QUANTUM ISOMETRY GROUP OF THE n -TORI

JYOTISHMAN BHOWMICK

(Communicated by Varghese Mathai)

ABSTRACT. We show that the quantum isometry group (introduced by Goswami) of the n -torus $\mathbb{T}^n$ coincides with its classical isometry group; i.e. there does not exist any faithful isometric action on $\mathbb{T}^n$ by a genuine (non-commutative as a C^* -algebra) compact quantum group. Moreover, using an earlier result, we conclude that the quantum isometry group of the noncommutative n tori is a Rieffel deformation of the quantum isometry group of the commutative n -torus.

1. INTRODUCTION

In [4], Goswami has defined the quantum isometry group of a noncommutative manifold (given by a spectral triple), motivated by the definition and study of quantum permutation groups of finite sets and finite graphs by a number of mathematicians (see, e.g., [1], [2], [6], and the references therein) and using some ideas of Woronowicz and Soltan (see [10]).

The main ingredient of this theory is the Laplacian $\mathcal{L}$ coming from the spectral triple $(\mathcal{A}^\infty, \mathcal{H}, D)$ satisfying certain regularity conditions (see [4] for its construction), which coincides with the Hodge Laplacian $-d^*d$ (restricted on a space of smooth functions) in the classical case, where d denotes the de Rham differential.

The linear span of eigenvectors of $\mathcal{L}$, which is a subspace of $\mathcal{A}^\infty$, is denoted by $\mathcal{A}_0^\infty$, and it is assumed that $\mathcal{A}_0^\infty$ is norm-dense in the C^* -algebra $\mathcal{A}$ obtained by completing $\mathcal{A}^\infty$. The $*$ -subalgebra of $\mathcal{A}^\infty$ generated by $\mathcal{A}_0^\infty$ is denoted by $\mathcal{A}_0$. Then $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A}_0^\infty) \subseteq \mathcal{A}_0^\infty$, and a compact quantum group $(\mathcal{G}, \Delta)$ which has an action α on $\mathcal{A}$ is said to act smoothly and isometrically on the noncommutative manifold $(\mathcal{A}^\infty, \mathcal{H}, D)$ if for every state ϕ on $\mathcal{G}$, $(\text{id} \otimes \phi) \circ \alpha(\mathcal{A}_0^\infty) \subseteq \mathcal{A}_0^\infty$ for all states ϕ on $\mathcal{G}$, and also $(\text{id} \otimes \phi) \circ \alpha$ commutes with $\mathcal{L}$ on $\mathcal{A}_0^\infty$.

One can then consider the category of all compact quantum groups acting smoothly and isometrically on $\mathcal{A}$, where the morphisms are quantum group morphisms which intertwine the actions on $\mathcal{A}$. It is proved in [4] (under some regularity assumptions, which are valid for any compact connected Riemannian spin manifold with the usual Dirac operator) that there exists a universal object in this category, and this universal object is defined to be the quantum isometry group of $(\mathcal{A}^\infty, \mathcal{H}, D)$, denoted by $QISO(\mathcal{A}^\infty, \mathcal{H}, D)$, or simply as $QISO(\mathcal{A}^\infty)$ or even $QISO(\mathcal{A})$ if the spectral triple is understood.

Received by the editors May 6, 2008.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 58B32; Secondary 16W30, 46L87, 46L89.

Support from the National Board of Higher Mathematics, India, is gratefully acknowledged.

©2009 American Mathematical Society
 Reverts to public domain 28 years from publication

It is important to explicitly describe quantum isometry groups of sufficiently many classical and noncommutative manifolds. In [5], the quantum isometry group of the two-torus has been explicitly computed.

Although there are similarities between the basic principle of the computation of [5] and that of the present article, which is to exploit the eigenspaces of the Laplacian, there is a key difference between the two proofs, which is as follows.

The computation of the quantum isometry group of $\mathcal{A}_\theta$ in Section 2.3 of [5] is valid for all θ ; i.e. have all θ has been treated on the same footing. On the other hand, we have adopted a different strategy in the present article based on the observation that the proof of [5] can be simplified quite a bit for the special case of $\theta = 0$, i.e. the commutative case, and this simplification even goes through easily for any $n \geq 3$. Thus, in this article we first compute the quantum isometry group of the commutative C^* -algebra $C(\mathbb{T}^n)$. This commutativity assumption decreases a lot of computations because it suffices to show that the quantum isometry group is a commutative C^* -algebra and hence has to coincide with the classical isometry group. Then the structure of the quantum isometry group of $\mathbb{T}_\theta^n$ for an arbitrary value of θ follows immediately from Theorem 3.13 of [5].

Throughout the paper, we have denoted by $\mathcal{A}_1 \otimes \mathcal{A}_2$ the minimal (injective) C^* -tensor product between two C^* -algebras $\mathcal{A}_1$ and $\mathcal{A}_2$. The symbol $\otimes_{\text{alg}}$ has been used to denote the algebraic tensor product between vector spaces or algebras.

For a compact quantum group $\mathcal{G}$, let the dense unital $*$ -subalgebra generated by the matrix coefficients of irreducible unitary representations be denoted by $\mathcal{G}_0$. The coproduct of $\mathcal{G}$, say Δ , maps $\mathcal{G}_0$ into the algebraic tensor product $\mathcal{G}_0 \otimes_{\text{alg}} \mathcal{G}_0$, and there exist a canonical antipode and a counit defined on $\mathcal{G}_0$ which make it into a Hopf $*$ -algebra (see [7] for the details).

Remark 1.1. In [4], it was assumed that the compact quantum groups are separable. But in [9], the separability assumption for the C^* -algebra of the underlying compact quantum group was removed. It can be easily seen that the separability was not at all used in the proofs of [4], and hence all the results in [4] proceed verbatim in the nonseparable case.

1.1. Quantum isometry group of the commutative n -tori. Consider $C(\mathbb{T}^n)$ as the universal commutative C^* -algebra generated by n commuting unitaries $U_1, U_2, \dots, U_n$. It is clear that the set $\{U_i^m U_j^n : m, n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is an orthonormal basis for $L^2(C(\mathbb{T}^n), \tau)$, where τ denotes the unique faithful normalized trace on $C(\mathbb{T}^n)$ given by $\tau(\sum a_{mn} U_i^m U_j^n) = a_{00}$, which is just the integration against the Haar measure. We shall denote by $\langle A, B \rangle = \tau(A^* B)$ the inner product on $\mathcal{H}_0 := L^2(C(\mathbb{T}^n), \tau)$. Let $C(\mathbb{T}^n)^{\text{fin}}$ be the unital $*$ -subalgebra generated by finite complex linear combinations of $U^m V^n$, $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$. The Laplacian $\mathcal{L}$ is given by $\mathcal{L}(U_1^{m_1}, \dots, U_n^{m_n}) = -(m_1^2 + \dots + m_n^2) U_1^{m_1}, \dots, U_n^{m_n}$, and it is also easy to see that the algebraic span of eigenvectors of $\mathcal{L}$ is nothing but the space $C(\mathbb{T}^n)^{\text{fin}}$ and, moreover, all the assumptions in [4] required for defining the quantum isometry group are satisfied.

Let $\mathcal{Q}$ be the quantum isometry group coming from the above Laplacian, with the smooth isometric action of $\mathcal{Q}$ on $C(\mathbb{T}^n)$ given by $\alpha : C(\mathbb{T}^n) \rightarrow C(\mathbb{T}^n) \otimes \mathcal{Q}$. By definition, α must keep invariant the eigenspace of $\mathcal{L}$ corresponding to the eigenvalue

-1 , spanned by $U_1, \dots, U_n, U_1^{-1}, \dots, U_n^{-1}$. Thus, the action α is given by

$$\alpha(U_i) = \sum_{j=1}^n U_j \otimes A_{ij} + \sum_{j=1}^n U_j^{-1} \otimes B_{ij},$$

where $A_{ij}, B_{ij} \in \mathcal{Q}$, $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$. By faithfulness of the action of the quantum isometry group (see [4]), the norm-closure of the unital $*$ -algebra generated by $A_{ij}, B_{ij}; i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ must be the whole of $\mathcal{Q}$.

Next we derive a number of conditions on $A_{ij}, B_{ij}, i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ using the fact that α is a $*$ -homomorphism.

Lemma 1.2. *The condition $U^*U = 1 = UU^*$ gives:*

$$\begin{aligned} (1) \quad & \sum_j (A_{ij}^* A_{ij} + B_{ij}^* B_{ij}) = 1 \\ (2) \quad & B_{ij}^* A_{ik} + B_{ik}^* A_{ij} = 0 \quad \forall j \neq k \\ (3) \quad & A_{ij}^* B_{ik} + A_{ik}^* B_{ij} = 0 \quad \forall j \neq k \\ (4) \quad & A_{ij}^* B_{ij} = B_{ij}^* A_{ij} = 0 \\ (5) \quad & \sum_j (A_{ij} A_{ij}^* + B_{ij} B_{ij}^*) = 1 \\ (6) \quad & A_{ik} B_{ij}^* + A_{ij} B_{ik}^* = 0 \quad \forall j \neq k \\ (7) \quad & B_{ik} A_{ij}^* + B_{ij} A_{ik}^* = 0 \quad \forall j \neq k \\ (8) \quad & A_{ij} B_{ij}^* = B_{ij} A_{ij}^* = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We get (1) - (4) by using the condition $U_i^* U_i = 1$ along with the fact that α is a homomorphism and then comparing the coefficients of $1, U_j U_k, U_j^{-1} U_k^{-1}$ (for $j \neq k$), U_j^{-2}, U_k^{-2} .

Similarly the condition $U_i U_i^* = 1$ gives (5) - (8). $\square$

Now, $\forall i \neq j, U_i^* U_j, U_i U_j^*$ and $U_i U_j$ belong to the eigenspace of the Laplacian with eigenvalue -2 , while U_k^2, U_k^{-2} belong to the eigenspace corresponding to the eigenvalue -4 . As α preserves the eigenspaces of the Laplacian, the coefficients of U_k^2, U_k^{-2} are zero $\forall k$ in $\alpha(U_i^* U_j), \alpha(U_i U_j^*), \alpha(U_i U_j) \quad \forall i \neq j$.

We use this observation in the next lemma.

Lemma 1.3. $\forall k$ and $\forall i \neq j$,

$$\begin{aligned} (9) \quad & B_{ik}^* A_{jk} = A_{ik}^* B_{jk} = 0 \\ (10) \quad & A_{ik} B_{jk} = B_{ik} A_{jk}^* = 0 \\ (11) \quad & A_{ik} A_{jk} = B_{ik} B_{jk} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. The equation (9) is obtained from the coefficients of U_k^2 and U_k^{-2} in $\alpha(U_i^* U_j)$, while (10) and (11) are obtained from the same coefficients in $\alpha(U_i U_j^*)$ and $\alpha(U_i U_j)$, respectively. $\square$

Now, by Lemma 2.12 in [4] it follows that $\tilde{\alpha} : C(\mathbb{T}^n) \otimes \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow C(\mathbb{T}^n) \otimes \mathcal{Q}$ defined by $\tilde{\alpha}(X \otimes Y) = \alpha(X)(1 \otimes Y)$ extends to a unitary of the Hilbert $\mathcal{Q}$ -module $L^2(C(\mathbb{T}^n), \tau) \otimes \mathcal{Q}$ (or in other words, α extends to a unitary representation of $\mathcal{Q}$ on $L^2(C(\mathbb{T}^n), \tau)$). But α keeps $W = \text{Sp}\{U_i, U_i^* : 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ invariant. So α is a

unitary representation of $\mathcal{Q}$ on W . Hence, the matrix (say M) corresponding to the $2n$ -dimensional representation of $\mathcal{Q}$ on W is a unitary in $M_{2n}(\mathcal{Q})$.

From the definition of the action it follows that $M = \begin{pmatrix} A_{ij} & B_{ij}^* \\ B_{ij} & A_{ij}^* \end{pmatrix}$.

Since M is the matrix corresponding to a finite dimensional unitary representation, $\kappa(M_{kl}) = M_{kl}^{-1}$, where κ denotes the antipode of $\mathcal{Q}$ (see [8]).

But M is a unitary, $M^{-1} = M^*$. So, $(\kappa(M_{kl})) = \begin{pmatrix} A_{ji}^* & B_{ji}^* \\ B_{ji} & A_{ji} \end{pmatrix}$.

Now we apply the antipode κ to get some more relations.

Lemma 1.4. $\forall k$ and $i \neq j$,

$$(12) \quad A_{kj}^* A_{ki}^* = B_{kj} B_{ki} = A_{kj}^* B_{ki}^* = B_{kj} A_{ki} = B_{kj} A_{ki}^* = A_{kj} B_{ki} = 0.$$

Proof. The result follows by applying κ on the equations $A_{ik} A_{jk} = B_{ik} B_{jk} = B_{ik}^* A_{jk} = A_{ik}^* B_{jk} = A_{ik} B_{jk} = B_{ik} A_{jk}^* = 0$ obtained from Lemma 1.3. $\square$

Lemma 1.5. A_{li} is a normal partial isometry $\forall l, i$ and hence has the same domain and range.

Proof. From the relation (1) in Lemma 1.2, we have by applying κ , $\sum (A_{ji}^* A_{ji} + B_{ji} B_{ji}^*) = 1$. Applying A_{li} on the right of this equation, we have

$$A_{li}^* A_{li} A_{li} + \sum_{j \neq l} (A_{ji}^* A_{ji} A_{li} + B_{li} B_{li}^* A_{li}) + \sum_{j \neq l} B_{ji} B_{ji}^* A_{li} = A_{li}.$$

From Lemma 1.3, we have $A_{ji} A_{li} = 0$ and $B_{ji}^* A_{li} = 0 \forall j \neq l$. Moreover, from Lemma 1.2, we have $B_{li}^* A_{li} = 0$. Applying these to the above equation, we have

$$(13) \quad A_{li}^* A_{li} A_{li} = A_{li}.$$

Again, from the relation $\sum_j (A_{ij} A_{ij}^* + B_{ij} B_{ij}^*) = 1 \forall i$ in Lemma 1.2, applying κ and multiplying by A_{li}^* on the right, we have $A_{li} A_{li}^* A_{li}^* + \sum_{j \neq l} A_{ji} A_{ji}^* A_{li}^* + B_{li}^* B_{li} A_{li}^* + \sum_{j \neq l} B_{ji}^* B_{ji} A_{li}^* = A_{li}^*$. From Lemma 1.3, we have $A_{li} A_{ji} = 0 \forall j \neq l$ (hence $A_{ji}^* A_{li}^* = 0$) and $B_{ji} A_{li}^* = 0$. Moreover, we have $B_{li} A_{li}^* = 0$ from Lemma 1.2. Hence, we have

$$(14) \quad A_{li} A_{li}^* A_{li}^* = A_{li}^*.$$

From (13), we have

$$(15) \quad (A_{li}^* A_{li})(A_{li} A_{li}^*) = A_{li} A_{li}^*.$$

By taking $*$ on (14), we have

$$(16) \quad A_{li} A_{li} A_{li}^* = A_{li}.$$

Using this in (15), we have

$$(17) \quad A_{li} A_{li}^* A_{li} = A_{li} A_{li}^*,$$

and hence A_{li} is normal.

So, $A_{li} = A_{li}^* A_{li} A_{li}$ (from (13)) $= A_{li} A_{li}^* A_{li}$.

Therefore, A_{li} is a partial isometry which is normal and hence has the same domain and range. $\square$

Lemma 1.6. B_{li} is a normal partial isometry and hence has the same domain and range.

Proof. First we note that A_{ji} is a normal partial isometry and that $A_{ji}B_{li} = 0 \forall j \neq l$ (obtained from Lemma 1.3) implies that $\text{Ran}(A_{ji}^*) \subseteq \text{Ker}(B_{li}^*)$ and hence $\text{Ran}(A_{ji}) \subseteq \text{Ker}(B_{li}^*)$, which means that $B_{li}^*A_{ji} = 0 \forall j \neq l$.

To obtain $B_{li}^*B_{li}B_{li} = B_{li}$, we apply κ and multiply by B_{li} on the right of (5) and then use $A_{li}^*B_{li} = 0$ from Lemma 1.2, $A_{ji}B_{li} = 0 \forall j \neq l$ (from Lemma 1.3, which implies $B_{li}^*A_{ji} = 0 \forall j \neq l$ as above) and $B_{ji}B_{li} = 0 \forall j \neq l$ from Lemma 1.3.

Similarly, we have $B_{li}B_{li}^*B_{li} = B_{li}^*$ by applying κ and multiplying by B_{li}^* on the right of (1) obtained from Lemma 1.2 and then using $A_{li}B_{li}^* = 0$ (Lemma 1.2), $B_{li}A_{ji}^* = 0 \forall j \neq l$, and $B_{li}B_{ji} = 0 \forall j \neq l$ (Lemma 1.3).

Using $B_{li}^*B_{li}B_{li} = B_{li}$ and $B_{li}B_{li}^*B_{li} = B_{li}^*$ as in Lemma 1.5, we have that B_{li} is a normal partial isometry. $\square$

Now, we use the condition $\alpha(U_i)\alpha(U_j) = \alpha(U_j)\alpha(U_i)\forall i, j$.

Lemma 1.7. $\forall k \neq l$,

$$(18) \quad A_{ik}A_{jl} + A_{il}A_{jk} = A_{jl}A_{ik} + A_{jk}A_{il}$$

$$(19) \quad A_{ik}B_{jl} + B_{il}A_{jk} = B_{jl}A_{ik} + A_{jk}B_{il}$$

$$(20) \quad B_{ik}A_{jl} + A_{il}B_{jk} = A_{jl}B_{ik} + B_{jk}A_{il}$$

$$(21) \quad B_{ik}B_{jl} + B_{il}B_{jk} = B_{jl}B_{ik} + B_{jk}B_{il}.$$

Proof. The result follows by equating the coefficients of $U_kU_l, U_kU_l^{-1}, U_k^{-1}U_l$ and $U_k^{-1}U_l^{-1}$ (where $k \neq l$) in $\alpha(U_i)\alpha(U_j) = \alpha(U_j)\alpha(U_i)\forall i, j$. $\square$

Lemma 1.8. $A_{ik}B_{jl} = B_{jl}A_{ik}\forall i \neq j, k \neq l$.

Proof. From Lemma 1.7, we have $\forall k \neq l, A_{ik}B_{jl} - B_{jl}A_{ik} = A_{jk}B_{il} - B_{il}A_{jk}$. We consider the case where $i \neq j$.

We have $\text{Ran}(A_{ik}B_{jl} - B_{jl}A_{ik}) \subseteq \text{Ran}(A_{ik}) + \text{Ran}(B_{jl}) \subseteq \text{Ran}(B_{jl}^*B_{jl} + A_{ik}^*A_{ik})$ (using the facts that A_{ik} and B_{jl} are normal partial isometries by Lemma 1.5 and 1.6 and also that $B_{jl}^*B_{jl}$ and $A_{ik}^*A_{ik}$ are projections).

Similarly, $\text{Ran}(A_{jk}B_{il} - B_{il}A_{jk}) \subseteq \text{Ran}(B_{il}^*B_{il} + A_{jk}^*A_{jk})$.

Let

$$T_1 = A_{ik}B_{jl} - B_{jl}A_{ik}$$

$$T_2 = A_{jk}B_{il} - B_{il}A_{jk}$$

$$T_3 = B_{jl}^*B_{jl} + A_{ik}^*A_{ik}$$

$$T_4 = B_{il}^*B_{il} + A_{jk}^*A_{jk}.$$

Hence, $T_1 = T_2, \text{Ran}T_1 \subseteq \text{Ran}T_3, \text{Ran}T_2 \subseteq \text{Ran}T_4$.

We claim that $T_4T_3 = 0$. Then $\text{Ran}(T_3) \subseteq \text{Ker}(T_4)$. But $\text{Ran}T_1 \subseteq \text{Ran}T_3$ will imply that $\text{Ran}T_1 \subseteq \text{Ker}T_4$. Hence, $\text{Ran}(T_2) \subseteq \text{Ker}(T_4) = \overline{\text{Ran}(T_4^*)}^\perp = \overline{\text{Ran}(T_4)}^\perp$. But $\text{Ran}(T_2) \subseteq \text{Ran}(T_4)$, which implies that $\text{Ran}(T_2) = 0$ and hence that both T_2 and T_1 are zero. Thus, the proof of the lemma will be complete if we can prove the claim

$$\begin{aligned} T_4T_3 &= (B_{il}^*B_{il} + A_{jk}^*A_{jk})(B_{jl}^*B_{jl} + A_{ik}^*A_{ik}) \\ &= B_{il}^*B_{il}B_{jl}^*B_{jl} + B_{il}^*B_{il}A_{ik}^*A_{ik} + A_{jk}^*A_{jk}B_{jl}^*B_{jl} + A_{jk}^*A_{jk}A_{ik}^*A_{ik}. \end{aligned}$$

From Lemma 1.3, we have $\forall i \neq j, B_{il}B_{jl} = 0$, implying $B_{il}B_{jl}^* = 0$ as B_{jl} is a normal partial isometry.

Again, from Lemma 1.4 $\forall k \neq l$, $B_{il}A_{ik} = 0$. Then A_{ik} is a normal partial isometry implies that $B_{il}A_{ik}^* = 0 \forall k \neq l$.

Similarly, by taking the adjoint of the relation $B_{jl}A_{jk}^* = 0 \forall k \neq l$ obtained from Lemma 1.4, we have $A_{jk}B_{jl}^* = 0$.

From Lemma 1.3, we have $A_{jk}A_{ik} = 0 \forall i \neq j$. A_{ik} is a normal partial isometry implies that $A_{jk}A_{ik}^* = 0 \forall i \neq j$.

Using these, we note that $T_4T_3 = 0$, which proves the claim and hence the lemma. $\square$

Lemma 1.9.

$$\begin{aligned} A_{ik}B_{jk} &= 0 = B_{jk}A_{ik} \\ A_{ki}B_{kj} &= 0 = B_{kj}A_{ki} \end{aligned}$$

$\forall i \neq j$ and $\forall k$.

Proof. By Lemma 1.3, we have $A_{ik}B_{jk} = 0$ and $B_{jk}A_{ik}^* = 0 \forall i \neq j$. The second relation along with the fact that A_{ik} is a normal partial isometry implies that $B_{jk}A_{ik} = 0 \forall i \neq j$.

Thus, $A_{ik}B_{jk} = 0 = B_{jk}A_{ik} \forall i \neq j$.

Applying κ to the above equation and using that B_{kj} and A_{ki} are normal partial isometries, we have $A_{ki}B_{kj} = 0 = B_{kj}A_{ki}$. $\square$

Lemma 1.10. $A_{ik}B_{ik} = B_{ik}A_{ik} \forall i, k$.

Proof. We have $A_{ij}^*B_{ij} = 0 = B_{ij}^*A_{ij}$ from Lemma 1.2. Using the fact that B_{ij} and A_{ij} are normal partial isometries we have $A_{ij}^*B_{ij}^* = 0 = B_{ij}^*A_{ij}^*$ and hence $A_{ij}B_{ij} = B_{ij}A_{ij}$. $\square$

Lemma 1.11. $A_{ik}A_{jl} = A_{jl}A_{ik} \forall i \neq j, k \neq l$.

Proof. Using (18) in Lemma 1.7, we proceed as in Lemma 1.8 to get $\text{Ran}(A_{ik}A_{jl} - A_{jl}A_{ik}) \subseteq \text{Ran}(A_{jl}A_{jl}^* + A_{ik}A_{ik}^*)$ and $\text{Ran}(A_{jk}A_{il} - A_{il}A_{jk}) \subseteq \text{Ran}(A_{il}A_{il}^* + A_{jk}A_{jk}^*)$.

We claim that $(A_{ik}A_{ik}^* + A_{jl}A_{jl}^*)(A_{jk}A_{jk}^* + A_{il}A_{il}^*) = 0$.

Then by the same reasoning as given in Lemma 1.8 we will have $A_{jk}A_{il} = A_{il}A_{jk}$.

To prove the claim, we use $A_{ik}A_{jk} = 0 \forall i \neq j$ from Lemma 1.3 (which implies $A_{jk}^*A_{ik} = 0 \forall i \neq j$ as A_{ik} is a normal partial isometry), $A_{il}A_{ik}^* = 0 \forall k \neq l$ from Lemma 1.4 (which implies $A_{il}^*A_{ik} = 0 \forall k \neq l$ as A_{ik} is a normal partial isometry) and $A_{il}A_{jl} = 0 \forall i \neq j$ from Lemma 1.3 (which implies $A_{jl}^*A_{il} = 0 \forall i \neq j$ as A_{il} is a normal partial isometry). $\square$

Lemma 1.12.

$$\begin{aligned} A_{ik}A_{il} &= A_{il}A_{ik} \forall k \neq l \\ A_{ik}A_{jk} &= A_{jk}A_{ik} \forall i \neq j. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. From Lemma 1.3, we have $A_{ki}A_{li} = 0 \forall k \neq l$.

Applying κ and taking the adjoint, we have $A_{ik}A_{il} = 0 \forall k \neq l$. Interchanging k and l , we get $A_{il}A_{ik} = 0 \forall k \neq l$. Hence, $A_{ik}A_{il} = A_{il}A_{ik} \forall k \neq l$.

From Lemma 1.3, we have $A_{ik}A_{jk} = 0 \forall i \neq j$. Interchanging i and j , we have $A_{jk}A_{ik} = 0 \forall i \neq j$. $\square$

Remark 1.13. Proceeding in a similar way, we have that the B_{ij} 's commute among themselves.

Theorem 1.14. *The quantum isometry group of $\mathbb{T}^n$ is commutative as a C^* -algebra and hence coincides with the classical isometry group.*

Proof. The proof follows from the results in Lemmas 1.8 - 1.12 and the remark following them. $\square$

Corollary 1.15. *Using Theorem 3.13 of [5], we conclude that the quantum isometry group of the noncommutative n -tori $\mathbb{T}_\theta^n$ is a Rieffel deformation of the quantum isometry group of $\mathbb{T}^n$.*

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

We thank an anonymous referee for mentioning the paper [9], where A. Van Daele removed Woronowicz's separability assumption (in [7]) for the C^* -algebra of the underlying compact quantum group.

REFERENCES

- [1] Banica, T.: Quantum automorphism groups of small metric spaces, *Pacific J. Math.* **219**(2005), no. 1, 27–51. MR2174219 (2006h:16054)
- [2] Banica, T.: Quantum automorphism groups of homogeneous graphs, *J. Funct. Anal.* **224**(2005), no. 2, 243–280. MR2146039 (2006d:16061)
- [3] Connes, A.: *Noncommutative Geometry*, Academic Press, London-New York (1994). MR1303779 (95j:46063)
- [4] Goswami, D.: Quantum Group of Isometries in Classical and Noncommutative Geometry, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **285**(2009), no. 1, 141–160. MR2453592
- [5] Goswami, D.; Bhowmick, J.: Quantum Isometry Groups: Examples and Computations, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **285**(2009), no. 2, 421–444. MR2461983
- [6] Wang, S.: Quantum symmetry groups of finite spaces, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **195**(1998), 195–211. MR1637425 (99h:58014)
- [7] Woronowicz, S. L.: Compact quantum groups, pp. 845–884 in *Symétries quantiques (Quantum symmetries)* (Les Houches, 1995), edited by A. Connes et al., North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1998. MR1616348 (99m:46164)
- [8] Maes, Ann; Van Daele, Alfons: Notes on Compact Quantum Groups. *Nieuw Arch. Wisk.* (4) **16**(1998), no. 1-2, 73–112. MR1645264 (99g:46105)
- [9] Van Daele, Alfons: The Haar measure on a compact quantum group, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **123**(1995), 3125–3128. MR1277138 (95m:46097)
- [10] Soltan, P. M.: Quantum families of maps and quantum semigroups on finite quantum spaces, preprint, arXiv:math/0610922.
- [11] Woronowicz, S. L.: Pseudospaces, pseudogroups and Pontriagin duality, *Proceedings of the International Conference on Mathematical Physics, Lausanne (1979)*, *Lecture Notes in Physics* **116**, Springer, Berlin-New York, 1980, pp. 407–412. MR582650 (82e:46079)

STAT-MATH UNIT, KOLKATA CENTRE, INDIAN STATISTICAL INSTITUTE, 203, B. T. ROAD, KOLKATA 700 108, INDIA

E-mail address: jyotish_r@isical.ac.in