WARING'S PROBLEM FOR POLYNOMIALS IN TWO VARIABLES

ARNAUD BODIN AND MIREILLE CAR

(Communicated by Matthew A. Papanikolas)

ABSTRACT. We prove that all polynomials in several variables can be decomposed as the sums of k th powers: $P(x_1, \dots, x_n) = Q_1(x_1, \dots, x_n)^k + \dots + Q_s(x_1, \dots, x_n)^k$, provided that elements of the base field are themselves sums of k th powers. We also give bounds for the number of terms s and the degree of the Q_i^k . We then improve these bounds in the case of two-variable polynomials of large degree to get a decomposition $P(x, y) = Q_1(x, y)^k + \dots + Q_s(x, y)^k$ with $\deg Q_i^k \leq \deg P + k^3$ and s that depends on k and $\ln(\deg P)$.

1. INTRODUCTION

For any domain A and any integer $k \geq 2$, let $W(A, k)$ denote the subset of A formed by all finite sums of k th powers a^k with $a \in A$. Let $\underline{w}_A(k)$ denote the least integer s , if it exists, such that for every element $a \in W(A, k)$, the equation

$$a = a_1^k + \dots + a_s^k$$

admits solutions $(a_1, \dots, a_s) \in A^s$.

The case of polynomial rings $K[t]$ over a field K is of particular interest (see [11], [7]). The similarity between the arithmetic of the ring $\mathbb{Z}$ and the arithmetic of the polynomial rings in a single variable $F[t]$ over a finite field F with q elements led us to investigate a restricted variant of Waring's problem over $F[t]$, namely the strict Waring problem. For $P \in F[t]$, a representation

$$P = Q_1^k + \dots + Q_s^k \quad \text{with } \deg Q_i^k < \deg P + k,$$

and $Q_i \in F[t]$ is a *strict representation*.

For the strict Waring problem, analogs to the classical numbers $g_{\mathbb{N}}(k)$ and $G_{\mathbb{N}}(k)$ have been defined as follows. Let $g_{F[t]}(k)$ (resp. $G_{F[t]}(k)$) denote the least integer s , if it exists, such that every polynomial in $W(F[t], k)$ (resp. every polynomial in $W(F[t], k)$ of sufficiently large degree) may be written as a sum satisfying the strict degree condition.

Received by the editors June 16, 2011 and, in revised form, September 7, 2011.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 11P05; Secondary 13B25, 11T55.

Key words and phrases. Several variables polynomials, sum of powers, approximate roots, Vandermonde determinant.

General results about Waring's problem for the ring of polynomials over a finite field may be found in [10], [11], [12], [13], [9] for the unrestricted problem and in [14], [8], [5], [3], [7] for the strict Waring problem. Gallardo's method introduced in [6] and performed in [4] to deal with Waring's problem for cubes was generalized in [3] and [7], where bounds for $g_{F[t]}(k)$ and $G_{F[t]}(k)$ were established when q and k satisfy some conditions.

The goal of this paper is a study of Waring's problem for the ring $F[x, y]$ of polynomials in two variables over a field F . As for the one-variable case, two variations of Waring's problem may be considered. The first one is the unrestricted Waring's problem; the second one takes degree conditions into account.

In Section 2 we start by some relations between Waring's problem for polynomials in one variable and Waring's problem for polynomials in $n \geq 2$ variables. In Section 3, we prove that, provided all elements of the field F are sums of k th powers, there exists a positive integer s (depending on F and k) such that every polynomial $P \in F[x, y]$ may be written as a sum

$$(\dagger) \quad P = Q_1^k + \cdots + Q_s^k,$$

where for $i = 1, \dots, s$, Q_i is a polynomial of $K[x, y]$ such that $\deg Q_i \leq \deg P$. We then prove various improvements, the goal being to have in representations $(\dagger)$ a decomposition with the following properties: the first priority is to have the lowest possible degree for the polynomials Q_i and the second priority is a small number of terms. In Section 5, we prove that $(\dagger)$ is possible for polynomials of large degree with $\deg Q_i^k \leq \deg P + k^3$, the number s of terms depending on F , k and $\deg P$. To do that, in Section 4, we introduce the notion of an approximate root.

Let F be a field such that: F has more than k elements, the characteristic of F does not divide k and each element of F can be written as a sum of $w_F(k)$ k th powers of elements of F . We summarize in Table 1 the different bounds we get for a decomposition of a polynomial $P(x, y)$ of degree d as a sum $P = \sum_{i=1}^s Q_i^k$.

TABLE 1

	$\deg Q_i^k$	s
Corollary 4	kd	$kw_F(k)$
Proposition 5	$d + 2(k-1)^2$	$\frac{1}{2}k(d+1)(d+2)w_F(k)$
Proposition 6	$2d + 4k^2$	$k^2(2k-1)w_F(k)$
Theorem 8	$d + k^3$	$2k^3 \ln(\frac{d}{k} + 1) \ln(2k) + 7k^4 \ln(k)w_F(k)^2$

The two basic results are Corollary 4 (which gives a decomposition with very few terms of high degree) and Proposition 5 with many terms of low degree. Our first main result is Proposition 6, which provides a decomposition with terms of medium degree, but the number of terms depends only on k and not on the degree of P . Then Theorem 8 decomposes P , of sufficiently large degree $d \geq 2k^4$, into a sum of few terms of low degree.

For instance, given a field with $w_F(k) = 1$ (that is to say, each element of F is a k th power), set $d = 200$ and $k = 3$. Then each polynomial $P(x, y)$ of degree 200 can be written as $P = \sum_{i=1}^s Q_i^3$ with the values given in Table 2.¹

¹In fact the last bound comes from a sharper bound obtained in the proof of Theorem 8.

TABLE 2

	$\deg Q_i^k$	s
Corollary 4	600	3
Proposition 5	208	60903
Proposition 6	436	45
Theorem 8	227	812

2. THE UNRESTRICTED WARING'S PROBLEM

If A is a domain, we denote by $W(A, k, s)$ the set of elements $a \in A$ that can be written as a sum $a = a_1^k + \cdots + a_s^k$ with $a_1, \dots, a_s \in A$; if $A = W(A, k, s)$ for an integer s , then for any integer $s' \geq s$, we have $A = W(A, k, s')$. Let $w_A(k)$ denote the least integer s such that $A = W(A, k, s)$. If such an s does not exist, let $w_A(k) = \infty$. Observe that $w_A(k) \geq \underline{w}_A(k)$ and in the case that $A = W(A, k)$, then $w_A(k) = \underline{w}_A(k)$. In this section we are concerned with rings of polynomials in $n \geq 1$ variables.

Lemma 1. *Let A be a domain and let s be a positive integer.*

- (1) *If $A[t] = W(A[t], k, s)$, then $A = W(A, k, s)$, so that $w_A(k) \leq w_{A[t]}(k)$.*
- (2) *$A[t] = W(A[t], k, s)$ if and only if $A[x_1, \dots, x_n] = W(A[x_1, \dots, x_n], k, s)$, so that $w_{A[x_1, \dots, x_n]}(k) = w_{A[t]}(k)$.*

A kind of reciprocal to (1) will be discussed later in Proposition 3.

Proof.

- (1) Suppose $A[t] = W(A[t], k, s)$. Every $a \in A$ is a sum $a = Q_1^k + \cdots + Q_s^k$ for some $Q_i \in A[t]$. Specializing t at 1, for instance, gives $a = Q_1(1)^k + \cdots + Q_s(1)^k$, a sum in A . Therefore, $w_{A[t]}(k) \geq w_A(k)$.
- (2) (a) If $A[t] = W(A[t], k, s)$, then there exist $Q_1, \dots, Q_s \in A[t]$ such that $t = Q_1(t)^k + \cdots + Q_s(t)^k$. Pick $P \in A[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ and substitute P for t . We get $P(x_1, \dots, x_n) = Q_1(P(x_1, \dots, x_n))^k + \cdots + Q_s(P(x_1, \dots, x_n))^k$. Hence $w_{A[x_1, \dots, x_n]}(k) \leq w_{A[t]}(k)$.
- (b) If $A[x_1, \dots, x_n] = W(A[x_1, \dots, x_n], k, s)$, then any $P(t) \in A[t]$ can be written $P(t) = Q_1(t, x_2, \dots, x_n)^k + \cdots + Q_s(t, x_2, \dots, x_n)^k$. By the specialization $x_2 = \cdots = x_n = 1$ we get that $P(t) \in W(A[t], k, s)$. Therefore $w_{A[x_1, \dots, x_n]}(k) \geq w_{A[t]}(k)$.

Remark. It is also true that $A[t] = W(A[t], k, s)$ if and only if $t \in W(A[t], k, s)$.

This remark motivates the fact that we consider Waring's problem for a polynomial ring $F[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ where F is a field satisfying the condition $F = W(F, k)$. Such a field is called a *Waring field for the exponent k* or, briefly, a *k -Waring field*.

Let us give some examples. An algebraically closed field F is a k -Waring field with $w_F(k) = 1$ for every positive integer k . If F is a finite field of characteristic p , for every positive integer n , F is a p^n -Waring field with $w_F(p^n) = 1$. It is known (cf. [1], [5]) that for a finite field F of characteristic p that does not divide k and order $q = p^m$, F is a Waring field for the exponent k if and only if for all $d \neq m$ dividing m , $(q - 1)/(p^d - 1)$ does not divide k .

When F has prime characteristic p , it is sufficient to consider Waring's problem for exponents k coprime with p . Indeed, we have

Proposition 2. *Let $k \geq 2$ be coprime with p . Then, for any positive integer ν and for any positive integer s , we have*

$$W(F[x_1, \dots, x_n], kp^\nu, s) = \{Q^{p^\nu} \mid Q \in W(F[x_1, \dots, x_n], k, s)\},$$

$$w_{F[x_1, \dots, x_n]}(kp^\nu) = w_{F[x_1, \dots, x_n]}(k).$$

The proof is similar to that of [3, Theorem 2.1] and relies on the relation $(Q_1^k + \dots + Q_s^k)^p = Q_1^{pk} + \dots + Q_s^{pk}$.

3. VANDERMONDE DETERMINANTS

3.1. Sum with high degree. Let us recall that for $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in L^n$, where L is a field containing F , Vandermonde's determinant $V(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$ is

$$(1) \quad V(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) := \begin{vmatrix} 1 & \alpha_1 & \alpha_1^2 & \cdots & \alpha_1^{n-1} \\ 1 & \alpha_2 & \alpha_2^2 & \cdots & \alpha_2^{n-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 1 & \alpha_n & \alpha_n^2 & \cdots & \alpha_n^{n-1} \end{vmatrix} = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (\alpha_i - \alpha_j).$$

Proposition 3. *Let F be a field with more than k elements, whose characteristic does not divide k , such that each element of F can be written as a sum of k th powers of elements of F . Then any polynomial $P(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ with coefficients in the field F is a sum of k th powers. In other words, for any positive integer n ,*

$$F[x_1, \dots, x_n] = W(F[x_1, \dots, x_n], k).$$

Proof. The proof follows ideas from [7]. Let $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k$ be distinct elements of F . First notice that by formula (1), if t is any transcendental element over F , $V(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k) = V(t + \alpha_1, \dots, t + \alpha_k)$. By expanding the determinant $V(t + \alpha_1, \dots, t + \alpha_k)$ along the last column we get (a term marked $\check{x}_i$ means that it is omitted):

$$\begin{aligned} V(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k) &= V(t + \alpha_1, \dots, t + \alpha_k) \\ &= \pm \sum_{i=1}^k (-1)^i (t + \alpha_i)^{k-1} V(t + \alpha_1, \dots, \overbrace{t + \alpha_i}^{\vee}, \dots, t + \alpha_k) \\ &= \pm \sum_{i=1}^k (-1)^i (t + \alpha_i)^{k-1} V(\alpha_1, \dots, \check{\alpha}_i, \dots, \alpha_k). \end{aligned}$$

The constant $\gamma = V(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k)$ is non-zero since the α_i are distinct elements of F . We write

$$\sum_{i=1}^k \frac{(t + \alpha_i)^{k-1}}{\beta_i} = \gamma,$$

where the β_i are non-zero constants in F . This formula proves that the function $C(t) = \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{(t + \alpha_i)^k}{\beta_i} - \gamma kt$ has an identically null derivative; since the characteristic

of F does not divide k , this implies that $C(t)$ is a constant, so that, for some $\delta \in F$,

$$(2) \quad \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{(t + \alpha_i)^k}{\beta_i} = \gamma kt + \delta.$$

Let $P(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in F[x_1, \dots, x_n]$. By substitution of t by $(P - \delta)/(\gamma k)$ in equality (2) we get $P = \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{(P - \delta + \alpha_i \gamma k)^k}{\beta_i (\gamma k)^k}$. But by assumption $1/\beta_i (\gamma k)^k$ is a sum of k th powers of elements of F , so that $P(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is also a sum of k th powers of elements of $F[x_1, \dots, x_n]$. $\square$

Corollary 4. *Let F have more than k distinct elements such that its characteristic does not divide k . Every polynomial $P(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in F[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ of degree d can be written as a sum*

$$P(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \delta_1 Q_1(x_1, \dots, x_n)^k + \dots + \delta_k Q_k(x_1, \dots, x_n)^k,$$

where $\delta_1, \dots, \delta_k \in F$ and $Q_1, \dots, Q_k$ are polynomials in $F[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ such that $\deg Q_i^k \leq kd$. If moreover each element of F is a sum of $w_F(k)$ k th powers, then

$$P(x_1, \dots, x_n) = Q_1(x_1, \dots, x_n)^k + \dots + Q_s(x_1, \dots, x_n)^k,$$

where $Q_1, \dots, Q_s \in F[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ such that $\deg Q_i^k \leq kd$ for some $s \leq k \cdot w_F(k)$.

Proof. The proof comes from formula (2) and the discussion below it. $\square$

In the sequel, we consider polynomials in two variables.

3.2. Low degree, many terms.

Proposition 5. *Let F be a field with more than k distinct elements such that its characteristic does not divide k . Every polynomial $P \in F[x, y]$ of degree d admits a decomposition:*

$$P(x, y) = \delta_1 Q_1(x, y)^k + \dots + \delta_s Q_s(x, y)^k,$$

where $\delta_1, \dots, \delta_s \in F$ and $Q_1, \dots, Q_s$ are polynomials in $F[x, y]$ such that $\deg Q_i^k \leq d + 2(k-1)^2$ and $s \leq k \cdot \frac{(d+1)(d+2)}{2}$.

If moreover each element of F is a sum of k th powers, then P admits a decomposition:

$$P(x, y) = Q_1(x, y)^k + \dots + Q_s(x, y)^k,$$

where $Q_1, \dots, Q_s \in F[x, y]$ with $\deg Q_i^k \leq d + 2(k-1)^2$ and $s \leq kw_F(k) \frac{(d+1)(d+2)}{2}$.

Proof. Let $P(x, y) = \sum a_{i,j} x^i y^j$. We make the Euclidean divisions: $i = pk + a$ and $j = qk + b$ with $0 \leq a, b < k$. Each monomial $x^i y^j$ can now be written $x^i y^j = (x^p y^q)^k \cdot x^a y^b$. By Corollary 4, $x^a y^b$ can be written $x^a y^b = \delta_1 Q_1(x, y)^k + \dots + \delta_k Q_k(x, y)^k$ with $\delta_1, \dots, \delta_k \in F$, $Q_1, \dots, Q_k \in F[x, y]$ and $\deg Q_i \leq \deg(x^a y^b)$, so that

$$x^i y^j = \delta_1 (x^p y^q Q_1(x, y))^k + \dots + \delta_k (x^p y^q Q_k(x, y))^k.$$

Moreover $\deg((x^p y^q Q_i(x, y))^k) = k(p + q + \deg Q_i) \leq kp + kq + ka + kb = i + j + (k-1)(a + b) \leq i + j + 2(k-1)^2 \leq d + 2(k-1)^2$.

As $\deg P = d$ the number of monomials $x^i y^j$ is less than or equal to $\frac{(d+1)(d+2)}{2}$, so that P admits a decomposition $P(x, y) = \delta_1 Q_1(x, y)^k + \dots + \delta_s Q_s(x, y)^k$ with $\deg Q_i^k \leq d + 2(k-1)^2$ and $s \leq k \frac{(d+1)(d+2)}{2}$. Thus we can find a decomposition $P(x, y) = Q_1(x, y)^k + \dots + Q_s(x, y)^k$ for some $s \leq kw_F(k) \frac{(d+1)(d+2)}{2}$. $\square$

3.3. Medium degree, few terms. We improve this method to get fewer terms in the sum, but the degree of each term is higher.

Proposition 6. *Let F be a field with more than k elements such that its characteristic does not divide k and each element of F is a sum of k th powers. For any $P \in F[x, y]$, P admits a decomposition:*

$$P(x, y) = Q_1(x, y)^k + \cdots + Q_s(x, y)^k,$$

where $Q_1, \dots, Q_s$ are polynomials in $F[x, y]$ with $\deg Q_i^k \leq 2 \deg P + 4k^2$ and $s \leq k^2(2k-1)w_F(k)$.

Observe that the bound for s does not depend on the degree of the polynomial P .

Proof. Let d be the least multiple of $2k^2$ such that $d \geq \deg P$. The Newton polygon of P is included in the triangle ABC with $A(0, 0)$, $B(0, d)$, $C(d, 0)$.

We cover this triangle ABC by $k(2k-1)$ small triangles that are translations (by $\frac{d}{2k}$ -units) of $A'B'C'$ with $A'(0, 0)$, $B'(\frac{d}{k}, \frac{d}{k})$, $C'(\frac{d}{k}, 0)$. See Figure 1. This covering

FIGURE 1

means that we can write $P(x, y)$ as a sum of $k(2k-1)$ polynomials of the form $x^{i\frac{d}{2k}}y^{j\frac{d}{2k}}P_{i,j}(x, y)$ with $\deg P_{i,j} \leq \frac{d}{k}$ and $0 \leq i+j \leq 2k-2$ (so that $\deg x^{i\frac{d}{2k}}y^{j\frac{d}{2k}} < d$). As $2k^2$ divides d , then $x^{i\frac{d}{2k}}y^{j\frac{d}{2k}}$ is a k th power. Furthermore, by Corollary 4, we can write each $P_{i,j}$ as a sum of $kw_F(k)$ powers, each power being of degree at most $k\frac{d}{k} = d$. Hence we get a decomposition $P(x, y) = Q_1(x, y)^k + \cdots + Q_s(x, y)^k$ with $s \leq k^2(2k-1)w_F(k)$ terms and $\deg Q_i^k < 2d$. $\square$

4. APPROXIMATE ROOT

In this section F is a field whose characteristic does not divide k . Let $P \in F[x, y]$ be a polynomial that satisfies the following conditions: $\deg P \leq d$, $\deg_x P < m$, so that the Newton polygon $\Gamma(P)$ of P is (included in) the following polygon $\bar{\Gamma}(P)$ (whose vertices are $(0, 0)$, $(m, 0)$, (m, n) , $(0, d)$). See Figure 2. We set $n = d - m$ and we suppose that $k|m$, $k|n$, $k|d$. We will look for a $Q \in F[x, y]$ such that $\deg Q \leq \frac{d}{k}$, $\deg_x Q \leq \frac{m}{k}$, so that $\Gamma(Q^k) \subset \bar{\Gamma}(P)$. In fact the Newton polygon of Q is homothetic to the one of P with a ratio $\frac{1}{k}$.

FIGURE 2

Proposition 7. *There exists a unique $Q(x, y) \in F[x, y]$, monic in x , such that $P + x^m y^n - Q^k$ has no monomial $x^i y^j$ with $i \geq m - \frac{m}{k}$ and $j \geq n - \frac{n}{k}$. That is to say, the Newton polygon of $P + x^m y^n - Q^k$ is (included in) Figure 3.*

FIGURE 3

This means that with two k th powers ($x^m y^n$ and Q^k) we “cancel” the trapezium T (defined by the vertices (m, n) , $(m, n - \frac{n}{k})$, $(m - \frac{m}{k}, n - \frac{n}{k})$, $(m - \frac{m}{k}, n + \frac{d}{k} - \frac{n}{k})$). This procedure is similar to the computation of the approximate k th root of a one variable polynomial; see [2]. The proof is sketched in Figure 4.

FIGURE 4

Morally, the coefficients of Q provide a set of unknowns, which are chosen in order that Q^k and P can be identified in the trapezium area (T) .

Proof. We write P as the sum $P = P_1 + P_2$ corresponding to the decomposition into two areas of $\bar{\Gamma}(P) = T \cup (\bar{\Gamma}(P) \setminus T)$: we write P_1 as a polynomial in x whose coefficients are in $F[y]$ so that $P_1(x, y) = a_1(y)x^{m-1} + \cdots + a_{\frac{m}{k}}(y)x^{m-\frac{m}{k}}$ with $\deg a_i(y) \leq n + i$ and $\text{val } a_i(y) \geq n - \frac{n}{k}$. We denote by val the y -adic valuation $\text{val } \sum \alpha_i y^i = \min\{i \mid \alpha_i \neq 0\}$.

We set $P'_1(x, y) = y^n x^m + P_1(x, y)$ and $a_0(y) = y^n$. Notice that we have added a k th power since $k \mid m$ and $k \mid n$.

We also write $Q(x, y)$ as a polynomial in x with coefficients in $F[y]$: $Q(x, y) = b_0(y)x^{\frac{m}{k}} + b_1(y)x^{\frac{m}{k}-1} + \cdots + b_{\frac{m}{k}}(y)$.

We now identify the monomials of $P'_1(x, y) = x^m y^n + P_1(x, y)$ with the monomials of $Q(x, y)^k$ in the trapezium T . As we only want to identify the monomials of a sufficiently high degree, we define the following equivalence:

$$a(y) \simeq b(y) \quad \text{if and only if} \quad \deg(a(y) - b(y)) < n - \frac{n}{k}.$$

This yields the following polynomial system of equations ($a_i(y)$ are data, and $b_i(y)$ unknowns):

$$(\mathcal{S}) \quad \begin{cases} a_0 \simeq b_0^k \\ a_1 \simeq k b_0^{k-1} b_1 \\ a_2 \simeq k b_0^{k-1} b_2 + \binom{k}{2} b_0^{k-2} b_1^2 \\ \vdots \\ a_\ell \simeq k b_0^{k-1} b_\ell + \sum_{\substack{i_1+2i_2+\cdots+(\ell-1)i_{\ell-1}=\ell \\ i_0+i_1+i_2+\cdots+i_{\ell-1}=k}} c_{i_1 \dots i_{\ell-1}} b_0^{i_0} b_1^{i_1} \cdots b_{\ell-1}^{i_{\ell-1}}, \quad 1 \leq \ell \leq \frac{m}{k}, \end{cases}$$

where the coefficients $c_{i_1 \dots i_{\ell-1}}$ are the multinomial coefficients defined by the following formula:

$$c_{i_1 \dots i_{\ell-1}} = \binom{k}{i_1, \dots, i_{\ell-1}} = \frac{k!}{i_1! \cdots i_{\ell-1}! (k - i_1 - \cdots - i_{\ell-1})!}.$$

The first equation has a solution $b_0(y) = y^{\frac{n}{k}}$. Then, as $\text{val } a_1(y) \geq n - \frac{n}{k}$, we have $b_1(y) = \frac{1}{k} \frac{a_1(y)}{b_0(y)^{k-1}} \in F[y]$ (k is invertible in F). Next we compute $b_2(y), \dots$ by induction using the fact that system $(\mathcal{S})$ is triangular. Suppose that $b_0(y), b_1(y), \dots, b_{\ell-1}(y)$ have been found. System $(\mathcal{S})$ provides the relation

$$a_\ell \simeq k b_0^{k-1} b_\ell + \sum c_{i_1 \dots i_{\ell-1}} b_0^{i_0} b_1^{i_1} \cdots b_{\ell-1}^{i_{\ell-1}}.$$

Since $b_0(y) = y^{\frac{n}{k}}$, this means that the polynomials $k y^{n-\frac{n}{k}} b_\ell(y)$ and $a_\ell - \sum c_{i_1 \dots i_{\ell-1}} b_0^{i_0} b_1^{i_1} \cdots b_{\ell-1}^{i_{\ell-1}}$ have equal coefficients associated to monomials y^i with $i \geq n - \frac{n}{k}$, whence $b_\ell(y)$ is uniquely determined. We have proved that system $(\mathcal{S})$ has a unique solution $(b_0(y), b_1(y), \dots, b_{\frac{m}{k}}(y))$.

Finally, we need to prove that $\deg b_i \leq \frac{n}{k} + i$ for $0 \leq i \leq \frac{m}{k}$. We have $b_0(y) = y^{\frac{n}{k}}$, so that $\deg b_0 = \frac{n}{k}$ and $b_1(y) = \frac{1}{k} \frac{a_1(y)}{\left(y^{\frac{n}{k}}\right)^{k-1}}$; thus, $\deg b_1 \leq \deg a_1 - n + \frac{n}{k} \leq n + 1 - n + \frac{n}{k} = \frac{n}{k} + 1$. Then, by induction we get

$$\begin{aligned} \deg b_0^{i_0} b_1^{i_1} \cdots b_{\ell-1}^{i_{\ell-1}} &\leq i_0 \left(\frac{n}{k} + 0 \right) + i_1 \left(\frac{n}{k} + 1 \right) + \cdots + i_{\ell} \left(\frac{n}{k} + \ell \right) \\ &= \frac{n}{k} (i_0 + i_1 + \cdots + i_{\ell}) + i_1 + 2i_2 + \cdots + (\ell - 1)i_{\ell-1} \\ &= \frac{n}{k} k + \ell \\ &= n + \ell. \end{aligned}$$

We also find $\deg a_{\ell} \leq n + \ell$ so that $\deg b_{\ell} \leq \frac{n}{k} + \ell$. $\square$

5. STRICT SUM OF k TH POWERS

This section is devoted to the proof of the main theorem:

Theorem 8. *Let F be a field with more than k elements, whose characteristic does not divide k , such that each element of F can be written as a sum of $w_F(k)$ k th powers of elements of F . Each polynomial $P(x, y) \in F[x, y]$ of degree $d \geq 2k^4$ is the sum of k th powers*

$$P(x, y) = Q_1(x, y)^k + \cdots + Q_s(x, y)^k,$$

of polynomials $Q_i \in F[x, y]$ with $\deg Q_i^k \leq d + k^3$ and $s \leq 2k^3 \ln\left(\frac{d}{k} + 1\right) \ln(2k) + 7k^4 \ln(k) w_F(k)^2$.

The bound for s is derived from a sharper bound given at the end of the proof. We start by sketching the proof by pictures; see Figure 5.

FIGURE 5

We consider the Newton polygon of P ; it is included in a large triangle (see the left-hand figure in Figure 5). We first cut off trapeziums corresponding to monomials of higher degree. Each trapezium corresponds to a polynomial Q_i^k computed by an approximate k th root as explained in Section 4. This enables us to lower the

degree of P , except for monomials whose degree in x is less than k^2 , which will be treated at the end. We iterate this process until we get a polynomial of degree less than $\frac{d}{k}$ (right-hand figure in Figure 5) to which we will apply Corollary 4.

Notation. We will denote by $\lceil x \rceil_k = k \lceil \frac{x}{k} \rceil$ the least integer greater than or equal to x and divisible by k .

First step: lower the degree. Set $d = \deg P$, $m_0 = \lceil d \rceil_k$ and $P_0 := P$. We apply Proposition 7 to $P_0 = P$, with P_0 considered as a polynomial of total degree $\leq m_0$ and $m = m_0$, $n = 0$. This yields a polynomial $Q_0(x, y)$ such that $\deg_x(P + x^{m_0} - Q_0^k) < m_0 - \frac{m_0}{k}$. That is to say, we have canceled a trapezium, which is the triangle $(m_0, 0)$, $(m_0 - \frac{m_0}{k}, 0)$, $(m_0 - \frac{m_0}{k}, \frac{m_0}{k})$.

We then set $m_1 = \lceil m_0 \rceil_k - \frac{\lceil m_0 \rceil_k}{k}$ and $P_1 = P_0 + x^{m_0} - Q_0^k$. Noting that $\deg_x P_1 < m_1$, we apply Proposition 7 to P_1 .

To iterate the process, consider the decomposition $P_i = P'_i + x^{m_i} \cdot P''_i$ with $\deg_x P'_i < m_i$. We apply Proposition 7 to P'_i (with $m = \lceil m_i \rceil_k$ and $n = n_i$ such that $\lceil m_i \rceil_k + n_i = m_0$), which yields Q_i such that $P'_i + x^{\lceil m_i \rceil_k} y^{n_i} - Q_i^k$ has no monomials in the corresponding trapezium whose x -coordinates are in between $\lceil m_i \rceil_k$ and $m_{i+1} := \lceil m_i \rceil_k - \frac{\lceil m_i \rceil_k}{k}$. Notice that $P_{i+1} := P'_i + x^{\lceil m_i \rceil_k} y^{n_i} - Q_i^k + x^{m_i} \cdot P''_i$ also does not have monomials in this trapezium.

Here is an example; see Figure 6. Set $d = 45$ and $k = 3$. Then we get $m_0 = 45$, $m_1 = 30$, $m_2 = 20$, $m_3 = 14$, $m_4 = 10$, $m_5 = 8$, and then we stop since $m_5 < k^2$. This implies that the first trapezium has its x -coordinates in between 45 and 30, the second one between 30 and 20,.... The height of the left side of each trapezium is always $\frac{d}{k} = 15$.

FIGURE 6

End of iterations. We iterate the process until we reach monomials whose degree in x is less than k^2 . That is to say, we look for ℓ such that $m_\ell \leq k^2$.

First notice that

$$\begin{aligned} m_{i+1} &= \lceil m_i \rceil_k - \frac{\lceil m_i \rceil_k}{k} \\ &= (k-1) \left\lceil \frac{m_i}{k} \right\rceil \\ &\leq \left(1 - \frac{1}{k}\right) m_i + k - 1. \end{aligned}$$

Then, by induction,

$$\begin{aligned} m_i &\leq \left(1 - \frac{1}{k}\right)^i m_0 + (k-1) \left(1 + \left(1 - \frac{1}{k}\right) + \left(1 - \frac{1}{k}\right)^2 + \cdots + \left(1 - \frac{1}{k}\right)^{i-1}\right) \\ &\leq \left(1 - \frac{1}{k}\right)^i m_0 + k(k-1) \\ &\leq (d+k)e^{-\frac{i}{k}} + k(k-1), \quad \text{since } \left(1 - \frac{1}{k}\right) \leq e^{-\frac{1}{k}}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, for $\ell \geq k \ln\left(\frac{d}{k} + 1\right)$ we get $m_\ell \leq k^2$.

Fall of the total degree. At the end of the first series of iterations the total degree (of the monomials whose degree in x is greater than or equal to k^2) falls (see Figure 7).

FIGURE 7

We give a lower bound for this fall δ_0 of the degree (starting from degree m_0):

$$\begin{aligned}\delta_0 &\geq \frac{m_0}{k} - \frac{\lceil m_1 \rceil_k}{k} \\ &= \left\lceil \frac{d}{k} \right\rceil - \left\lceil \frac{k \lceil \frac{d}{k} \rceil - \lceil \frac{d}{k} \rceil}{k} \right\rceil \quad (\text{since } d = \lceil m_0 \rceil_k) \\ &\geq \left\lceil \frac{\lceil \frac{d}{k} \rceil}{k} \right\rceil \\ &\geq \frac{d}{k^2} - 1.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore the total degree, starting now from degree d , of the monomials whose degree in x is more than k^2 has fallen by more than $\delta \geq \frac{d}{k^2} - k$.

Iteration of the fall. Set $d_0 = d$. At each series of iterations the degree (of the monomials whose degree in x is greater than or equal to k^2) falls from d_i to $d_{i+1} := d_i - \lfloor \frac{d_i}{k^2} - k \rfloor \leq (1 - \frac{1}{k^2})d_i + k$, so that (by a computation similar to the one for m_i above) $d_i \leq de^{-\frac{i}{k^2}} + k^3$. Suppose that $d \geq 2k^4$, so that $\frac{d}{2k} + k^3 \leq \frac{d}{k}$. Then for $\ell \geq k^2 \ln(2k)$, we get $d_\ell \leq \frac{d}{k}$. Each fall of the degree needs less than $k \ln(\frac{d}{k} + 1)$ iterations, so that we need to apply Proposition 7 many times to get a total of $s_0 = 2k \ln(\frac{d}{k} + 1) \times k^2 \ln(2k)$ k th powers.

Monomials of low degree in x . At this point, we have written $P = \sum_{i=1}^{s_0} Q_i^k + P_1 + P_2$, where $Q_1, \dots, Q_{s_0}, P_1, P_2 \in F[x, y]$ are such that $\deg Q_i^k \leq \lceil d \rceil_k$, $\deg_x P_1 < k^2$, $\deg P_2 \leq \frac{d}{k}$ (see the right-hand picture in Figure 5). By Corollary 4 we can write P_2 as a sum $P_2 = \sum_{i=1}^{s_2} Q_{i,2}^k$ of $s_2 \leq kw_F(k)$ terms and $\deg Q_{i,2}^k \leq k \lceil \frac{d}{k} \rceil = \lceil d \rceil_k < d + k$.

Now write $P_1(x, y) = \sum_{0 \leq j < k^2} x^j R_j(y)$, where $R_j \in F[y]$ with $\deg R_j \leq d - j$. By Corollary 4, write each x^j as the sum of $kw_F(k)$ terms of degree $\leq jk$. Then, for each $R_j(y)$ we apply the result in one variable [7, Theorem 1.4 (iii)] (or we can do similar work as before) so that we can write (since $d \geq 2k^4$): $R_j(y) = \sum_{i=1}^s S_{ij}^k(y)$ with $s \leq k(w_F(k) + 3 \ln(k)) + 2$ and $\deg S_{ij}^k \leq \deg R_j + k - 1$. We get $x^j R_j(y)$ as the sum of $s' \leq kw_F(k)(k(w_F(k) + 3 \ln(k)) + 2)$, k th powers of degree $\leq jk + \deg R_j + k - 1 \leq d + k^3$ ($j = 0, \dots, k^2 - 1$). Therefore, $P_1 = \sum_{i=1}^{s_1} Q_{i,1}^k$ with $s_1 \leq k^3 w_F(k)(k(w_F(k) + 3 \ln(k)) + 2)$ terms and $\deg Q_{i,1}^k \leq d + k^3$.

Conclusion. For $d \geq 2k^4$ we can write $P(x, y)$ as the sum

$$P(x, y) = \sum_{i=1}^s Q_i^k(x, y)$$

such that $\deg Q_i^k \leq d + k^3$ and $s \leq s_0 + s_2 + s_1$; that is to say²

$$s \leq 2k^3 \ln \left(\frac{d}{k} + 1 \right) \ln(2k) + kw_F(k) + k^3 w_F(k)(k(w_F(k) + 3 \ln(k)) + 2).$$

This yields the announced bound $s \leq 2k^3 \ln(\frac{d}{k} + 1) \ln(2k) + 7k^4 \ln(k) w_F(k)^2$.

²This is the bound used to fill the numerical table of the introduction.

Question. Is it possible to have a sum

$$P(x, y) = \sum_{i=1}^s Q_i^k(x, y)$$

such that $\deg Q_i^k \leq \deg P + k^3$ and a bound s depending only on k and not on $\deg P$?

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Bhaskaran, *Sums of m th powers in algebraic and abelian number fields*. Arch. Math. (Basel) 17 (1966), 497-504; Correction, ibid. 22 (1971), 370-371. MR0204400 (34:4242); MR0294246 (45:3315)
- [2] A. Bodin, *Decomposition of polynomials and approximate roots*. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 138 (2010), 1989-1994. MR2596034 (2011b:13024)
- [3] M. Car, *New bounds on some parameters in the Waring problem for polynomials over a finite field*. Contemporary Mathematics 461, Amer. Math. Soc., 2008, 59-77. MR2436325 (2009j:11190)
- [4] M. Car, L. Gallardo, *Sums of cubes of polynomials*. Acta Arith. 112 (2004), 41-50. MR2040591 (2005a:11190)
- [5] G. Effinger, D. Hayes, *Additive number theory of polynomials over a finite field*. Oxford Mathematical Monographs, Clarendon Press, Oxford (1991). MR1143282 (92k:11103)
- [6] L. H. Gallardo, *On the restricted Waring problem over $\mathbf{F}_{2^n}[t]$* . Acta Arith. 92 (2000), 109-113. MR1750311 (2001e:11123)
- [7] L. Gallardo, L. Vaserstein, *The strict Waring problem for polynomial rings*. J. Number Theory 128 (2008), 2963-2972. MR2464848 (2009j:11191)
- [8] R.M. Kubota, *Waring's problem for $\mathbf{F}_q[x]$* . Dissertationes Math. (Rozprawy Mat.) 117 (1974). MR0376581 (51:12756)
- [9] Y.-R. Liu, T. Wooley, *The unrestricted variant of Waring's problem in function fields*. Funct. Approx. Comment. Math. 37 (2007), 285-291. MR2363827 (2009d:11139)
- [10] R.E.A.C. Paley, *Theorems on polynomials in a Galois field*. Quarterly J. of Math. 4 (1933), 52-63.
- [11] L.N. Vaserstein, *Waring's problem for algebras over fields*. J. Number Theory 26 (1987), 286-298. MR901241 (89e:11059)
- [12] L.N. Vaserstein, *Sums of cubes in polynomial rings*. Math. Comput. 56 (1991), 349-357. MR1052104 (91e:11106)
- [13] L.N. Vaserstein, *Ramsey's theorem and Waring's problem*. In *The Arithmetic of Function Fields* (eds. D. Goss et al.), de Gruyter, New York-Berlin, 1992. MR1196531 (94a:11155)
- [14] W.A. Webb, *Waring's problem in $GF[q, x]$* . Acta Arith. 22 (1973), 207-220. MR0313190 (47:1745)

LABORATOIRE PAUL PAINLEVÉ, UFR MATHÉMATIQUES, UNIVERSITÉ LILLE 1, 59655 VILLENEUVE D'ASCQ CEDEX, FRANCE

E-mail address: `Arnaud.Bodin@math.univ-lille1.fr`

UNIVERSITÉ PAUL CÉZANNE, FACULTÉ DE SAINT-JÉRÔME, 13397 MARSEILLE CEDEX, FRANCE

E-mail address: `Mireille.Car@univ-cezanne.fr`