

(\mathcal{C}_p, α) -HYPONORMAL OPERATORS AND TRACE-CLASS SELF-COMMUTATORS WITH TRACE ZERO

VASILE LAURIC

(Communicated by Nigel J. Kalton)

This paper is dedicated to the memory of my grandparents.

ABSTRACT. We define the class of (\mathcal{C}_p, α) -hyponormal operators and study the inclusion between such classes under various hypotheses for p and α , and then obtain some sufficient conditions for the self-commutator of the Aluthge transform $\tilde{T} = |T|^{\frac{1}{2}} U |T|^{\frac{1}{2}}$ of (\mathcal{C}_p, α) -hyponormal operators to be in the trace-class and have trace zero.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this section we define some classes of operators. Let \mathcal{H} be a separable, infinite dimensional, complex Hilbert space, and denote by $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ the algebra of all bounded linear operators on \mathcal{H} . For $\alpha > 0$ and $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$, we call $(T^*T)^\alpha - (TT^*)^\alpha$ the α -self-commutator of T and denote it by D_T^α . Also, we will write $|T|$ for $(T^*T)^{1/2}$, $\sigma(T)$, $\sigma_e(T)$, and $\sigma_w(T)$ for the spectrum, essential spectrum, and Weyl spectrum of T , respectively. For a selfadjoint operator A in $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ we write A_+ , A_- for the positive and negative parts of A , that is, $(|A| + A)/2$, and $(|A| - A)/2$, respectively. We denote by \mathbb{K} the ideal of all compact operators in $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$, and by $\mathcal{C}_p(\mathcal{H})$, $1 \leq p < +\infty$, the ideal of operators in the Schatten p -class (cf. [9]). Although for $0 < p < 1$, the usual definition of $\|\cdot\|_p$ does not satisfy the triangle inequality, nevertheless $(\mathcal{C}_p, \|\cdot\|_p)$ is closed and $\|TK\|_p \leq \|T\| \cdot \|K\|_p$, when $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ and $K \in \mathcal{C}_p(\mathcal{H})$. Recall that $\mathcal{C}_1(\mathcal{H})$ is the trace-class and that $\mathcal{C}_2(\mathcal{H})$ is the Hilbert-Schmidt class. We write $\text{tr}(T)$ for the canonical scalar-valued trace of an operator T in $\mathcal{C}_1(\mathcal{H})$. We denote by π the natural surjection from $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ onto the Calkin algebra, $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})/\mathbb{K}$, and by μ the planar Lebesgue measure. We say that an operator T in $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ is (\mathcal{C}_p, α) -normal if $D_T^\alpha \in \mathcal{C}_p(\mathcal{H})$, and denote the class of (\mathcal{C}_p, α) -normal operators by $\mathcal{N}_p^\alpha(\mathcal{H})$. Moreover, an operator T in $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ will be called (\mathcal{C}_p, α) -hyponormal if $D_T^\alpha = P + K$, where P is a positive semidefinite operator ($P \geq 0$) and $K \in \mathcal{C}_p(\mathcal{H})$. The class of (\mathcal{C}_p, α) -hyponormal operators will be denoted by $\mathcal{H}_p^\alpha(\mathcal{H})$. In particular, an operator T in $\mathcal{H}_1^1(\mathcal{H})$ will be called *almost hyponormal*. Furthermore, an operator $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ whose D_T^α is positive semidefinite is called α -hyponormal (notation: $T \in \mathcal{H}_0^\alpha(\mathcal{H})$).

Received by the editors February 7, 2008.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 47B20.

Key words and phrases. α -commutators, trace zero, (\mathcal{C}_p, α) -hyponormal operators, Weyl spectrum of area zero, Aluthge transform.

©2008 American Mathematical Society
 Reverts to public domain 28 years from publication

With only minor changes to the proof of Proposition 1.1 from [7], one can easily prove the following.

Proposition 1. *Let $A \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ be a selfadjoint operator and let $p > 0$. Then A can be written as $P + K$ with $P \geq 0$ and $K \in \mathcal{C}_p(\mathcal{H})$ if and only if $A_- \in \mathcal{C}_p(\mathcal{H})$.*

Consequently, an operator T belongs to $\mathcal{H}_p^\alpha(\mathcal{H})$ if and only if $(D_T^\alpha)_- \in \mathcal{C}_p(\mathcal{H})$.

2. SOME INCLUSIONS

We will examine various inclusions between these classes of operators. According to Lowner's inequality ($A, B \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$, $0 \leq A \leq B$, $0 < r \leq 1 \Rightarrow A^r \leq B^r$), we have the following inclusion, $\mathcal{H}_0^\alpha(\mathcal{H}) \supseteq \mathcal{H}_0^\beta(\mathcal{H})$, when $\alpha \leq \beta$. In the case of (\mathcal{C}_p, α) -normal operators, and moreover, for (\mathcal{C}_p, α) -hyponormal operators, the similar inclusion for such classes is less obvious. We will give some sufficient conditions when such an inclusion holds for (\mathcal{C}_p, α) -normal operators and then for (\mathcal{C}_p, α) -hyponormal operators. We will make use of the following.

Lemma 2. *Let $\alpha \geq 1$, $p \geq 1$, and $A, B \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ be positive semidefinite operators such that $A - B \in \mathcal{C}_p(\mathcal{H})$. Then $A^\alpha - B^\alpha \in \mathcal{C}_p(\mathcal{H})$.*

The proof of Lemma 2 uses the following general fact.

Lemma 3. *Let $p \geq 1$, $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ and $T_n \in \mathcal{C}_p(\mathcal{H})$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, such that $T_n \xrightarrow{wo} T$ (i.e., weak operator topology) and such that $\|T_n\|_p \leq C < \infty$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and for some non-negative constant C . Then T belongs to $\mathcal{C}_p(\mathcal{H})$ and $\|T\|_p \leq C$.*

Proof of Lemma 3. We will prove that T belongs to $\mathcal{C}_p(\mathcal{H})$ by proving that

$$(1) \quad \sup\{|\operatorname{tr}(TK)| : \operatorname{rank}(K) < \infty \text{ and } \|K\|_q \leq 1\} < \infty,$$

and the above sup equals $\|T\|_p$, where q is the index conjugate to p (cf. [8], p. 90).

To each T_n one can associate $f_n \in \mathcal{C}_q(\mathcal{H})^*$ defined by $f_n(K) = \operatorname{tr}(T_n K)$. Since $\|f_n\| = \|T_n\|_p \leq C < \infty$, according to Alaoglu's theorem, there exists a subsequence $\{f_{n_k}\}$ such that $f_{n_k} \xrightarrow{w^*} f$, where $f \in \mathcal{C}_q(\mathcal{H})^*$. Therefore $\operatorname{tr}(T_{n_k} K) = f_{n_k}(K) \rightarrow f(K)$, for all $K \in \mathcal{C}_q(\mathcal{H})$, and $|f(K)| \leq M\|K\|_q$, for some positive constant M . On the other hand, since $T_n \xrightarrow{wo} T$, $\operatorname{tr}(T_n K) \rightarrow \operatorname{tr}(TK)$ for all operators K of finite rank. The statement follows easily from (1). \square

Proof of Lemma 2. Let α , p , A , and B be as in the hypotheses of Lemma 2. For purposes of proving that $A^\alpha - B^\alpha \in \mathcal{C}_p(\mathcal{H})$, we may assume that $\|A\|$ and $\|B\|$ are less than 1, since otherwise we may divide the norm of each operator by a sufficiently large constant. Put $A_n = A + \frac{1}{n}I$, $B_n = B + \frac{1}{n}I$, for $n \geq n_0$, where n_0 is sufficiently large so that $\|A_{n_0}\|$ and $\|B_{n_0}\| < 1$. Put $T_n = A_n^\alpha - B_n^\alpha$ and $T = A^\alpha - B^\alpha$ and observe that $T_n \rightarrow T$ in norm. We will prove that $T_n \in \mathcal{C}_p(\mathcal{H})$, and $\|T_n\|_p \leq C$, for $n \geq n_0$, for some $C < \infty$. For an operator $X = X^* \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ with $\sigma(X) \subseteq (0, 1)$, we may write

$$X^\alpha = I + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \binom{\alpha}{k} (X - I)^k,$$

where

$$\binom{\alpha}{k} = \frac{\alpha(\alpha-1)\dots(\alpha-k+1)}{k!},$$

and the series above converges in the operator norm. Using this representation with $X = A_n$ and $X = B_n$ and then subtracting one from the other, we obtain

$$T_n = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \binom{\alpha}{k} [(A_n - I)^k - (B_n - I)^k].$$

Expressing $(A_n - I)^k - (B_n - I)^k$ as a telescopic sum and using the inequality

$$\|RS\|_p \leq \|R\| \cdot \|S\|_p, \text{ for } R \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}), S \in \mathcal{C}_p(\mathcal{H}),$$

we obtain that $(A_n - I)^k - (B_n - I)^k \in \mathcal{C}_p(\mathcal{H})$ and

$$\|(A_n - I)^k - (B_n - I)^k\|_p \leq \|A - B\|_p k q_n^{k-1},$$

where $q_n = \max\{\|A_n - I\|, \|B_n - I\|\} < 1$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_n\|_p &\leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \binom{\alpha}{k} \|A - B\|_p k q_n^{k-1} \\ &= \alpha \|A - B\|_p [1 + |\alpha - 1| q_n + \dots + \frac{|\alpha - 1| \dots (\alpha - k + 1)|}{(k - 1)!} q_n^{k-1} + \dots] \\ &= \alpha \|A - B\|_p [1 + \sum_{k=1}^{[\alpha]} \frac{(\alpha - 1) \dots (\alpha - k)}{k!} q_n^k] \\ &\quad + \alpha \|A - B\|_p [\sum_{k=[\alpha]+1}^{\infty} \frac{(\alpha - 1) \dots (\alpha - [\alpha]) |(\alpha - [\alpha] - 1) \dots (\alpha - k)|}{k!} q_n^k]. \end{aligned}$$

If the integer part of α , $[\alpha]$, is an even number written as $2k_0$, then the above sums, ignoring the factor $\alpha \|A - B\|_p$, can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} &[1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(\alpha - 1) \dots (\alpha - k)}{k!} (-q_n)^k + 2 \sum_{k=0}^{k_0} \frac{(\alpha - 1) \dots (\alpha - 2k - 1)}{(2k + 1)!} q_n^{2k+1}] \\ &= [(1 - q_n)^{\alpha-1} + 2 \sum_{k=0}^{k_0} \frac{(\alpha - 1) \dots (\alpha - 2k - 1)}{(2k + 1)!} q_n^{2k+1}]. \end{aligned}$$

Since $q_n \in (0, 1)$, we can conclude that

$$\|T_n\|_p \leq \alpha \|A - B\|_p [1 + \sum_{k=0}^{k_0} \frac{(\alpha - 1) \dots (\alpha - 2k - 1)}{(2k + 1)!}],$$

when $[\alpha] = 2k_0$. The case when $[\alpha]$ is an odd number can be easily derived from the above case. Applying Lemma 3, the proof of Lemma 2 is complete. \square

With only minor adaptations of the proof of Lemma 2, one can prove the following.

Corollary 4. *Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, $p \geq 1$, and $A, B \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ be invertible positive definite operators such that $A - B \in \mathcal{C}_p(\mathcal{H})$. Then $A^\alpha - B^\alpha \in \mathcal{C}_p(\mathcal{H})$.*

In the following proposition we study how the class of (\mathcal{C}_p, α) -normal operators varies when α changes.

Proposition 5. *Let $\alpha > 0$, $p \geq 1$, and let T be in $\mathcal{N}_p^\alpha(\mathcal{H})$.*

(a) *If $\beta \geq \alpha$, then T belongs to $\mathcal{N}_p^\beta(\mathcal{H})$, and therefore $\mathcal{N}_p^\alpha(\mathcal{H}) \subseteq \mathcal{N}_p^\beta(\mathcal{H})$.*

(b) *If either T^*T or TT^* is a semi-Fredholm operator and $0 < \gamma \leq \alpha$, then T belongs to $\mathcal{N}_p^\gamma(\mathcal{H})$.*

Denote by $Q_0(\mathcal{H}) = \{T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}) \mid T^*T \text{ or } TT^* \text{ is semi-Fredholm}\}$. An alternative characterization of $Q_0(\mathcal{H})$ is $Q_0(\mathcal{H}) = \{T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}) \mid 0 \in \rho_{le}(T) \cup \rho_{re}(T)\}$, where $\rho_{le}(T)$, $\rho_{re}(T)$ are the left essential and right essential resolvents of the operator $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$, respectively.

Corollary 6. *Let $p \geq 1$ and $\alpha, \beta > 0$. Then $\mathcal{N}_p^\alpha(\mathcal{H}) \cap Q_0(\mathcal{H}) = \mathcal{N}_p^\beta(\mathcal{H}) \cap Q_0(\mathcal{H})$.*

Proof of Proposition 5. Let α , p , and T be as in the hypotheses and let $T = U|T|$ be the polar decomposition of T , and set $S := U|T|^\alpha$. Then obviously, $S^*S = |T|^{2\alpha} = (T^*T)^\alpha$ and $SS^* = U|T|^{2\alpha}U^* = (TT^*)^\alpha$, and therefore, $[S^*, S] = D_T^\alpha = K \in \mathcal{C}_p(\mathcal{H})$. On the other hand,

$$D_S^r = (S^*S)^r - (SS^*)^r = (T^*T)^{\alpha r} - (TT^*)^{\alpha r} = D_T^{\alpha r}.$$

Let $\beta \geq \alpha$ and put $r = \frac{\beta}{\alpha} \geq 1$ and apply Lemma 2 to conclude (a). To prove (b), we assume that T^*T is semi-Fredholm; the proof when TT^* is semi-Fredholm is similar. Indeed, when T^*T is semi-Fredholm, obviously T^*T is Fredholm; i.e., $\pi(T^*T)$ is invertible in the Calkin algebra. Let $\pi(X)$ be the inverse of $\pi(T^*T)$ in the Calkin algebra; then $\pi(X)$ is a positive element and $\pi(X)^s \pi(T^*T)^s = \pi(T^*T)^s \pi(X)^s = I_{\text{Calkin}}$, for any $s \geq 0$. In particular, for $s = 2\alpha$, $\pi(T^*T)^{2\alpha} = \pi(S^*S)$ is invertible in the Calkin algebra. Thus, for any $r \geq 0$, there exist some operators A_r and B_r in $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ so that

$$(2) \quad (S^*S)^r \cdot A_r = I + K_r^1 \text{ and } B_r \cdot (S^*S)^r = I + K_r^2,$$

with K_r^1 , K_r^2 of finite rank, thus in $\mathcal{C}_1(\mathcal{H})$. Since $[S^*, S] = K \in \mathcal{C}_p(\mathcal{H})$, according to the argument used above, $D_S^q \in \mathcal{C}_p(\mathcal{H})$, for any $q \geq 1$. We prove that $D_S^{\{q\}}$ belongs to $\mathcal{C}_p(\mathcal{H})$, for any $q \geq 1$, where $q = [q] + \{q\}$ is the decomposition of q into its integer and fractional part. Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} D_S^q &= (S^*S)^q - (SS^*)^{[q]+\{q\}} \\ &= (S^*S)^q - (S^*S - K)^{[q]}(SS^*)^{\{q\}} \\ &= (S^*S)^q - [(S^*S)^{[q]} + K'](SS^*)^{\{q\}} \\ &= (S^*S)^{[q]}D_S^{\{q\}} + K'', \end{aligned}$$

where K , K' , K'' are in $\mathcal{C}_p(\mathcal{H})$. Multiplying the equality

$$D_S^q = (S^*S)^{[q]}D_S^{\{q\}} + K''$$

by $B_{[q]}$ and using the fact that $D_S^q \in \mathcal{C}_p(\mathcal{H})$, we obtain according to (2) that $D_S^{\{q\}}$ belongs to $\mathcal{C}_p(\mathcal{H})$, for any $q \geq 1$; therefore, D_S^r belongs to $\mathcal{C}_p(\mathcal{H})$, for any $0 \leq r \leq 1$. Therefore, for $r = \frac{\gamma}{\alpha}$, we have $D_S^r = D_T^{\alpha r} = D_T^\gamma \in \mathcal{C}_p(\mathcal{H})$, and (b) is established. \square

Next we study the class of (\mathcal{C}_p, α) -hyponormal operators. Since the class $\mathcal{H}_0^\alpha(\mathcal{H})$ is monotone decreasing (as a subset) in terms of α , we can only expect that the class $\mathcal{H}_p^\alpha(\mathcal{H})$ will be monotone decreasing.

Proposition 7. *Let $\alpha > 0$, $p \geq 1$, and let $T \in \mathcal{H}_p^\alpha(\mathcal{H})$ with $D_T^\alpha = P + K$, $P \geq 0$, $K \in \mathcal{C}_p(\mathcal{H})$. If $0 < \beta \leq \alpha$ and if one of the following is satisfied:*

- (a) *either T^*T or TT^* is a semi-Fredholm operator or*
- (b) *both $(T^*T)^\alpha$ and $(TT^*)^\alpha + P$ are invertible,*

then T belongs to $\mathcal{H}_p^\beta(\mathcal{H})$.

Proof. Let α , p , and T be as in the hypotheses and let $T = U|T|$ be the polar decomposition of T , and put $S = U|T|^\alpha$. The calculations used in the proof of Proposition 5 show that S belongs to $\mathcal{H}_p^1(\mathcal{H})$, and according to Proposition 1, $S^*S - (SS^* + P) = K$, with $P \geq 0$ and $K \in \mathcal{C}_p(\mathcal{H})$. If either T^*T or TT^* is a semi-Fredholm operator, then using the same circle of ideas as in the proof of Proposition 5, one can conclude that

$$(S^*S)^\frac{\beta}{\alpha} - (SS^* + P)^\frac{\beta}{\alpha} = K',$$

with $K' \in \mathcal{C}_p(\mathcal{H})$. On the other hand, using Lowner's inequality, one can write

$$(SS^* + P)^\frac{\beta}{\alpha} = (SS^*)^\frac{\beta}{\alpha} + P',$$

with $P' \geq 0$. These two equalities can be written in terms of operators T and T^* as

$$(T^*T)^\beta - (TT^*)^\beta = P' + K',$$

which, according to Proposition 1, implies that $T \in \mathcal{H}_p^\beta(\mathcal{H})$. This ends the proof under assumption (a). The proof with assumption (b) makes use of Corollary 4 and is left for the reader. \square

Corollary 8. *Let $\alpha \geq \beta > 0$, $p \geq 1$. Then $\mathcal{H}_p^\alpha(\mathcal{H}) \cap Q_0(\mathcal{H}) \subseteq \mathcal{H}_p^\beta(\mathcal{H}) \cap Q_0(\mathcal{H})$.*

Proof. Apply part (a) of Proposition 7. \square

In section 3 we will use the lemmas below, one of them being a consequence of the following corollary. This corollary is a consequence of Theorem 3.4 of [5].

Corollary 9. *Let $A, B \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ be positive semidefinite operators. If $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ and $1 \leq p < \infty$, then*

$$\|B^\alpha - A^\alpha\|_p \leq \| |B - A|^\alpha \|_p.$$

Lemma 10. *Let $A \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$, $A \geq 0$, $\alpha \in (0, 1]$, $p \geq \alpha$, $K \in \mathcal{C}_p(\mathcal{H})$, such that $A + K \geq 0$. Then $(A + K)^\alpha = A^\alpha + K_1$, where $K_1 \in \mathcal{C}_\frac{p}{\alpha}(\mathcal{H})$. If in addition $K \geq 0$, then $K_1 \geq 0$.*

Proof. Set $K_1 := (A + K)^\alpha - A^\alpha$. From Corollary 9 one obtains

$$\|K_1\|_\frac{p}{\alpha} \leq \| |K|^\alpha \|_\frac{p}{\alpha} = \|K\|_p^\alpha < \infty,$$

which implies $K_1 \in \mathcal{C}_\frac{p}{\alpha}(\mathcal{H})$.

If $K \geq 0$, then we can apply Lowner's inequality to $A + K$ and A and obtain $(A + K)^\alpha \geq A^\alpha$. Therefore $K_1 \geq 0$. \square

Lemma 11. *Let $A \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$, $A \geq 0$, $p \geq 1$, $K \in \mathcal{C}_p(\mathcal{H})$, such that $A + K \geq 0$, and let $\alpha \in [1, +\infty)$. Then $(A + K)^\alpha = A^\alpha + K_1$, where $K_1 \in \mathcal{C}_p(\mathcal{H})$.*

Proof. Apply Lemma 2. \square

3. APPLICATION

In [4] the following sufficient condition for an almost hyponormal operator to have trace-class self-commutator with trace zero was obtained.

Theorem A ([4]). *If $T \in \mathcal{H}_1^1(\mathcal{H})$ and $\mu(\sigma_w(T)) = 0$, then $T \in \mathcal{N}_1^1(\mathcal{H})$ and $\text{tr}(D_T^1) = 0$.*

In [1] the following was obtained.

Theorem B ([1]). *If $T \in \mathcal{H}_0^\alpha(\mathcal{H})$ for some $\alpha \in (0, 1]$, then*

$$\|D_T^\alpha\| \leq \frac{\alpha}{\pi} \iint_{\sigma_w(T)} r^{2\alpha-1} dr d\theta.$$

An obvious consequence of Theorem B is the following.

Corollary 12. *If $T \in \mathcal{H}_0^\alpha(\mathcal{H})$ for some $\alpha > 0$ and $\mu(\sigma_w(T)) = 0$, then T is normal.*

The above results naturally lead to the following.

Question. Let T be in $\mathcal{H}_p^\alpha(\mathcal{H})$ for some $\alpha > 0$, $p > 0$, and such that $\mu(\sigma_w(T)) = 0$. Does this imply that T or some transform of T , say $\phi(T)$, belongs to $\mathcal{N}_1^\beta(\mathcal{H})$, for some β , and $\text{tr}(D_{\phi(T)}^\beta) = 0$?

This question is also justified by Theorem C below. For a subset E of \mathbb{C} , let

$$\omega_p(E) = \frac{p}{2} \iint_E \rho^{p-1} d\rho d\theta,$$

and for $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$, let $m(T)$ be the rational cyclicity of T , that is, the least cardinal number of a set $\mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{H}$ such that $\bigvee \{r(T)x : r \in \text{Rat}(\sigma(T)), x \in \mathcal{M}\} = \mathcal{H}$.

Theorem C ([2]). *Let $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ and $\frac{1}{2} \leq \alpha < \infty$.*

(a) *If $\frac{1}{2} \leq \alpha \leq 1$ and $T \in \mathcal{H}_1^\alpha(\mathcal{H})$, and $K \in \mathcal{C}_{2\alpha}(\mathcal{H})$, then*

$$\text{tr}(D_T^\alpha) \leq \frac{1}{\pi} m(T+K) \omega_{2\alpha}(\sigma(T+K)).$$

(b) *If $1 \leq \alpha < \infty$ and $T \in \mathcal{H}_0^\alpha(\mathcal{H})$, then*

$$\text{tr}(D_T^\alpha) \leq \frac{1}{\pi} m(T) \omega_{2\alpha}(\sigma(T)).$$

Part (b) of Theorem C with the additional hypotheses that $\mu(\sigma_w(T)) = 0$ and $m(T) < \infty$ holds the same conclusion as Corollary 12. Indeed, if $T \in \mathcal{H}_0^\alpha(\mathcal{H})$ for some $\alpha \geq 1$, then T is a hyponormal operator. It is now well known that for some class of operators, including the hyponormal ones, Weyl's theorem holds; that is,

$$\sigma(T) \setminus \sigma_w(T) = \pi_{00}(T),$$

where $\pi_{00}(T)$ is the set of isolated points of $\sigma(T)$ which are eigenvalues of finite multiplicity. Therefore, $\mu(\sigma_w(T)) = 0$ implies that $\mu(\sigma(T)) = 0$, and thus $\text{tr}(D_T^1) = 0$, that is, $D_T^1 = 0$.

On the other hand, concerning part (a) of Theorem C, J. Stampfli in [10] proved that for $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$, there exists a compact operator K such that $\sigma(T+K) \setminus \sigma_w(T)$ consists of a countable set. In fact, the proof that was provided in [10] says more.

Lemma D ([10]). *Let $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ and $p \geq 1$. Then for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $K \in \mathcal{C}_p(\mathcal{H})$ such that $\|K\|_p < \varepsilon$ and $\sigma(T + K) \setminus \sigma_w(T)$ consists of a countable set which clusters only on $\sigma_w(T)$.*

Consequently, for an operator $T \in \mathcal{H}_1^\alpha(\mathcal{H})$, for some $\alpha \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1]$, and the operator K of Lemma D, we have $\omega_{2\alpha}(\sigma(T + K)) = 0$, provided that $\mu(\sigma_w(T)) = 0$. If in addition $m(T + K) < \infty$, then according to part (a) of Theorem C, $T \in \mathcal{N}_1^1(\mathcal{H})$ and $\text{tr}(D_T^\alpha) = 0$.

We make a modest contribution towards answering the above question. Let T belong to $\mathcal{H}_p^\alpha(\mathcal{H})$, for some $\alpha > 0$, $p > 0$, such that $D_T^\alpha = P + K$ with $P \geq 0$ and $K \in \mathcal{C}_p(\mathcal{H})$. Since $K = K^* = K_+ - K_-$ and $K_+, K_- \geq 0$ are \mathcal{C}_p -class operators, in what follows we will assume that $D_T^\alpha = P - K$ with $P \geq 0$ and $K \geq 0$, $K \in \mathcal{C}_p(\mathcal{H})$. For $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$, let $T = U|T|$ be the polar decomposition of T and write \tilde{T} for the Aluthge transform of T , that is, $|T|^{\frac{1}{2}}U|T|^{\frac{1}{2}}$.

Theorem 13. *Let $p > 0$, $\alpha \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1]$, and $T \in \mathcal{H}_p^\alpha(\mathcal{H})$ such that $D_T^\alpha = P - K$ with $P, K \geq 0$, $K \in \mathcal{C}_p(\mathcal{H})$, and let $\varepsilon \in (0, \frac{1}{2}]$ such that $\alpha + \varepsilon \leq 1$. Then $\tilde{T} \in \mathcal{H}_{(\frac{4\alpha p}{\varepsilon + \alpha})}^{(\alpha + \varepsilon)}(\mathcal{H})$.*

In proving Theorem 13 we will make use of an elementary lemma (Lemma 14, whose proof is omitted) and of Furuta's inequalities [3].

Lemma 14. *For $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ there exists a Hilbert space \mathcal{K} that includes \mathcal{H} and an operator $A \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{K})$ such that $D_T^\alpha \oplus 0_{\mathcal{K} \ominus \mathcal{H}} = D_A^\alpha$, for any $\alpha > 0$, and $\sigma(T) \setminus \{0\} = \sigma(A) \setminus \{0\}$, where $A = U|A|$ with U unitary.*

Theorem E ([3]). *For operators $E \geq F \geq 0$ in $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ and $r \geq 0$, $p \geq 0$, $q \geq 1$ with $(1 + 2r)q \geq p + 2r$, we have*

$$(F_1) \quad (F^r E^p F^r)^{\frac{1}{q}} \geq (F^{p+2r})^{\frac{1}{q}},$$

$$(F_2) \quad (E^{p+2r})^{\frac{1}{q}} \geq (E^r F^p E^r)^{\frac{1}{q}}.$$

Proof of Theorem 13. Let T be as in the hypotheses of Theorem 13. According to Lemma 14, we may assume that $T = U|T|$ with U unitary. The equality $D_T^\alpha = P - K$ with $P, K \geq 0$ implies $|T|^{2\alpha} + K \geq U|T|^{2\alpha}U^*$. Multiplying this inequality by U^* to the left and by U to the right, one obtains

$$A := U^*|T|^{2\alpha}U + U^*KU \geq |T|^{2\alpha} =: B.$$

According to Lemma 10,

$$A^{\frac{1}{2\alpha}} = [U^* (|T|^{2\alpha} + K) U]^{\frac{1}{2\alpha}} = U^* (|T|^{2\alpha} + K)^{\frac{1}{2\alpha}} U = U^* (|T| + K_1) U,$$

with $K_1 \in \mathcal{C}_{2\alpha p}^+(\mathcal{H})$. Setting $K_2 := |T|^{\frac{1}{2}}U^*K_1U|T|^{\frac{1}{2}}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\tilde{T}^* \tilde{T} + K_2)^{\alpha + \varepsilon} &= \left\{ |T|^{\frac{1}{2}} [U^* (|T| + K_1) U] |T|^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\}^{\alpha + \varepsilon} \\ &= \left\{ |T|^{\frac{1}{2}} [U^* (|T|^{2\alpha} + K) U]^{\frac{1}{2\alpha}} |T|^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\}^{\alpha + \varepsilon} \\ &= \left(B^{\frac{1}{4\alpha}} A^{\frac{1}{2\alpha}} B^{\frac{1}{4\alpha}} \right)^{\alpha + \varepsilon} \\ &\stackrel{(F_1)}{\geq} (B^{\frac{1}{\alpha}})^{\alpha + \varepsilon} = |T|^{2(\alpha + \varepsilon)}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, according to Lemma 10,

$$(\tilde{T}^* \tilde{T} + K_2)^{\alpha+\varepsilon} = (\tilde{T}^* \tilde{T})^{\alpha+\varepsilon} + K_3,$$

with $K_3 \in \mathcal{C}_{\frac{2\alpha p}{\alpha+\varepsilon}}^+(\mathcal{H})$ since $K_2 \in \mathcal{C}_{2\alpha p}^+(\mathcal{H})$. Thus we have obtained the inequality

$$(*) \quad (\tilde{T}^* \tilde{T})^{\alpha+\varepsilon} + K_3 \geq |T|^{2(\alpha+\varepsilon)}, \quad K_3 \in \mathcal{C}_{\frac{2\alpha p}{\alpha+\varepsilon}}^+(\mathcal{H}).$$

On the other hand, the inequality

$$D := U|T|^{2\alpha}U^* \leq |T|^{2\alpha} + K =: C$$

can be used in conjunction with (F_2) to obtain a similar inequality to $(*)$. Indeed, we have

$$(C^{\frac{1}{4\alpha}} D^{\frac{1}{2\alpha}} C^{\frac{1}{4\alpha}})^{\alpha+\varepsilon} \stackrel{(F_2)}{\leq} (C^{\frac{1}{\alpha}})^{\alpha+\varepsilon}.$$

Next, we compute each side of the above inequality. Again, according to Lemma 10,

$$C^{\frac{1}{4\alpha}} = (|T|^{2\alpha} + K)^{\frac{1}{4\alpha}} = |T|^{\frac{1}{2}} + K_4,$$

with $K_4 \in \mathcal{C}_{4\alpha p}^+(\mathcal{H})$. Obviously, $D^{\frac{1}{2\alpha}} = U|T|U^*$. Therefore, the left-hand side of the above inequality becomes

$$\begin{aligned} (C^{\frac{1}{4\alpha}} D^{\frac{1}{2\alpha}} C^{\frac{1}{4\alpha}})^{\alpha+\varepsilon} &= \left[(|T|^{\frac{1}{2}} + K_4)(U|T|U^*)(|T|^{\frac{1}{2}} + K_4) \right]^{\alpha+\varepsilon} \\ &= \left(|T|^{\frac{1}{2}} U|T|U^* |T|^{\frac{1}{2}} + K_5 \right)^{\alpha+\varepsilon}, \quad K_5 \in \mathcal{C}_{4\alpha p}(\mathcal{H}) \\ &= \left(\tilde{T} \tilde{T}^* + K_5 \right)^{\alpha+\varepsilon} \\ &= (\tilde{T} \tilde{T}^*)^{\alpha+\varepsilon} + K_6, \quad K_6 \in \mathcal{C}_{\frac{4\alpha p}{\alpha+\varepsilon}}(\mathcal{H}). \end{aligned}$$

The right-hand side of the above inequality can be handled with Lemmas 10 and 11 as follows:

$$(C^{\frac{1}{\alpha}})^{\alpha+\varepsilon} \stackrel{L11}{=} (|T|^2 + K_7)^{\alpha+\varepsilon} \stackrel{L10}{=} |T|^{2(\alpha+\varepsilon)} + K_8,$$

with $K_7 \in \mathcal{C}_p(\mathcal{H})$ and $K_8 \in \mathcal{C}_{\frac{p}{\alpha+\varepsilon}}(\mathcal{H})$. Thus

$$|T|^{2(\alpha+\varepsilon)} + K_8 \geq (\tilde{T} \tilde{T}^*)^{\alpha+\varepsilon} + K_6,$$

where $K_6 \in \mathcal{C}_{\frac{4\alpha p}{\alpha+\varepsilon}}(\mathcal{H})$ and $K_8 \in \mathcal{C}_{\frac{p}{\alpha+\varepsilon}}(\mathcal{H})$, which implies

$$(**) \quad |T|^{2(\alpha+\varepsilon)} \geq (\tilde{T} \tilde{T}^*)^{\alpha+\varepsilon} + K_9, \quad K_9 = K_6 - K_8 \in \mathcal{C}_{\frac{4\alpha p}{\alpha+\varepsilon}}(\mathcal{H}).$$

Combining $(*)$ and $(**)$ we obtain

$$(\tilde{T}^* \tilde{T})^{\alpha+\varepsilon} - (\tilde{T} \tilde{T}^*)^{\alpha+\varepsilon} \geq K_{10},$$

where $K_{10} = K_9 - K_3 \in \mathcal{C}_{\frac{4\alpha p}{\alpha+\varepsilon}}(\mathcal{H})$, and the proof is finished. \square

Corollary 15. Let $T \in \mathcal{H}_{(1/2)}^{(1/2)}(\mathcal{H})$ such that $D_T^{\frac{1}{2}} = P - K$ with $P, K \geq 0$, $K \in \mathcal{C}_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathcal{H})$. Then $\tilde{T} \in \mathcal{H}_1^1(\mathcal{H})$.

Theorem 16. Let $T \in \mathcal{H}_{(1/2)}^{(1/2)}(\mathcal{H})$ such that $D_T^{\frac{1}{2}} = P - K$ with $P, K \geq 0$, $K \in \mathcal{C}_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathcal{H})$. If $\mu(\sigma_w(T)) = 0$, then $\tilde{T} \in \mathcal{N}_1^1(\mathcal{H})$ and $\text{tr}(D_{\tilde{T}}^1) = 0$.

Proof. Let T be as in the hypotheses. According to Corollary 15, the operator \tilde{T} is in $\mathcal{N}_1^1(\mathcal{H})$. Furthermore, according to Theorem 1.8 of [6], we obtain that $\mu(\sigma_w(\tilde{T})) = 0$. Then apply Theorem A to finish the proof. \square

REFERENCES

1. M. Chō, M. Itoh, and S. Ōshiro, *Weyl's theorem holds for p -hyponormal operators*, Glasgow Math. J. **39** (1997), 217–220. MR1460636 (98e:47038)
2. R. Curto, P. Muhly and D. Xia, *A trace estimate for p -hyponormal operators*, Integral Equations and Operator Theory **6** (1983), 507–514. MR708409 (85b:47029)
3. T. Furuta, *$A \geq B \geq 0$ assures $(B^r A^p B^r)^{1/q} \geq B^{(p+2r)/q}$ for $r \geq 0$, $p \geq 0$, $q \geq 1$ with $(1+2r)q \geq p+2r$* , Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **101** (1987), 85–88. MR897075 (89b:47028)
4. D. Hadwin and E. Nordgren, *Extensions of the Berger-Shaw theorem*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **102** (1988), 517–525. MR928971 (89e:47026)
5. D. Jocić, *Integral representation formula for generalized normal derivations*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **127**(8) (1999), 2303–2314. MR1486737 (99j:47026)
6. I. B. Jung, E. Ko and C. Pearcy, *Spectral pictures of Aluthge transforms of operators*, Integral Equations and Operator Theory **40** (2001), 52–60. MR1829514 (2002b:47007)
7. V. Lauric and C. M. Pearcy, *Trace-class commutators with trace zero*, Acta. Sci. Math. (Szeged) **66** (2000), 341–349. MR1768871 (2001g:47038)
8. J. Ringrose, *Compact non-self-adjoint operators*, Van Nostrand Reinhold Company, London (1971).
9. R. Schatten, *Norm ideals of completely continuous operators*, Ergeb. Math. Grenzgeb. **27**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1960). MR0119112 (22:9878)
10. J. G. Stampfli, *Compact perturbations, normal eigenvalues and a problem of Salinas*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) **9** (1974/1975), 165–175. MR0365196 (51:1449)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FLORIDA A&M UNIVERSITY, TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32307