

ON THE COMPLETENESS OF GRADIENT RICCI SOLITONS

ZHU-HONG ZHANG

(Communicated by Richard A. Wentworth)

ABSTRACT. A gradient Ricci soliton is a triple (M, g, f) satisfying $R_{ij} + \nabla_i \nabla_j f = \lambda g_{ij}$ for some real number λ . In this paper, we will show that the completeness of the metric g implies that of the vector field ∇f .

1. INTRODUCTION

Definition 1.1. Let (M, g, X) be a smooth Riemannian manifold with X a smooth vector field. We call M a Ricci soliton if $Ric + \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{L}_X g = \lambda g$ for some real number λ . It is called shrinking when $\lambda > 0$, steady when $\lambda = 0$, and expanding when $\lambda < 0$. If (M, g, f) is a smooth Riemannian manifold, where f is a smooth function such that $(M, g, \nabla f)$ is a Ricci soliton, i.e. $R_{ij} + \nabla_i \nabla_j f = \lambda g_{ij}$, we call (M, g, f) a gradient Ricci soliton and f the soliton function.

On the other hand, one has the following definition (see chapter 2 of [3]).

Definition 1.2. Let $(M, g(t), X)$ be a smooth Riemannian manifold with a solution $g(t)$ of the Ricci flow on a time interval (a, b) containing 0, where X is a smooth vector field. We call $(M, g(t), X)$ a self-similar solution if there exist scalars $\sigma(t)$ such that $g(t) = \sigma(t)\varphi_t^*(g_0)$, where the diffeomorphism φ_t is generated by X . If the vector field X comes from a gradient of a smooth function f , then we call $(M, g(t), f)$ a gradient self-similar solution.

It is easy to see that if $(M, g(t), f)$ is a complete gradient self-similar solution, then $(M, g(0), f)$ must be a complete gradient Ricci soliton. Conversely, when (M, g, f) is a complete gradient Ricci soliton and, in addition, the vector field ∇f is complete, it is well known (see for example Theorem 4.1 of [2]) that there is a complete gradient self-similar solution $(M, g(t), f)$, $t \in (a, b)$ (with $0 \in (a, b)$), such that $g(0) = g$. Here we say that a vector field ∇f is complete if it generates a family of diffeomorphisms φ_t of M for $t \in (a, b)$.

So when the vector field is complete, the definitions of gradient Ricci soliton and gradient self-similar solution are equivalent. In the literature, people sometimes confuse the gradient Ricci solitons with the gradient self-similar solutions. Indeed, if the gradient Ricci soliton has bounded curvature, then it is not hard to see that the vector field ∇f is complete. But, in general, the soliton does not have bounded curvature.

Received by the editors September 22, 2008, and, in revised form, December 30, 2008.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 53C20.

Key words and phrases. Completeness, gradient Ricci soliton, gradient self-similar solution.

The author was supported in part by NSFC 10831008 and NKBRPC 2006CB805905.

©2009 American Mathematical Society
 Reverts to public domain 28 years from publication

The purpose of this paper is to show that the completeness of the metric g of a gradient Ricci soliton (M, g, f) implies that of the vector field ∇f , even though the soliton does not have bounded curvature. Our main result is the following.

Theorem 1.3. *Let (M, g, f) be a gradient Ricci soliton. Suppose the metric g is complete. Then we have:*

- (i) ∇f is complete;
- (ii) $R \geq 0$ if the soliton is steady or shrinking;
- (iii) $\exists C \geq 0$, such that $R \geq -C$ if the soliton is expanding.

Indeed, we will show that the vector field ∇f grows at most linearly and so it is integrable. Hence Definitions 1.1 and 1.2 are equivalent when the metric is complete.

2. GRADIENT RICCI SOLITONS

Let (M, g, f) be a gradient Ricci soliton, i.e., $R_{ij} + \nabla_i \nabla_j f = \lambda g_{ij}$. By using the contracted second Bianchi identity we get the equation $R + |\nabla f|^2 - 2\lambda f = \text{const}$.

Definition 2.1. Let (M, g, f) be a gradient shrinking or expanding soliton. By rescaling g and changing f by a constant we can assume $\lambda \in \{-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\}$ and $R + |\nabla f|^2 - 2\lambda f = 0$. We call such a soliton normalized, and we call f a normalized soliton function.

Proposition 2.2. *Let (M, g, f) be a gradient Ricci soliton. Fix p on M and define $d(x) \triangleq d(p, x)$. Then the following hold:*

- (i) $\Delta R = \langle \nabla f, \nabla R \rangle + 2\lambda R - |\text{Ric}|^2$.
- (ii) Suppose $\text{Ric} \leq (n-1)K$ on $B_{r_0}(p)$, for some positive numbers r_0 and K . Then for an arbitrary point x , outside $B_{r_0}(p)$, we have

$$\Delta d - \langle \nabla f, \nabla d \rangle \leq -\lambda d(x) + (n-1) \left\{ \frac{2}{3} K r_0 + r_0^{-1} \right\} + |\nabla f|(p).$$

Proof. (i) By using the soliton equation and the contracted second Bianchi identity $\nabla_i R = 2g^{jk} \nabla_j R_{ik}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta R &= g^{ij} \nabla_i \nabla_j R = g^{ij} \nabla_i (2g^{kl} R_{jk} \nabla_l f) = 2g^{ij} g^{kl} \nabla_i (R_{jk} \nabla_l f) \\ &= 2g^{ij} g^{kl} \nabla_i (R_{jk}) \nabla_l f + 2g^{ij} g^{kl} R_{jk} \nabla_i \nabla_l f \\ &= g^{kl} \nabla_k R \nabla_l f + 2g^{ij} g^{kl} R_{jk} (\lambda g_{il} - R_{il}) \\ &= \langle \nabla f, \nabla R \rangle + 2\lambda R - 2|\text{Ric}|^2. \end{aligned}$$

(ii) Let $\gamma : [0, d(x)] \rightarrow M$ be a shortest normal geodesic from p to x . We may assume that x and p are not conjugate to each other; otherwise, we can understand the differential inequality in the barrier sense. Let $\{\dot{\gamma}(0), e_1, \dots, e_{n-1}\}$ be an orthonormal basis of $T_p M$. Extend this basis parallel along γ to form a parallel orthonormal basis $\{\dot{\gamma}(t), e_1(t), \dots, e_{n-1}(t)\}$ along γ .

Let $X_i(t)$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$, be the Jacobian fields along γ with $X_i(0) = 0$ and $X_i(d(x)) = e_i(d(x))$. Then it is well-known that (see for example [4])

$$\Delta d(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \int_0^{d(x)} [|\dot{X}_i|^2 - R(\dot{\gamma}, X_i, \dot{\gamma}, X_i)] dt.$$

Define vector fields Y_i , $i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$, along γ as follows:

$$Y_i(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{t}{r_0} e_i(t), & \text{if } t \in [0, r_0]; \\ e_i(t), & \text{if } t \in [r_0, d(x)]. \end{cases}$$

Then by using the standard index comparison theorem we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta d(x) &= \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \int_0^{d(x)} [|\dot{X}_i|^2 - R(\dot{\gamma}, X_i, \dot{\gamma}, X_i)] dt \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \int_0^{d(x)} [|\dot{Y}_i|^2 - R(\dot{\gamma}, Y_i, \dot{\gamma}, Y_i)] dt \\ &= \int_0^{r_0} \left[\frac{n-1}{r_0^2} - \frac{t^2}{r_0^2} Ric(\dot{\gamma}, \dot{\gamma}) \right] dt + \int_{r_0}^{d(x)} [-Ric(\dot{\gamma}, \dot{\gamma})] dt \\ &= - \int_0^{d(x)} Ric(\dot{\gamma}, \dot{\gamma}) dt + \int_0^{r_0} \left[\frac{n-1}{r_0^2} + \left(1 - \frac{t^2}{r_0^2}\right) Ric(\dot{\gamma}, \dot{\gamma}) \right] dt \\ &\leq - \int_{\gamma} Ric(\dot{\gamma}, \dot{\gamma}) dt + (n-1) \left\{ \frac{2}{3} K r_0 + r_0^{-1} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\langle \nabla f, \nabla d \rangle(x) = \nabla_{\dot{\gamma}} f(x) = \int_0^{d(x)} \left(\frac{d}{dt} \nabla_{\dot{\gamma}} f \right) dt + \nabla_{\dot{\gamma}} f(p) \geq \int_{\gamma} (\nabla_{\dot{\gamma}} \nabla_{\dot{\gamma}} f) dt - |\nabla f|(p).$$

Using the soliton equation, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta d - \langle \nabla f, \nabla d \rangle &\leq - \int_{\gamma} [Ric(\dot{\gamma}, \dot{\gamma}) + \nabla_{\dot{\gamma}} \nabla_{\dot{\gamma}} f] dt + (n-1) \left\{ \frac{2}{3} K r_0 + r_0^{-1} \right\} + |\nabla f|(p) \\ &= -\lambda d(x) + (n-1) \left\{ \frac{2}{3} K r_0 + r_0^{-1} \right\} + |\nabla f|(p). \end{aligned}$$

□

Now we are ready to prove Theorem 1.3.

Proof. Fix a point p on M , and define $d(x) \triangleq d(p, x)$. We divide the argument into three steps.

Step 1. We want to prove a curvature estimate in the following assertion.

Claim. For any gradient Ricci soliton, we have:

- (i) If the soliton is shrinking or steady, then $R \geq 0$.
- (ii) If the soliton is expanding, then there exists a nonnegative constant $C = C(n)$ such that $R \geq \lambda C$.

We only prove the case (i), $\lambda \geq 0$. Note that there is a positive constant r_0 , such that $Ric \leq (n-1)r_0^{-2}$ on $B_{r_0}(p)$, and $|\nabla f|(p) \leq (n-1)r_0^{-1}$. Then by Proposition 2.2, we have

$$\Delta d - \langle \nabla f, \nabla d \rangle \leq \frac{8}{3} (n-1) r_0^{-1},$$

for any $x \notin B_{r_0}(p)$.

For any fixed constant $A > 2$, we consider the function $u(x) = \varphi\left(\frac{d(x)}{A r_0}\right) R(x)$, where φ is a fixed smooth nonnegative decreasing function such that $\varphi = 1$ on $(-\infty, \frac{1}{2}]$, and $\varphi = 0$ on $[1, \infty)$.

Then by Proposition 2.2, we have

$$\Delta u = R\Delta\varphi + \varphi\Delta R + 2\langle\nabla\varphi, \nabla R\rangle$$

$$= R(\varphi'' \frac{1}{(Ar_0)^2} + \varphi' \frac{1}{Ar_0} \Delta d) + \varphi(\langle\nabla f, \nabla R\rangle + 2\lambda R - |Ric|^2) + 2\langle\nabla\varphi, \nabla R\rangle.$$

If $\min_{x \in M} u \geq 0$, then $R \geq 0$ on $B_{\frac{1}{2}Ar_0}(p)$. Otherwise, $\min_{x \in M} u < 0$. Then there exists some point $x_1 \in B_{Ar_0}(p)$ such that $u(x_1) = \varphi R(x_1) = \min_{x \in M} u < 0$. Because $u(x_1)$ is the minimum of the function $u(x)$, we have $\varphi' R(x_1) > 0$, $\nabla u(x_1) = 0$, and $\Delta u(x_1) \geq 0$.

Let us first consider the case that $x_1 \notin B_{r_0}(p)$. Then by direct computation, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta u(x_1) &= (\frac{\varphi''}{\varphi} \frac{1}{(Ar_0)^2} + \frac{\varphi'}{\varphi} \frac{1}{Ar_0} \Delta d)u(x_1) - \frac{\varphi'}{\varphi} \frac{1}{Ar_0} \langle\nabla f, \nabla d\rangle u(x_1) \\ &\quad + 2\lambda u(x_1) - \varphi|Ric|^2 - \frac{\varphi'^2}{\varphi^2} \frac{2}{(Ar_0)^2} u(x_1) \\ &\leq (\frac{\varphi''}{\varphi} \frac{1}{(Ar_0)^2} - \frac{\varphi'^2}{\varphi^2} \frac{2}{(Ar_0)^2})u(x_1) - \frac{2}{n}\varphi R^2 \\ &\quad + \frac{\varphi'}{\varphi} \frac{1}{Ar_0} u(x_1)(\Delta d - \langle\nabla f, \nabla d\rangle). \\ &\leq (\frac{\varphi''}{\varphi} \frac{1}{(Ar_0)^2} - \frac{\varphi'^2}{\varphi^2} \frac{2}{(Ar_0)^2})u(x_1) - \frac{2}{n}\frac{1}{\varphi} u(x_1)^2 \\ &\quad + \frac{8}{3}(n-1)\frac{\varphi'}{\varphi} \frac{1}{Ar_0^2} u(x_1) \\ &= \frac{u(x_1)}{\varphi} \left\{ (\varphi'' \frac{1}{(Ar_0)^2} - \frac{\varphi'^2}{\varphi} \frac{2}{(Ar_0)^2}) + \frac{8}{3}(n-1)\varphi' \frac{1}{Ar_0^2} - \frac{2}{n}u(x_1) \right\} \\ &\leq \frac{|u(x_1)|}{\varphi} \left\{ \frac{\varphi'^2}{\varphi} \frac{2}{Ar_0^2} + \frac{8(n-1)}{3}(-\varphi') \frac{1}{Ar_0^2} + |\varphi''| \frac{1}{Ar_0^2} - \frac{2}{n}|u(x_1)| \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that there exists a constant $\tilde{C} = \tilde{C}(\varphi)$ such that $|\varphi'| \leq \tilde{C}$, $\frac{\varphi'^2}{\varphi} \leq \tilde{C}$, and $|\varphi''| \leq \tilde{C}$. So

$$|u(x_1)| \leq \frac{C}{Ar_0^2},$$

where the constant $C = C(\varphi, n)$, i.e., $R \geq -\frac{C}{Ar_0^2}$ on $B_{\frac{1}{2}Ar_0}(p)$.

We now consider the remaining case that $x_1 \in B_{r_0}(p)$. Then $\varphi'(x_1) = \varphi''(x_1) = 0$, and we have

$$\Delta u(x_1) = 2\lambda u(x_1) - \varphi|Ric|^2 \leq |u(x_1)|[-2\lambda - \frac{2}{n}|u(x_1)|].$$

Since $\lambda \geq 0$, we have $|u(x_1)| \leq 0$, i.e., $u(x_1) = 0$. This is a contradiction.

Combining the above two cases, we have $R \geq -\frac{C}{Ar_0^2}$ on $B_{\frac{1}{2}Ar_0}(p)$ for any $A > 2$, which implies that $R \geq 0$ on M .

The proof of (ii) is similar.

Step 2. We next want to show that the gradient field grows at most linearly.

Claim. For any gradient Ricci soliton, there exist constants a and b depending only on the soliton, such that

$$(i) \quad |\nabla f|(x) \leq |\lambda|d(x) + a;$$

$$(ii) |f|(x) \leq \frac{|\lambda|}{2}d(x)^2 + ad(x) + b.$$

For any point x on M , we connect p and x by a shortest normal geodesic $\gamma(t), t \in [0, d(x)]$.

We first consider that the soliton is steady. Then $R \geq 0$ and $R + |\nabla f|^2 = C \geq 0$, so we have $|\nabla f| \leq \sqrt{C}$.

Secondly, we consider that the soliton is shrinking. Without loss of generality, we may assume the soliton is normalized. So $R \geq 0$ and $R + |\nabla f|^2 - f = 0$; these imply $f \geq |\nabla f|^2$. Let $h(t) = f(\gamma(t))$. Then

$$|h'(t)| = |\langle \nabla f, \dot{\gamma} \rangle|(t) \leq |\nabla f|(\gamma(t)) \leq \sqrt{f(\gamma(t))} = \sqrt{h(t)}.$$

By integrating the above inequality, we get $|\sqrt{h(d(x))} - \sqrt{h(0)}| \leq \frac{1}{2}d(x)$. Thus $|\nabla f|(x) \leq \frac{1}{2}d(x) + \sqrt{f(p)}$.

Finally, we consider that the soliton is expanding. Similarly we only need to show the normalized case. So $R \geq -\frac{C}{2}$ and $R + |\nabla f|^2 + f = 0$. We obtain $-f + \frac{C}{2} \geq |\nabla f|^2$. Let $h(t) = -f(\gamma(t)) + \frac{C}{2}$. Thus

$$|h'(t)| = |\langle \nabla f, \dot{\gamma} \rangle|(t) \leq |\nabla f|(\gamma(t)) \leq \sqrt{h(t)}.$$

By integrating the above inequality, we get $|\sqrt{h(d(x))} - \sqrt{h(0)}| \leq \frac{1}{2}d(x)$. Thus $|\nabla f|(x) \leq \frac{1}{2}d(x) + \sqrt{-f(p) + \frac{C}{2}}$.

Therefore we have proved (i).

The conclusion (ii) follows from (i) immediately.

Step 3. Since the gradient field ∇f grows at most linearly, it must be integrable. Thus we have proved Theorem 1.3. □

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I would like to thank my advisor, Professor X.P. Zhu, for many helpful suggestions and discussions.

REFERENCES

1. S. Bando, *On three-dimensional compact Kähler manifolds of nonnegative bisectional curvature*, J. Diff. Geom. **19** (1984), 283-297. MR755227 (86i:53042)
2. B. Chow, P. Lu, and L. Ni, *Hamilton's Ricci flow*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2006.
3. B. Chow, and D. Knopf, *The Ricci Flow: An Introduction*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, vol. 110, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2004. MR2061425 (2005e:53101)
4. R. Schoen and S. T. Yau, *Lectures on differential geometry*, Conference Proceedings and Lecture Notes in Geometry and Topology, 1, International Press Publication, 1994. MR1333601 (97d:53001)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SUN YAT-SEN UNIVERSITY, GUANGZHOU, PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA 510275

E-mail address: juhoncheung@sina.com