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#### Abstract

In this short paper we prove that every sufficiently large odd integer can be written as a sum of one prime, two squares of primes and 83 powers of 2 .


## 1. Introduction and main results

It was shown by Linnik [9, [10 that each large even integer $N$ is a sum of two primes and a bounded number of powers of 2 ,

$$
\begin{equation*}
N=p_{1}+p_{2}+2^{v_{1}}+2^{v_{2}}+\cdots+2^{v_{k}} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p$ and $v$, with or without subscripts, denote a prime number and a positive integer respectively. Later Gallagher [1] established a stronger result by a different method. An explicit value for the number $k$ of powers of 2 was first established by Liu, Liu and Wang [11, who found that $k=54000$ is acceptable. The original value for the number $k$ was subsequently improved by Li [6, Wang [20] and Li [7. In 2002, Heath-Brown and Puchta [3] applied a rather different approach to this problem and showed that $k=13$ is acceptable. In 2003, Pintz and Ruzsa [16] announced that $k=8$ is acceptable.

There are other similar problems. In 1938, Hua 4 proved that almost all $n$ satisfying a certain necessary condition are representable as sums of a prime and two squares of primes,

$$
n=p_{1}^{2}+p_{2}^{2}+p_{3},
$$

where the necessary condition is that

$$
n \in \mathcal{A}=\{n: n \in \mathbb{N}, n \not \equiv 0(\bmod 2), n \not \equiv 2(\bmod 3)\} .
$$

Motivated by Hua's result and the works of Linnik and Gallagher, Liu, Liu and Zhan [12], among other important results, proved that every large odd integer $N$ can be written as a sum of one prime, two squares of primes and $k$ powers of 2 , namely

$$
\begin{equation*}
N=p_{1}^{2}+p_{2}^{2}+p_{3}+2^{v_{1}}+2^{v_{2}}+\cdots+2^{v_{k}} . \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$
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In 2004, Liu [14] proved that $k=22000$ is acceptable in (1.2). In 2007, Li [8] further showed that $k=106$ is acceptable in (1.2). However when we compare these results with the former result of Heath-Brown and Puchta [3] (or Pintz and Ruzsa [16]), it is a pity that a value for the number $k$ with two digits cannot be obtained.

In this short paper we shall show that the current techniques are able to obtain such a result.

Theorem 1.1. Every sufficiently large odd integer can be written as a sum of one prime, two squares of primes and 83 powers of 2 .

Unlike the previous works, we use a different idea to treat the second integral in (3.1). This results in the improvement.

## 2. Preliminaries

In order to prove Theorem 1.1 it suffices to estimate the number of solutions of the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
N=p_{1}^{2}+p_{2}^{2}+p_{3}+2^{v_{1}}+2^{v_{2}}+\cdots+2^{v_{k}} . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose $N$ is sufficiently large. We write

$$
\begin{equation*}
P=N^{\frac{1}{6}-\varepsilon}, \quad Q=N P^{-1} L^{-10}, \quad M=N L^{-9}, \quad L=\log _{2} N \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We use $c$ and $\varepsilon$ to denote an absolute constant and a sufficiently small positive number respectively, not necessarily the same at each occurrence.

To apply the circle method, we begin with the observation

$$
\begin{align*}
R(N): & =\sum_{\substack{N=p_{1}^{2}+p_{2}^{2}+p_{3}+2^{v_{1}}+2^{v_{2}}+\cdots+2^{v_{k}} \\
M<p_{1}^{2}, p_{2}^{2}, p_{3} \leq N}}\left(\log p_{1}\right)\left(\log p_{2}\right)\left(\log p_{3}\right)  \tag{2.3}\\
& =\int_{0}^{1} f^{2}(\alpha) g(\alpha) h^{k}(\alpha) e(-\alpha N) d \alpha
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& f(\alpha)=\sum_{M<p^{2} \leq N}(\log p) e\left(\alpha p^{2}\right),  \tag{2.4}\\
& g(\alpha)=\sum_{M<p \leq N}(\log p) e(\alpha p) \tag{2.5}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(\alpha)=\sum_{2^{v} \leq N} e\left(\alpha 2^{v}\right)=\sum_{v \leq L} e\left(\alpha 2^{v}\right) \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Dirichlet's lemma on rational approximation, each $\alpha \in[1 / Q, 1+1 / Q]$ can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha=\frac{a}{q}+\beta, \quad|\beta| \leq \frac{1}{q Q}, \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some integers $a, q$ with $1 \leq a \leq q \leq Q,(a, q)=1$. We define the major $\operatorname{arcs} \mathcal{M}$ and minor $\operatorname{arcs} C(\mathcal{M})$ as usual, namely

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{M}=\bigcup_{q \leq P}^{q \leq} \bigcup_{\substack{1 \leq a \leq q \\(a, q)=1}}\left[\frac{a}{q}-\frac{1}{q Q}, \frac{a}{q}+\frac{1}{q Q}\right], \quad C(\mathcal{M})=\left[\frac{1}{Q}, 1+\frac{1}{Q}\right] \backslash \mathcal{M} \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the minor arcs, we need estimates for the measure of the set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{E}_{\lambda}:=\{\alpha \in[0,1]:|h(\alpha)| \geq \lambda L\} \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

The following lemma is due to Heath-Brown and Puchta [3].
Lemma 2.1. We have

$$
\operatorname{meas}\left(\mathcal{E}_{\lambda}\right) \ll N^{-E(\lambda)} \quad \text { with } \quad E(0.887167)>\frac{3}{4}+10^{-10}
$$

Proof. Let

$$
\begin{gathered}
T_{h}(\alpha)=\sum_{0 \leq n \leq h-1} e\left(\alpha 2^{n}\right) \\
F(\xi, h)=\frac{1}{2^{h}} \sum_{r=0}^{2^{h}-1} \exp \left\{\xi \operatorname{Re}\left(T_{h}\left(r / 2^{h}\right)\right)\right\}
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
E(\lambda)=\frac{\xi \lambda}{\log 2}-\frac{\log F(\xi, h)}{h \log 2}-\frac{\varepsilon}{\log 2} .
$$

Then for any $\xi, \varepsilon>0$, and any $h \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$
\operatorname{meas}\left(\mathcal{E}_{\lambda}\right) \ll N^{-E(\lambda)} .
$$

This was proved in Section 7 of Heath-Brown and Puchta [3]. Taking $\xi=1.21$, $h=22$, we get on a PC that

$$
E(0.887167)>\frac{3}{4}+10^{-10}
$$

This completes the proof of the lemma.
To control the minor arcs we also need three other lemmas.
Lemma 2.2. Suppose that $\alpha$ is a real number and that there exist integers a and q satisfying

$$
1 \leq q \leq Y, \quad(a, q)=1, \quad|q \alpha-a|<Y^{-1}
$$

with $Y=X^{\frac{3}{2}}$. Then for any fixed $\varepsilon>0$ one has

$$
\sum_{X<p \leq 2 X}(\log p) e\left(\alpha p^{2}\right) \ll X^{\frac{7}{8}+\varepsilon}+\frac{q^{\varepsilon} X(\log X)^{c}}{\left(q+X^{2}|q \alpha-a|\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}}
$$

Proof. This is Theorem 3 for the case $k=2$ in Kumchev [5], which is a powerful tool to control the contribution from the minor arcs when one applies the circle method to the Waring-Goldbach problems.

Lemma 2.3. Let $f(\alpha)$ and $h(\alpha)$ be as in (2.4) and (2.6). Then

$$
\int_{0}^{1}|f(\alpha) h(\alpha)|^{4} d \alpha \leq c_{1} \frac{\pi^{2}}{16} N L^{4}
$$

where

$$
c_{1} \leq\left(\frac{32^{4} \cdot 101 \cdot 1.620767}{3}+\frac{8 \cdot \log ^{2} 2}{\pi^{2}}\right)(1+\varepsilon)^{9}
$$

Proof. The first version of this lemma was established in Liu and Liu [13. Then the constant was subsequently refined in [15] and [8].

Lemma 2.4. Let $g(\alpha)$ and $h(\alpha)$ be as in (2.5) and (2.6). Then

$$
\int_{0}^{1}|g(\alpha) h(\alpha)|^{2} d \alpha \leq 12.3238 c_{0} N L^{2}
$$

where

$$
c_{0}=\prod_{p \geq 3}\left(1-\frac{1}{(p-1)^{2}}\right)=0.6601 \ldots
$$

Proof. This lemma is actually Lemma 10 in [3. By Lemma 2 of [17], we can replace (41) of [3] by $C_{2} \leq 1.93657$, and by the result of Wu [21] we can replace (32) of [3] by 7.8209. Then by the proof of Lemma 9 of [3] this lemma follows.

To treat the major arcs, we need the following three lemmas.
Lemma 2.5. For all integers $n \in \mathcal{A}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathcal{M}} f^{2}(\alpha) g(\alpha) e(-\alpha n) d \alpha=(\pi / 4+o(1)) \mathfrak{S}(n, P) n+O(N / \log N) \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. This lemma is Lemma 4 in [8] or Theorem 2 in [19. These results are based on the new approach to treat the enlarged major arcs in the circle method, which was developed by Liu, Liu and Zhan 12 .

Lemma 2.6. For all integers $n \in \mathcal{A}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{S}(n, P) \geq 2.27473966 \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. This lemma is Lemma 5 in Li [8].

Lemma 2.7. Let $\mathcal{A}(N, k)=\left\{n \geq 2: n=N-2^{v_{1}}-\cdots-2^{v_{k}}\right\}$ with $k \geq 80$. Then for odd $N$, we have

$$
\sum_{\substack{n \in \mathcal{A}(N, k) \\ n \neq 2(\bmod 3)}} n \geq\left(\frac{2}{3}-2^{-70}\right) N L^{k}
$$

Proof. This lemma is actually Lemma 6 in Li [8]. We make the corresponding change according to the range of $k$.

## 3. Proof of Theorem 1.1

Let $\mathcal{E}_{\lambda}$ be as defined in (2.9), and $\mathcal{M}$ and $C(\mathcal{M})$ be as in (2.8), with $P, Q$ determined in (2.2). Then (2.3) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
R(N)=\int_{0}^{1} f^{2}(\alpha) g(\alpha) h^{k}(\alpha) e(-\alpha N) d \alpha=\int_{\mathcal{M}}+\int_{C(\mathcal{M}) \cap \mathcal{E}_{\lambda}}+\int_{C(\mathcal{M}) \backslash \mathcal{E}_{\lambda}} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the major arcs, by Lemma 2.5 we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{\mathcal{M}} f^{2}(\alpha) g(\alpha) h^{k}(\alpha) e(-\alpha N) d \alpha & =\sum_{n \in \mathcal{A}(N, k)} \int_{\mathcal{M}} f^{2}(\alpha) g(\alpha) e(-\alpha n) d \alpha  \tag{3.2}\\
& =\left(\frac{\pi}{4}+o(1)\right) \sum_{n \in \mathcal{A}(N, k)} \mathfrak{S}(n, P) n+O\left(N L^{k-1}\right) \\
& \geq 2.27473966\left(\frac{\pi}{4}+o(1)\right) \sum_{n \in \mathcal{A}(N, k)} n+O\left(N L^{k-1}\right) \\
& \geq 1.516492 \frac{\pi}{4} N L^{k}
\end{align*}
$$

where we have used Lemmas 2.6 and 2.7.
Now we consider the second integral in (3.1). By Dirichlet's lemma on rational approximation, any $\alpha \in C(\mathcal{M})$ can be written as

$$
\alpha=\frac{a}{q}+\beta, \quad|\beta| \leq \frac{1}{q N^{\frac{3}{4}}}
$$

for some integers $a, q$ with $1 \leq a \leq q \leq N^{\frac{3}{4}},(a, q)=1$. If $q \leq P$, since $\alpha \in C(\mathcal{M})$, we have $P L^{10}<N|q \alpha-a|$; otherwise we have $q>P$. Hence we have that for $\alpha \in C(\mathcal{M})$,

$$
q+N|q \alpha-a|>P
$$

Then by Lemma 2.2, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max _{\alpha \in C(\mathcal{M})}|f(\alpha)| \ll N^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{16}+\varepsilon} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

It should be remarked that now (3.3) is a standard result, which has been used in [2], 18], 15] and [8], etc. For the second integral in (3.1), by Cauchy's inequality we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{C(\mathcal{M}) \cap \mathcal{E}_{\lambda}} & \leq\left(\int_{C(\mathcal{M}) \cap \mathcal{E}_{\lambda}}\left|f^{2}(\alpha) g(\alpha) h^{k}(\alpha)\right|^{2} d \alpha\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\int_{C(\mathcal{M}) \cap \mathcal{E}_{\lambda}} 1 d \alpha\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \leq\left(\int_{C(\mathcal{M})}\left|f^{2}(\alpha) g(\alpha) h^{k}(\alpha)\right|^{2} d \alpha\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\int_{\mathcal{E}_{\lambda}} 1 d \alpha\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \leq\left(L^{2 k}\left(\max _{\alpha \in C(\mathcal{M})}|f(\alpha)|\right)^{4} \int_{0}^{1}|g(\alpha)|^{2} d \alpha\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\int_{\mathcal{E}_{\lambda}} 1 d \alpha\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Then by (3.3) and the well-known estimate

$$
\int_{0}^{1}|g(\alpha)|^{2} d \alpha \ll N L
$$

we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{C(\mathcal{M}) \cap \mathcal{E}_{\lambda}} & \ll\left(L^{2 k} N^{\frac{7}{4}+\varepsilon} N\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\operatorname{meas}\left(\mathcal{E}_{\lambda}\right)\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \ll\left(L^{2 k} N^{\frac{7}{4}+\varepsilon} N\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} N^{-\frac{E(\lambda)}{2}}  \tag{3.4}\\
& \ll N^{\frac{11}{8}+\varepsilon} L^{k} N^{-\frac{E(\lambda)}{2}} \ll N^{1-\varepsilon}
\end{align*}
$$

where we have used Lemma 2.1 with $\lambda=0.887167$, namely

$$
\text { meas }\left(\mathcal{E}_{0.887167}\right) \ll N^{-E(0.887167)}<N^{-\frac{3}{4}-10^{-10}}
$$

For the last integral in (3.1) with the definition of $\mathcal{E}_{\lambda}$, and Lemmas 2.3 and 2.4, by Cauchy's inequality we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{C(\mathcal{M}) \backslash \mathcal{E}_{\lambda}} & \leq(\lambda L)^{k-3}\left(\int_{0}^{1}|f(\alpha) h(\alpha)|^{4} d \alpha\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\int_{0}^{1}|g(\alpha) h(\alpha)|^{2} d \alpha\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}  \tag{3.5}\\
& \leq 21576 \lambda^{k-3} \frac{\pi}{4} N L^{k}
\end{align*}
$$

Combining this with (3.2) and (3.4), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
R(N) \geq \frac{\pi}{4} N L^{k}\left(1.516492-21576 \lambda^{k-3}\right) \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

When $k \geq 83$, for $\lambda=0.887167$, by the above estimate we have

$$
R(N)>0
$$

This means that every large odd integer $N$ can be written in the form of (1.2) for $k \geq 83$.
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