n 4^k \binom{N+n-2k}{n-k} \{z_2^{N+n-2k} \widetilde{\mathfrak{m}}(z_3 z_1)^k\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence (1) is true for N . We can prove (2) for n by induction on N with using (1) for n . \square

Before proceeding to the proof of Theorem 1, we prove a key identity. Comparing coefficients of $(x+1)^{2m+4n+2} = (x^2+2x+1)^{m+2n+1}$, we have

$$\binom{2m+4n+2}{2n+1} = \sum_{k=0}^n 2^{2k+1} \frac{(m+2n+1)!}{(n-k)!(2k+1)!(m+n-k)!}.$$

We can transform this identity as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} (3) \quad & \frac{1}{(2n+1)!} \frac{1}{(2m+2n+1)!} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^n 4^k \binom{m+2n-2k}{n-k} \binom{m+2n}{2k} \frac{1}{(2k+1) \cdot (2m+4n+1)!}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof of Theorem 1. We prove Theorem 1 by induction on n . The case $n = 0$ is well known as has been mentioned in Section 1. Suppose that the assertion has been proven up to $n - 1$. Putting $N = m + n$ in (1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & 4^n Z(z_2^m \widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}(z_3 z_1)^n) \\ &= \frac{\pi^{2n}}{(2n+1)!} \frac{\pi^{2m+2n}}{(2m+2n+1)!} \\ &\quad - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} 4^k \binom{m+2n-2k}{n-k} \binom{m+2n}{2k} \frac{\pi^{2m+4n}}{(2k+1) \cdot (2m+4n+1)!} \\ &= 4^n \binom{m+2n}{m} \frac{\pi^{2m+4n}}{(2n+1) \cdot (2m+4n+1)!}. \end{aligned}$$

In the first equality we have used the induction hypothesis and the formula $\zeta(\{2\}_m) = \pi^{2m}/(2m+1)!$ (the case $n = 0$), and in the second equality we have used (3). \square

REFERENCES

- [1] J. M. Borwein, D. M. Bradley, and D. J. Broadhurst, *Evaluations of k -fold Euler/Zagier sums: A compendium of results for arbitrary k* , Electron. J. Combin. **4**, No. 2 (1997). MR1444152 (98b:11091)
- [2] J. M. Borwein, D. M. Bradley, D. J. Broadhurst and P. Lisoněk, *Combinatorial aspects of multiple zeta values*, Electron. J. Combin. **5**, Research Paper 38 (1998). MR1637378 (99g:11100)
- [3] J. M. Borwein, D. M. Bradley, D. J. Broadhurst and P. Lisoněk, *Special values of multiple polylogarithms*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **353**, No. 3 (2001), 907–941. MR1709772 (2003i:33003)
- [4] D. Bowman and D. M. Bradley, *The algebra and combinatorics of shuffles and multiple zeta values*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A **97** (2002), 43–61. MR1879045 (2003j:05010)
- [5] M. Hoffman, *Multiple harmonic series*, Pacific J. Math. **152** (1992), 275–290. MR1141796 (92i:11089)
- [6] M. Hoffman, *The algebra of multiple harmonic series*, J. Algebra **194** (1997), 477–495. MR1467164 (99e:11119)

- [7] K. Ihara, M. Kaneko, and D. Zagier, *Derivation and double shuffle relations for multiple zeta values*, Compos. Math. **142** (2006), 307–338. MR2218898 (2007e:11110)
- [8] D. Zagier, *Values of zeta functions and their applications*, First European Congress of Mathematics, Vol. II, Progr. Math., 120, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1994, pp. 497–512. MR1341859 (96k:11110)

GRADUATE SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, KYUSHU UNIVERSITY, FUKUOKA 812-8581, JAPAN

E-mail address: `muneta@math.kyushu-u.ac.jp`