ON QUADRATIC FIELDS GENERATED BY DISCRIMINANTS OF IRREDUCIBLE TRINOMIALS

IGOR E. SHPARLINSKI

(Communicated by Wen-Ching Winnie Li)

ABSTRACT. A. Mukhopadhyay, M. R. Murty and K. Srinivas have recently studied various arithmetic properties of the discriminant $\Delta_n(a, b)$ of the trinomial $f_{n,a,b}(t) = t^n + at + b$, where $n \geq 5$ is a fixed integer. In particular, it is shown that, under the *abc*-conjecture, for every $n \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, the quadratic fields $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{\Delta_n(a, b)})$ are pairwise distinct for a positive proportion of such discriminants with integers a and b such that $f_{n,a,b}$ is irreducible over $\mathbb{Q}$ and $|\Delta_n(a, b)| \leq X$, as $X \rightarrow \infty$. We use the square-sieve and bounds of character sums to obtain a weaker but unconditional version of this result.

1. INTRODUCTION

For a fixed integer $n \geq 2$, we use $\Delta_n(a, b)$ to denote the discriminant of the trinomial

$$f_{n,a,b}(t) = t^n + at + b.$$

A. Mukhopadhyay, M. R. Murty and K. Srinivas [9] have recently studied the arithmetic structure of $\Delta_n(a, b)$. In particular, it is shown in [9], under the *abc*-conjecture, that if $n \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, then for a sufficiently large positive A and B such that $B \geq A^{1+\delta}$ with some fixed $\delta > 0$, there are at least γAB integers a, b with

$$A \leq |a| \leq 2A \quad \text{and} \quad B \leq |b| \leq 2B$$

such that $f_{n,a,b}$ is irreducible and $\Delta_n(a, b)$ is square-free, where $\gamma > 0$ depends only on n and δ . Then this result is used to derive (still under the *abc*-conjecture) that the quadratic fields $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{\Delta_n(a, b)})$ are pairwise distinct for a positive proportion of such discriminants with integers a and b such that $f_{n,a,b}$ is irreducible over $\mathbb{Q}$. More precisely, for a real $X \geq 1$, let $Q_n(X)$ be the number of distinct fields $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{\Delta_n(a, b)})$ taken for all pairs of integers a, b such that $f_{n,a,b}$ is irreducible over $\mathbb{Q}$ and $|\Delta_n(a, b)| \leq X$.

Throughout the paper, we use $U = O(V)$, $U \ll V$, and $V \gg U$ as equivalents of the inequality $|U| \leq cV$ with some constant $c > 0$, which may depend only on n .

It is shown in [9] that for a fixed $n \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$,

$$(1) \quad Q_n(X) \gg X^{\kappa_n},$$

Received by the editors March 17, 2009, and, in revised form, June 2, 2009, and June 8, 2009.
 2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 11R11; Secondary 11L40, 11N36, 11R09.
Key words and phrases. Irreducible trinomials, quadratic fields, square-sieve, character sums.
 The author was supported in part by ARC Grant DP0556431.

where

$$\kappa_n = \frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{n-1}.$$

It is also noted in [9] that the Galois groups of irreducible trinomials $f_{n,a,b}$ have some interesting properties; see also [2, 5, 10]. We remark that since

$$(2) \quad \Delta_n(a, b) = (n-1)^{n-1}a^n + n^n b^{n-1}$$

for $n \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, there are $O\left(X^{\frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{n-1}}\right)$ integers a and b with $|\Delta_n(a, b)| \leq X$ and thus indeed (1) means that

$$Q_n(X) \gg \#\{(a, b) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 : |\Delta_n(a, b)| \leq X\}.$$

We use the square-sieve and bounds of character sums to obtain a weaker but unconditional version of this result. We note that without the irreducibility of the $f_{n,a,b}$ condition, the problem of estimating $Q_n(X)$ can be viewed as a bivariate analogue of the question considered in [8] on the number of distinct quadratic fields of the form $\mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{F(n)}\right)$ for $n = M+1, \dots, M+N$, for a nonconstant polynomial $F(T) \in \mathbb{Z}[T]$. Accordingly, we use similar ideas; however, we also exploit the specific shape of the polynomial $\Delta_n(a, b)$ given by (2).

2. MAIN RESULT

In fact as in [8] we consider a more general quantity than $Q_n(X)$. Namely, for real positive A, B, C , and D and a square-free integer s , we denote by $T_n(A, B, C, D; s)$ the number of pairs of integers

$$(a, b) \in [C, C+A] \times [D, D+B]$$

such that $\Delta_n(a, b) = sr^2$ for some integer r .

We write $\log x$ for the maximum of the natural logarithm of x and 1; thus we always have $\log x \geq 1$.

Theorem 1. *Let $n \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$. Then for real $A \geq 1$, $B \geq 1$, $C \geq 0$, and $D \geq 0$ and a square-free s , we have*

$$\begin{aligned} T_n(A, B, C, D; s) &\ll (AB)^{2/3}(\log(AB))^{4/3} + A(\log(AB))^2 + B(\log(AB))^2 \\ &\quad + (AB)^{1/3} \left(\frac{\log(ABCD) \log(AB)}{\log \log(ABCD)} \right)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Now, for real A, B, C , and D we denote by $S_n(A, B, C, D)$ the number of distinct quadratic fields $\mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{\Delta_n(a, b)}\right)$ taken for all pairs of integers

$$(a, b) \in [C, C+A] \times [D, D+B]$$

such that $f_{n,a,b}$ is irreducible over $\mathbb{Q}$. Using that the bound of Theorem 1 is uniform in s , we derive

Theorem 2. *Let $n \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$. Then for real $A \geq 1$, $B \geq 1$, $C \geq 0$, and $D \geq 0$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} S_n(A, B, C, D) &\gg \min \left\{ \frac{(AB)^{1/3}}{(\log(AB))^{4/3}}, \frac{A}{(\log(AB))^2}, \frac{B}{(\log(AB))^2}, \right. \\ &\quad \left. (AB)^{2/3} \left(\frac{\log \log(ABCD)}{\log(ABCD) \log(AB)} \right)^2 \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

The results of Theorems 1 and 2 are nontrivial in a very wide range of parameters A , B , C , and D and apply to very short intervals. In particular, AB could be logarithmically small compared to CD . Furthermore, taking

$$A = C = \frac{1}{4(n-1)^{n-1}} X^{1/n} \quad \text{and} \quad B = D = \frac{1}{4n^n} X^{1/(n-1)},$$

we see that

$$Q_n(X) \gg X^{\kappa_n/3} (\log X)^{-1},$$

which, although is weaker than (1), does not depend on any unproven conjectures.

3. CHARACTER SUMS WITH THE DISCRIMINANT

Our proofs rest on some bounds for character sums. For an odd integer m we use (w/m) to denote, as usual, the Jacobi symbol of w modulo m . We also put

$$\mathbf{e}_m(w) = \exp(2\pi i w/m).$$

Given an odd integer $m \geq 3$ and arbitrary integers λ, μ , we consider the double character sums

$$S_n(m; \lambda, \mu) = \sum_{u,v=1}^m \left(\frac{\Delta_n(u, v)}{m} \right) \mathbf{e}_m(\lambda u + \mu v).$$

We need bounds of these sums in the case of $m = \ell_1 \ell_2$ being a product of two primes $\ell_1 > \ell_2 \geq n$. However, using the multiplicative property of character sums (see [6, Equation (12.21)] for single sums; double sums behave exactly the same way), we see that it is enough to estimate $S_n(\ell; \lambda, \mu)$ for primes ℓ .

We start with evaluating these sums in the special case of $\lambda = \mu = 0$ where we define

$$S_n(\ell) = S_n(\ell; 0, 0).$$

Lemma 3. *For $n \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ and a prime ℓ with $\gcd(\ell, n-1) = 1$, we have*

$$S_n(\ell) = 0.$$

Proof. We can certainly assume that $\ell > n$, since otherwise the bound is trivial.

Recalling (2), we derive

$$\begin{aligned} S_n(\ell) &= \sum_{u,v=1}^{\ell} \left(\frac{(n-1)^{n-1} u^n + n^n v^{n-1}}{\ell} \right) \\ &= \sum_u^{\ell} \sum_{v=1}^{\ell-1} \left(\frac{(n-1)^{n-1} u^n + n^n v^{n-1}}{\ell} \right) + \sum_{u=1}^{\ell} \left(\frac{(n-1)^{n-1} u^n}{\ell} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Since n is odd, the last sum vanishes:

$$\sum_{u=1}^{\ell} \left(\frac{(n-1)^{n-1} u^n}{\ell} \right) = \left(\frac{(n-1)^{n-1}}{\ell} \right) \sum_{u=1}^{\ell} \left(\frac{u}{\ell} \right) = 0,$$

and, changing the order of summation, we obtain

$$S_n(\ell) = \sum_{v=1}^{\ell-1} \sum_{u=1}^{\ell} \left(\frac{(n-1)^{n-1} u^n + n^n v^{n-1}}{\ell} \right).$$

Substituting uv instead of u , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
S_n(\ell) &= \sum_{v=1}^{\ell-1} \sum_{u=1}^{\ell} \left(\frac{(n-1)^{n-1} (uv)^n + n^n v^{n-1}}{\ell} \right) \\
&= \sum_{v=1}^{\ell-1} \sum_{u=1}^{\ell} \left(\frac{((n-1)^{n-1} u^n v + n^n) v^{n-1}}{\ell} \right) \\
&= \sum_{v=1}^{\ell-1} \sum_{u=1}^{\ell} \left(\frac{(n-1)^{n-1} u^n v + n^n}{\ell} \right)
\end{aligned}$$

since $n-1$ is even. We now rewrite it in a slightly more convenient form as

$$S_n(\ell) = \sum_{v=1}^{\ell-1} \sum_{u=1}^{\ell} \left(\frac{(n-1)^{n-1} u^n v + n^n}{\ell} \right).$$

Considering the contributions from the terms with $v = \ell$ and $u = \ell$, we now derive

$$\begin{aligned}
S_n(\ell) &= \sum_{v=1}^{\ell} \sum_{u=1}^{\ell} \left(\frac{(n-1)^{n-1} u^n v + n^n}{\ell} \right) - \ell \left(\frac{n^n}{\ell} \right) \\
&= \sum_{u=1}^{\ell-1} \sum_{v=1}^{\ell} \left(\frac{(n-1)^{n-1} u^n v + n^n}{\ell} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

As $\gcd(\ell, n-1) = 1$, making the change of variables $(n-1)^{n-1} u^n v + n^n = w$, we note that for every $u = 1, \dots, \ell-1$ if $v = 1, \dots, \ell$, then w runs through the complete residue system modulo ℓ . Hence,

$$S_n(\ell) = (\ell-1) \sum_{w=1}^{\ell} \left(\frac{w}{\ell} \right) = 0,$$

which concludes the proof. $\square$

The following result can be derived from [3, Theorem 1.1]; however, we give a self-contained and more elementary proof.

Lemma 4. *For $n \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, a prime ℓ and arbitrary integers λ, μ with $\gcd(\lambda, \mu, \ell) = 1$, we have*

$$|S_n(\ell; \lambda, \mu)| \ll \ell.$$

Proof. As in the proof of Lemma 3, we can certainly assume that $\ell \geq n$, since otherwise the bound is trivial.

Also as in the proof of Lemma 3, we obtain

$$S_n(\ell; \lambda, \mu) = \sum_{u=1}^{\ell-1} \sum_{v=1}^{\ell} \left(\frac{(n-1)^{n-1} u^n v + n^n}{\ell} \right) \mathbf{e}_{\ell}((\lambda u + \mu)v) + O(\ell).$$

As $\gcd(\ell, n-1) = 1$, making the change of variables $(n-1)^{n-1}u^n v + n^n = w$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} S_n(\ell; \lambda, \mu) &= \sum_{u=1}^{\ell-1} \sum_{w=1}^{\ell} \left(\frac{w}{\ell} \right) \mathbf{e}_{\ell}((n-1)^{-n+1}u^{-n}(\lambda u + \mu)(w - n^n)) + O(\ell) \\ &= \sum_{u=1}^{\ell-1} \mathbf{e}_{\ell}(-(n-1)^{-n+1}n^n u^{-n}(\lambda u + \mu)) \\ &\quad \times \sum_{w=1}^{\ell} \left(\frac{w}{\ell} \right) \mathbf{e}_{\ell}((n-1)^{-n+1}u^{-n}(\lambda u + \mu)w) + O(\ell). \end{aligned}$$

The sum over w is the Gauss sum; thus

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{w=1}^{\ell} \left(\frac{w}{\ell} \right) \mathbf{e}_{\ell}((n-1)^{-n+1}u^{-n}(\lambda u + \mu)w) \\ = \left(\frac{(n-1)^{-n+1}u^{-n}(\lambda u + \mu)}{\ell} \right) \vartheta_{\ell} \ell^{1/2}, \end{aligned}$$

for some complex ϑ_{ℓ} with $|\vartheta_{\ell}| = 1$ (which depends only on the residue class of ℓ modulo 4). We refer the reader to [6, 7] for details.

Since $n \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ we have

$$\left(\frac{u^{-n}}{\ell} \right) = \left(\frac{u^{-1}}{\ell} \right).$$

Thus, combining the above identities, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} S_n(\ell; \lambda, \mu) &= \vartheta_{\ell} \ell^{1/2} \sum_{u=1}^{\ell-1} \left(\frac{(n-1)^{-n+1}(\lambda + \mu u^{-1})}{\ell} \right) \\ &\quad \times \mathbf{e}_{\ell}(-(n-1)^{-n+1}n^n u^{-n}(\lambda u + \mu)) + O(\ell). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\gcd(\lambda, \mu, \ell) = 1$, the Weil bound (see [6, Bound (12.23)]) applies and implies that the sum over u is $O(\ell^{1/2})$, which concludes the proof. $\square$

Combining Lemmas 3 and 4, and using the aforementioned multiplicativity property, we obtain

Lemma 5. *For $n \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, an integer $m = \ell_1 \ell_2$ with $\gcd(m, n-1) = 1$, which is a product of two distinct primes $\ell_1 \neq \ell_2$ and arbitrary integers λ, μ , we have*

$$|S_n(m; \lambda, \mu)| = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } \gcd(\lambda, \mu, m) > 1, \\ O(m), & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

From Lemma 5 we now derive

Lemma 6. *For $n \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, an integer $m = \ell_1 \ell_2$ with $\gcd(m, n-1) = 1$, which is a product of two distinct primes $\ell_1 \neq \ell_2$ and real positive A, B, C , and D , we have*

$$\sum_{C \leq a \leq C+A} \sum_{D \leq b \leq D+B} \left(\frac{\Delta_n(a, b)}{m} \right) \ll (m + A + B) (\log m)^2.$$

Proof. We note that the rectangle $[C, C+A] \times [D, D+B]$ can be split into a certain number of squares with side length m and at most $O(A/m + B/m + 1)$ smaller rectangles. We see from Lemma 5 (taken with $\lambda = \mu = 0$) that the sums over such squares vanish. Furthermore, using the standard reduction between complete and incomplete sums (see [6, Section 12.2]), we derive from Lemma 5 that the sums over the remaining rectangles are $O(m(\log m)^2)$. $\square$

4. IRREDUCIBILITY

As in [9] we recall a very special case of a result of S. D. Cohen [1] about the distribution of irreducible polynomials over a finite field $\mathbb{F}_q$ of q elements.

Lemma 7. *For any prime p , there are $p^2/n + O(p^{3/2})$ irreducible trinomials $t^n + \alpha t + \beta \in \mathbb{F}_p[t]$.*

5. PROOF OF THEOREM 1

For a real number $z \geq 1$, we let $\mathcal{L}_z$ be the set of primes $\ell \in [z, 2z]$. For a positive integer k , we write $\omega(k)$ for the number of prime factors of k .

We note that if $k \geq 1$ is a perfect square, then for $z \geq 3$,

$$\sum_{\ell \in \mathcal{L}_z} \left(\frac{k}{\ell} \right) \geq \#\mathcal{L}_z - \omega(k).$$

For each pair (a, b) counted in $T_n(A, B, C, D; s)$, we see that $s\Delta_n(a, b)$ is a perfect square and that $s \mid \Delta_n(a, b)$. Hence,

$$\omega(s\Delta_n(a, b)) = \omega(\Delta_n(a, b)).$$

Thus, for such (a, b) we have

$$\sum_{\ell \in \mathcal{L}_z} \left(\frac{s\Delta_n(a, b)}{\ell} \right) \geq \#\mathcal{L}_z - \omega_z(s\Delta_n(a, b)) = \#\mathcal{L}_z - \omega(\Delta_n(a, b)).$$

Since $\omega(k) \leq k$, we see from the Stirling formula that

$$\omega(k) \ll \frac{\log k}{\log \log k}.$$

Thus,

$$\omega(\Delta_n(a, b)) \ll \frac{\log(A+B+C+D)}{\log \log(A+B+C+D)} \ll \frac{\log(ABCD)}{\log \log(ABCD)}.$$

In particular, by the Cauchy inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} & (\#\mathcal{L}_z)^2 T_n(A, B, C, D; s) \\ & \ll \sum_{C \leq a \leq C+A} \sum_{D \leq b \leq D+B} \left(\sum_{\ell \in \mathcal{L}_z} \left(\frac{s\Delta_n(a, b)}{\ell} \right) + \omega(\Delta_n(a, b)) \right)^2 \\ & \ll \sum_{C \leq a \leq C+A} \sum_{D \leq b \leq D+B} \left(\sum_{\ell \in \mathcal{L}_z} \left(\frac{s\Delta_n(a, b)}{\ell} \right) \right)^2 \\ & \quad + AB \left(\frac{\log(ABCD)}{\log \log(ABCD)} \right)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Squaring out and changing the order of summation, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (\#\mathcal{L}_z)^2 T_n(A, B, C, D; s) &\ll \sum_{\ell_1, \ell_2 \in \mathcal{L}_z} \left(\frac{s}{\ell_1 \ell_2} \right) \sum_{C \leq a \leq C+A} \sum_{D \leq b \leq D+B} \left(\frac{\Delta_n(a, b)}{\ell_1 \ell_2} \right) \\ &\quad + AB \left(\frac{\log(ABCD)}{\log \log(ABCD)} \right)^2. \end{aligned}$$

We now estimate the double sum over a and b trivially as $O(AB)$ on the *diagonal* $\ell_1 = \ell_2$ and use Lemma 6 otherwise, getting

$$\begin{aligned} (\#\mathcal{L}_z)^2 T_n(A, B, C, D; s) &\ll \#\mathcal{L}_z AB + (\#\mathcal{L}_z)^2 (z^2 + A + B) (\log z)^2 \\ (3) \quad &\quad + AB \left(\frac{\log(ABCD)}{\log \log(ABCD)} \right)^2. \end{aligned}$$

By the prime number theorem we have $\#\mathcal{L}_z \gg z/\log z$ so we derive from (3) that

$$\begin{aligned} T_n(A, B, C, D; s) &\ll ABz^{-1} \log z + A(\log z)^2 + B(\log z)^2 + z^2(\log z)^2 \\ &\quad + ABz^{-2} \left(\frac{\log(ABCD) \log z}{\log \log(ABCD)} \right)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Clearly the first term always dominates the second one, so the second term can simply be dropped. Thus taking $z = (AB)^{1/3}(\log(AB))^{-1/3}$ to balance the terms $ABz^{-1} \log z$ and $z^2(\log z)^2$, we obtain the desired estimate.

6. PROOF OF THEOREM 2

Let p_0 be the smallest prime for which there exists an irreducible trinomial

$$t^n + \alpha_0 t + \beta_0 \in \mathbb{F}_{p_0}[t]$$

(p_0 exists by Lemma 7).

We now define the sets of integers

$$\begin{aligned} (4) \quad \mathcal{A} &= \{a \in [C, C+A] \cap \mathbb{Z} : a \equiv \alpha_0 \pmod{p_0}\}; \\ \mathcal{B} &= \{b \in [D, D+B] \cap \mathbb{Z} : b \equiv \beta_0 \pmod{p_0}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Clearly,

$$(5) \quad \#\mathcal{A} \gg A \quad \text{and} \quad \#\mathcal{B} \gg B$$

and every trinomial $t^n + at + b$ with $a \in \mathcal{A}$, $b \in \mathcal{B}$ is irreducible over $\mathbb{Z}$.

Using Theorem 1 to estimate the number of pairs $(a, b) \in \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{B}$ for which $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{\Delta_n(a, b)})$ is a given quadratic field, we obtain the desired result.

7. REMARKS

Similar results can be obtained for more general trinomials $t^n + at^m + b$ with fixed integers $n > m \geq 1$. Some properties of the Galois group of these trinomials have been studied in [2, 5, 10], where one can also find an explicit formula for their discriminant (which generalises (2)). In the case of $a = b = 1$, it becomes $(-1)^{n(n-1)/2} (n^n - (-1)^n m^m (n-m)^{n-m})$. Studying arithmetic properties of this expression, for example, its square-free part, when n and m vary in the region $N \geq n > m \geq 1$ for a sufficiently large N , is a very challenging question.

Note that if $\gcd(n, \ell - 1) = \gcd(n - 1, \ell) = 1$, then for each v the map $u \rightarrow (n - 1)^{n-1}u^n + n^n v^{n-1}$ is a permutation of the field on ℓ elements, so we have $S_n(\ell) = 0$ in this case. In turn, this leads to an improvement of Lemma 6 in the case where m is a product of two such primes. Consequently, we can limit the set $\mathcal{L}_z$ in the proof of Theorem 1 to only such primes. However, this causes no effect on the final result.

REFERENCES

- [1] S. D. Cohen, ‘The distribution of polynomials over finite fields’, *Acta Arith.*, **17** (1970), 255–271. MR0277501 (43:3234)
- [2] S. D. Cohen, A. Movahhedi and A. Salinier, ‘Galois groups of trinomials’, *J. Algebra*, **222** (1999), 561–573. MR1734229 (2001b:12004)
- [3] E. Fouvry and N. Katz, ‘A general stratification theorem for exponential sums, and applications’, *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, **540** (2001), 115–166. MR1868601 (2003e:11088)
- [4] D. R. Heath-Brown, ‘The square sieve and consecutive square-free numbers’, *Math. Ann.*, **266** (1984), 251–259. MR730168 (85h:11050)
- [5] A. Hermez and A. Salinier, ‘Rational trinomials with the alternating group as Galois group’, *J. Number Theory*, **90** (2001), 113–129. MR1850876 (2002f:12004)
- [6] H. Iwaniec and E. Kowalski, *Analytic number theory*, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2004. MR2061214 (2005h:11005)
- [7] R. Lidl and H. Niederreiter, *Finite fields*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1997. MR1429394 (97i:11115)
- [8] F. Luca and I. E. Shparlinski, ‘Quadratic fields generated by polynomials’, *Arch. Math. (Basel)*, **91** (2008), 399–408. MR2461203
- [9] A. Mukhopadhyay, M. R. Murty and K. Srinivas, ‘Counting squarefree discriminants of trinomials under abc ’, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **137** (2009), 3219–3226.
- [10] B. Plans and N. Vila, ‘Trinomial extensions of $\mathbb{Q}$ with ramification conditions’, *J. Number Theory*, **105** (2004), 387–400. MR2040165 (2005a:11176)

DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTING, MACQUARIE UNIVERSITY, SYDNEY, NEW SOUTH WALES 2109, AUSTRALIA

E-mail address: igor@ics.mq.edu.au