THE GROUP OF ORDER PRESERVING AUTOMORPHISMS OF THE RING OF DIFFERENTIAL OPERATORS ON A LAURENT POLYNOMIAL ALGEBRA IN PRIME CHARACTERISTIC

V. V. BAVULA

(Communicated by Martin Lorenz)

ABSTRACT. Let K be a field of characteristic $p > 0$. It is proved that the group $\text{Aut}_{\text{ord}}(\mathcal{D}(L_n))$ of order preserving automorphisms of the ring $\mathcal{D}(L_n)$ of differential operators on a Laurent polynomial algebra $L_n := K[x_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, x_n^{\pm 1}]$ is isomorphic to a skew direct product of groups $\mathbb{Z}_p^n \rtimes \text{Aut}_K(L_n)$, where $\mathbb{Z}_p$ is the ring of p -adic integers. Moreover, the group $\text{Aut}_{\text{ord}}(\mathcal{D}(L_n))$ is found explicitly. Similarly, $\text{Aut}_{\text{ord}}(\mathcal{D}(P_n)) \simeq \text{Aut}_K(P_n)$, where $P_n := K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ is a polynomial algebra.

1. INTRODUCTION

Throughout, ring means an associative ring with 1; p is a prime number; $\mathbb{F}_p := \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ is the field that contains p elements; $\mathbb{Z}_p$ is the ring of p -adic integers; K is an arbitrary field of characteristic $p > 0$ (if it is not stated otherwise); $P_n := K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ is a polynomial algebra; $\mathcal{D}(P_n) = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n} P_n \partial^{[\alpha]}$ is the ring of differential operators on P_n where $\partial^{[\alpha]} := \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial^{\alpha_i}}{\alpha_i!}$; $L_n := K[x_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, x_n^{\pm 1}]$ is a Laurent polynomial algebra and $\mathcal{D}(L_n) = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n} L_n \partial^{[\alpha]}$ is the ring of differential operators on the algebra L_n ; $\{\mathcal{D}(L_n)_i := \bigoplus_{|\alpha| \leq i} L_n \partial^{[\alpha]}\}_{i \geq 0}$ is the *order filtration* on $\mathcal{D}(L_n)$; and

$$\text{Aut}_{\text{ord}}(\mathcal{D}(L_n)) := \{\sigma \in \text{Aut}_K(\mathcal{D}(L_n)) \mid \sigma(\mathcal{D}(L_n)_i) = \mathcal{D}(L_n)_i, i \geq 0\}$$

is the group of *order preserving* automorphisms of the algebra $\mathcal{D}(L_n)$. Similarly the order filtration on $\mathcal{D}(P_n)$ and the group $\text{Aut}_{\text{ord}}(\mathcal{D}(P_n))$ are defined.

In arbitrary characteristic, it is a difficult problem to find generators for the groups $\text{Aut}_K(P_n)$ and $\text{Aut}_K(\mathcal{D}(P_n))$. The results are known for $\text{Aut}_K(P_1)$ (easy), $\text{Aut}_K(P_2)$ (Jung [8] and van der Kulk [10]) and $\text{Aut}_K(\mathcal{D}(P_1))$ when $\text{char}(K) = 0$ (Dixmier [7]). Little is known about the groups of automorphisms in the remaining cases.

In characteristic zero, there is a strong connection between the groups $\text{Aut}_K(P_n)$ and $\text{Aut}_K(\mathcal{D}(P_n))$ as, for example, the (essential) equivalence of the Jacobian Conjecture for P_n and the Dixmier Problem/Conjecture for $\mathcal{D}(P_n)$ shows (see [1], [9],

Received by the editors June 4, 2008.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 16W20, 13N10, 16S32.

Key words and phrases. Group of automorphisms, ring of differential operators, the order filtration.

[6]; see also [4]). Moreover, conjectures such as the two mentioned conjectures make sense only for the algebras $P_m \otimes \mathcal{D}(P_n)$ as was proved in [2] (the two conjectures can be reformulated in terms of locally nilpotent derivations that satisfy certain conditions, and the algebras $P_m \otimes \mathcal{D}(P_n)$ are the only associative algebras that have such derivations). This general conjecture is true iff either the JC or the DC is true; see [2].

In prime characteristic, relations between the two groups $\text{Aut}_K(P_n)$ and $\text{Aut}_K(\mathcal{D}(P_n))$ are even tighter, as the following result shows.

Theorem 1.1 ([3], Rigidity of the group $\text{Aut}_K(\mathcal{D}(P_n))$). *Let K be a field of characteristic $p > 0$ and $\sigma, \tau \in \text{Aut}_K(\mathcal{D}(P_n))$. Then $\sigma = \tau$ iff $\sigma(x_1) = \tau(x_1), \dots, \sigma(x_n) = \tau(x_n)$.*

Remark. Theorem 1.1 does not hold in characteristic zero, and, in general, in prime characteristic it does not hold for localizations of the polynomial algebra P_n (Theorem 1.3). Note that the K -algebra $\mathcal{D}(P_n)$ is not finitely generated.

As a direct consequence of Theorem 1.1 there is the corollary (see Section 2 for details).

Corollary 1.2. $\text{Aut}_{\text{ord}}(\mathcal{D}(P_n)) \simeq \text{Aut}_K(P_n)$.

The situation is completely different for the Laurent polynomial algebra L_n .

Theorem 1.3. $\text{Aut}_{\text{ord}}(\mathcal{D}(L_n)) \simeq \mathbb{Z}_p^n \rtimes \text{Aut}_K(L_n)$. *Moreover, each automorphism $\sigma \in \text{Aut}_{\text{ord}}(\mathcal{D}(L_n))$ is a unique product of two automorphisms $\sigma = \sigma_s \tau$ where $\tau \in \text{Aut}_K(L_n)$, $s = (s_i) \in \mathbb{Z}_p^n$, $\sigma_s(x_i) = x_i$ for all i , and*

$$(1) \quad \sigma_s(\partial^{[\alpha]}) = \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{(\partial_i + s_i x_i^{-1})^{\alpha_i}}{\alpha_i!}, \quad \alpha = (\alpha_i) \in \mathbb{N}^n.$$

The meaning of the RHS of (1) is explained in Section 2. Theorem 1.3 gives all the elements of the group $\text{Aut}_{\text{ord}}(\mathcal{D}(L_n))$ explicitly since the group $\text{Aut}_K(L_n)$ is known: it is isomorphic to the semidirect product $\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z}) \rtimes K^{*n}$.

The main idea of the proof of Theorem 1.3 is to show that the group $\text{Aut}_{\text{ord}}(\mathcal{D}(L_n))$ is equal to the group

$$\text{St}(L_n) := \{\sigma \in \text{Aut}_K(\mathcal{D}(L_n)) \mid \sigma(L_n) = L_n\},$$

which is a semidirect product $\text{St}(L_n) = \text{st}(L_n) \rtimes \text{Aut}_K(L_n)$, where

$$\text{st}(L_n) := \{\sigma \in \text{St}(L_n) \mid \sigma|_{L_n} = \text{id}\}$$

is the normal subgroup of $\text{St}(L_n)$. The group $\text{st}(L_n)$ consists of all the automorphisms σ_s , $s \in \mathbb{Z}_p^n$ (see (1)), and the map $\mathbb{Z}_p^n \rightarrow \text{st}(L_n)$, $s \mapsto \sigma_s$, is a group isomorphism ($\sigma_{s+t} = \sigma_s \sigma_t$); see Theorem 2.4.

2. THE GROUP $\text{Aut}_{\text{ord}}(\mathcal{D}(L_n))$

Let R be a commutative K -algebra and $\mathcal{D}(R) = \bigcup_{i \geq 0} \mathcal{D}(R)_i$ be the ring of K -linear differential operators on the algebra R , where $\{\mathcal{D}(R)_i\}_{i \geq 0}$ is the *order filtration* on $\mathcal{D}(R)$. In more detail, $\mathcal{D}(R) \subseteq \text{End}_K(R)$, $\mathcal{D}(R)_0 := \text{End}_R(R) \simeq R$, and

$$\mathcal{D}(R)_i := \{f \in \text{End}_K(R) \mid rf - fr \in \mathcal{D}(R)_{i-1} \text{ for all } r \in R\}, \quad i \geq 1.$$

Let $\text{Aut}_{\text{ord}}(\mathcal{D}(R))$ be the subgroup of $\text{Aut}_K(\mathcal{D}(R))$ of order preserving K -automorphisms, i.e.

$$\text{Aut}_{\text{ord}}(\mathcal{D}(R)) := \{\sigma \in \text{Aut}_K(\mathcal{D}(R)) \mid \sigma(\mathcal{D}(R)_i) = \mathcal{D}(R)_i, i \geq 0\}.$$

Each automorphism $\sigma \in \text{Aut}_K(R)$ can be naturally extended (by change of variables) to a K -automorphism, say σ , of the ring $\mathcal{D}(R)$ of differential operators on the algebra R by the rule

$$(2) \quad \sigma(a) := \sigma a \sigma^{-1}, \quad a \in \mathcal{D}(R).$$

Then the group $\text{Aut}_K(R)$ can be seen as a subgroup of $\text{Aut}_K(\mathcal{D}(R))$ via (2). Then it follows from a definition of the ring $\mathcal{D}(R)$ and the equality $[r, \sigma a \sigma^{-1}] = \sigma[\sigma^{-1}(r), a]\sigma^{-1}$, where $r \in R$, $a \in \mathcal{D}(R)$, that

$$(3) \quad \text{Aut}_K(R) \subseteq \text{Aut}_{\text{ord}}(\mathcal{D}(R)).$$

The stabilizer of the algebra R ,

$$\text{St}(R) := \{\sigma \in \text{Aut}_K(\mathcal{D}(R)) \mid \sigma(R) = R\},$$

is a subgroup of $\text{Aut}_K(\mathcal{D}(R))$. It contains the normal subgroup

$$\text{st}(R) := \{\sigma \in \text{St}(R) \mid \sigma|_R = \text{id}\},$$

which is the kernel of the restriction epimorphism

$$\text{St}(R) \rightarrow \text{Aut}_K(R), \quad \sigma \mapsto \sigma|_R.$$

By (3), the stabilizer of R ,

$$(4) \quad \text{St}(R) = \text{st}(R) \rtimes \text{Aut}_K(R)$$

is the semi-direct product of its subgroups. It is obvious that

$$(5) \quad \text{Aut}_{\text{ord}}(\mathcal{D}(R)) \subseteq \text{St}(R).$$

The ring R is a left $\mathcal{D}(R)$ -module. The action of an element $\delta \in \mathcal{D}(R)$ on an element $r \in R$ is denoted either by $\delta(a)$ or $\delta * r$ (in order to avoid multiple brackets).

Proof of Corollary 1.2. By Theorem 1.1, $\text{st}(P_n) = \{\text{id}\}$. Now the result follows from (3), (4) and (5):

$$\text{Aut}_K(P_n) \subseteq \text{Aut}_{\text{ord}}(\mathcal{D}(P_n)) \subseteq \text{St}(P_n) = \text{st}(P_n) \rtimes \text{Aut}_K(P_n) = \text{Aut}_K(P_n).$$

□

The rings of differential operators $\mathcal{D}(P_n)$ and $\mathcal{D}(L_n)$. The ring $\mathcal{D}(P_n)$ of differential operators on a polynomial algebra $P_n := K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ is a K -algebra generated by the elements $x_1, \dots, x_n$ and *commuting* higher derivations $\partial_i^{[k]} := \frac{\partial^k}{k!}$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, $k \geq 1$, that satisfy the following defining relations:

$$(6) \quad [x_i, x_j] = 0, \quad [\partial_i^{[k]}, \partial_j^{[l]}] = 0, \quad \partial_i^{[k]} \partial_i^{[l]} = \binom{k+l}{k} \partial_i^{[k+l]}, \quad [\partial_i^{[k]}, x_j] = \delta_{ij} \partial_i^{[k-1]},$$

for all $i, j = 1, \dots, n$, $k, l \geq 1$, where δ_{ij} is the Kronecker delta, $\partial_i^{[0]} := 1$, $\partial_i^{[-1]} := 0$, and $\partial_i^{[1]} = \partial_i = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \in \text{Der}_K(P_n)$, $i = 1, \dots, n$. The action of the higher derivation $\partial_i^{[k]}$ on the polynomial algebra

$$P_n = K \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_n] \simeq K \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}_p[x_1, \dots, x_n]$$

should be understood as the action of the element $1 \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \frac{\partial^k}{k!}$.

The algebra $\mathcal{D}(P_n)$ is a *simple* algebra. Note that the algebra $\mathcal{D}(P_n)$ is not finitely generated, is not (left or right) Noetherian, and does not satisfy finitely many defining relations. This is in contrast to the characteristic zero case. We have

$$\mathcal{D}(P_n) = \bigoplus_{\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}^n} Kx^\alpha \partial^{[\beta]} \subset \mathcal{D}(L_n) = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^n, \beta \in \mathbb{N}^n} Kx^\alpha \partial^{[\beta]}.$$

For each $i = 1, \dots, n$ and $j \in \mathbb{N}$ written p -adically as $j = \sum_k j_k p^k$, $0 \leq j_k < p$,

$$(7) \quad \partial_i^{[j]} = \prod_k \partial_i^{[j_k p^k]} = \prod_k \frac{\partial_i^{[p^k]j_k}}{j_k!}, \quad \partial_i^{[j_k p^k]} = \frac{\partial_i^{[p^k]j_k}}{j_k!},$$

where $\partial_i^{[p^k]j_k} := (\partial_i^{[p^k]})^{j_k}$. For $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n$ and $\beta \in \mathbb{Z}^n$,

$$(8) \quad \partial^{[\alpha]} * x^\beta = \binom{\beta}{\alpha} x^{\beta-\alpha}, \quad \binom{\beta}{\alpha} := \prod_i \binom{\beta_i}{\alpha_i}.$$

For $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}^n$,

$$(9) \quad \partial^{[\alpha]} \partial^{[\beta]} = \binom{\alpha + \beta}{\beta} \partial^{[\alpha + \beta]}.$$

The binomial differential operators. For each natural number i , the binomial polynomial

$$\binom{t}{i} := \frac{t(t-1) \cdots (t-i+1)}{i!}, \quad \binom{t}{0} := 1,$$

can be seen as a function from $\mathbb{Z}_p$ to $\mathbb{Z}_p$. For each p -adic integer $s \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ and a natural number $i \in \mathbb{N}$, we have the differential operator on the $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -algebra $\mathbb{Z}_p[x^{\pm 1}] := \mathbb{Z}_p[x, x^{-1}]$ of Laurent polynomials with coefficients from $\mathbb{Z}_p$:

$$(10) \quad \frac{(\partial + sx^{-1})^i}{i!} = x^{-i} \frac{(x\partial + s)(x\partial + s-1) \cdots (x\partial + s-i+1)}{i!} \\ = x^{-i} \binom{x\partial + s}{i} \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{Z}_p[x^{\pm 1}]),$$

where $\partial := \frac{d}{dx} \in \text{Der}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(\mathbb{Z}_p[x^{\pm 1}])$. Let $\mathcal{L}_n := \mathbb{Z}_p[x_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, x_n^{\pm 1}]$ be the Laurent polynomial ring in n variables with coefficients from $\mathbb{Z}_p$ and let $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{L}_n)$ be the ring of $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -linear differential operators on the ring $\mathcal{L}_n$. Then, for any elements $\alpha = (\alpha_i) \in \mathbb{N}^n$ and $s = (s_i) \in \mathbb{Z}_p^n$, there is the following differential operator on the algebra $\mathcal{L}_n$:

$$(11) \quad b_s^{[\alpha]} := \prod_{i=1}^n x_i^{-\alpha_i} \binom{x_i \partial_i + s_i}{\alpha_i} = \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{(\partial_i + s_i x_i^{-1})^{\alpha_i}}{\alpha_i!} \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{L}_n).$$

The inclusions of abelian monoids $\mathbb{N}^n \subset \mathbb{Z}^n \subset \mathbb{Z}_p^n$ yield the inclusions of their monoid rings

$$\mathcal{N}_n := \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n} \mathbb{Z}_p x^\alpha \subset \mathcal{L}_n \subset \mathcal{M}_n := \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_p^n} \mathbb{Z}_p x^\alpha,$$

and the inclusions of rings

$$\mathcal{A}_n := \bigoplus_{\beta \in \mathbb{N}^n} \mathcal{N}_n \partial^{[\beta]} \subset \mathcal{B}_n := \bigoplus_{\beta \in \mathbb{N}^n} \mathcal{L}_n \partial^{[\beta]} \subset \mathcal{C}_n := \bigoplus_{\beta \in \mathbb{N}^n} \mathcal{M}_n \partial^{[\beta]} \subset \text{End}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(\mathcal{M}_n),$$

where $\partial^{[\beta]} = \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial^{\beta_i}}{\beta_i!}$. For any elements $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_p^n$ and $\beta \in \mathbb{N}^n$,

$$\partial^{[\beta]} * x^\alpha = \binom{\alpha}{\beta} x^{\alpha-\beta}, \text{ where } \binom{\alpha}{\beta} := \prod_{i=1}^n \binom{\alpha_i}{\beta_i}.$$

The prime number p belongs to the centre of each of the rings $\mathcal{A}_n, \mathcal{B}_n$ and $\mathcal{C}_n$. Taking factor rings modulo the ideals generated by the element p in each of the rings, we obtain the inclusions of $\mathbb{F}_p$ -algebras:

$$\bigoplus_{\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}^n} \mathbb{F}_p x^\alpha \partial^{[\beta]} \subset \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_p^n, \beta \in \mathbb{N}^n} \mathbb{F}_p x^\alpha \partial^{[\beta]} \subset \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_p^n, \beta \in \mathbb{N}^n} \mathbb{F}_p x^\alpha \partial^{[\beta]} \subset \text{End}_{\mathbb{F}_p} \left(\bigoplus_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_p^n} \mathbb{F}_p x^\alpha \right).$$

Then, applying $K \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} -$, we obtain the inclusions of rings

$$\mathcal{D}(P_n) \subset \mathcal{D}(L_n) \subset \mathcal{D}_n := \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_p^n, \beta \in \mathbb{N}^n} K x^\alpha \partial^{[\beta]}.$$

For each element $s \in \mathbb{Z}_p^n$, the inner automorphism $\omega_{x^{-s}} : a \mapsto x^{-s} a x^s$ of the ring $\mathcal{C}_n$ acts trivially on the ring $\mathcal{M}_n$, and, for each element $\beta \in \mathbb{N}^n$,

$$(12) \quad \omega_{x^{-s}}(\partial^{[\beta]}) = b_s^{[\beta]}.$$

Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_{x^{-s}}(\partial^{[\beta]}) &= \prod_{i=1}^n x_i^{-s_i} \partial_i^{[\beta_i]} x_i^{s_i} = \prod_{i=1}^n x_i^{-s_i} x_i^{-\beta_i} \frac{x_i^{\beta_i} \partial_i^{\beta_i}}{\beta_i!} x_i^{s_i} = \prod_{i=1}^n x_i^{-\beta_i} x_i^{-s_i} \binom{x_i \partial_i}{\beta_i} x_i^{s_i} \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^n x_i^{-\beta_i} \binom{x_i \partial_i + s_i}{\beta_i} x_i^{-s_i} x_i^{s_i} = b_s^{[\beta]}. \end{aligned}$$

The inner automorphism $\omega_{x^{-s}} : b \mapsto x^{-s} b x^s$ of the ring $\mathcal{D}_n$ is the restriction modulo p of the inner automorphism $\omega_{x^{-s}} : a \mapsto x^{-s} a x^s$ of the ring $\mathcal{C}_n$. It is obvious that

$$\omega_{x^{-s}}(\mathcal{D}(L_n)) = \mathcal{D}(L_n).$$

Let σ_s be the restriction of the inner automorphism $\omega_{x^{-s}}$ of the ring $\mathcal{D}_n$ to the subring $\mathcal{D}(L_n)$. Then the automorphism σ_s is as in (1) and $\sigma_s \in \text{st}(L_n)$.

It is obvious that the map

$$(13) \quad \mathbb{Z}_p^n \rightarrow \text{st}(L_n), \quad s \mapsto \sigma_s,$$

is a group monomorphism. In fact, this map is an isomorphism; see Theorem 2.4. Before giving the proof of Theorem 2.4, we need to prove some more results.

Lemma 2.1. *The kernel of the $\mathbb{F}_p$ -linear map $\partial_i^{p-1} + F$ acting on the ring L_n is $\mathbb{F}_p x_i^{-1}$, where $F : a \mapsto a^p$ is the Frobenius map on L_n .*

Proof. First, let us consider the case when $i = n = 1$. We drop the subscript 1 in this case. Let $s = \lambda x^j + \dots \in K[x^{\pm 1}]$ be a nonzero element of the kernel of the $\mathbb{F}_p$ -linear map $\partial^{p-1} + F$, where λx^j is its least term, $0 \neq \lambda \in K$ (the map $\partial_i^{p-1} + F$ is $\mathbb{F}_p$ -linear since $F(\mu) = \mu^p = \mu$ for all $\mu \in \mathbb{F}_p$). Then

$$0 = (\partial^{p-1} + F)(\lambda x^j) = \lambda j(j-1) \cdots (j-p+2) x^{j-p+1} + \lambda^p x^{pj},$$

and so $j - p + 1 = pj$ and $\lambda j(j-1) \cdots (j-p+2) + \lambda^p = 0$. The first equality yields $j = -1$. Then the second can be written as $\lambda^p - \lambda = 0$ since $(p-1)! \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$. Therefore, $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_p$ and the set $\mathbb{F}_p x^{-1}$ belongs to the kernel of the map $\partial^{p-1} + F$. The least term of the element $s - \lambda x^{-1}$ of the kernel of the map $\partial^{p-1} + F$

has to be zero by the above argument, and so $s - \lambda x^{-1} = 0$. This proves that $\ker(\partial^{p-1} + F) = \mathbb{F}_p x^{-1}$. Now, the general case follows from this special one since $L_n \subset K(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n)[x_i^{\pm 1}]$. $\square$

Let R be a ring. The *first Weyl algebra* $A_1(R)$ over R is a ring generated over R by two elements x and ∂ that satisfy the defining relation $\partial x - x\partial = 1$.

Theorem 2.2 ([5]). *Let K be a reduced commutative $\mathbb{F}_p$ -algebra and $A_1(K)$ be the first Weyl algebra over K . Then*

$$(\partial + f)^p = \partial^p + \frac{d^{p-1}f}{dx^{p-1}} + f^p$$

for all $f \in K[x]$. In more detail, $(\partial + f)^p = \partial^p - \lambda_{p-1} + f^p$, where $f = \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \lambda_i x^i \in K[x] = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{p-1} K[x^p]x^i$, $\lambda_i \in K[x^p]$.

Corollary 2.3. *For each element $f \in L_n$ and $i = 1, \dots, n$, the equality*

$$(\partial_i + f)^p = \frac{\partial^{p-1}f}{\partial x_i^{p-1}} + f^p$$

holds in the ring $\mathcal{D}(L_n)$.

Proof. Let L be the subalgebra $K[x_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, x_{i-1}^{\pm 1}, x_i^{\pm p}, x_{i+1}^{\pm 1}, \dots, x_n^{\pm 1}]$ of the algebra L_n . Then $L_n = \bigoplus_{j=0}^{p-1} Lx_i^j$. Consider the L -algebra homomorphism

$$A_1(L) := L\langle x, \partial \rangle \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(L_n), \quad x \mapsto x_i, \quad \partial \mapsto \partial_i, \quad l \mapsto l,$$

where $l \in L$. Now, the result follows from Theorem 2.2 since $\partial_i^p = 0$. $\square$

$\Delta_n := \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n} K\partial^{[\alpha]}$ is the algebra of scalar differential operators on P_n .

Theorem 2.4. *The map (13) is an isomorphism.*

Proof. It suffices to show that, for each automorphism $\sigma \in \text{st}(L_n)$,

$$\cdots \sigma_{p^k s_k} \cdots \sigma_{ps_1} \sigma_{s_0} \sigma = 1$$

for some elements $s_k = (s_{k1}, \dots, s_{kn}) \in \{0, 1, \dots, p-1\}^n$. Note that for all $k \geq 0$ and $i = 1, \dots, n$,

$$(14) \quad \sigma_{p^k s_k}(\partial_i^{[p^s]}) = \partial_i^{[p^s]}, \quad s < k; \quad \sigma_{p^k s_k}(\partial_i^{[p^k]}) = \partial_i^{[p^s k]} + s_{ki} x_i^{-p^k}.$$

Note that the centralizer $C(\mathcal{D}(L_n), x_1, \dots, x_n)$ of the elements $x_1, \dots, x_n$ in the ring $\mathcal{D}(L_n)$ satisfies

$$C(\mathcal{D}(L_n), x_1, \dots, x_n) := \bigcap_{i=1}^n \ker(\text{ad}(x_i)) = L_n,$$

where $\text{ad}(x_i)$ is the inner derivation of the ring $\mathcal{D}(L_n)$ determined by the element x_i . For all indices i and j ,

$$[\sigma(\partial_i) - \partial_i, x_j] = \sigma([\partial_i, x_j]) - [\partial_i, x_j] = \delta_{ij} - \delta_{ij} = 0;$$

hence $a_i := \sigma(\partial_i) - \partial_i \in L_n$. Using Corollary 2.3, we see that

$$0 = \sigma(\partial_i^p) = (\partial_i + a_i)^p = (\partial_i^{p-1} + F)(a_i),$$

and so $a_i = -s_{0i} x_i^{-1}$ for some elements $s_{0i} \in \mathbb{F}_p$, by Lemma 2.1. Abusing the notation we assume in this proof that $\mathbb{F}_p = \{0, 1, \dots, p-1\}$. Let $s_0 := (s_{01}, \dots, s_{0n})$.

Then $\sigma_{s_0}\sigma(\partial_i) = \partial_i$ for all i . Suppose that $k > 0$, and we have found vectors $s_0, \dots, s_{k-1} \in \mathbb{F}_p^n$ such that

$$\sigma_{p^{k-1}s_{k-1}} \cdots \sigma_{ps_1} \sigma_{s_0} \sigma(\partial_i^{[p^s]}) = \partial_i^{[p^s]}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n, \quad 0 \leq s \leq k-1.$$

In order to finish the proof of the claim by induction on k , we have to find a vector $s_k \in \mathbb{F}_p^n$ such that the above equalities hold for k rather than $k-1$. Let $\tau := \sigma_{p^{k-1}s_{k-1}} \cdots \sigma_{ps_1} \sigma_{s_0} \sigma$. For all i and j ,

$$[\tau(\partial_i^{[p^k]}) - \partial_i^{[p^k]}, x_j^{p^k}] = \tau([\partial_i^{[p^k]}, x_j^{p^k}]) - [\partial_i^{[p^k]}, x_j^{p^k}] = \delta_{ij} - \delta_{ij} = 0;$$

hence

$$b_i := \tau(\partial_i^{[p^k]}) - \partial_i^{[p^k]} \in C(\mathcal{D}(L_n), x_1^{p^k}, \dots, x_n^{p^k}) = L_n \otimes \Delta_{n, < p^k},$$

where $\Delta_{n, < p^k} = \bigoplus_{\text{all } \alpha_i < p^k} K \partial^{[\alpha]}$. For all $i \neq j$,

$$0 = \tau([\partial_i^{[p^k]}, x_j]) = [\partial_i^{[p^k]} + b_i, x_j] = [b_i, x_j],$$

and so $b_i \in \sum_{s < p^k} L_n \partial_i^{[s]}$. Now,

$$\partial_i^{[p^k-1]} = \tau(\partial_i^{[p^k-1]}) = \tau([\partial_i^{[p^k]}, x_i]) = [\partial_i^{[p^k]} + b_i, x_i] = \partial_i^{[p^k-1]} + [b_i, x_i];$$

hence $b_i \in L_n$. For all i and j , and $s < k$,

$$0 = \tau([\partial_i^{[p^k]}, \partial_j^{[p^s]}]) = [\partial_i^{[p^k]} + b_i, \partial_j^{[p^s]}] = [b_i, \partial_j^{[p^s]}],$$

and so $b_i \in K[x_1^{\pm p^k}, \dots, x_n^{\pm p^k}]$. Finally,

$$0 = \tau(\partial_i^{[p^k]p}) = (\partial_i^{[p^k]} + b_i)^p = (\partial_i^{[p^k](p-1)} + F)(b_i);$$

hence $b_i = -s_{ki}x_i^{p^k}$ for some elements $s_{ki} \in \mathbb{F}_p$, by Lemma 2.1. Let $s_k = (s_{k1}, \dots, s_{kn})$. Then

$$\sigma_{p^k s_k} \cdots \sigma_{ps_1} \sigma_{s_0} \sigma(\partial_i^{[p^s]}) = \partial_i^{[p^s]}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n, \quad 0 \leq s \leq k.$$

This finishes the proof of the theorem. $\square$

Corollary 2.5. $\text{Aut}_{\text{ord}}(\mathcal{D}(L_n)) = \text{St}(L_n)$.

Proof. The inclusion $\text{Aut}_{\text{ord}}(\mathcal{D}(L_n)) \subseteq \text{St}(L_n)$ is obvious; see (5). The inverse inclusion follows from the facts that $\text{St}(L_n) = \text{st}(L_n) \rtimes \text{Aut}_K(L_n)$, $\text{st}(L_n) \subseteq \text{Aut}_{\text{ord}}(\mathcal{D}(L_n))$ (by Theorem 2.4) and $\text{Aut}_K(L_n) \subseteq \text{Aut}_{\text{ord}}(\mathcal{D}(L_n))$; see (3). $\square$

Proof of Theorem 1.3. This follows from Corollary 2.5, (4) and Theorem 2.4:

$$\text{Aut}_{\text{ord}}(\mathcal{D}(L_n)) = \text{St}(L_n) = \text{st}(L_n) \rtimes \text{Aut}_K(L_n) \simeq \mathbb{Z}_p^n \rtimes \text{Aut}_K(L_n).$$

$\square$

REFERENCES

- [1] H. Bass, E. H. Connell and D. Wright, The Jacobian Conjecture: Reduction of degree and formal expansion of the inverse, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (New Series)*, **7** (1982), 287–330. MR663785 (83k:14028)
- [2] V. V. Bavula, The inversion formulae for automorphisms of polynomial algebras and differential operators in prime characteristic, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, **212** (2008), 2320–2337. MR2426512
- [3] V. V. Bavula, Extensions of the Frobenius to ring of differential operators on polynomial algebra in prime characteristic, arXiv:math.RA/0804.1091.

- [4] V. V. Bavula, The Jacobian Conjecture_{2n} implies the Dixmier Problem_n, arXiv:math.RA/0512250.
- [5] V. V. Bavula, The group of automorphisms of the first Weyl algebra in prime characteristic and the restriction map, *Glasgow Math. J.*, to appear (arXiv:math.RA/0708.1620).
- [6] A. Belov-Kanel and M. Kontsevich, The Jacobian conjecture is stably equivalent to the Dixmier Conjecture, *Mosc. Math. J.*, **7** (2007), no. 2, 209–218. MR2337879
- [7] J. Dixmier, Sur les algèbres de Weyl. *Bull. Soc. Math. France*, **96** (1968), 209–242. MR0242897 (39:4224)
- [8] H. W. E. Jung, Über ganze birationale Transformationen der Ebene, *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, **184** (1942), 161–174. MR0008915 (5:74f)
- [9] Y. Tsuchimoto, Endomorphisms of Weyl algebra and p -curvatures. *Osaka J. Math.*, **42** (2005), no. 2, 435–452. MR2147727 (2006g:14101)
- [10] W. van der Kulk, On polynomial rings in two variables, *Nieuw. Arch. Wisk.* (3) 1 (1953), 33–41. MR0054574 (14:941f)

DEPARTMENT OF PURE MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF SHEFFIELD, HICKS BUILDING, SHEFFIELD S3 7RH, UNITED KINGDOM

E-mail address: v.bavula@sheffield.ac.uk