THE GROUP OF AUTOMORPHISMS OF THE SPECTRAL BALL

LUKASZ KOSIŃSKI

(Communicated by Franc Forstneric)

ABSTRACT. It is shown that the conjecture on the description of the group of automorphisms of the spectral ball posed by Ransford and White is false.

Let Ω denote the spectral ball, that is, a domain composed of $n \times n$ complex matrices whose spectral radius is less than 1. The natural question that arises in the study of the geometry of Ω is to classify its group of automorphisms. It is seen that among them there are the following three forms:

- (i) *Transposition*: $\mathcal{T} : x \mapsto x^t$.
- (ii) *Möbius maps*: $\mathcal{M}_{\alpha, \gamma} : x \mapsto \gamma(x - \alpha)(1 - \overline{\alpha}x)^{-1}$, where α lies in the unit disc and $|\gamma| = 1$.
- (iii) *Conjugations*: $\mathcal{J}_u : x \mapsto u(x)^{-1}xu(x)$, where $u : \Omega \rightarrow M_n^{-1}$ is a conjugate invariant holomorphic map, i.e. $u(q^{-1}xq) = u(x)$ for each $x \in \Omega$ and $q \in M_n^{-1}$.

Ransford and White have asked in [4] whether the compositions of the three above forms generate the whole $\text{Aut}(\Omega)$ - the group of automorphisms of the spectral ball. The problems related to this question were considered among others in [1], [3], [5] and [6].

The aim of this short note is to show that the answer to the conjecture posed by Ransford and White is negative. More precisely, we will present an example of an automorphism of the spectral ball which is not generated by mappings (i), (ii) and (iii).

To simplify the notation we focus our attention on the case $n = 2$. The similar examples working for $n \geq 2$ are self-evident.

For the convenience of the reader we start by recalling some basic properties of the mappings (i), (ii) and (iii), which will be useful in the sequel. Let f be an automorphism of the spectral ball generated by (i), (ii) and (iii) and preserving the origin. Observe that $\mathcal{J}_u \circ \mathcal{M}_{\alpha, \gamma} = \mathcal{M}_{\alpha, \gamma} \circ \mathcal{J}_{u \circ \mathcal{M}_{\alpha, \gamma}}$ for any $u : \Omega \rightarrow M_n^{-1}$, $|\alpha| < 1$ and $|\gamma| = 1$. Moreover, a composition of two Möbius maps is a Möbius map, $\mathcal{T} \circ \mathcal{M}_{\alpha, \gamma} = \mathcal{M}_{\alpha, \gamma} \circ \mathcal{T}$, and $\mathcal{T} \circ \mathcal{J}_u = \mathcal{J}_{u^{-1} \circ \mathcal{T}} \circ \mathcal{T}$. Therefore, the automorphism f is generated only by the mappings of the form (i) and (iii). Moreover, $f'(0)$ is a linear automorphism of the spectral ball, and it follows from [4] that $f'(0).x = \gamma m x m^{-1}$, $x \in \Omega$, or $f'(0).x = \gamma m x^t m^{-1}$, $x \in \Omega$, for some unimodular constant γ and invertible matrix

Received by the editors December 16, 2010 and, in revised form, February 8, 2011.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 32M17; Secondary 32A07.

Key words and phrases. Spectral ball, group of automorphisms.

This work was partially supported by the grant of the Polish Ministry for Science and Higher Education No. N N201 361436.

m . Note also that if the first possibility holds, i.e. $f'(0).x = \gamma m x m^{-1}$, then $\gamma^{-1}f$ is of the form (iii).

Theorem 1 (Example 1). *Let φ be an entire holomorphic function on $\mathbb{C}$. Put*

$$f((x_{ij})) = \begin{pmatrix} x_{11} & e^{-\varphi(x_{12}x_{21})}x_{12} \\ e^{\varphi(x_{12}x_{21})}x_{21} & x_{22} \end{pmatrix}, \quad x \in \Omega.$$

Then f is an automorphism of Ω which is not generated by mappings of the form (i), (ii) and (iii), providing that φ is non-constant.

Proof. It is clear that f preserves the spectrum, so $f(\Omega) \subset \Omega$. Thus, it suffices to observe that the inverse to f is given by the formula

$$f^{(-1)}((x_{ij})) = \begin{pmatrix} x_{11} & e^{\varphi(x_{12}x_{21})}x_{12} \\ e^{-\varphi(x_{12}x_{21})}x_{21} & x_{22} \end{pmatrix}, \quad x \in \Omega.$$

What remains to be done is to show that f is not generated by the mappings of the forms (i), (ii) and (iii).

It is clear that $f'(0).x = m x m^{-1}$, $x \in \Omega$, for some diagonal $m \in \mathcal{M}_2^{-1}$, so we aim at proving that f is not a conjugation $\mathcal{J}_v$ with v depending only on the conjugacy class of x .

It is enough to observe that f restricted to the fiber $\mathfrak{S} := \{x \in \Omega : \det x = 0, \operatorname{tr} x = 1/2\}$ is not of the form $f(x) = n x n^{-1}$, $x \in \Omega$, for any invertible n . To do this compute

$$f\left(\begin{pmatrix} \lambda & \lambda \\ 1/2 - \lambda & 1/2 - \lambda \end{pmatrix}\right) = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & e^{-\varphi(\lambda(1/2-\lambda))}\lambda \\ e^{\varphi(\lambda(1/2-\lambda))}(1/2 - \lambda) & 1/2 - \lambda \end{pmatrix},$$

$\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, and use the trivial fact that for any $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$ the mappings $e^{\varphi(\lambda(1/2-\lambda))}\lambda$ and $\alpha\lambda + \beta$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ are not identically equal, provided that φ is non-constant (compare for example the singularity at ∞). $\square$

Remark 1. One can show that the conjugation

$$(1) \quad \mathcal{J}(x) = \operatorname{diag}(a(x), a^{-1}(x))x \operatorname{diag}(a(x), a^{-1}(x))^{-1},$$

where a is a non-vanishing holomorphic function on Ω , is an automorphism of the spectral ball if and only if a depends only on x_{11}, x_{22} and $x_{12}x_{21}$ (compare it with [2]). The proof of this fact is not difficult and is standard in geometric function theory (the methods rely mainly upon direct calculations). For the sake of simplicity we omit it here.

Remark 1 describes more precisely the situation occurring in Example 1. Actually, the automorphism appearing in Example 1 is of the form (1) with a depending only on $x_{12}x_{21}$ (more precisely, $a(x) = e^{-\varphi(x_{12}x_{21})/2}$).

Example 2. Put $u\left(\begin{pmatrix} x_{11} & x_{12} \\ x_{21} & x_{22} \end{pmatrix}\right) := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ a(x_{12}) & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, $x \in \Omega$, where a is an entire holomorphic function. Then the conjugation $f(x) = u(x)xu(x)^{-1}$, $x \in \Omega$, is an automorphism of Ω non-generated by the automorphisms of the forms (i), (ii) and (iii).

Proof. Direct computations allow us to observe that $f^{(-1)}$ is given by the formula $f^{(-1)}(x) = u^{-1}(x)xu(x)$, $x \in \Omega$. The second part may be proved similarly, as in the previous example. $\square$

Remark 2. We would like to point out that the situation occurring in the above example may be described more precisely. Namely, put $u := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ a & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, where a is an arbitrary holomorphic function on Ω . Then the conjugation $\mathcal{J}_u : x \mapsto u(x)xu(x)^{-1}$ is an automorphism of Ω if a depends on x_{12} , $\operatorname{tr} x$ and $\det x$. This remark, similar to Example 1, may be quite easily verified by the reader.

The problem of describing the group of automorphisms of the spectral ball was divided into two parts in [5]. The first one is to prove that any normalized automorphism (i.e. an automorphism fixing the origin and satisfying $f'(0) = \operatorname{id}$) is a conjugation $\mathcal{J}_u$, where $u : \Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_n^{-1}$ is a holomorphic mapping. The second one is to show that an automorphism being a conjugation is of the form $\mathcal{J}_u$ with u satisfying the condition $u(q^{-1}xq) = u(x)$ for each $x \in \Omega$ and each invertible q . Note that we have shown that the second statement is false. On the other hand, it seems that the answer to the first question is affirmative. Therefore, we have the following two problems:

- Is every normalized automorphism of the spectral ball of the form $x \mapsto u(x)xu(x)^{-1}$, where $u : \Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_n^{-1}$ is a holomorphic mapping?
- Describe all holomorphic $u : \Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_n^{-1}$ such that the mapping $x \mapsto u(x)xu(x)^{-1}$ is an automorphism of Ω .

Examples 1 and 2 suggest that the answer to the second question may be very complicated (one may consider compositions of the mappings occurring in these examples, etc.). We would like to point out here that careful study of arguments used in [3] allows us to observe that for any normalized automorphism f there is a mapping $u \in \mathcal{O}(\Omega, \mathcal{M}_n)$ such that $\det u \neq 0$ and $u(x)f(x) = xu(x)$. Moreover, such a mapping $u = (u_{ij})$ may be chosen so that $u_{12} = 0$. Therefore Remarks 1 and 2 together with the above remark suggest that the classification of the group of automorphisms of the spectral ball is possible.

REFERENCES

- [1] L. BARIBEAU AND T.J. RANSFORD, *Non-linear spectrum-preserving maps*, Bull. London Math. Soc. 32 (2000), 8–14. MR1718765 (2000j:15008)
- [2] Y. NISHIMURA, *Applications holomorphes injectives à jacobien constant de deux variables*, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. (1986), no. 26-4, 697–709. MR864471 (87m:32054)
- [3] P.J. THOMAS, *A local form for the automorphisms of the spectral unit ball*, Collect. Math. 59 (2008), no. 3, 321–324. MR2452310 (2009g:32047)
- [4] T.J. RANSFORD AND M.C. WHITE, *Holomorphic self-maps of the spectral unit ball*, Bull. London Math. Soc. 23 (1991), 256–262. MR1123334 (92g:32049)
- [5] J. ROSTAND, *On the automorphisms of the spectral unit ball*, Studia Math. 155 (2003), 207–230. MR1961225 (2003m:32018)
- [6] W. ZWONEK, *Proper holomorphic mappings of the spectral unit ball*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 136 (2008), no. 8, 2869–2874. MR2399052 (2009f:32033)

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, JAGIELLONIAN UNIVERSITY, ŁOJASIEWICZA 6, 30-348 KRAKÓW, POLAND

E-mail address: lukasz.kosinski@gazeta.pl