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#### Abstract

We investigate the algebraic independence of some derivatives of certain multiplicative arithmetical functions over the field $\mathbb{C}$ of complex numbers.


## 1. Introduction

In this paper we consider arithmetical functions defined over the field of complex numbers, and their associated Dirichlet series. Let $r \geq 1$ be an integer and write $A_{r}=A_{r}(\mathbb{C})=\left\{f: \mathbb{N}^{r} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\right\}$. Given $f, g \in A_{r}$, define the convolution $f * g$ of $f$ and $g$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
(f * g)\left(n_{1}, \ldots, n_{r}\right)=\sum_{d_{1} \mid n_{1}} \ldots \sum_{d_{r} \mid n_{r}} f\left(d_{1}, \ldots, d_{r}\right) g\left(\frac{n_{1}}{d_{1}}, \ldots, \frac{n_{r}}{d_{r}}\right) . \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $\mathbb{C}$ has a natural embedding in the ring $A_{r}$, and $A_{r}$ with addition and convolution defined as above becomes a $\mathbb{C}$-algebra. The ring $A_{1}$ has been studied from various points of view by a number of authors. We mention in this connection the work of Cashwell and Everett [4, who proved that $\left(A_{1},+,.\right)$ is a unique factorization domain. Schwab and Silberberg [12] constructed an extension of $\left(A_{1},+,.\right)$ which is a discrete valuation ring. Alkan and the authors [1] generalized this construction and provided a family of extensions of $A_{r}$ which are discrete valuation rings. For other work on rings of arithmetical functions the reader is referred to [5], 6], [9], [12, [13, 10, [11, [2]. In [1], it was shown that for any completely additive arithmetical function $\psi \in A_{r}$, the map $D_{\psi}: A_{r} \rightarrow A_{r}$ defined by $D_{\psi}(f)\left(n_{1}, \ldots, n_{r}\right)=f\left(n_{1}, \ldots, n_{r}\right) \psi\left(n_{1}, \ldots, n_{r}\right)$, for all $n_{1}, \ldots, n_{r} \in \mathbb{N}$, is a derivation on $A_{r}$. It was also proved in [1] that for any multiplicative function $f \in A_{r}$, any completely additive function $\psi \in A_{r}$, and any $n_{1}, \ldots, n_{r} \in \mathbb{N}$ not all prime powers, $\frac{D_{\psi}(f)}{f}\left(n_{1}, \ldots, n_{r}\right)=0$, where $\frac{D_{\psi}(f)}{f}$ is viewed as $D_{\psi}(f) * f^{-1}$. In this connection, a natural line of investigation would be to study the action of $D_{\psi}$ on the subring $\mathbb{C}[f]$ of $A_{r}$ generated over $\mathbb{C}$ by a given multiplicative function $f \in A_{r}$, for any $\psi$ as above. From this point of view, the first issue that arises is to consider the image of $\mathbb{C}[f]$ through $D_{\psi}$, and identify the intersection of $D_{\psi}(\mathbb{C}[f])$ and $\mathbb{C}[f]$. We will do this for a special class of multiplicative functions $f$ which are of particular interest, namely, those which have Euler factors of finite degree.

[^0]Fix $\psi \in A_{r}$. Assume that $\psi$ is completely additive and satisfies

$$
\left|\psi\left(n_{1}, \ldots, n_{r}\right)\right| \rightarrow \infty
$$

as $n_{1}+\cdots+n_{r} \rightarrow \infty$. For any $g \in A_{r}$, any prime number $p$, and any integer $k \in\{1, \ldots, r\}$, let $g_{p, k, r} \in A_{1}$ be the function defined as follows. Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$. If $m$ is not a power of the prime $p$, then $g_{p, k, r}(m)=0$. If $m=p^{n}$ for some nonnegative integer $n$, let

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{p, k, r}\left(p^{n}\right)=g\left(1, \ldots, 1, p^{n}, 1, \ldots, 1\right) \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p^{n}$ occurs at the $k$-th component of the tuple $\left(1, \ldots, 1, p^{n}, 1, \ldots, 1\right)$ on the rightside of (1.2). Given a multiplicative function $f \in A_{r}$, we say that $f$ has an Euler factor of finite degree at a prime number $p$ provided there exists $k \in\{1, \ldots, r\}$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and nonzero complex numbers $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{m}$ such that the Dirichlet series associated to the arithmetical function $f_{p, k, r}$ is given by

$$
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{f_{p, k, r}(n)}{n^{s}}=\frac{1}{\left(1-\frac{a_{1}}{p^{s}}\right) \cdots\left(1-\frac{a_{m}}{p^{s}}\right)}
$$

As a matter of terminology, we will call the above Euler factor trivial if $m=0$ and respectively nontrivial if $m \geq 1$. We will prove the following result.

Theorem 1. Let $\psi \in A_{r}$ be completely additive and satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\psi\left(n_{1}, \ldots, n_{r}\right)\right| \rightarrow \infty \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

as $n_{1}+\cdots+n_{r} \rightarrow \infty$. Let $f \in A_{r}$ be multiplicative and such that for infinitely many prime numbers $p, f$ has an Euler product of finite degree at $p$ as defined above. Then for any distinct nonnegative integers $i$, and $j$, the derivations $D_{\psi}^{i}(f)$ and $D_{\psi}^{j}(f)$ of $f$ of orders $i$ and $j$ respectively are algebraically independent over $\mathbb{C}$.

As a consequence of this result, for $\psi$ and $f$ as above, the arithmetical function which is constant and equal to zero is the only common element of $D_{\psi}(\mathbb{C}[f])$ and $\mathbb{C}[f]$.

Corollary 1. Let $\psi$ and $f$ be elements of $A_{r}$ satisfying the assumptions in Theorem 1. Let $\mathbb{C}[f]$ be the subring of $A_{r}$ generated over $\mathbb{C}$ by $f$. Then,

$$
D_{\psi}(\mathbb{C}[f]) \cap \mathbb{C}[f]=0
$$

We end this section with some examples. Let $r=1$, and let $\psi_{0} \in A_{1}$ be the completely additive function given by $\psi_{0}(n)=-\log n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then condition (1.3) is satisfied. Next, let $f=\chi$ be a Dirichlet character. So $f$ satisfies the condition in Theorem 1 with $m=1$, for all but finitely many primes (where the corresponding Euler factor is trivial). Then Theorem 1 applies, and it shows that the derivations $D_{\psi_{0}}^{(i)}(\chi)$ and $D_{\psi_{0}}^{(j)}(\chi)$ of $\chi$ of orders $i$ and $j$ are algebraically independent for any nonnegative distinct integers $i$ and $j$. Moreover, by the standard isomorphism which sends any arithmetical function $h \in A_{1}(\mathbb{C})$ to its associated Dirichlet series $H(s)=L(s, h)=\sum_{1}^{\infty} \frac{h(n)}{n^{s}}$, and also sends $D_{\psi_{0}}(h)$ to $\frac{d}{d s}(H(s))$, we see that for any nonnegative distinct integers $i$ and $j$, the functions $L^{(i)}(s, \chi)$ and $L^{(j)}(s, \chi)$ are algebraically independent over $\mathbb{C}$.

For another example, let us again take $r=1, f=\chi$, and $\psi_{0}$ as above. Also fix a prime $p$ such that $\chi(p) \neq 0$. Next, let $\chi_{p} \in A_{1}(\mathbb{C})$ be defined by

$$
\chi_{p}(n)= \begin{cases}\chi(n), & \text { if } n=p^{m}, m \geq 1 \\ 0, & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

Note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
D_{\psi_{0}}\left(\chi_{p}\right)\left(p^{m}\right) & =(-m \log p)\left(\chi_{p}(p)\right)^{m} \\
& =(-\log p)\left(\chi_{p}(p)\right)^{m}\left(\left(\sum_{d \mid p^{m}} 1\right)-1\right) \\
& =(\log p)\left(\chi_{p}^{2}\left(p^{m}\right)-\chi_{p}\left(p^{m}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

One finds that

$$
D_{\psi_{0}}\left(\mathbb{C}\left[\chi_{p}\right]\right)=\left(\chi_{p}^{2}-\chi_{p}\right) \mathbb{C}\left[\chi_{p}\right]
$$

Thus Corollary 1, and therefore also Theorem 11 fails in this case. But $\chi_{p}$ does not satisfy the hypothesis of Theorem 1 either.

Other interesting examples arise from the theory of modular forms. For a nice treatment of this subject the reader is referred to the recent monograpgh of Ono 7. Let $f(z)$ be a newform (or normalized Hecke eigenform) of weight $k$ in $S_{k}\left(\Gamma_{1}(N), \chi\right)$ which has Fourier expansion

$$
f(z)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{f}(n) e^{2 \pi i n z}, \operatorname{Im} z>0
$$

The Fourier coefficients $a_{f}(n)$ form a multiplicative arithmetical function. The associated $L$-function is given by

$$
L(s, f)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{f}(n) n^{-s}
$$

where $s \in \mathbb{C}$ is a complex variable. Here $L(s, f)$ has an Euler product expansion

$$
L(s, f)=\prod_{p}\left(1-a_{f}(p) p^{-s}+\chi(p) p^{k-1-2 s}\right)^{-1}=\prod_{p} \frac{1}{\left(1-\frac{\alpha_{p} p^{\frac{k-1}{2}}}{p^{s}}\right)\left(1-\frac{\beta_{p} p^{\frac{k-1}{2}}}{p^{s}}\right)}
$$

where the product is taken over all primes, $\alpha_{p}+\beta_{p}=a_{f}(p) p^{\frac{1-k}{2}}$, and $\alpha_{p} \beta_{p}=\chi(p)$.
For example, one can take the Ramanujan tau function $\tau(n)$, defined in terms of the Delta function

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta(z)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \tau(n) q^{n}=q \prod_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(1-q^{n}\right)^{24}, \quad q=e^{2 \pi i z} \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is the unique normalized cusp form of weight 12 on $S L_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$. The Euler product expansion of the $L$-series associated to $\Delta(z)$ is given by

$$
L(s, \Delta)=\prod_{p}\left(1-\tau(p) p^{-s}+p^{11-2 s}\right)^{-1}=\prod_{p} \frac{1}{\left(1-\frac{\alpha_{p} p^{\frac{11}{2}}}{p^{s}}\right)\left(1-\frac{\beta_{p} p^{\frac{11}{2}}}{p^{s}}\right)}
$$

where the product is taken over all primes, $\alpha_{p}+\beta_{p}=\tau(p) p^{-\frac{11}{2}}$, and $\alpha_{p} \beta_{p}=1$.
The conditions in Theorem 1 are satisfied in this case, and therefore any two derivatives of $L(s, f)$ are algebraically independent over $\mathbb{C}$.

Theorem 1 applies, more generally, to the case when $f$ is an automorphic cusp form on $G L_{m} / \mathbb{Q}, m \geq 1$. Its $L$-function $L(s, f)$ has an Euler product of degree $m$ : $L(s, f)=\prod_{p} L\left(s, f_{p}\right)$, where

$$
L\left(s, f_{p}\right)=\frac{1}{\prod_{j=1}^{m}\left(1-\frac{\alpha_{j, f}(p)}{p^{s}}\right)} .
$$

By Theorem 1 any two derivatives of $L(s, f)$ are algebraically independent over $\mathbb{C}$.

## 2. Preliminaries

Let $r$ be a positive integer and denote as above $A_{r}=\left\{f: \mathbb{N}^{r} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\right\}$. We say that an arithmetical function $f \in A_{r}$ is multiplicative provided one has

$$
f\left(n_{1} m_{1}, \ldots, n_{r} m_{r}\right)=f\left(n_{1}, \ldots, n_{r}\right) f\left(m_{1}, \ldots, m_{r}\right)
$$

for any $n_{1}, \ldots, n_{r}, m_{1}, \ldots, m_{r} \in \mathbb{N}$ satisfying $\left(n_{1}, m_{1}\right)=\cdots=\left(n_{r}, m_{r}\right)=1$. We say that $f \in A_{r}$ is completely multiplicative provided

$$
f\left(n_{1} m_{1}, \ldots, n_{r} m_{r}\right)=f\left(n_{1}, \ldots, n_{r}\right) f\left(m_{1}, \ldots, m_{r}\right)
$$

for any $n_{1}, \ldots, n_{r}, m_{1}, \ldots, m_{r} \in \mathbb{N}$. Similarly we say that a function $f \in A_{r}(R)$ is additive provided

$$
f\left(n_{1} m_{1}, \ldots, n_{r} m_{r}\right)=f\left(n_{1}, \ldots, n_{r}\right)+f\left(m_{1}, \ldots, m_{r}\right)
$$

for any $n_{1}, \ldots, n_{r}, m_{1}, \ldots, m_{r} \in \mathbb{N}$ satisfying $\left(n_{1}, m_{1}\right)=\cdots=\left(n_{r}, m_{r}\right)=1$. We call a function $f \in A_{r}$ completely additive provided

$$
f\left(n_{1} m_{1}, \ldots, n_{r} m_{r}\right)=f\left(n_{1}, \ldots, n_{r}\right)+f\left(m_{1}, \ldots, m_{r}\right)
$$

for any $n_{1}, \ldots, n_{r}, m_{1}, \ldots, m_{r} \in \mathbb{N}$. For any completely additive function $\psi \in A_{r}$, the $\operatorname{map} D_{\psi}: A_{r} \rightarrow A_{r}$ defined by

$$
D_{\psi}(f)\left(n_{1}, \ldots, n_{r}\right)=f\left(n_{1}, \ldots, n_{r}\right) \psi\left(n_{1}, \ldots, n_{r}\right)
$$

for all $n_{1}, \ldots, n_{r} \in \mathbb{N}$, satisfies the following properties (see [1]). For all $f, g \in A_{r}$ and $c \in \mathbb{C}$,
(a) $D_{\psi}(f+g)=D_{\psi}(f)+D_{\psi}(g)$,
(b) $D_{\psi}(f g)=f D_{\psi}(g)+g D_{\psi}(f)$,
(c) $D_{\psi}(c f)=c D_{\psi}(f)$.

Consequently, $D_{\psi}$ is a derivation on $A_{r}$ over $\mathbb{C}$.
Every $f \in A_{r}$ has an associated formal Dirichlet series

$$
\bar{f}\left(s_{1}, \ldots, s_{r}\right)=\sum_{n_{1}, \ldots, n_{r} \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{f\left(n_{1}, \ldots, n_{r}\right)}{n_{1}^{s_{1}} \cdots n_{r}^{s_{r}}}
$$

Let $\bar{A}_{r}$ be the ring of all such series with the usual addition and multiplication of series. The map $f \rightarrow \bar{f}$ is a ring isomorphism.

For any $g \in A_{r}$, a prime number $p$, and an integer $k \in\{1, \ldots, r\}$, let us denote by $\phi_{p, k, r}$ the map from $A_{r}$ into $A_{1}$ which sends $g$ to $g_{p, k}=g_{p, k, r} \in A_{1}$, where $g_{p, k, r}$ is defined as in Section 1. The mapping $\phi_{p, k, r}$ is a homomorphism of $\mathbb{C}$-algebras: for any $c \in \mathbb{C}$ and $g, h \in A_{r},(c g)_{p, k, r}=c g_{p, k, r},(g+h)_{p, k, r}=g_{p, k, r}+h_{p, k, r}$, and $(g * h)_{p, k, r}=g_{p, k, r} * h_{p, k, r}$. To see this, let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and consider the $r$-tuple
$\left(1, \ldots, 1, p^{n}, 1, \ldots, 1\right) \in \mathbb{N}^{r}$, where $p^{n}$ occurs at the $k$-th component of the tuple. Then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
(g * h)_{p, k, r}\left(p^{n}\right) & =(g * h)\left(1, \ldots, 1, p^{n}, 1, \ldots, 1\right) \\
& =\sum_{d \mid p^{n}} g(1, \ldots, d, 1, \ldots, 1) h\left(1, \ldots, \frac{p^{n}}{d}, 1, \ldots, 1\right) \\
& =\sum_{d \mid p^{n}} g_{p, k, r}(d) h_{p, k, r}\left(\frac{p^{n}}{d}\right) \\
& =g_{p, k, r} * h_{p, k, r}\left(p^{n}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

On the other hand, if $n$ is not a power of the prime $p$, then we have that

$$
(g * h)_{p, k, r}(n)=0=g_{p, k, r} * h_{p, k, r}(n)
$$

Therefore, $(g * h)_{p, k, r}=g_{p, k, r} * h_{p, k, r}$. Similarly, one sees that $(c g)_{p, k, r}=c g_{p, k, r}$ and $(g+h)_{p, k, r}=g_{p, k, r}+h_{p, k, r}$.

Note that the homomorphism sending any $g \in A_{r}$ to $g_{p, k, r} \in A_{1}$ induces a homomorphism of $\bar{A}_{r}$ onto $\bar{A}_{1}$ which sends $\bar{g}\left(s_{1}, \ldots, s_{r}\right)$ to $\bar{g}_{p, k, r}(s)$. As an example, for $r=1$, this map sends the Riemann zeta function

$$
\zeta(s)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{s}}=\prod_{q} \frac{1}{1-\frac{1}{q^{s}}}
$$

to the function $\zeta_{p}(s)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{p^{k s}}=\frac{1}{1-\frac{1}{p^{s}}}$. Also, $\frac{-\zeta^{\prime}(s)}{\zeta(s)}$ is sent to $\frac{-\zeta_{p}^{\prime}(s)}{\zeta_{p}(s)}=\frac{\log p}{p^{s}-1}$.

## 3. The case of the Riemann zeta function

In order to present the main idea behind the proof of Theorem 1 in terms as simple as possible, in this section we show that the Riemann zeta function $\zeta(s)$ and its derivative $\zeta^{\prime}(s)$ are algebraically independent over $\mathbb{C}$. In doing this, we will avoid the use of any analytic properties of the Riemann zeta function, so that we later have a chance of generalizing this reasoning in the context of Theorem 1 where one does not have any assumptions on the convergence of the Dirichlet series associated to $f$, or its Euler product. Returning to the Riemann zeta function, let us assume that $\zeta(s)$ and $\zeta^{\prime}(s)$ are algebraically dependent, and let $Q(x, y)$ be a nonzero polynomial in two variables $x$ and $y$ with coefficients in $\mathbb{C}$ such that $Q\left(\zeta(s), \zeta^{\prime}(s)\right)=0$. Let $P(x, y)=Q(x, x y)$. Then $P(x, y)$ is a nonzero polynomial and $P\left(\zeta(s), \frac{-\zeta^{\prime}(s)}{\zeta(s)}\right)=0$. Next, this gives us an equality in $A_{1}$, namely

$$
\begin{equation*}
P\left(I,-D_{\psi_{l g(I)}} * I^{-1}\right)=0 \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $I \in A_{1}$ denotes the arithmetical function given by $I(n)=1$, and $\psi_{0}$ is the completely additive function given by $\psi_{0}(n)=\log (n)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Now for any prime $p$, we apply the homomorphism $\phi_{p, 1,1}$ to the equality (3.1) and find that $P\left(I_{p},-D_{\psi_{0}\left(I_{p}\right)} * I_{p}^{-1}\right)=0$. This in turn gives us an equality between the corresponding Dirichlet series, namely

$$
\begin{equation*}
P\left(\zeta_{p}(s), \frac{-\zeta_{p}^{\prime}(s)}{\zeta_{p}(s)}\right)=0 \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is a nontrivial relation which needs to be satisfied by each Euler factor $\zeta_{p}(s)$ of $\zeta(s)$ with the same polynomial $P$. On the other hand, one checks by a direct computation that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{-\zeta_{p}^{\prime}(s)}{\zeta_{p}(s)}=\left(1+\zeta_{p}(s)\right) \log p \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using equation (3.3) in (3.2), we derive that $\zeta_{p}(s)$ is a zero of the polynomial $U_{p}(t)$ which is given by $U_{p}(t)=P(t,(t+1) \log p)$. Since $\zeta_{p}(s)$ is transcendental over $\mathbb{C}, U_{p}(t)$ has to be identically zero. But, since $P(x, y)$ is a nonzero polynomial, $P(t,(t+1) \log p)$ can be identically zero only for finitely many values of $p$, and this completes the proof that $\zeta(s)$ and $\zeta^{\prime}(s)$ are algebraically independent over $\mathbb{C}$.

## 4. Proof of Theorem 1

Let $\psi$ and $f$ be as in the statement of Theorem By our assumptions, we know that there is an infinite set $\mathcal{P}$ of prime numbers with the following property. For each prime $p \in \mathcal{P}$, there exists a component $k_{p} \in\{1, \ldots, r\}$ such that the Dirichlet series associated to the arithmetical function $f_{p, k, r}$ is given by

$$
\bar{f}_{p, k, r}(s)=\bar{f}_{p, k}(s)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{f_{p, k, r}(n)}{n^{s}}=\frac{1}{\left(1-\frac{a_{1}}{p^{s}}\right) \cdots\left(1-\frac{a_{m}}{p^{s}}\right)}
$$

for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and nonzero complex numbers $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{m}$. Therefore, there exists a component $k \in\{1, \ldots, r\}$ and an infinite subset $\mathcal{P}_{k} \subseteq \mathcal{P}$ of prime numbers $p$ such that the corresponding values $k_{p}$ are the same and equal $k$.

Fix such an integer $k$ and a prime number $p$ in the subset $\mathcal{P}_{k}$. Let $F(t)$ be defined by $F(t)=F_{p, k, r}(t)=\frac{1}{\left(1-a_{1} t\right) \cdots\left(1-a_{m} t\right)}$. Then, we see that $\bar{f}_{p, k, r}(s)=F\left(p^{-s}\right)$. Let $\psi_{k} \in A_{1}$ be the function defined by $\psi_{k}(n)=\psi(1, \ldots, 1, n, 1, \ldots, 1)$ for all $n \geq 1$, where $n$ occurs at the $k$-th component of the tuple $(1, \ldots, 1, n, 1, \ldots, 1)$ on the right side.

Let $\mathbb{C}(t)$ denote, as usual, the field of rational functions in $t$ over $\mathbb{C}$, and $R^{\prime}(t)$ the derivative of $R(t) \in \mathbb{C}(t)$ as a rational function. Define $\Gamma: \mathbb{C}(t) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}(t)$ by $\Gamma(R(t))=\psi_{k}(p) t R^{\prime}(t)$, for $R(t) \in \mathbb{C}(t)$.

Also define

$$
\bar{f}_{p, k, r}^{\prime}(s)=\bar{f}_{p, k}^{\prime}(s)=\left(\Gamma\left(F_{p, k, r}(t)\right)\right)\left(p^{-s}\right)
$$

and inductively $\bar{f}_{p, k, r}^{(l)}(s)=\bar{f}_{p, k}^{(l)}(s)=\left(\Gamma^{(l)}\left(F_{p, k, r}(t)\right)\right)\left(p^{-s}\right)$ for any positive integer $l$, where $\Gamma^{(l)}$ denotes the composition of $\Gamma$ with itself $l$ times.

Now let $G(t)=G_{p, k, r}(t)=\frac{1}{F_{p, k, r}(t)}$. Then, we find that $G_{p, k, r}(t)$ is a polynomial $G_{p, k, r}(t)=\alpha_{p} t^{m}+\cdots$ with leading coefficient $\alpha_{p}=(-1)^{m} a_{1} \cdots a_{m}$, and its derivative is given by $G_{p, k, r}^{\prime}(t)=m \alpha_{p} t^{m-1}+\cdots$.

Next, define inductively $B_{0}=B_{(p, k, r), 0}=1$ and

$$
B_{n+1}(t)=B_{(p, k, r), n+1}(t)=t\left(G_{p, k, r}(t) B_{n}^{\prime}(t)-(n+1) G_{p, k, r}^{\prime}(t) B_{n}(t)\right)
$$

We claim that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Gamma^{n}\left(F_{p, k, r}(t)\right) & =\frac{B_{n}(t)}{(G(t))^{n+1}} \\
& =\frac{B_{n}(t)}{\left(1-a_{1} t\right)^{n+1} \cdots\left(1-a_{m} t\right)^{n+1}}
\end{aligned}
$$

To prove this claim, first notice that $\Gamma^{0}\left(F_{p, k, r}(t)\right)=F_{p, k, r}=\frac{B_{0}(t)}{G(t)}$ since $B_{0}=1$. Next, assume that $n \geq 1$ and $\Gamma^{n}\left(F_{p, k, r}(t)\right)=\frac{B_{n}(t)}{(G(t))^{n+1}}$. Then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Gamma^{n+1}\left(F_{p, k, r}(t)\right) & =\Gamma\left(\Gamma^{n}\left(F_{p, k, r}(t)\right)\right) \\
& =\Gamma\left(\frac{B_{n}(t)}{(G(t))^{n+1}}\right) \\
& =t \frac{b_{n}^{\prime}(t) G(t)^{n+1}-(n+1) B_{n}(t) G(t)^{n} u^{\prime}(t)}{G(t)^{2 n+2}} \\
& =t \frac{b_{n}^{\prime}(t) G(t)-(n+1) B_{n}(t) u^{\prime}(t)}{G(t)^{n+2}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

This completes the proof of the claim.
Observe that $\operatorname{deg}\left(G_{p, k, r}(t)\right)=m$. Now we show inductively that $B_{n}(t)$ is a polynomial of degree $\operatorname{deg}\left(B_{n}(t)\right)=n m$ with leading coefficient $(-1)^{n} \alpha_{p}^{n} m^{n} \psi_{k}(p)$ for all $n \geq 1$. Clearly, $B_{0}(t)$ satisfies this claim. Assume that $n \geq 1$, and $B_{n}(t)$ satisfies the claim. We would like to prove that $B_{n+1}(t)$ satisfies the claim as well; i.e., $B_{n+1}(t)$ is a polynomial of degree $\operatorname{deg}\left(B_{n+1}(t)\right)=(n+1) m$ with leading coefficient $(-1)^{n+1} \alpha_{p}^{n+1} m^{n+1} \psi_{k}(p)$. Since

$$
B_{n+1}(t)=B_{(p, k, r), n+1}(t)=t\left(G_{p, k, r}(t) B_{n}^{\prime}(t)-(n+1) G_{p, k, r}^{\prime}(t) B_{n}(t)\right)
$$

its leading term can be written in the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
& t\left(\alpha_{p} t^{m}\right) n m(-1)^{n} \alpha_{p}^{n} m^{n} \psi_{k}(p) t^{n m-1}-t\left(\alpha_{p}(n+1) m t^{m-1}\right)(-1)^{n} \alpha_{p}^{n} m^{n} \psi_{k}(p) t^{n m} \\
& =\alpha_{p} n m(-1)^{n} \alpha_{p}^{n} m^{n} \psi_{k}(p) t^{(n+1) m}-\alpha_{p}(n+1) m(-1)^{n} \alpha_{p}^{n} m^{n} \psi_{k}(p) t^{(n+1) m} \\
& =(-1)^{n} \alpha_{p}^{n+1} m^{n} \psi_{k}(p)(n m-m(n+1)) t^{(n+1) m} \\
& =(-1)^{n+1} \alpha_{p}^{n+1} m^{n+1} \psi_{k}(p) t^{(n+1) m} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence the desired claim holds.
Now let $i, j$ be nonnegative integers such that $i \neq j$. We have that $\bar{f}_{p, k}^{(i)}(s)=$ $\left(\Gamma^{(i)}(F(t))\right)\left(p^{-s}\right)$ and $\bar{f}_{p, k}^{(j)}(s)=\left(\Gamma^{(j)}(F(t))\right)\left(p^{-s}\right)$. Let $S$ denote a finite set of pairs $(u, v)$ of positive integers. Let $P(X, Y) \in \mathbb{C}[X, Y]$ and $P(X, Y)=$ $\sum_{(u, v) \in S} C_{u v} X^{u} Y^{v}$, where $C_{u v}$ is a nonzero complex number for every $(u, v) \in S$.

Suppose that

$$
\begin{equation*}
P\left(D_{\psi}^{i}(f), D_{\psi}^{j}(f)\right)=0 \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

By applying the homomorphism $\phi_{p, k, r}$ to both sides of equality (4.1), we find that $P\left(D_{\psi}^{i}(f)_{p, k, r}, D_{\psi}^{j}(f)_{p, k, r}\right)=0$. This in turn gives us an equality between the corresponding Dirichlet series, namely,

$$
\begin{equation*}
P\left(\bar{f}_{p, k}^{i}(s), \bar{f}_{p, k}^{j}(s)\right)=0 \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus,

$$
\sum_{(u, v) \in S} C_{u v}\left(\frac{B_{i}(t)}{(G(t))^{i+1}}\right)^{u}\left(\frac{B_{j}(t)}{(G(t))^{j+1}}\right)^{v}=0
$$

Let $N=\max _{(u, v) \in S}\{(i+1) u+(j+1) v\}$. We have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{(u, v) \in S} C_{u v} B_{i}(t)^{u} B_{j}(t)^{v}(G(t))^{N-(i+1) u-(j+1) v}=0 \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{deg}\left(B_{i}(t)^{u} B_{j}(t)^{v}(G(t))^{N-(i+1) u-(j+1) v}\right) & =i u m+v j m+(N-(i+1) u-(j+1) v) m \\
& =m(N-u-v)
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $L=\min _{(u, v) \in S}\{u+v\}$. Then equality (4.3) can be written as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{\substack{(u, v) \in S \\
u+v=L}} C_{u v} B_{i}(t)^{u} B_{j}(t)^{v}(G(t))^{N-(i+1) u-(j+1) v} \\
& \quad+\sum_{\substack{(u, v) \in S \\
u+v>L}} C_{u v} B_{i}(t)^{u} B_{j}(t)^{v}(G(t))^{N-(i+1) u-(j+1) v}=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

For $f \in A_{1}$, consider the support of $f$ given by $\operatorname{supp}(f)=\{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid f(n) \neq 0\}$. By abuse of notation, let us denote by $B_{i}, B_{j}$, and $G$ the arithmetical functions whose Dirichlet series are given respectively by $B_{i}\left(p^{-s}\right), B_{j}\left(p^{-s}\right)$, and $G\left(p^{-s}\right)$. Note that the support of the arithmetical function $\left(B_{i}^{u} B_{j}^{v} G^{N-(i+1) u-(j+1) v}\right)$ is a subset of $\left\{1, p, p^{2}, \ldots, p^{m(N-L)}\right\}$. So the arithmetical function corresponding to the second sum in the above equation, that is, the function given by the sum

$$
\sum_{\substack{(u, v) \in S \\ u+v>L}} C_{u v} B_{i}^{u} B_{j}^{v} G^{N-(i+1) u-(j+1) v},
$$

vanishes at $p^{m(N-L)}$. Since this must hold for infinitely many primes, we conclude that the second sum in the equation above vanishes, and thus

$$
\sum_{\substack{(u, v) \in S \\ u+v=L}} C_{u v} B_{i}(t)^{u} B_{j}(t)^{v}(G(t))^{N-(i+1) u-(j+1) v}=0
$$

In this equation, the coefficient of $t^{m(N-L)}$ is

$$
\sum_{\substack{(u, v) \in S \\ u+v=L}} C_{u v}\left(\left(-\psi_{k}(p)\right)^{i} \alpha_{p}^{i} m^{i}\right)^{u}\left(\left(-\psi_{k}(p)\right)^{j} \alpha_{p}^{j} m^{j}\right)^{v}\left(\alpha_{p}\right)^{N-(i+1) u-(j+1) v},
$$

which equals

$$
\sum_{\substack{(u, v) \in S \\ u+v=L}} C_{u v}\left(-\psi_{k}(p)\right)^{i u+j v} \alpha_{p}^{N-u-v} m^{i u+j v}
$$

We rewrite this sum as

$$
\sum_{\substack{(u, v) \in S \\ u+v=L}} C_{u v}\left(-m \psi_{k}(p)\right)^{i u+j v} \alpha_{p}^{N-L}
$$

Since the coefficient of $t^{m(N-L)}$ must equal zero, we have that

$$
\sum_{\substack{(u, v) \in S \\ u+v=L}} C_{u v}\left(-m \psi_{k}(p)\right)^{i u+j v} \alpha_{p}^{N-L}=0
$$

But, $\alpha_{p} \neq 0$, and so we must have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\substack{(u, v) \in S \\ u+v=L}} C_{u v}\left(-m \psi_{k}(p)\right)^{i u+j v}=0 . \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

By our assumption on $\psi$ and our observation on the set $\mathcal{P}_{k}$ of prime numbers at the beginning of this section, it follows that there exist infinitely many distinct values $\psi_{k}(p)$ for primes in $\mathcal{P}_{k}$. Each of these values $\psi_{k}(p)$ must satisfy (4.4), which is not possible. This completes the proof of Theorem 1 .

Proof of Corollary 1, Let $f$ and $\psi$ be as in the statement of Corollary 1. Let $Q \in \mathbb{C}[f]$ be such that $D_{\psi}(Q) \in \mathbb{C}[f]$. Since for any $c \in \mathbb{C}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}, D_{\psi}\left(c f^{n}\right)=$ $c n f^{n-1} D_{\psi}(f), D_{\psi}(Q)$ equals $D_{\psi}(f)$ times a polynomial in $f$. But, $f$ and $D_{\psi}(f)$ being algebraically independent, the only multiple of $D_{\psi}(f)$ inside $\mathbb{C}\left[f, D_{\psi}(f)\right]$ which belongs to $\mathbb{C}[f]$ is zero, and this proves the corollary.
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