PROCEEDINGS OF THE AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY Volume 139, Number 4, April 2011, Pages 1473–1481 S 0002-9939(2010)10651-2 Article electronically published on September 30, 2010

A NEW PROOF OF THE ORLICZ BUSEMANN-PETTY CENTROID INEQUALITY

AI-JUN LI AND GANGSONG LENG

(Communicated by Mario Bonk)

ABSTRACT. Using shadow systems, we provide a new proof of the Orlicz Busemann-Petty centroid inequality, which was first obtained by Lutwak, Yang and Zhang.

1. Introduction

Recently, in three remarkable papers [12, 23, 24], an Orlicz Brunn-Minkowski theory which extends the L_p Brunn-Minkowski theory emerged. This extension is motivated by asymmetric concepts within the L_p Brunn-Minkowski theory developed by Ludwig [14], Haberl and Schuster [9, 11], and Ludwig and Reitzner [16]. As part of this new Orlicz Brunn-Minkowski theory, Lutwak, Yang and Zhang established two beautiful inequalities, the Orlicz Busemann-Petty centroid inequality [24] and the Orlicz Petty projection inequality [23]. It turns out that the objects of the Orlicz Brunn-Minkowski theory are much more general than those of the L_p Brunn-Minkowski theory, such as affine isoperimetric inequalities, carry over to the general situation.

In this paper, inspired by the work of Campi and Gronchi [2, 3, 4], we will give an alternative proof of the Orlicz Busemann-Petty centroid inequality.

For more information on the L_p and Orlicz Brunn-Minkowski theory see, e.g., [1]–[5], [7]–[24], [29] and the references therein.

Let $\phi : \mathbb{R} \to [0, \infty)$ be an even strictly convex function such that $\phi(0) = 0$. The class of such a ϕ will be denoted by \mathcal{C} . Let K be a convex body (i.e., a compact, convex set with non-empty interior) in \mathbb{R}^n that contains the origin in its interior. Denote by |K| the volume of K. The *Orlicz centroid body* $\Gamma_{\phi}K$ of K, as defined in [24], is the convex body whose support function at $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is given by

$$h_{\Gamma_{\phi}K}(x) = \inf \left\{ \lambda > 0 : \frac{1}{|K|} \int_{K} \phi\left(\frac{\langle x, z \rangle}{\lambda}\right) dz \le 1 \right\},$$

Received by the editors February 16, 2010 and, in revised form, April 27, 2010.

 $^{2000\} Mathematics\ Subject\ Classification.\ Primary\ 52A20,\ 52A40.$

Key words and phrases. Orlicz centroid body, Orlicz Busemann-Petty centroid inequality, shadow system, parallel chord movement.

The authors would like to acknowledge the support from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (10971128), Shanghai Leading Academic Discipline Project (S30104), Scientific Research and Innovation Project of Shanghai Municipal Education Commission (09ZZ94) and Innovation Foundation of Shanghai University (SHUCX080134).

where $\langle x,z\rangle$ denotes the standard inner product of x and z, and the integration is with respect to Lebesgue measure in \mathbb{R}^n . In [24] it was shown that the function $h_{\Gamma_\phi K}$ is positively homogeneous and subadditive and hence a support function. Actually, the Orlicz centroid body can be defined on star bodies. It is clear that $|\Gamma_\phi K|/|K|$ is not translation invariant. A natural restriction which makes $|\Gamma_\phi K|/|K|$ bounded is to consider only convex bodies containing the origin in its interior.

Orlicz Busemann-Petty centroid inequality [24]. If $\phi \in \mathcal{C}$ and K is a convex body in \mathbb{R}^n that contains the origin in its interior, then the volume ratio

$$|\Gamma_{\phi}K|/|K|$$

is minimized if and only if K is an ellipsoid centered at the origin.

The critical part of the proof in [24] is that the volume of the Orlicz centroid body is not increased after a Steiner symmetrization. It is well known that every convex body can be transformed into a ball by a sequence of suitable Steiner symmetrizations. Therefore the ratio $|\Gamma_{\phi}K|/|K|$ attains its minimum when K is a ball.

In this paper, we also follow this principle. The technique we will use is that of shadow systems developed by Rogers [26] and Shephard [28]. In fact, the technique of shadow systems has been applied by Campi and Gronchi [2] to recover the L_p Busemann-Petty centroid inequality, which was first obtained by Lutwak, Yang and Zhang [20]. So our work is a natural extension of the work of Campi and Gronchi [2]. It would be impossible to overstate our reliance on their work.

A shadow system along the unit direction v is a family of convex hulls in \mathbb{R}^n ,

$$K_t = conv\{z + \alpha(z)tv : z \in A \subset \mathbb{R}^n\},$$

where A is an arbitrary bounded set of points, α is a real bounded function on A, and the parameter t runs in an interval of the real axis.

A parallel chord movement along the unit direction v, a particular type of a shadow system, is a family of convex bodies K_t in \mathbb{R}^n defined by

(1.1)
$$K_t = \{ z + \beta(z|v^{\perp})tv : z \in K, 0 \le t \le 1 \},$$

where K is a convex body in \mathbb{R}^n and β is a continuous real function on $v^{\perp} = \{z \in \mathbb{R}^n : \langle v, z \rangle = 0\}$. Notice that $|K_t|$ and the orthogonal projection $K_t|v^{\perp}$ of K_t are independent of t.

For a direction v, define a convex body by

$$K = \{x + yv : x \in K | v^{\perp}, y \in \mathbb{R}, f(x) \le y \le g(x)\}.$$

Then the parallel chord movement with speed function $\beta(x) = -(f(x) + g(x))$ is such that $K_0 = K$, $K_1 = K^v$, the reflection of K in the hyperplane is v^{\perp} , and $K_{1/2}$ is the Steiner symmetral of K with respect to v^{\perp} .

Theorem 1.1. If $\{K_t : 0 \le t \le 1\}$ is a parallel chord movement along the unit direction v, then $\Gamma_{\phi}K_t$ is a shadow system along the same direction v.

In order to deduce the Orlicz Busemann-Petty centroid inequality from Theorem 1.1, the following facts will be needed.

Fact 1 (Shephard [28]): The volume of a shadow system is a convex function of the parameter t.

Fact 2 (Lutwak, Yang and Zhang [24]): Let $\phi \in \mathcal{C}$. For a convex body K in \mathbb{R}^n and $T \in GL(n)$, $\Gamma_{\phi}(TK) = T(\Gamma_{\phi}K)$.

Fact 3 (Lutwak, Yang and Zhang [24]): The Orlicz centroid operator Γ_{ϕ} is continuous in the Hausdorff metric.

Theorem 1.1 and Fact 1 imply that the volume of $\Gamma_{\phi}K_t$ is a convex function of t. From Fact 2 we get that $\Gamma_{\phi}(K^v) = (\Gamma_{\phi}K)^v$. Thus

$$|\Gamma_{\phi}K_{1/2}| \le \frac{1}{2}|\Gamma_{\phi}K_0| + \frac{1}{2}|\Gamma_{\phi}K_1| = |\Gamma_{\phi}K|;$$

that is, the volume of the Orlicz centroid body is not increased after a Steiner symmetrization. The continuity of the Orlicz centroid operator implies the continuity of the ratio $|\Gamma_{\phi}K|/|K|$ in the Hausdorff metric. It follows that the ratio attains its minimum value when K is a ball.

Theorem 1.2. If $\{K_t : 0 \le t \le 1\}$ is a parallel chord movement with speed function β , then the volume of $\Gamma_{\phi}K_t$ is a strictly convex function of t unless β is linear.

If the speed function β of the parallel chord movement is linear, then it is easy to see that K_t is a linear image of K, for every t in the range of the movement. It is well known, see [25], that if K is not an origin symmetric ellipsoid, then there exists a direction v such that for the Steiner symmetral S_vK of K,

$$S_v K \neq AK$$
,

for all $A \in GL(n)$. Therefore, $|\Gamma_{\phi}K|/|K|$ is minimized if and only if K is an ellipsoid centered at the origin. The Orlicz Busemann-Petty centroid inequality is established.

2. Proofs of the main results

Since ϕ is strictly convex on \mathbb{R} such that $\phi(0) = 0$, it follows that the function

$$\lambda \mapsto \int_K \phi\left(\frac{\langle x, z \rangle}{\lambda}\right) dz$$

is strictly decreasing in $(0, \infty)$. It is also continuous. Thus, we have for $x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$,

(2.1)
$$h_{\Gamma_{\phi}K}(x) = \lambda \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \frac{1}{|K|} \int_{K} \phi\left(\frac{\langle x, z \rangle}{\lambda}\right) dz = 1.$$

Lemma 2.1. If $\{K_t : 0 \le t \le 1\}$ is a parallel chord movement along the unit direction v, then the orthogonal projection of $\Gamma_{\phi}K_t$ onto v^{\perp} is independent of t.

Proof. By (1.1) we have

$$\begin{split} h_{\Gamma_{\phi}K_{t}}(x) &= \inf \left\{ \lambda > 0 : \frac{1}{|K_{t}|} \int_{K_{t}} \phi \left(\frac{\langle x, z \rangle}{\lambda} \right) dz \leq 1 \right\} \\ &= \inf \left\{ \lambda > 0 : \frac{1}{|K_{0}|} \int_{K_{0}} \phi \left(\frac{\langle x, z + \beta(z|v^{\perp})tv \rangle}{\lambda} \right) dz \leq 1 \right\} \\ &= \inf \left\{ \lambda > 0 : \frac{1}{|K|} \int_{K} \phi \left(\frac{\langle x, z \rangle + \beta(z|v^{\perp})t \langle x, v \rangle}{\lambda} \right) dz \leq 1 \right\}. \end{split}$$

Then for $x \in v^{\perp}$, $h_{\Gamma_{\phi}K_t}(x) = h_{\Gamma_{\phi}K}(x)$.

The following lemma shows that $h_{\Gamma_{\phi}K_t}(x)$ is a Lipschitz function of t, hence is continuous with respect to t.

Lemma 2.2. If $\phi \in \mathcal{C}$, then for $t_1, t_2 \in [0, 1]$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$,

$$|h_{\Gamma_{\phi}K_{t_1}}(x) - h_{\Gamma_{\phi}K_{t_2}}(x)| \le |t_1 - t_2| ||\beta(\cdot|v^{\perp})\langle x, v\rangle||_{\phi},$$

where $\|\cdot\|_{\phi}$ is defined for $f:K\to\mathbb{R}$ which is continuous and not constant to 0 as

$$||f||_{\phi} = \inf \left\{ \lambda > 0 : \frac{1}{|K|} \int_{K} \phi\left(\frac{f(z)}{\lambda}\right) dz \le 1 \right\}.$$

Proof. Let $f,g:K\to\mathbb{R}$ be continuous and not constant to 0. Then the strict convexity of ϕ on \mathbb{R} implies that

(2.2)
$$||f||_{\phi} = \lambda_1 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \frac{1}{|K|} \int_{K} \phi\left(\frac{f(z)}{\lambda_1}\right) dz = 1$$

and

(2.3)
$$||g||_{\phi} = \lambda_2 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \frac{1}{|K|} \int_K \phi\left(\frac{g(z)}{\lambda_2}\right) dz = 1.$$

The convexity of the function ϕ shows that

$$\phi\left(\frac{f(z)+g(z)}{\lambda_1+\lambda_2}\right) \le \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_1+\lambda_2}\phi\left(\frac{f(z)}{\lambda_1}\right) + \frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1+\lambda_2}\phi\left(\frac{g(z)}{\lambda_2}\right).$$

Integrating both sides with respect to the Lebesgue measure of K and using (2.2), (2.3) give

$$\frac{1}{|K|} \int_{K} \phi\left(\frac{f(z) + g(z)}{\lambda_{1} + \lambda_{2}}\right) dz \le 1.$$

From the definition of $\|\cdot\|_{\phi}$ we get

$$||f + g||_{\phi} \le \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 = ||f||_{\phi} + ||g||_{\phi}.$$

Thus

$$|||f||_{\phi} - ||g||_{\phi}| \le ||f - g||_{\phi}.$$

The facts that ϕ is even and

$$h_{\Gamma_{\phi}K_t}(x) = \|\langle x, \cdot \rangle + \beta(\cdot|v^{\perp})t\langle x, v \rangle\|_{\phi}$$

conclude the proof.

Since $\Gamma_{\phi}K_t$ is a convex body for every $0 \le t \le 1$, it can be represented by

(2.4)
$$\Gamma_{\phi} K_t = \{ x + yv : x \in (\Gamma_{\phi} K_0) | v^{\perp}, f_t(x) \le y \le g_t(x) \},$$

where f_t and $-g_t$ are convex functions defined on $(\Gamma_{\phi}K_0)|v^{\perp}$.

Lemma 2.3. If $\{K_t : 0 \le t \le 1\}$ is a parallel chord movement along the unit direction v, then for every $x \in (\Gamma_{\phi}K_0)|v^{\perp}$,

(2.5)
$$g_t(x) = \inf_{u \in v^{\perp}} \{ h_{\Gamma_{\phi} K_t}(u+v) - \langle x, u \rangle \}$$

and

(2.6)
$$f_t(x) = \sup_{u \in v^{\perp}} \{ \langle x, u \rangle - h_{\Gamma_{\phi} K_t}(u - v) \}.$$

Proof. Let $u \in v^{\perp}$. For $x \in (\Gamma_{\phi}K_0)|v^{\perp}$ we have

$$x + g_t(x)v \in \Gamma_{\phi}K_t, \quad x + f_t(x)v \in \Gamma_{\phi}K_t.$$

The definition of the support function shows that

$$\langle x + g_t(x)v, u + v \rangle \le h_{\Gamma_{\phi}K_t}(u + v),$$

$$\langle x + f_t(x)v, u - v \rangle \le h_{\Gamma_{\phi}K_t}(u - v).$$

Thus,

$$\langle x, u \rangle + g_t(x) \le h_{\Gamma_\phi K_t}(u+v), \quad \langle x, u \rangle - f_t(x) \le h_{\Gamma_\phi K_t}(u-v)$$

for all $u \in v^{\perp}$.

Since $\Gamma_{\phi}K_t$ has support hyperplanes at the two points $x + g_t(x)v, x + f_t(x)v \in \partial(\Gamma_{\phi}K_t)$, for $x \in \text{relint}((\Gamma_{\phi}K_0)|v^{\perp})$, there exist two vectors u' + v and u'' - v with $u', u'' \in v^{\perp}$ such that

$$\langle x + g_t(x)v, u' + v \rangle = h_{\Gamma_{\phi}K_t}(u' + v),$$

$$\langle x + f_t(x)v, u'' - v \rangle = h_{\Gamma_{\phi}K_t}(u'' - v).$$

If $x \notin \text{relint}((\Gamma_{\phi}K_0)|v^{\perp})$, it is possible that $g_t(x) = 0$, $f_t(x) = 0$. Then we cannot find $u', u'' \in v^{\perp}$ such that

$$\langle x + g_t(x)v, u' + v \rangle = \langle x, u' \rangle = h_{\Gamma_{\phi}K_t}(u' + v),$$

$$\langle x + f_t(x)v, u'' - v \rangle = \langle x, u'' \rangle = h_{\Gamma_{\phi}K_t}(u'' - v).$$

The continuity of support functions ensures that we can take the infimum and supremum for all $u \in v^{\perp}$. Therefore, we get

$$g_t(x) = \inf_{u \in v^{\perp}} \{ h_{\Gamma_{\phi} K_t}(u+v) - \langle x, u \rangle \}$$

and

$$f_t(x) = \sup_{u \in v^{\perp}} \{ \langle x, u \rangle - h_{\Gamma_{\phi} K_t}(u - v) \}$$

for every $x \in (\Gamma_{\phi} K_0) | v^{\perp}$.

Since $h_{\Gamma_{\phi}K_t}(x)$ is a Lipschitz function of t, with Lipschitz constant $\|\beta(\cdot|v^{\perp})\langle x,v\rangle\|_{\phi}$, from Lemma 2.3 we deduce that $g_t(x)$ and $f_t(x)$ are Lipschitz functions of t too. Hence $g_t(x)$ and $f_t(x)$ are continuous with respect to t. Moreover, the convexity of $g_t(x)$ and $-f_t(x)$ with respect to t can be stated as follows.

Lemma 2.4. If $\{K_t : 0 \le t \le 1\}$ is a parallel chord movement along the unit direction v, then for every $x \in (\Gamma_{\phi}K_0)|v^{\perp}$, $g_t(x)$ and $-f_t(x)$ are convex functions of the parameter t in [0,1].

Proof. We first show that if $u_1, u_2 \in v^{\perp}$, then

$$(2.7) \qquad h_{\Gamma_{\phi}K_{\frac{t_1+t_2}{2}}}(u_1+u_2+2v) \leq h_{\Gamma_{\phi}K_{t_1}}(u_1+v) + h_{\Gamma_{\phi}K_{t_2}}(u_2+v).$$

In fact, let $h_{\Gamma_{\phi}K_{t_1}}(u_1+v)=\lambda_1, h_{\Gamma_{\phi}K_{t_2}}(u_2+v)=\lambda_2$. The convexity of ϕ gives that

$$\phi\left(\frac{\langle u_{1}+u_{2}+2v,z\rangle+\beta(z|v^{\perp})\frac{t_{1}+t_{2}}{2}\langle u_{1}+u_{2}+2v,v\rangle}{\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}}\right)$$

$$=\phi\left(\frac{\langle u_{1}+v,z\rangle+\beta(z|v^{\perp})t_{1}+\langle u_{2}+v,z\rangle+\beta(z|v^{\perp})t_{2}}{\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}}\right)$$

$$=\phi\left(\frac{\langle u_{1}+v,z\rangle+\beta(z|v^{\perp})t_{1}\langle u_{1}+v,v\rangle+\langle u_{2}+v,z\rangle+\beta(z|v^{\perp})t_{2}\langle u_{2}+v,v\rangle}{\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}}\right)$$

$$\leq\frac{\lambda_{1}}{\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}}\phi\left(\frac{\langle u_{1}+v,z\rangle+\beta(z|v^{\perp})t_{1}\langle u_{1}+v,v\rangle}{\lambda_{1}}\right)$$

$$(2.8)$$

$$+\frac{\lambda_{2}}{\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}}\phi\left(\frac{\langle u_{2}+v,z\rangle+\beta(z|v^{\perp})t_{2}\langle u_{2}+v,v\rangle}{\lambda_{2}}\right).$$

Integrating both sides and using (2.1), we obtain (2.7).

By Lemma 2.3 and (2.7), we obtain

$$\begin{split} 2g_{\frac{t_1+t_2}{2}}(x) &= \inf_{u \in v^{\perp}} \{h_{\Gamma_{\phi}K_{\frac{t_1+t_2}{2}}}(2(u+v)) - \langle x, 2u \rangle \} \\ &= \inf_{u_1,u_2 \in v^{\perp}} \{h_{\Gamma_{\phi}K_{\frac{t_1+t_2}{2}}}(u_1+u_2+2v) - \langle x, u_1+u_2 \rangle \} \\ &\leq \inf_{u_1,u_2 \in v^{\perp}} \{h_{\Gamma_{\phi}K_{t_1}}(u_1+v) + h_{\Gamma_{\phi}K_{t_2}}(u_2+v) - \langle x, u_1+u_2 \rangle \} \\ &= \inf_{u_1 \in v^{\perp}} \{h_{\Gamma_{\phi}K_{t_1}}(u_1+v) - \langle x, u_1 \rangle \} + \inf_{u_2 \in v^{\perp}} \{h_{\Gamma_{\phi}K_{t_1}}(u_2+v) - \langle x, u_2 \rangle \} \\ &= g_{t_1}(x) + g_{t_2}(x). \end{split}$$

The convexity of the function $-f_t$ of t can be proved in the same way.

Lemma 2.5. If $\{K_t : 0 \le t \le 1\}$ is a parallel chord movement along the unit direction v, then for every $x \in (\Gamma_{\phi}K_0)|v^{\perp}$ and $t_1, t_2, \theta \in [0, 1]$,

$$f_{\theta t_1 + (1-\theta)t_2}(x) \le \theta g_{t_1}(x) + (1-\theta)f_{t_2}(x) \le g_{\theta t_1 + (1-\theta)t_2}(x).$$

Proof. Let $u_1, u_2 \in v^{\perp}$ and

$$h_{\Gamma_{\phi}K_{t_1}}(-\theta u_1 + \theta v) = \lambda_1, \quad h_{\Gamma_{\phi}K_{\theta t_1 + (1-\theta)t_2}}(u_2 - v) = \lambda_2.$$

Then we have

$$\phi\left(\frac{\langle u_2 - \theta u_1 - (1 - \theta)v, z \rangle + \beta(z|v^{\perp})t_2\langle u_2 - \theta u_1 - (1 - \theta)v, v \rangle}{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2}\right)$$

$$= \phi\left(\frac{\langle u_2 - v, z \rangle + \langle -\theta u_1 + \theta v, z \rangle - \beta(z|v^{\perp})((1 - \theta)t_2 + \theta t_1 - \theta t_1)}{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2}\right)$$

$$\leq \frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2}\phi\left(\frac{\langle u_2 - v, z \rangle + \beta(z|v^{\perp})((1 - \theta)t_2 + \theta t_1)\langle u_2 - v, v \rangle}{\lambda_2}\right)$$

$$+ \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2}\phi\left(\frac{\langle -\theta u_1 + \theta v, z \rangle + \beta(z|v^{\perp})t_1\langle -\theta u_1 + \theta v, v \rangle}{\lambda_1}\right).$$

Integrating both sides and using (2.1) give

$$(2.9) \ h_{\Gamma_{\phi}K_{t_2}}(u_2 - \theta u_1 - (1 - \theta)v) \le h_{\Gamma_{\phi}K_{t_1}}(-\theta u_1 + \theta v) + h_{\Gamma_{\phi}K_{\theta t_1 + (1 - \theta)t_2}}(u_2 - v).$$

Thus, from (2.9), we get

$$\begin{split} &(1-\theta)f_{t_{2}}(x) \\ &= \sup_{u \in v^{\perp}} \left\{ \langle x, (1-\theta)u \rangle - h_{\Gamma_{\phi}K_{t_{2}}}((1-\theta)(u-v)) \right\} \\ &= \sup_{-u_{1}, u_{2} \in v^{\perp}} \left\{ \langle x, u_{2} - \theta u_{1} \rangle - h_{\Gamma_{\phi}K_{t_{2}}}(u_{2} - \theta u_{1} - (1-\theta)v) \right\} \\ &\geq \sup_{-u_{1}, u_{2} \in v^{\perp}} \left\{ \langle x, u_{2} - \theta u_{1} \rangle - h_{\Gamma_{\phi}K_{t_{1}}}(-\theta u_{1} + \theta v) - h_{\Gamma_{\phi}K_{\theta t_{1} + (1-\theta)t_{2}}}(u_{2} - v) \right\} \\ &= \sup_{-u_{1} \in v^{\perp}} \left\{ \langle x, -\theta u_{1} \rangle - h_{\Gamma_{\phi}K_{t_{1}}}(-\theta u_{1} + \theta v) \right\} \\ &+ \sup_{u_{2} \in v^{\perp}} \left\{ \langle x, u_{2} \rangle - h_{\Gamma_{\phi}K_{\theta t_{1} + (1-\theta)t_{2}}}(u_{2} - v) \right\} \\ &= -\theta g_{t_{1}}(x) + f_{\theta t_{1} + (1-\theta)t_{2}}(x). \end{split}$$

This gives the first inequality. The second inequality follows by interchanging t_1 with t_2 and x with -x.

In order to prove Theorem 1.1 we shall require the following crucial lemma, which was proved by Campi and Gronchi [2].

Lemma 2.6. Let $\{H_t : 0 \le t \le 1\}$ be a one-parameter family of convex bodies such that $H_t|_{v^{\perp}}$ is independent of t. Assume that the bodies H_t are defined by

$$H_t = \{x + yv : x \in H_t | v^{\perp}, y \in \mathbb{R}, f_t(x) \le y \le g_t(x)\}, \quad 0 \le t \le 1,$$

for suitable functions g_t, f_t . Then $\{H_t : 0 \le t \le 1\}$ is a shadow system along the direction v if and only if for every $x \in H_0|v^{\perp}$,

- (1) $g_t(x)$ and $-f_t(x)$ are convex functions of the parameter t in [0,1],
- (2) $f_{\lambda t_1 + (1-\lambda)t_2}(x) \leq \lambda g_{t_1}(x) + (1-\lambda)f_{t_2}(x) \leq g_{\lambda t_1 + (1-\lambda)t_2}(x)$, for every $t_1, t_2, \lambda \in [0, 1]$.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let $\{K_t: 0 \le t \le 1\}$ be a parallel chord movement along the unit direction v. By Lemma 2.1 we obtain that the orthogonal projection of $\Gamma_{\phi}K_t$ onto v^{\perp} is independent of t. Then from Lemma 2.6 it is sufficient to show that the family $\Gamma_{\phi}K_t$ satisfies conditions (1) and (2) of Lemma 2.6. Actually, Lemma 2.4 and Lemma 2.5 demonstrate these two conditions for $\Gamma_{\phi}K_t$. Therefore, we deduce that $\Gamma_{\phi}K_t$ is a shadow system along the direction v.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. By Fubini's theorem it is easy to see that

(2.10)
$$|\Gamma_{\phi}K_t| = \int_{(\Gamma_{\phi}K_0)|v^{\perp}} \left(g_t(x) - f_t(x)\right) dx.$$

That the volume of $\Gamma_{\phi}K_t$ is a convex function of t therefore follows from the convexity of $g_t(x)$ and $-f_t(x)$ with respect to t.

Suppose that

$$\left|\Gamma_{\phi}K_{\frac{t_1+t_2}{2}}\right| = \frac{1}{2}|\Gamma_{\phi}K_{t_1}| + \frac{1}{2}|\Gamma_{\phi}K_{t_1}|$$

for some $t_1, t_2 \in [0, 1]$. From (2.10) and the continuity of g_t, f_t with respect to x, we obtain that

$$(2.11) g_{\frac{t_1+t_2}{2}}(x) - f_{\frac{t_1+t_2}{2}}(x) = \frac{1}{2} (g_{t_1}(x) + g_{t_2}(x)) - \frac{1}{2} (f_{t_1}(x) + f_{t_2}(x))$$

for almost every $x \in (\Gamma_{\phi}K_0)|v^{\perp}$. Let $x \in \text{relint}((\Gamma_{\phi}K_0)|v^{\perp})$. Then there exist $u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4 \in v^{\perp}$ such that

$$\frac{1}{2} (g_{t_1}(x) + g_{t_2}(x)) - \frac{1}{2} (f_{t_1}(x) + f_{t_2}(x))$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} (h_{\Gamma_{\phi}K_{t_1}}(u_1 + v) + h_{\Gamma_{\phi}K_{t_2}}(u_2 + v) + h_{\Gamma_{\phi}K_{t_1}}(u_3 - v) + h_{\Gamma_{\phi}K_{t_2}}(u_4 - v)$$

$$- \langle x, u_1 \rangle - \langle x, u_2 \rangle - \langle x, u_3 \rangle - \langle x, u_4 \rangle).$$

By (2.7) we get

$$\frac{1}{2} (g_{t_1}(x) + g_{t_2}(x)) - \frac{1}{2} (f_{t_1}(x) + f_{t_2}(x))$$

$$\geq h_{\Gamma_{\phi} K_{\frac{t_1 + t_2}{2}}} \left(\frac{u_1 + u_2}{2} + v \right) - \left\langle x, \frac{u_1 + u_2}{2} \right\rangle$$

$$+ h_{\Gamma_{\phi} K_{\frac{t_1 + t_2}{2}}} \left(\frac{u_3 + u_4}{2} - v \right) - \left\langle x, \frac{u_3 + u_4}{2} \right\rangle$$

$$\geq g_{\frac{t_1 + t_2}{2}}(x) - f_{\frac{t_1 + t_2}{2}}(x).$$
(2.12)

The equality of (2.11) forces equality in (2.12) and equality in (2.8). Since ϕ is strictly convex, we have

(2.13)
$$\frac{\langle u_1 + v, z \rangle + \beta(z|v^{\perp})t_1}{\lambda_1} = \frac{\langle u_2 + v, z \rangle + \beta(z|v^{\perp})t_2}{\lambda_2}$$

for every $z \in K_0$, owing to the continuity of β .

Setting z = z' + sv, $z' \in K_0|v^{\perp}$, in (2.13) and differentiating with respect to the parameter s, it turns out that $\lambda_1/\lambda_2 = 1$, that is,

$$\langle u_1 + v, z \rangle + \beta(z|v^{\perp})t_1 = \langle u_2 + v, z \rangle + \beta(z|v^{\perp})t_2.$$

So we conclude that $\beta(x) = \langle x, u \rangle$ for some vector u. This completes the proof. \square

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors are indebted to the referee for valuable suggestions and a very careful reading of the original manuscript.

REFERENCES

- [1] G. Berck, Convexity of L_p intersection bodies, Adv. Math. 222 (2009), 220–236. MR2553373
- [2] S. Campi, P. Gronchi, The L_p -Busemann-Petty centroid inequality, Adv. Math. 167 (2002), 128–141. MR1901248 (2003e:52011)
- [3] S. Campi, P. Gronchi, On the reverse L_p -Busemann-Petty centroid inequality, Mathematika 49 (2002), 1–11. MR2059037 (2005d:52006)
- [4] S. Campi, P. Gronchi, On volume product inequalities for convex sets, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 134 (2006), 2393–2402. MR2213713 (2007a:52010)
- [5] S. Campi, P. Gronchi, Volume inequalities for L_p -zonotopes, Mathematika **53** (2006), 71–80. MR2304053 (2007m:52011)
- [6] R.J. Gardner, Geometric Tomography, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1995. MR1356221 (96j:52006)
- [7] C. Haberl, M. Ludwig, A characterization of L_p intersection bodies, Int. Math. Res. Not. 17 (2006), Article ID 10548. MR2250020 (2007k:52007)
- [8] C. Haberl, L_p intersection bodies, Adv. Math. **217** (2008), 2599–2624. MR2397461 (2009a:52001)
- [9] C. Haberl, F. Schuster, Asymmetric affine L_p Sobolev inequalities, J. Funct. Anal. 257 (2009), 641–658. MR2530600

- [10] C. Haberl, Star body valued valuations, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 58 (2009), 2253–2276. MR2583498
- [11] C. Haberl, F. Schuster, General L_p affine isoperimetric inequalities, J. Differential Geom. 83 (2009), 1–26. MR2545028
- [12] C. Haberl, E. Lutwak, D. Yang, G. Zhang, The even Orlicz Minkowski problem, Adv. Math. 224 (2010), 2485–2510.
- [13] M. Ludwig, Projection bodies and valuations, Adv. Math. 172 (2002), 158-168. MR1942402 (2003);52012)
- [14] M. Ludwig, Minkowski valuations, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 357 (2005), 4191–4213. MR2159706 (2006f:52005)
- [15] M. Ludwig, Intersection bodies and valuations, Amer. J. Math. 128 (2006), 1409–1428. MR2275906 (2008a:52012)
- [16] M. Ludwig, M. Reitzner, A classification of SL(n) invariant valuations, Ann. Math. (2) 172 (2010), 1219–1267.
- [17] E. Lutwak, The Brunn-Minkowski-Firey theory. I. Mixed volumes and the Minkowski problem, J. Differential Geom. 38 (1993), 131–150. MR1231704 (94g:52008)
- [18] E. Lutwak, The Brunn-Minkowski-Firey theory. II. Affine and geominimal surface areas, Adv. Math. 118 (1996), 244–294. MR1378681 (97f:52014)
- [19] E. Lutwak, G. Zhang, Blaschke-Santaló inequalities, J. Differential Geom. 47 (1997), 1–16. MR1601426 (2000c:52011)
- [20] E. Lutwak, D. Yang, G. Zhang, L_p affine isoperimetric inequalities, J. Differential Geom. **56** (2000), 111–132. MR1863023 (2002h:52011)
- [21] E. Lutwak, D. Yang, G. Zhang, A new ellipsoid associated with convex bodies, Duke Math. J. 104 (2000), 375–390. MR1781476 (2001j:52011)
- [22] E. Lutwak, D. Yang, G. Zhang, L_p John ellipsoids, Proc. London Math. Soc. **90** (2005), 497–520. MR2142136 (2006b:52007)
- [23] E. Lutwak, D. Yang, G. Zhang, Orlicz projection bodies, Adv. Math. 223 (2010), 220-242.
- [24] E. Lutwak, D. Yang, G. Zhang, Orlicz centroid bodies, J. Differential Geom. 84 (2010), 365–387.
- [25] C.M. Petty, Ellipsoids, in "Convexity and its applications" (P.M. Gruber and J.M. Wills, eds.), pp. 264-276, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1983. MR731114 (86a:52019)
- [26] C.A. Rogers, G.C. Shephard, Some extremal problems for convex bodies, Mathematika 5 (1958), 93-102. MR0104203 (21:2960)
- [27] R. Schneider, Convex bodies: the Brunn-Minkowski theory, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, Vol. 44, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1993. MR1216521 (94d:52007)
- [28] G.C. Shephard, Shadow systems of convex bodies, Israel J. Math. 2 (1964), 229-236. MR0179686 (31:3931)
- [29] E. Werner, D.-P. Ye, New L_p affine isoperimetric inequalities, Adv. Math. 218 (2008), 762–780. MR2414321 (2009g:52010)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SHANGHAI UNIVERSITY, SHANGHAI 200444, PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA – AND – SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND INFORMATION SCIENCE, HENAN POLYTECHNIC UNIVERSITY, JIAOZUO CITY, 454000, PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA

 $E ext{-}mail\ address: liaijun72@163.com}$

Department of Mathematics, Shanghai University, Shanghai 200444, People's Republic of China

 $E ext{-}mail\ address: gleng@staff.shu.edu.cn}$