TOPOLOGICAL METHOD FOR DETECTING FIXED POINTS OF MAPS HOMOTOPIC TO SELFMAPS OF COMPACT ENRS

GRZEGORZ KOSIOROWSKI AND KLAUDIUSZ WÓJCIK

(Communicated by Yingfei Yi)

ABSTRACT. Assume that X is a metric space and $B \subset X$ is compact. Let $f : B \rightarrow X$ be a continuous map homotopic to g , the selfmap of B . The aim of this paper is to present a method for detecting fixed points of f . It is based on the notion of the Ważewski set for the homotopy F between g and f .

1. INTRODUCTION

The notion of a Ważewski set is a very useful tool for detecting invariant sets in dynamical systems generated by differential equations (see [Sr1, Sr2, SWZ]). In particular it is frequently used for detecting fixed points of a time-one map of a semi-flow on the space X . We recall very briefly the main idea of the Ważewski method. A continuous map $\phi : [0, +\infty) \times X \rightarrow X$ is a semi-flow if

$$\phi(0, x) = x, \quad \phi(s, \phi(t, x)) = \phi(s + t, x), \quad s, t \in [0, +\infty), \quad x \in X.$$

Let $B \subset X$. One can define the exit set of B by

$$B^- = \{x \in B : \phi([0, t] \times \{x\}) \not\subset B \text{ for all } t > 0\}.$$

We say that B is a Ważewski set if B and B^- are compact. Then one can show that the function

$$\sigma : B \ni x \rightarrow \sup\{t \geq 0 : \phi([0, t], x) \subset B\} \in [0, +\infty]$$

is continuous. It was proved by Srzednicki that if B and B^- are additionally ENRs and their Euler characteristics are not equal (i.e. $\chi(B) \neq \chi(B^-)$), then B contains a stationary point of ϕ . The continuity of σ and the Lefschetz fixed point theorem are essential to show that for $t > 0$ the map $\phi(t, \cdot) : B \rightarrow X$ has a fixed point in B .

The aim of this paper is to present a generalization of the method above for detecting a fixed point in the following context: assume that X is a metric space and $B \subset X$ is compact. Let $f : B \rightarrow X$ be a continuous map homotopic to $g : B \rightarrow X$ such that $g(B) \subset B$; i.e., there exists a continuous map $F : [0, 1] \times B \rightarrow X$ such that

- $F_1 := F(1, \cdot) = f$,
- $F_0 := F(0, \cdot) = g$.

Received by the editors November 1, 2010 and, in revised form, June 3, 2011 and June 9, 2011.
 2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 37C25, 58J20; Secondary 32S50.

Key words and phrases. Lefschetz number, relative Nielsen number, fixed point index.

This work was co-financed with budget funds allocated to education in 2010-2011 as a research project by the grant N N201 411439.

©2012 American Mathematical Society
 Reverts to public domain 28 years from publication

In order to find fixed points of f we define the Ważewski set for the homotopy F .

2. WAŻEWSKI SETS FOR A HOMOTOPY

By $\pi_1 : [0, 1] \times X \rightarrow [0, 1]$ and $\pi_2 : [0, 1] \times X \rightarrow X$ we denote projections. For $Z \subset [0, 1] \times X$ and $t \in [0, 1]$ we put $Z_t = \{x \in X : (t, x) \in Z\}$.

For a homotopy $F : [0, 1] \times B \rightarrow X$ we denote

$$F_t : B \ni x \rightarrow F(t, x) \in X, \quad t \in [0, 1].$$

Let $W \subset [0, 1] \times X$ be a compact subset such that $W_0 = B$. We define an exit-time function for W :

$$\tau_W : B \ni x \rightarrow \sup\{t \in [0, 1] : F(s, x) \in W_s \forall s \in [0, t]\} \in [0, 1].$$

We say that W is a Ważewski set for F if its exit-time function is continuous. The set $W^- = \{(\tau_W(x), F(\tau_W(x), x)) : x \in B\} \subset W$ is called the exit set of W . It follows that W^- is compact.

Definition 2.1. The Ważewski set W for F is called a *segment* if the following conditions hold:

- there exists a compact subset W^{--} (called the essential exit set) of W^- such that

$$W^- \cap ([0, 1] \times X) \subset W^{--}, \quad W^{--} = \text{cl}(W^{--} \cap ([0, 1] \times X)),$$

- there exists a homeomorphism $h : [0, 1] \times B \rightarrow W$ such that $\pi_1 \circ h = \pi_1$ and

$$W^{--} \subset h([0, 1] \times W_0^{--}), \quad \pi_2(h(1, W_0^{--})) \subset W_0^{--},$$

- $W_1 = W_0$, $W_1^{--} \subset W_0^{--}$ and $g(W_0^{--}) \subset W_0^{--}$.

Definition 2.2. Let W be a segment and h be a homeomorphism from Definition 2.1. Then $m : W_0 \rightarrow W_0$ defined by

$$m(x) := \pi_2 h(1, \pi_2 h^{-1}(0, x)), \quad x \in W_0,$$

is the *monodromy homeomorphism* of the segment W .

Let us note that $m(W_0^{--}) \subset W_0^{--}$. Moreover, two morphisms induced in homologies: $H(m) : H(W_0) \rightarrow H(W_0)$ and $H(m \circ g) : H(W_0) \rightarrow H(W_0)$ do not depend on the choice of h .

Indeed, let $h : [0, 1] \times B \rightarrow W$, $k : [0, 1] \times B \rightarrow W$ be homeomorphisms satisfying conditions from the definition of a segment and let m_h and m_k be their corresponding monodromy homeomorphisms. We will prove that $m_h \circ g$ and $m_k \circ g$ are homotopic (for $g = id$, m_h and m_k are homotopic); thus they induce the same morphisms in homologies. Let

$$h_t : W_0 \ni x \rightarrow \pi_2 h(t, \pi_2 h^{-1}(0, x)) \in W_t$$

and

$$k^t : W_t \ni x \rightarrow \pi_2 k(1, \pi_2 k^{-1}(t, x)) \in W_0.$$

Then

$$H : [0, 1] \times B \ni (s, x) \rightarrow k^s \circ h_s \circ g(x) \in B$$

is a homotopy such that $H(0, \cdot) = k^0 \circ h_0 \circ g = m_k \circ g$ and $H(1, \cdot) = k^1 \circ h_1 \circ g = m_h \circ g$.

Example 2.3. We describe this construction in the case of a time-one map of a semi-flow ϕ on X . For a subset $B \subset X$ one can consider a homotopy $F : [0, 1] \times B \rightarrow X$ given by

$$F(t, x) = \phi(t, x).$$

Then $f = F_1 = \phi(1, \cdot)|_B$ is a restriction of the time-one map to the set B and $g = F_0 = \phi(0, \cdot)|_B = i$ is the inclusion map. In the extended phase space $[0, +\infty) \times X$ we consider a set $W = [0, 1] \times B$. Assume that the exit-time function

$$\tau_W : B \ni x \rightarrow \sup\{t \in [0, 1] : (s, \phi(s, x)) \in W \forall s \in [0, t]\} \in [0, 1]$$

is continuous. The exit set is given by

$$W^- = \{(\tau_W(x), \phi(\tau_W(x), x)) : x \in B\}.$$

If B is a Ważewski set for the semi-flow ϕ (i.e., B, B^- are compact), then W is a segment for F . Indeed,

$$\tau_W(x) = \begin{cases} \sigma(x), & \text{if } \sigma(x) \leq 1; \\ 1, & \text{if } \sigma(x) \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

Then W^- is given by

$$W^- = \{(\sigma(x), \phi(\sigma(x), x)) : x \in \sigma^{-1}([0, 1])\} \cup \{(1, \phi(1, x)) : x \in \sigma^{-1}([1, +\infty))\},$$

and the essential exit set of W is equal to

$$W^{--} = \{(\sigma(x), \phi(\sigma(x), x)) : x \in \sigma^{-1}([0, 1])\}.$$

In particular,

$$W_0 = B, \quad W_0^{--} = B^-.$$

Example 2.4. Let us mention that if X is contractible, then each map $f : B \rightarrow X$ is homotopic to the inclusion. In particular, f is not necessarily a time-one map for any semi-flow even if g is the inclusion map.

Example 2.5. We illustrate the notion of a segment for a homotopy with the following simple example. Let $B = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| \leq 1\}$ and $f : B \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a continuous map such that

$$\{(1-t)z + tf(z) : t \in [0, 1]\} \cap B = \{z\}, \quad z \in \partial B.$$

Consider a homotopy

$$F : [0, 1] \times B \ni (t, z) \rightarrow (1-t)z + tf(z) \in \mathbb{C}.$$

We define $W = [0, 1] \times B$. In order to show that W is a Ważewski set for F it is sufficient to show that the exit-time function $\tau_W : B \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is continuous. But $\tau_W(z)$ is determined by the intersection point of the segment joining points z and $f(z)$ with the set

$$Y = [0, 1] \times \partial B \cup \{1\} \times B,$$

so it is continuous. We will argue that Y is equal to the exit set W^- . By definition, $W^- = w(B)$, where

$$w : B \ni z \rightarrow (\tau_W(z), F(\tau_W(z), z)) \in Y.$$

Since $w(z) = z$ for $z \in \partial B$ and $w|_{\partial B}$ has an extension on B , so $w|_{\partial B} : \partial B \rightarrow W^- = w(B)$ is homotopic to a constant map in W^- ; hence $W^- = Y$. It follows that W

is a segment for F with the identity on W as a homeomorphism h . Observe that

$$W_0 = B, W_0^{--} = \partial B,$$

and m is homotopic to the identity on W_0 .

3. DETECTION OF FIXED POINTS

We use standard notation concerning fixed point theory ([JM]). In particular, $\text{Fix}(f) = \{x \in U : f(x) = x\}$ denotes the set of fixed points of the map $f : U \rightarrow X$, where $U \subset X$. Recall (see [JM]) that if X is an ENR, $U \subset X$ is open and $\text{Fix}(f)$ is compact, then the fixed point index $\text{ind}(f, \text{Fix}(f)) \in \mathbb{Z}$ is well defined. Moreover, if $f : X \rightarrow X$ is a selfmap of a compact ENR, then its Lefschetz number is well defined by

$$L(f) := L(H(f)) = \sum_{n \geq 0} (-1)^n \text{trace}(H_n(f)),$$

where $H(f) : H(X) \rightarrow H(X)$ is a map induced by f in singular homologies with rational coefficients.

Theorem 3.1. *Assume that W is a segment for F , $g = F_0 : B \rightarrow X$, $g(B) \subset B$ and $f = F_1 : B \rightarrow X$. The set*

$$U = \{x \in W_0 : F(t, x) \in W_t \setminus W_t^{--}, \text{ for all } t \in [0, 1]\}$$

is open in $B = W_0$ and the set of fixed points of $f|_U : U \rightarrow B$ is compact. Moreover, if W and W^{--} are ENRs, then

$$\text{ind}(f|_U, \text{Fix}(f|_U)) = L(m \circ g) - L(m \circ g|_{W_0^{--}}).$$

In particular, if $L(m \circ g) - L(m \circ g|_{W_0^{--}}) \neq 0$, then $f : B \rightarrow X$ has a fixed point in B .

Proof: It is easy to check that

$$U = W_0 \setminus \bigcup_{t \in [0, 1]} F_t^{-1}(W_t^{--}).$$

If for each n , $x_n \in \bigcup_{t \in [0, 1]} F_t^{-1}(W_t^{--})$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x$, then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(\tau_W(x_n), x_n) = F(\tau_W(x), x).$$

Moreover, for each n , $F(\tau_W(x_n), x_n) \in W^{--}$; hence $F(\tau_W(x), x) \in W^{--}$ and $x \in \bigcup_{t \in [0, 1]} F_t^{-1}(W_t^{--})$. Thus $\bigcup_{t \in [0, 1]} F_t^{-1}(W_t^{--})$ is closed and U is open in W_0 .

We define maps

$$m_s : W_s \ni x \rightarrow \pi_2 h(1, \pi_2 h^{-1}(s, x)) \in W_0 = W_1, \quad s \in [0, 1].$$

In particular, $m_0 = m : W_0 \rightarrow W_0$ and $m_1 = \text{id}_{W_0}$. Consider a homotopy $H : [0, 1] \times W_0 \rightarrow W_0$ given by

$$H_t(x) = \begin{cases} m_{\tau_W(x)}(F(\tau_W(x), x)), & \text{if } \tau_W(x) \leq 1 - t; \\ m_{1-t}(F(1 - t, x)), & \text{if } \tau_W(x) \geq 1 - t. \end{cases}$$

In particular, $H_1 = m \circ g$. By the homotopy property of the Lefschetz number we obtain

$$L(H_0) = L(H_1) = L(m \circ g).$$

By definition we have that

$$H_0(x) = m_{\tau_W(x)}(F(\tau_W(x), x)), \quad x \in W_0.$$

It is easy to check that

$$U = \{x \in W_0 : \tau_W(x) = 1, F(1, x) \in W_0 \setminus W_1^{--}\}$$

and $(H_0)^{-1}(W_0 \setminus W_0^{--}) \subset U \subset (H_0)^{-1}(W_0 \setminus W_1^{--})$. $H_0(x) = F(1, x) = f(x)$ if $\tau_W(x) = 1$; hence

$$H_0|_U = f|_U.$$

If $x \in W_0 \setminus W_0^{--}$ and $H_0(x) = x$, then it follows that $\tau_W(x) = 1$ (since in the other case $H_0(x) \in W_0^{--}$); hence $x \in U$. Moreover,

$$\text{Fix}(f|_U) = \text{Fix}(H_0) \cap \tau_W^{-1}(1);$$

hence $\text{Fix}(f|_U)$ is compact. Put $V = \tau_W^{-1}([0, 1))$. It follows that V is open in W_0 , $W_0^{--} \subset V$ and $H_0(V) \subset W_0^{--}$. By the compactness of W_0^{--} it follows that there is an open neighborhood D of W_0^{--} such that $g(D) \subset V$; hence $H_0(D) \subset W_0^{--}$. It follows that

$$\text{Fix}(H_0) = \text{Fix}(H_0|_U) \cup \text{Fix}(H_0|_D) = \text{Fix}(f|_U) \cup \text{Fix}(m \circ g|_{W_0^{--}}).$$

Since the sets $\text{Fix}(f|_U)$ and $\text{Fix}(m \circ g|_{W_0^{--}})$ are compact and disjoint, hence by the Lefschetz fixed point theorem and the additivity property of the fixed point index we get

$$L(m \circ g) = L(H_0) = \text{ind}(H_0|_U, \text{Fix}(f|_U)) + \text{ind}(H_0|_V, \text{Fix}(m \circ g|_{W_0^{--}})).$$

By the commutativity property of the fixed point index and the Lefschetz fixed point theorem we have

$$\text{ind}(H_0|_V, \text{Fix}(m \circ g|_{W_0^{--}})) = \text{ind}(H_0|_{W_0^{--}}, \text{Fix}(m \circ g|_{W_0^{--}})) = L(m \circ g|_{W_0^{--}}),$$

and consequently

$$\text{ind}(f|_U, \text{Fix}(f|_U)) = \text{ind}(H_0|_U, \text{Fix}(f|_U)) = L(m \circ g) - L(m \circ g|_{W_0^{--}}).$$

□

Example 3.2. Assume that $W = [0, 1] \times B$ is a segment for the homotopy $F : [0, 1] \times B \rightarrow X$ with the homeomorphism $h : W \ni x \rightarrow x \in W$ and g is the inclusion map. Then $L(m \circ g) - L(m \circ g|_{W_0^{--}}) = \chi(B) - \chi(W_0^{--})$, so if $\chi(B) \neq \chi(W_0^{--})$, then $f = F_1$ has a fixed point in B .

4. RELATION TO THE NIELSEN NUMBER

The classical Nielsen number theory is concerned with the determination of the minimal number of fixed points for all maps in the homotopy class of a given map $f : X \rightarrow X$ (see [JM, J]). The Nielsen number $N(f)$ provides a homotopy invariant lower bound for the number of fixed points of f . Assume that X is a compact ENR and $f : X \rightarrow X$ is a continuous map. In the set of fixed points $\text{Fix}(f)$ we define the equivalence relation in the following way: two fixed points are in the Nielsen relation if they can be joined by a path which is homotopic relative to the end points to its own f -image. Then $\text{Fix}(f)$ splits into a disjoint union of Nielsen classes. Each Nielsen class F is compact, so its fixed point index $\text{ind}(f, F)$ is well defined. The Nielsen number of f ($N(f)$) is defined as the number of essential fixed point classes, i.e., such that $\text{ind}(f, F) \neq 0$. In this paper we will use the relative Nielsen theory for selfmaps $f : (X, A) \rightarrow (X, A)$ of pairs of compact $ENRs$ introduced in [S2] (see also [Z]). Let F be a Nielsen class of $f : X \rightarrow X$. We say

that F assumes its index in A if $\text{ind}(f, F) = \text{ind}(f|_A, F \cap A)$. The Nielsen number of the closure $N(f, \text{cl}(X \setminus A))$ is defined as the number of the Nielsen classes of f which do not assume its index in A . It is a relative homotopy invariant lower bound for the number of fixed points of f on $\text{cl}(X \setminus A)$.

Theorem 4.1. *Assume that W is a segment for the homotopy F . Then*

$$\text{card Fix}(f|_U) \geq N(m \circ g, \text{cl}(W_0 \setminus W_0^{--})),$$

where $U = \{x \in W_0 : \tau_W(x) = 1, F(1, x) \in W_0 \setminus W_0^{--}\}$.

Proof: Let $H : [0, 1] \times W_0 \rightarrow W_0$ be a homotopy used in the proof of Theorem 3.1. Let us observe that for $x \in W_0^{--}$ we have $\tau_W(x) = 0$, so then

$$H_t(x) = m \circ g(x), \quad t \in [0, 1];$$

hence $H_t(W_0^{--}) \subset W_0^{--}$ if $t \in [0, 1]$, and thus H is a homotopy of the pair (W_0, W_0^{--}) . Since $H_1 = m \circ g : (W_0, W_0^{--}) \rightarrow (W_0, W_0^{--})$, then by the homotopy property of the relative Nielsen number we must show that

$$\text{card Fix}(f|_U) \geq N(H_0, \text{cl}(W_0 \setminus W_0^{--})).$$

It is sufficient to prove that if $K \subset W_0$ is a Nielsen class of H_0 and K does not assume its index in W_0^{--} , then $(\text{Fix}(f) \cap U) \cap K$ is nonempty. Suppose that

$$\text{Fix}(f|_U) \cap K = \emptyset.$$

By the same arguments as in the proof of Theorem 3.1 we have that

$$\text{Fix}(H_0) = \text{Fix}(f|_U) \cup \text{Fix}(m \circ g|_{W_0^{--}}),$$

so $K \subset W_0^{--}$. It follows by the arguments in the proof of Theorem 3.1 that there is an open neighborhood D of W_0^{--} such that $H_0(D) \subset W_0^{--}$; hence by the commutativity property of the fixed point index we obtain that

$$\text{ind}(H_0, K) = \text{ind}(H_0|_{W_0^{--}}, K) = \text{ind}(m \circ g|_{W_0^{--}}, K),$$

a contradiction. □

Remark 4.2. In the remarks below we assume that $F_0 = g : B \hookrightarrow X$ is the inclusion.

- (1) It follows that $\text{cl}(W_0 \setminus W_0^{--}) = W_0$ but in general $N(m, \text{cl}(W_0 \setminus W_0^{--}))$ is not equal to the classical Nielsen number $N(m)$ of $m : W_0 \rightarrow W_0$.
- (2) The relative Nielsen $N(m, \text{cl}(W_0 \setminus W_0^{--}))$ in Theorem 4.1 cannot be replaced by the Nielsen number $N(m)$. For example we consider $B = [1, 2] \subset \mathbb{R}$ and the homotopy $F : [0, 1] \times B \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$F(t, x) := t + x.$$

Let $W = [0, 1] \times B$ with a homeomorphism $h : W \ni x \rightarrow x \in W$. Let us observe that $\tau_W(x) = 2 - x$ for $x \in [1, 2]$; hence W is a segment for F with the exit set

$$W^- = W^{--} = [0, 1] \times \{2\}.$$

Since the monodromy map $m : \{2\} \rightarrow \{2\}$ is the identity map and $\{2\}$ is contractible, hence $N(m) = 1$. On the other hand the map $f : [1, 2] \ni x \rightarrow F(1, x) = 1 + x \in \mathbb{R}$ is fixed point free. Observe that $N(m, \text{cl}(W_0 \setminus W_0^{--})) = 0$.

- (3) If $N(m|_{W_0^{--}}) = 0$, then $N(m, \text{cl}(W_0 \setminus W_0^{--})) = N(m)$. Indeed, let $K \subset W_0$ be a Nielsen class of m . It follows by results in [S1] then that $K \cap W_0^{--}$ is either empty or the union of Nielsen classes of $m|_{W_0^{--}}$. Hence $\text{ind}(m|_{W_0^{--}}) = 0$, because $N(m|_{W_0^{--}}) = 0$. It follows that K does not assume its index in W_0^{--} if and only if K is an essential Nielsen class for m .
- (4) If $B = W_0$ is contractible, then $L(m) \neq 0$ iff $N(m, \text{cl}(W_0 \setminus W_0^{--})) = 1$ and $N(m, \text{cl}(W_0 \setminus W_0^{--})) = 0$ if $L(m) - L(m|_{W_0^{--}}) = 0$, so in that case $N(m, \text{cl}(W_0 \setminus W_0^{--}))$ do not carry more information concerning the structure of $\text{Fix}(f)$ than the Lefschetz number.
- (5) For a map of pairs of compact ENRs one can define another relative Nielsen number denoted by $N(f, X, A)$ ([S3, Z]). It is defined in the following way. A Nielsen class of f is said to be a common Nielsen class if it contains an essential fixed point class of $f|_A$. By $N(f, f|_A)$ we denote the number of common and essential Nielsen classes of f . We define

$$N(f, X, A) = N(f) + N(f|_A) - N(f, f|_A).$$

The relative Nielsen number $N(m, \text{cl}(W_0 \setminus W_0^{--}))$ cannot be replaced in Theorem 4.1 by $N(m, W_0, W_0^{--})$. To see this let us consider the homotopy given by the flow generated by the linear planar differential equation $z' = \bar{z}$ ($z = (x, y) \in \mathbb{C}$), namely

$$F(t, (x, y)) = (xe^t, ye^{-t}).$$

Let $B = [-1, 1] \times [-1, 1]$. One can check that $W = [0, 1] \times B$ is a segment for $F : [0, 1] \times B \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ with $W_0^{--} = \{\pm 1\} \times [-1, 1]$ and the monodromy map m being the identity on the pair (W_0, W_0^{--}) . One can check that $N(m, W_0, W_0^{--}) = 2$ and $N(m, \text{cl}(W_0 \setminus W_0^{--})) = 1$. Obviously the map $f(x, y) = F(1, (x, y)) = (ex, e^{-1}y)$ has exactly one fixed point.

REFERENCES

- [JM] J. Jezierski, W. Marzantowicz, *Homotopy Methods in Topological Fixed and Periodic Points Theory*, Topological Fixed Point Theory and Its Applications, Vol. 3, Springer, 2006. MR2189944 (2006i:55003)
- [J] B. Jiang, *Lectures on Nielsen Fixed Point Theory*, Contemp. Math., vol. 14, AMS, Providence, 1983. MR685755 (84f:55002)
- [S1] H. Schirmer, *A Survey of Relative Nielsen Fixed Point Theory*, Contemp. Math., vol. 152, 291-309, AMS, Providence, 1993. MR1243481 (94f:55003)
- [S2] H. Schirmer, *On the location of fixed point sets of pairs of spaces*, Topol. Appl. 30 (1988), 253-266. MR0972697 (89k:55003)
- [S3] H. Schirmer, *A relative Nielsen number*, Pacific J. Math. 122 (1986), 459-473. MR831126 (87e:55002)
- [Sr1] R. Srzednicki, *Periodic and bounded solutions in blocks for time-periodic nonautonomous ordinary differential equations*, Nonlinear Anal. TMA 22 (1994), 707-737. MR1270166 (95c:34076)
- [Sr2] R. Srzednicki, *Ważewski method and the Conley index*, in Handbook of Differential Equations, vol. 1, Edited by A. Canada, P. Drabek, A. Fonda, 591-684, Elsevier/North-Holland, 2004. MR2166495 (2006j:37014)

- [SWZ] R. Srzednicki, K. Wójcik, P. Zgliczyński, *Fixed point results based on the Ważewski method*, in Handbook of topological fixed point theory, Eds.: R. Brown, M. Furi, L. Górniewicz, B. Jiang, 903–941, Springer, 2005. MR2171125 (2006g:37015)
- [Z] X. Zhao, *Relative Nielsen Theory*, in Handbook of topological fixed point theory, Eds.: R. F. Brown, M. Furi, L. Górniewicz, B. Jiang, 659–684, Springer, 2005. MR2171120 (2007a:55005)

INSTYTUT MATEMATYKI UNIwersYTETU JagIELLOŃSKIEGO, UL. STANISŁAWA ŁOJASIEWICZA 6,
30-348 KRAKÓW, POLAND

E-mail address: Grzegorz.Kosiorowski@gmail.com

Current address: Katedra Matematyki Uniwersytetu Ekonomicznego w Krakowie, ul. Rakow-
icka 27, 31-520 Kraków, Poland

E-mail address: Grzegorz.Kosiorowski@uek.krakow.pl

INSTYTUT MATEMATYKI UNIwersYTETU JagIELLOŃSKIEGO, UL. STANISŁAWA ŁOJASIEWICZA 6,
30-348 KRAKÓW, POLAND