# ON THE SINGULARITY OF RANDOM MATRICES WITH INDEPENDENT ENTRIES

LAURENT BRUNEAU AND FRANÇOIS GERMINET

(Communicated by Walter Craig)

ABSTRACT. We consider n by n real matrices whose entries are non-degenerate random variables that are independent but not necessarily identically distributed, and show that the probability that such a matrix is singular is  $O(1/\sqrt{n})$ . The purpose of this paper is to provide a short and elementary proof of this fact using a Bernoulli decomposition of arbitrary non-degenerate random variables.

#### 1. Introduction

Let  $M_n = (a_{ij})$  be a random  $n \times n$  matrix, where the  $a_{ij}$  are independent (not necessarily identically distributed) real random variables. We assume that the r.v.  $a_{ij}$  satisfy the following uniform non-degeneracy property.

(H) There exists  $\rho \in ]0, \frac{1}{2}[$  such that for any  $i, j = 1, \dots, n$ ,  $\mathbb{P}(a_{ij} > x_{ij}^+) > \rho$  and  $\mathbb{P}(a_{ij} < x_{ij}^-) > \rho$  for some real numbers  $x_{ij}^- < x_{ij}^+$ .

We provide an elementary proof of the following proposition.

**Proposition 1.** Let  $M_n$  be an  $n \times n$  matrix whose coefficients are independent random variables satisfying (H). Then  $\mathbb{P}(M_n \text{ is singular}) = O(1/\sqrt{n})$ .

The study of the singularity of random matrices goes back, at least, to Komlós, who showed in [Ko1] that  $\mathbb{P}(M_n \text{ is singular}) = o(1)$  for independent and identically distributed (iid) Bernoulli entries, namely  $a_{ij} = 0, 1$  with probability 1/2. Using Sperner's Lemma, Komlós noticed that the probability was  $O(n^{-1/2})$  [B], a result which has been further extended in [Sl] to the case of iid entries equally distributed over a finite set. For iid Bernoulli entries, the conjecture is that  $\mathbb{P}(M_n \text{ is singular}) = (c + o(1))^n$  with  $c = \frac{1}{2}$ . Such an exponential behaviour has been successively obtained and improved in [KKoS, TV1, TV2] up to  $c = \frac{3}{4}$ . The value  $c = \frac{1}{2}$  still seems to be out of reach.

If one turns to general entries, Komlós proved in [Ko2] that  $\mathbb{P}(M_n \text{ is singular}) = o(1)$  for independent and identically distributed non-degenerate random variables. Furthermore, as pointed out by Tao and Vu in [TV1, Section 8], it follows from their analysis that  $\mathbb{P}(M_n \text{ is singular}) = o(1)$  for independent non-degenerate entries, provided Property (H) holds. Under the same hypothesis, Proposition 1 asserts that  $\mathbb{P}(M_n \text{ is singular}) = O(n^{-1/2})$ .

Received by the editors October 17, 2007.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 15A52.

But the main purpose of this paper is to illustrate how the Bernoulli decomposition developed in [AGKW] may be used in order to extend results known for Bernoulli to the general case of independent non-degenerate random variables. We perform that illustration by extending Komlós's argument, as reproduced in [B], to independent random variables satisfying Property (H). It is however not clear, at least to the authors, whether results in [TV1, TV2], and in particular Halàsz-type arguments, could be extended in a similar way.

#### 2. Proof

Our approach relies on the following lemma, which is essentially contained in [AGKW]. For the reader's convenience we sketch its proof in the appendix.

**Lemma 2.** Let  $M_n$  be an  $n \times n$  matrix whose coefficients are independent random variables satisfying (H). We can decompose the entries of the matrix  $M_n$  as follows: For all i, j, there exist two independent random variables  $w_{ij}$  and  $\epsilon_{ij}$  and functions  $f_{ij}: ]0,1[\rightarrow \mathbb{R} \ and \ \delta_{ij}: ]0,1[\rightarrow ]0,+\infty[ \ such \ that$ 

1.  $\epsilon_{ij}$  is a Bernoulli random variable with parameter  $p_{ij} \in ]0,1[$ ,

2.  $w_{ij}$  has the uniform distribution in ]0,1[,

3.  $a_{ij} = f_{ij}(w_{ij}) + \delta_{ij}(w_{ij})\epsilon_{ij}$ . Moreover,  $p_{ij} \in ]1 - p_0, p_0[$  for all i, j, where  $p_0 = 1 - \rho$ .

Remark 3. It is of crucial importance for us (see (6) in the proof of Lemma 5) that  $\delta_{ij} > 0$ . We however do not need here a uniform bound from below on these  $\delta_{ij}$ . In some situations, one actually does need such a uniform lower bound (see [AGKW]), in which case it is sufficient to modify (H) above and require the existence of  $x_{-} < x_{+}$  independent of i, j.

Thanks to Lemma 2 and since  $w_{ij}$  and  $\epsilon_{ij}$  are independent r.v., we may adopt the following strategy to prove the proposition: (1) Do the conditioning with respect to the variables  $w_{ij}$ , so that, given the  $w_{ij}$ 's,  $M_n$  becomes a sum of a constant matrix and of a random matrix with Bernoulli entries with probabilities  $(1-p_{ij}, p_{ij})$ and amplitudes  $\delta_{ij}(w_{ij})$ . (2) Estimate, with respect to the Bernoulli variables  $\epsilon_{ij}$ , the probability that  $M_n$  is singular following the strategy of [B]. (3) Take the expectation value with respect to the variables  $w_{ij}$ .

We shall denote by  $\mathbb{P}^{(w)}$  the conditional probability with respect to the  $w_{ij}$ variables, i.e.  $\mathbb{P}^{(w)}(\cdot) := \mathbb{P}(\cdot | \{w_{ij}\}_{i,j}).$ 

Following [B], we introduce the strong rank of a system of vectors  $S = \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$  $v_n$ , sr(S), to be the largest integer k such that any k of the  $v_i$ 's are linearly independent. For an m by n matrix A, we denote by  $\operatorname{sr}_c(A)$  and  $\operatorname{sr}_r(A)$ , respectively, the strong rank of the system of columns and the system of rows of A.

The first ingredient of the proof is the following upper bound on the probability for an m by n matrix to have a "not too large" strong rank.

**Lemma 4.** Let A be an m by n random matrix whose coefficients  $a_{ij}$  satisfy (H), and let  $w = (w_{ij})$  be given. Then

$$\mathbb{P}^{(w)}(\operatorname{sr}_c(A) < k) \le \binom{n}{k} \frac{p_0^{m-k+1}}{1-p_0} \text{ and } \mathbb{P}^{(w)}(\operatorname{sr}_r(A) < k) \le \binom{m}{k} \frac{p_0^{n-k+1}}{1-p_0}.$$

*Proof.* The second statement is clearly equivalent to the first one (applied to  $A^T$ ). By definition of strong rank,  $\operatorname{sr}_{c}(A) < k$  if and only if there exist k columns of A which are linearly dependent. It thus suffices to show that for any  $1 \le i_1 < \cdots < i_k \le n$ ,

(2) 
$$\mathbb{P}^{(w)}(\operatorname{rank}\{v_{i_1}, \dots, v_{i_k}\} < k) \le \frac{p_0^{m-k+1}}{1 - p_0},$$

where the  $v_j$  denote the columns of A. Now we have

$$\mathbb{P}^{(w)}(\operatorname{rank}\{v_{i_1}, \cdots, v_{i_k}\} < k)$$

$$\leq \mathbb{P}^{(w)}(v_{i_1} = 0) + \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \mathbb{P}^{(w)}(v_{i_{j+1}} \in \operatorname{Span}\{v_{i_1}, \dots, v_{i_j}\} | \operatorname{rank}\{v_{i_1}, \dots, v_{i_j}\} = j).$$

Let B denote the m by j matrix whose columns are the vectors  $v_{i_1}, \dots, v_{i_j}$ . If B has rank j, without loss of generality we may decompose it as  $B = \binom{C}{D}$ , where C is an invertible j by j matrix. In a similar way, let us decompose  $v_{i_{j+1}}$  as  $v_{i_{j+1}} = \binom{Y}{Z}$ , where Y has length j and j length j length

Then,  $v_{i_{j+1}} \in \operatorname{Span}\{v_{i_1}, \cdots, v_{i_j}\}$  iff there exists a vector  $u = (u_1, \cdots, u_j)^T$  such that  $Bu = v_{i_{j+1}}$  and hence iff Cu = Y and Du = Z. But since C is invertible we finally get  $v_{i_{j+1}} \in \operatorname{Span}\{v_{i_1}, \cdots, v_{i_j}\}$  iff  $Z = DC^{-1}Y$ . Therefore we have

(4) 
$$\mathbb{P}^{(w)}(v_{i_{j+1}} \in \text{Span}\{v_{i_1}, \dots, v_{i_j}\} | \text{rank}\{v_{i_1}, \dots, v_{i_j}\} = j)$$

(5) 
$$= \mathbb{E}_{Y}^{(w)} \left( \mathbb{P}^{(w)} (Z = DC^{-1}Y|Y) \right) \le \mathbb{E}_{Y}^{(w)} (p_0^{m-j}) = p_0^{m-j},$$

where  $\mathbb{E}_{Y}^{(w)}$  denotes the conditional expectation with respect to the variables w and over the random vector Y. Inserting (4) into (3) and noting that  $\mathbb{P}^{(w)}(v_{i_1} = 0) \leq p_0^m$ , we prove (2).

The second ingredient of the proof is the following improvement of (2).

**Lemma 5.** Let  $v_1, \dots, v_k \in \mathbb{R}^n$  (k < n) be linearly independent and let  $X = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$  be a random vector whose coefficients satisfy (H). Suppose that  $\operatorname{sr}_r(A) = s$  where A is the matrix whose columns are the  $v_j$ 's. Then  $\mathbb{P}^{(w)}(X \in \operatorname{Span}\{v_1, \dots, v_k\}) \leq Cp_0^{n-k-1}/\sqrt{s}$ .

The above lemma relies on the following generalization of the Littlewood and Offord problem to the case of not necessarily identically distributed r.v. which is an immediate consequence of an extended version of Sperner's lemma (see [AGKW], Lemma 3.2).

**Lemma 6.** If  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_s$  are non-zero real numbers,  $b \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_s$  independent Bernoulli random variables with parameters  $p_i \in ]1 - p_0, p_0[$ , then

$$\mathbb{P}(\alpha_1 \epsilon_1 + \dots + \alpha_s \epsilon_s = b) = O(1/\sqrt{s}).$$

Proof of Lemma 5. Let B denote the (n by k+1) matrix A augmented with the column vector X, and let  $r_1, \dots, r_n$  denote the rows of B. If  $X \in \text{Span}\{v_1, \dots, v_k\}$ , then B has rank k, so that without loss of generality we may assume that  $r_1, \dots, r_k$  are linearly independent and that the other  $r_i$  depend on these. In particular,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k+1} \gamma_i a_i = 0,$$

where  $\gamma_{k+1} = 1$  and, because  $\operatorname{sr}_r(B) \geq \operatorname{sr}_s(A) = s$ , at least s of the other  $\gamma_i$ 's are non-zero. Thus, using Lemma 6, we have, recalling  $\delta(w_i) > 0$ ,

(6) 
$$\mathbb{P}^{(w)}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k+1} \gamma_i a_i = 0\right) = \mathbb{P}^{(w)}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k+1} \gamma_i \delta(w_i) \epsilon_i = -\sum_{i=1}^{k+1} \gamma_i f(w_i)\right) \le C/\sqrt{s+1}.$$

Finally, in the same way as in the proof of (4), the  $a_i$  for  $k+2 \le i \le n$  are uniquely determined by  $a_1, \dots, a_k$ , and thus each of them has a probability at most  $p_0$  to take a particular value.

Proof of Proposition 1. By Lemma 2 we have

$$\mathbb{P}(\operatorname{rank}(M_n) < n) = \mathbb{E}_{\{w_{ij}\}_{i,j}} \left( \mathbb{P}^{(w)}(\operatorname{rank}(M_n) < n) \right).$$

Let  $0 < \beta < \alpha < 1$  be specified. Let  $C_1, \dots, C_n$  denote the column vectors of  $M_n$  and write  $E_k$  for the event that  $C_1, \dots, C_k$  are linearly independent and  $C_{k+1}$  depends on them. We then have

$$\mathbb{P}^{(w)}(\operatorname{rank}(M_n) < n) \le \mathbb{P}^{(w)}(\operatorname{sr}_c(M_n) < \alpha n) + \sum_{k=\alpha n}^{n-1} \mathbb{P}^{(w)}(E_k).$$

Indeed, if  $\alpha n \leq \operatorname{sr}_c(M_n) < n$ , then there exists  $k \geq \alpha n$  such that  $C_1, \dots, C_k$  are independent but  $C_{k+1}$  does depend on them.

Now fix  $\alpha n \leq k < n$ , and denote by  $A_k$  the n by k matrix whose columns are  $C_1, \dots, C_k$ . We then have

$$\mathbb{P}^{(w)}(E_k) \le \mathbb{P}^{(w)}(\operatorname{sr}_r(A_k) < \beta n) + \mathbb{P}^{(w)}(E_k|\operatorname{sr}_r(A_k) \ge \beta n).$$

Using Lemmas 4 and 5, we thus get

$$\begin{split} & \mathbb{P}^{(w)}(\mathrm{rank}(M_n) < n) \\ & \leq \quad \left( \begin{array}{c} n \\ \alpha n \end{array} \right) \frac{p_0^{n(1-\alpha)+1}}{1-p_0} + \sum_{k=\alpha n}^{n-1} \left( \left( \begin{array}{c} n \\ \beta n \end{array} \right) \frac{p_0^{k-\beta n+1}}{1-p_0} + C p_0^{n-k-1} / \sqrt{\beta n} \right) \\ & \leq \quad \frac{p_0}{1-p_0} \left( \begin{array}{c} n \\ \alpha n \end{array} \right) p_0^{(1-\alpha)n} + \frac{p_0}{(1-p_0)^2} \left( \begin{array}{c} n \\ \beta n \end{array} \right) p_0^{(\alpha-\beta)n} + \frac{C}{(1-p_0)\sqrt{\beta n}} \\ & \leq \quad C' \left( \mathrm{e}^{n(h(\alpha)+(1-\alpha)\ln p_0)} + \mathrm{e}^{n(h(\beta)+(\alpha-\beta)\ln p_0)} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \right), \end{split}$$

where  $h(x) = -x \ln(x) - (1-x) \ln(1-x)$  is the entropy function and we have made use of the Stirling formula to get the last line. It finally suffices to take  $0 < \beta < \alpha < 1$  small enough so that  $h(\alpha) + (1-\alpha) \ln p_0$  and  $h(\beta) + (\alpha-\beta) \ln p_0$  are both strictly negative.

## APPENDIX

For the reader's convenience, we recall the basic material from [AGKW] and show how to extract from (H) the desired uniform estimates on the Bernoulli decomposition. Namely, we prove Lemma 2.

Let X be a real random variable. We denote by  $\mu$  its law and by F its distribution function:  $F(u) = \mu(]-\infty,u]$ ). We set, for any  $t \in ]0,1[$ ,

(7) 
$$G(t) := \inf\{u, F(u) \ge t\}.$$

Note that

(8) 
$$G(t) \le u \iff F(u) \ge t$$
,

so that if t is a random variable with uniform distribution in ]0,1[, then X and G(t) have the same law (G(t) can be seen as a parametrization of X). For  $p \in ]0,1[$  given, following [AGKW, Proof of Theorem 2.1], we set for  $t \in ]0,1[$ :

(9) 
$$Y_1(t) := G((1-p)t),$$

$$Y_2(t) := G(1-p+pt).$$

We then let

$$(10) f(t) := Y_1(t),$$

(11) 
$$\delta(t) := Y_2(t) - Y_1(t),$$

so that if  $\epsilon$  is a Bernoulli variable with probabilities (1 - p, p) and t a random variable with uniform distribution in ]0, 1[, we have (in law)

(12) 
$$X = f(t) + \delta(t)\epsilon.$$

Then  $\inf_{]0,1[} \delta(t) > 0$  is immediate if  $Y_2(0) - Y_1(1) = G(1-p+0) - G(1-p) > 0$ , which turns out to be the case if X is Bernoulli itself (choosing p to be its Bernoulli parameter). If X takes at least three values, then it is enough to note in full generality that there exists (at least one)  $p \in ]0,1[$  so that  $T_1 > T_2$ , where

$$\begin{array}{ll} T_1 = & \inf\{t \in ]0,1[\colon Y_1(t) = G(1-p)\} & \text{(arrival time of } Y_1), \\ T_2 = & \sup\{t \in ]0,1[\colon Y_2(t) = G(1-p+0)\} & \text{(departure time of } Y_2). \end{array}$$

The latter implies that  $\delta(t) > 0$  for all t.

Assume now that X satisfies the estimates of Property (H), with points  $x_- < x_+$ . We set  $p_- = \mu(]-\infty, x_-[), p_+ = \mu(]x_+, +\infty[)$ . We show that  $p = 1-p_-$  is a possible choice. Thanks to (H),  $p \ge p_+ > \rho$ , and  $1 - p = p_- > \rho$ , so that  $p \in ]\rho, 1 - \rho[$ .

We always have  $G(1-p) \leq x_- \leq G(1-p+0)$ . If  $G(1-p) < x_-$ , then  $\delta(t) \geq x_- - G(1-p) > 0$ . Suppose  $G(p_-) = x_-$ . We claim that  $T_1 = 1 > T_2$ . It is easy to see that  $T_2 \leq (p-p_+)/p < 1$ . It remains to show that  $T_1 = 1$ . Suppose  $T_1 < 1$ . For any  $t \in ]T_1, 1[$  and for any  $u < x_-$ , one has  $x_- = G(p_-t) > u$ . Then (8) implies that  $F(u) < p_-t$ , and thus we get the following contradiction:

(13) 
$$p_{-} = \mu(] - \infty, x_{-}[) = \sup_{u < x_{-}} F(u) \le p_{-}t < p_{-}.$$

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

It is a pleasure for the authors to thank Abel Klein for his comments on a preliminary version of this paper. The second author also thanks Michael Aizenman and Simone Warzel for their warm hospitality in Princeton.

### References

[AGKW] M. Aizenman, F. Germinet, A. Klein, S. Warzel, On Bernoulli decompositions for random variables, concentration bounds and spectral localization, preprint arXiv:0707.0095v1 [math.PR], to appear in Prob. Th. and Rel. Fields.

[B] B. Bollobas, Random graphs, Academic Press, 1985. MR809996 (87f:05152)

[KKoS] J. Kahn, J. Komlós, E. Szemerédi, On the probability that a random  $\pm 1$ -matrix is singular, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 8, 223-240 (1995). MR1260107 (95c:15047)

[Ko1] J. Komlós, On the determinant of (0,1) matrices, Studia. Sci. Math. Hungar. 2, 7-21 (1967). MR0221962 (36:5014)

- [Ko2] J. Komlós, On the determinant of random matrices, Studia. Sci. Math. Hungar. 3, 387-399 (1968). MR0238371 (38:6647)
- [SI] A. Slinko, A generalization of Komlós's theorem on random matrices, New Zealand J. Math. 30, no. 1, 81-86 (2001). MR1839526 (2002c:15046)
- [TV1] T. Tao, V. Vu, On random  $\pm 1$  matrices: Singularity and determinant, Random Structures and Algorithms 28, 1-23 (2006). MR2187480 (2006g:15048)
- [TV2] T. Tao, V. Vu, On the singularity probability of random Bernoulli matrices, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 20, 603-628 (2007). MR2291914 (2008h:60027)

Département de Mathématiques, Université de Cergy-Pontoise, CNRS UMR 8088, F-95000 Cergy-Pontoise, France

 $E ext{-}mail\ address: laurent.bruneau@u-cergy.fr}$ 

DÉPARTEMENT DE MATHÉMATIQUES, UNIVERSITÉ DE CERGY-PONTOISE, CNRS UMR 8088, INSTITUT UNIVERSITAIRE DE FRANCE, F-95000 CERGY-PONTOISE, FRANCE

 $E ext{-}mail\ address: francois.germinet@u-cergy.fr}$