SPACEABILITY AND ALGEBRABILITY OF SETS OF NOWHERE INTEGRABLE FUNCTIONS

SZYMON GŁĄB, PEDRO L. KAUFMANN, AND LEONARDO PELLEGRINI

(Communicated by Thomas Schlumprecht)

ABSTRACT. We show that the set of Lebesgue integrable functions in $[0, 1]$ which are nowhere essentially bounded is spaceable, improving a result from García-Pacheco, Martín, and Seoane-Sepúlveda, and that it is strongly $\mathfrak{c}$ -algebrable. We prove strong $\mathfrak{c}$ -algebrability and nonseparable spaceability of the set of functions of bounded variation which have a dense set of jump discontinuities. Applications to sets of Lebesgue-nowhere-Riemann integrable and Riemann-nowhere-Newton integrable functions are presented as corollaries. In addition, we prove that the set of Kurzweil integrable functions which are not Lebesgue integrable is spaceable (in the Alexievicz norm) but not 1-algebrable. We also show that there exists an infinite dimensional vector space S of differentiable functions such that each element of the $C([0, 1])$ -closure of S is a primitive to a Kurzweil integrable function, in connection to a classic spaceability result from Gurariy.

1. INTRODUCTION AND TERMINOLOGY

This work is a contribution to the study of large linear and algebraic structures within essentially nonlinear sets of functions which satisfy special properties; the presence of such structures is often described using the terminology *lineable*, *algebrable* and *spaceable*. Recall that a subset S of a topological vector space X is said to be *lineable* (respectively, *spaceable*) if $S \cup \{0\}$ contains an infinite dimensional vector subspace (respectively, a *closed* infinite dimensional vector subspace) of X ; this terminology was first introduced in [5] (see also [1]). The term *algebrability* was introduced later in [2]: if X is a linear algebra, S is said to be κ -algebrable if $S \cup \{0\}$ contains an infinitely generated algebra, with a *minimal* set of generators of cardinality κ (see [2] for details). We shall work with a strengthened notion of κ -algebrability, namely, *strong κ -algebrability*. The definition follows:

Definition 1.1. We say that a subset S of an algebra $\mathcal{A}$ is *strongly κ -algebrable*, where κ is a cardinal number, if there exists a κ -generated free algebra $\mathcal{B}$ contained in $S \cup \{0\}$.

We recall that, for a cardinal number κ , to say that an algebra $\mathcal{A}$ is a *κ -generated free algebra* means that there exists a subset $Z = \{z_\alpha : \alpha < \kappa\} \subset \mathcal{A}$ such that any function f from Z into some algebra $\mathcal{A}'$ can be uniquely extended to a homomorphism from $\mathcal{A}$ into $\mathcal{A}'$. The set Z is called a *set of free generators* of the

Received by the editors September 23, 2011.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 26A30; Secondary 26A42, 26A39, 26A45.

Key words and phrases. Spaceability, algebrability, nowhere integrable functions, bounded variation functions.

The second author was supported by CAPES, Research Grant PNPd 2256-2009.

algebra $\mathcal{A}$. If Z is a set of free generators of some subalgebra $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{A}$, we say that Z is a set of free generators *in* the subalgebra $\mathcal{A}$. If $\mathcal{A}$ is *commutative*, a subset $Z = \{z_\alpha : \alpha < \kappa\} \subset \mathcal{A}$ is a set of free generators in $\mathcal{A}$ if for each polynomial P and for any $z_{\alpha_1}, z_{\alpha_2}, \dots, z_{\alpha_n} \in Z$ we have

$$P(z_{\alpha_1}, z_{\alpha_2}, \dots, z_{\alpha_n}) = 0 \text{ if and only if } P = 0.$$

The definition of strong κ -algebrability was introduced in [3], though in several papers, sets which are shown to be algebrable are in fact strongly algebrable, and that is seen clearly by the proofs. See [2] and [7], among others. Strong algebrability is in effect a stronger condition than algebrability: for example, c_{00} is ω -algebrable in c_0 , but it is not strongly 1-algebrable (see [3]).

All functions in this paper are real-valued and defined in $[0, 1]$ unless stated otherwise. We are particularly interested in functions which are integrable with respect to some definition of integral, but *nowhere integrable* with respect to a different definition of integral. We clarify that the notation *f is nowhere T -integrable*, for some integration process T , means that f is not T -integrable in any subinterval of the domain.

In section 2, we show that the set $\mathcal{G}$ of nowhere essentially bounded (not essentially bounded in any subinterval of the domain) Lebesgue integrable functions is spaceable and strongly $\mathfrak{c}$ -algebrable. The fact that $\mathcal{G}$ is spaceable improves a result from García-Pacheco, Martín, and Seoane-Sepúlveda [6], which states that the set of Lebesgue integrable functions which are not equivalent to Riemann integrable functions is spaceable. The improvement is seen clearly in Corollary 2.4. In section 3, we investigate spaceability and algebrability properties of the set of functions of bounded variation which have a dense set of jump discontinuities and which are, in turn, all Riemann-nowhere-Newton integrable. A connection with a result from [7] will be pointed out. Section 4 is dedicated to Kurzweil integrable functions. We show that the set $\mathcal{J}$ of Kurzweil integrable functions which are not Lebesgue integrable is spaceable, but $\mathcal{J}$ does not contain any nontrivial algebra. We discuss the relation with a result from Gurariy [9] (see also [10]), which states that the set of differentiable functions is lineable but not spaceable in $C([0, 1])$. At the end of sections 2 and 4, we include some problems left open and remarks which stimulate further investigation.

2. SPACEABILITY AND ALGEBRABILITY OF SETS OF LEBESGUE INTEGRABLE FUNCTIONS

Let $\mathcal{G}$ be the set of all Lebesgue integrable functions in $[0, 1]$ which are nowhere essentially bounded. The main results in this section are that $\mathcal{G}$ is spaceable in the L^1 norm and that it is strongly $\mathfrak{c}$ -algebrable. Our construction of functions in $\mathcal{G}$ involves infinite unions of Cantor sets. We recall that a Cantor set A in $[a, b]$ is a perfect, not countable, nowhere dense subset of $[a, b]$ of diameter $b - a$ which is obtained by subtracting from $[a, b]$ a countable union of open sets in a special way, so that A can measure from zero to strictly less than $b - a$. We shall call these open sets the *holes* of A and also use the following notation:

Definition 2.1. We say that a nonvoid subset B of $[a, b]$ of the form $B = \bigcup \{A_j : j \in \Gamma\}$ is *Cantor-built* (with Cantor components A_j) if

- (1) each A_j is a Cantor set in some subinterval $[c_j, d_j]$ of $[a, b]$;
- (2) if $j \neq k$, then $m([c_j, d_j] \cap [c_k, d_k]) = 0$, where m denotes Lebesgue measure.

Remark 2.2. Clearly a Cantor-built set has an at most countable amount of Cantor components. The index set Γ is therefore nonvoid and at most countable.

For each subset $A \subset [0, 1]$ and each subinterval $I = [a, b] \subset [0, 1]$, let us denote

$$A_I \doteq \{(b-a)x + a : x \in A\}.$$

Note that if A is measurable, then A_I is measurable and $m(A_I) = (b-a)m(A)$.

We shall now define a family of functions f_j in $\mathcal{G}$. First we define a family of pairwise disjoint subsets A_j of $[0, 1]$ as follows. Let A_1 be a Cantor set in $[0, 1]$ of measure 2^{-1} . Define

$$A_2 \doteq \bigcup \{(A_1)_I : I \text{ is a hole of } A_1\}.$$

Then A_2 is Cantor-built, with Cantor components $(A_1)_I$ like above, and $m(A_2) = 2^{-2}$. Let $j \geq 3$, suppose that $A_j \subset [0, 1]$ is Cantor-built, and suppose that $m(A_j) = 2^{-j}$. Define

$$A_{j+1} \doteq \bigcup \{(A_1)_I : I \text{ is a hole of a Cantor component of } A_j\}.$$

Then A_{j+1} is Cantor-built, with Cantor components $(A_1)_I$, and $m(A_{j+1}) = 2^{-(j+1)}$.

We have obtained a sequence (A_j) of Cantor-built subsets of $[0, 1]$ satisfying

- (1) $m(A_j \cap A_k) = 0$, when $j \neq k$;
- (2) $m(\bigcup_j A_j) = 1$ (thus $\bigcup_j A_j$ is dense in $[0, 1]$);
- (3) for each subinterval $J \subset [0, 1]$, there is a natural number j_0 such that A_j has a Cantor component contained in J for each $j \geq j_0$.

Let $1 < \theta < 2$, write $\Theta \doteq \sum_j (\theta/2)^j$ and define, for each j , $f_j \doteq \theta^j \chi_{A_j}$. Suppose that, for each natural number k , $(n_j^k)_j$ is a strictly increasing sequence of natural numbers and that those sequences are pairwise disjoint. For each k define

$$g_k \doteq \sum_j f_{n_j^k}.$$

Note that each $g_k \in \mathcal{G}$, and

$$0 < \int g_k = \sum_j \int f_{n_j^k} = \sum_j \left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right)^{n_j^k} < \Theta;$$

moreover, $\{g_k\}_k$ is linearly independent in L^1 , since the sets $\{x \in [0, 1] : g_k(x) \neq 0\}$ all have positive measure and $m(\{x \in [0, 1] : g_k(x) \neq 0\} \cap \{x \in [0, 1] : g_j(x) \neq 0\})$ is zero whenever $j \neq k$.

Theorem 2.3. $\overline{\text{span}(\{g_k\}_k)} \subset \mathcal{G} \cup \{0\}$. In particular, $\mathcal{G}$ is spaceable in L^1 .

Proof. For all natural numbers $m_1 < m_2$ and each $a_1, \dots, a_{m_2} \in \mathbb{R}$ the inequality $\|\sum_{k=1}^{m_1} a_k g_k\| \leq \|\sum_{k=1}^{m_2} a_k g_k\|$ holds; thus $(g_k)_k$ is a basic sequence, and therefore a Schauder basis to $\overline{\text{span}(\{g_k\}_k)}$. It follows that, for a given nonzero $f \in \overline{\text{span}(\{g_k\}_k)}$, there is a nonzero sequence $(\alpha_k)_k$ of real numbers satisfying

$$(2.1) \quad f = \sum_k \alpha_k g_k.$$

Suppose $\alpha_{k_0} \neq 0$, and let $J \subset [0, 1]$ be an open interval. There exists a natural number j_0 such that there is a Cantor component B_j of $A_{n_j^{k_0}}$ contained in J , for each $j \geq j_0$. Since each B_j has positive measure, $f|_{B_j} \equiv \theta^{n_j^{k_0}}$ and $\{\theta^{n_j^{k_0}} : j \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is unbounded, it follows that f is not essentially bounded in J . $\square$

Since Riemann integrable functions are bounded, we have the following:

Corollary 2.4. *The set of Lebesgue integrable functions in $[0, 1]$ which are not equivalent to a Riemann integrable function in any subinterval of the domain is spaceable.*

We will now study the strong algebrability aspect of the set $\mathcal{G}$. First, note that the construction of the sequence of Cantor-built sets A_j is associated to the convergent series $\sum_j 2^{-j}$. It is not hard to see that with some technical effort we can build a similar sequence of Cantor-built sets B_j in $[0, 1]$ associated to the series $\sum_j \frac{1}{e} \frac{1}{j!}$, satisfying $m(B_j) = \frac{1}{e} \frac{1}{j!}$ and the A_j -like properties:

- (1) $m(B_j \cap B_k) = 0$, when $j \neq k$;
- (2) $m(\bigcup_j B_j) = 1$;
- (3) for each subinterval $J \subset [0, 1]$, there is a natural number j_0 such that B_j has a Cantor component contained in J for each $j \geq j_0$.

Let $\{\theta_\alpha : \alpha < \mathfrak{c}\}$ be a set of real numbers strictly greater than 1 such that the set $\{\ln(\theta_\alpha) : \alpha < \mathfrak{c}\}$ is linearly independent over the rational numbers. For each $\alpha < \mathfrak{c}$, define

$$g_\alpha = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \theta_\alpha^j \chi_{B_j}.$$

For each α the series $\sum_j \frac{\theta_\alpha^j}{j!}$ converges; thus each g_α is Lebesgue integrable.

Theorem 2.5. *$\{g_\alpha : \alpha < \mathfrak{c}\}$ is a set of free generators, and the algebra generated by this set is contained in $\mathcal{G} \cup \{0\}$. In particular, $\mathcal{G}$ is strongly $\mathfrak{c}$ -algebrable.*

Proof. It suffices to show that, for every m and n positive integers, for every matrix $(k_{ij} : i = 1, \dots, m, j = 1, \dots, n)$ of nonnegative integers with nonzero and distinct rows, for every $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n < \mathfrak{c}$ and for every $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_m \in \mathbb{R}$ which do not vanish simultaneously, the function

$$g = \beta_1 g_{\alpha_1}^{k_{11}} \dots g_{\alpha_n}^{k_{1n}} + \dots + \beta_m g_{\alpha_1}^{k_{m1}} \dots g_{\alpha_n}^{k_{mn}}$$

is in $\mathcal{G}$. g is in L^1 since

$$\begin{aligned} \int |g| &\leq \int \left[\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (|\beta_1| (\theta_{\alpha_1}^{k_{11}} \dots \theta_{\alpha_n}^{k_{1n}})^j + \dots + |\beta_m| (\theta_{\alpha_1}^{k_{m1}} \dots \theta_{\alpha_n}^{k_{mn}})^j) \chi_{B_j} \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{e} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{|\beta_1| (\theta_{\alpha_1}^{k_{11}} \dots \theta_{\alpha_n}^{k_{1n}})^j + \dots + |\beta_m| (\theta_{\alpha_1}^{k_{m1}} \dots \theta_{\alpha_n}^{k_{mn}})^j}{j!} < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\ln(\theta_{\alpha_1}^{k_{i1}} \dots \theta_{\alpha_n}^{k_{in}}) = k_{i1} \ln \theta_{\alpha_1} + \dots + k_{in} \ln \theta_{\alpha_n}$ and $\ln \theta_{\alpha_1}, \dots, \ln \theta_{\alpha_n}$ are $\mathbb{Q}$ -linearly independent, the numbers $\ln(\theta_{\alpha_1}^{k_{i1}} \dots \theta_{\alpha_n}^{k_{in}})$, $i = 1, \dots, m$, are distinct. Then by the strict monotonicity of the logarithmic function we may assume that

$$(2.2) \quad \theta_{\alpha_1}^{k_{11}} \dots \theta_{\alpha_n}^{k_{1n}} > \theta_{\alpha_1}^{k_{21}} \dots \theta_{\alpha_n}^{k_{2n}} > \dots > \theta_{\alpha_1}^{k_{m1}} \dots \theta_{\alpha_n}^{k_{mn}};$$

we also may assume $\beta_1 \neq 0$. To simplify the notation put $\theta_i = \theta_{\alpha_1}^{k_{i1}} \dots \theta_{\alpha_n}^{k_{in}}$. Then we can write

$$g = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (\beta_1 \theta_1^j + \dots + \beta_m \theta_m^j) \chi_{B_j}.$$

From (2.2) and since β_1 is assumed to be nonzero, we can find $j_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$|\beta_2|\theta_2^j + \dots + |\beta_m|\theta_m^j < \frac{1}{2}|\beta_1|\theta_1^j$$

for all $j \geq j_0$. Then for those j ,

$$\begin{aligned} |\beta_1\theta_1^j + \dots + \beta_m\theta_m^j| &\geq |\beta_1|\theta_1^j - |\beta_2\theta_2^j + \dots + \beta_m\theta_m^j| \\ &\geq |\beta_1|\theta_1^j - (|\beta_2|\theta_2^j + \dots + |\beta_m|\theta_m^j) \\ &> \frac{1}{2}|\beta_1|\theta_1^j. \end{aligned}$$

Since each nonvoid open subset of $[0, 1]$ intercepts all B_j in a nonnull set (for high enough j), the inequality above shows that g is nowhere essentially bounded. $\square$

In parallel to Corollary 2.4, we have the following:

Corollary 2.6. *The set of Lebesgue integrable functions which are not equivalent to a Riemann integrable function in any subinterval of the domain is strongly $\mathfrak{c}$ -algebrable.*

2.1. Further questions on the algebrability of $\mathcal{G}$. It is unknown to the authors whether $\mathcal{G} \cup \{0\}$ admits a *closed* algebra within it. We do not even know whether the free algebra constructed in the proof of Proposition 2.5 has its closure contained in $\mathcal{G} \cup \{0\}$; a positive answer would imply automatically on the spaceability of $\mathcal{G}$.

By another point of view, note the following:

Proposition 2.7. *The set of nowhere essentially bounded functions is co-meager in L^1 .*

Proof. Let $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and I be any subinterval of $[0, 1]$ with rational endpoints. Let $M = \{f \in L^1 : |f(x)| \leq N \text{ for a.e. } x \in I\}$. To end the proof it suffices to show that M is nowhere dense.

Let $B(f, R)$ be a ball in L^1 centered in some f with a radius $R > 0$, and take a subinterval J of I with length $\frac{R}{6N}$. Define $g : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$g(x) = \begin{cases} 2N, & \text{if } x \in J, \\ f(x), & \text{if } x \in [0, 1] \setminus J. \end{cases}$$

Then

$$\|g - f\| = \int_J |2N - f| \leq m(J)3N = \frac{R}{6N} \cdot 3N = \frac{R}{2}.$$

Consider the ball $B(g, R/7)$, and let $h \in B(g, R/7)$. Then $h \in M$ would imply that

$$R/7 \geq \|h - g\| = \int_0^1 |h - g| \geq \int_J |h - g| \geq m(J)N = R/6,$$

a contradiction. $\square$

In particular, $\mathcal{G}$ is dense in L^1 . This motivates the search for algebras within $\mathcal{G} \cup \{0\}$ which are dense in L^1 . It is not known to the authors whether these algebras exist. Note that the algebra constructed in the proof of Proposition 2.5 cannot be dense, since functions contained in the closure are all constant when restricted to each B_j .

3. SPACEABILITY AND ALGEBRABILITY OF SETS OF FUNCTIONS OF BOUNDED VARIATION

In Theorem 4.1 from [7], García-Pacheco, Palmberg and Seoane-Sepúlveda showed that the set of bounded functions with removable singularities in each rational, and everywhere else continuous and zero-valued, is $\mathfrak{c}$ -lineable and $\mathfrak{c}$ -algebrable. In particular, this shows that the set of Riemann integrable, nowhere Newton integrable¹ functions is $\mathfrak{c}$ -lineable and $\mathfrak{c}$ -algebrable. This comes from the fact that bounded, a.e. continuous functions are all Riemann integrable, and derivatives never have removable discontinuities.

In this section we investigate the set, which we will denote by $\mathcal{F}$, of functions of bounded variation with a dense set of jump discontinuities. This set is related to the mentioned result of [7], since each element of $\mathcal{F}$ is also Riemann integrable and nowhere Newton integrable; only this time the nowhere Newton integrability comes from the fact that derivatives do not have *jump* discontinuities. Let us denote by $BV[0, 1]$ the set of real-valued, left-continuous functions of bounded variation, equipped with the norm

$$\|f\| \doteq |f(0)| + V(f),$$

where $V(f)$ is the total variation of f . Recall that, equipped with this norm, $BV[0, 1]$ is a nonseparable Banach space. Fix an enumeration $\{q_i : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ of all rational numbers in $(0, 1)$, and consider

$$(3.1) \quad f = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{-i} \chi_{(q_i, 1]}.$$

Then f belongs to $\mathcal{F}$; more specifically, it is monotone, continuous in $[0, 1] \setminus \mathbb{Q}$, and has a jump discontinuity in each rational number in $(0, 1)$. Constructions based on this function will be used to prove the main results of this section, namely Theorems 3.1 and 3.2.

Theorem 3.1. *There is a nonseparable closed subspace of $BV[0, 1]$ contained in $\mathcal{F} \cup \{0\}$.*

Proof. Define the Vitali relation on $(0, 1)$ in the following way: $x \sim y \iff x - y \in \mathbb{Q}$. Let V be a selector of the Vitali relation, consider the function f defined in (3.1), and for each $v \in V$ define

$$f_v(x) \doteq \begin{cases} f(x - v), & \text{if } x - v \in [0, 1], \\ f(x - v + 1), & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Note that the one-sided limits of f_v at v are $f_v(v^-) = 1$ and $f_v(v^+) = 0$.

Take any distinct $v, v' \in V$. Then $f_{v'}$ is continuous at v , $(f_{v'} - f_v)(v^-) = f_{v'}(v) - 1$ and $(f_{v'} - f_v)(v^+) = f_{v'}(v)$, from which it follows that

$$V(f_{v'} - f_v) \geq 1.$$

This shows that the set $\{f_v : v \in V\}$ is a discrete subset of $BV[0, 1]$ of cardinality $\mathfrak{c}$. Let $f = \alpha_1 f_{v_1} + \dots + \alpha_k f_{v_k}$ be a linear combination such that $\alpha_i \neq 0$ and the v_i are distinct. Then the set of all points of jump discontinuity of f equals $(0, 1) \cap (\{v_i : i = 1, \dots, k\} + \mathbb{Q})$.

¹Recall that we say that a function $F : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is the *antiderivative* of $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ if F is continuous and $F' = f$ in (a, b) . Some authors refer to functions which have antiderivatives as *Newton integrable*, and this terminology is naturally convenient for us.

Now, let $g \in \overline{\text{span}\{f_v : v \in V\}}$. Then there is a sequence (v_i) of distinct elements of V such that $g \in \overline{\text{span}\{f_{v_i} : i \in \mathbb{N}\}}$. Let us show that (f_{v_i}) is a basic sequence. Note that $\|f_{v_i}\| \leq 3$. Fix a sequence of real numbers $(\beta_i)_i$, and $n < m$. Then

$$\|\beta_1 f_{v_1} + \cdots + \beta_n f_{v_n}\| \leq \sum_{i=1}^n |\beta_i| \|f_{v_i}\| = 3 \sum_{i=1}^n |\beta_i|.$$

For simplicity put $h = \beta_1 f_{v_1} + \cdots + \beta_m f_{v_m}$. Then $|h(v_i^+) - h(v_i^-)| = |\beta_i|$, and therefore

$$\|h\| \geq V(h) \geq \sum_{i=1}^m |\beta_i|.$$

Hence,

$$\|\beta_1 f_{v_1} + \cdots + \beta_n f_{v_n}\| \leq 3 \|\beta_1 f_{v_1} + \cdots + \beta_m f_{v_m}\|,$$

and $(f_{v_i})_i$ is a basic sequence. Then there exists a sequence (a_i) of real numbers with $g = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i f_{v_i}$. Clearly the set of discontinuities of g is dense in $(0, 1)$. $\square$

It is possible to prove the spaceability of $\mathcal{F}$ more directly, and without using nonmeasurable sets, as a consequence of Theorem 2.3. Nonseparability, however, is lost. We will include this alternative proof at the end of the section.

Theorem 3.2. $\mathcal{F}$ is strongly $\mathfrak{c}$ -algebrable.

To prove Theorem 3.2, we will need Lemmas 3.3 and 3.4.

Lemma 3.3. The algebra $\mathcal{A}$ of continuous functions in $[0, 1]$ which are analytic in $(0, 1)$ admits a set of free generators of cardinality $\mathfrak{c}$.

Proof. Take a $\mathbb{Q}$ -linearly independent set of real numbers $\{r_\alpha : \alpha < \mathfrak{c}\}$. Then $\{x \mapsto e^{r_\alpha x} : \alpha < \mathfrak{c}\}$ is a set of free generators. $\square$

Lemma 3.4. Suppose that $G_j \in \mathcal{A}$, $j = 1, \dots, k$, and that G_k is nonzero. Then

$$g \doteq \sum_{j=1}^k G_j f^j,$$

where f was defined in (3.1), satisfies the following properties:

- (1) both one-sided limits of g exist at each $x \in (0, 1)$, and for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$ these limits at q_i are given by $g(q_i^+) = \sum_{j=1}^k G_j(q_i)(f(q_i) + 2^{-i})^j$ and $g(q_i^-) = \sum_{j=1}^k G_j(q_i)f^j(q_i)$;
- (2) g is in $BV[0, 1]$, and in particular it is left-continuous;
- (3) g has a dense set of jump discontinuities.

Proof. (1) and (2) are easily checked. Let us prove (3). Suppose first that $g = G_1 f$, where $G_1 \in \mathcal{A} \setminus \{0\}$. For any subinterval $[a, b] \subset [0, 1]$, since G_1 is analytic in $(0, 1)$ and nonzero, there is an $x_0 \in [a, b]$ such that $G_1(x_0) \neq 0$. By the continuity of G_1 , there is a rational number $q \in (a, b)$ satisfying $G_1(q) \neq 0$. Then

$$(G_1 f)(q^-) = G_1(q) f(q^-) \neq G_1(q) f(q^+) = (G_1 f)(q^+);$$

thus $g = G_1 f$ has a jump discontinuity at q .

Suppose now that the lemma holds for $1, \dots, k-1$, and let $g = \sum_{j=1}^k G_j f^j$, where $G_1, \dots, G_k \in \mathcal{A}$ and G_k is nonzero. For clearness, denote $a_i \doteq 2^{-i}$. For each $i \in \mathbb{N}$ we have that

$$\begin{aligned} g(q_i^+) - g(q_i^-) &= \sum_{j=1}^k G_j(q_i)((f(q_i) + a_i)^j - f^j(q_i)) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^k G_j(q_i) \left(\sum_{m=0}^j \binom{j}{m} a_i^m f^{j-m}(q_i) - f^j(q_i) \right) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^k G_j(q_i) \sum_{m=1}^j \binom{j}{m} a_i^m f^{j-m}(q_i) \\ &= \sum_{m=1}^k a_i^m P_m(G_m(q_i), \dots, G_k(q_i), f(q_i)), \end{aligned}$$

where $P_m(x_m, \dots, x_k, y) \doteq \sum_{j=m}^k \binom{j}{m} x_j y^{j-m}$. Note that there is an $M > 0$ such that

$$|G_j(x)| \leq M,$$

for all $j = 1, \dots, k$ and all $x \in [0, 1]$. Since P_m are polynomials, there is an $N > 0$ such that

$$|P_m(x_m, \dots, x_k, y)| \leq N,$$

for all $m = 1, \dots, k$ and all $(x_m, \dots, x_k, y) \in [-M, M]^{k-m} \times [0, 1]$. In particular,

$$|P_m(G_m(x), \dots, G_k(x), f(x))| \leq N$$

for all $m = 1, \dots, k$ and $x \in [0, 1]$.

Let $[a, b]$ be any subinterval of $(0, 1)$. Consider the function

$$h(x) \doteq P_1(G_1(x), \dots, G_k(x), f(x)) = G_1(x) + \sum_{j=2}^k \binom{j}{1} G_j(x) f^{j-1}(x).$$

By the induction hypothesis, $\sum_{j=2}^k \binom{j}{1} G_j(\cdot) f^{j-1}(\cdot)$ has a dense set of jump discontinuities in $[a, b]$. Since G_1 is continuous, h has jump discontinuities at the same points, and in particular it does not vanish at $(a, b]$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and $x_0 \in (a, b]$ be such that $|h(x_0)| > \varepsilon$. Since h is left-continuous, $|h(x)| > \varepsilon$ for each $x < x_0$ which is close enough to x_0 . In particular, the set

$$S \doteq \{i : q_i \in [a, b], |h(q_i)| \geq \varepsilon\}$$

is infinite. For each $i \in S$ we have that

$$\begin{aligned} |g(q_i^+) - g(q_i^-)| &= \left| \sum_{m=1}^k a_i^m P_m(G_m(q_i), \dots, G_k(q_i), f(q_i)) \right| \\ &\geq |a_i h(q_i)| - \sum_{m=2}^k |a_i^m P_m(G_m(q_i), \dots, G_k(q_i), f(q_i))| \\ &\geq a_i \varepsilon - \sum_{m=2}^k a_i^m N = \frac{1}{2^i} \varepsilon - N \sum_{m=2}^k \frac{1}{2^{mi}} \geq \frac{1}{2^i} \varepsilon - N \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{mi}} \\ &= \frac{1}{2^i} \left(\varepsilon - N \frac{1}{2^i - 1} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Since S is infinite, there is $i_0 \in S$ such that $\varepsilon - N \frac{1}{2^{i_0} - 1} > 0$. Then g has a jump discontinuity at q_{i_0} . $\square$

Proof of Theorem 3.2. Let $\{g_\alpha : \alpha < \mathfrak{c}\}$ be a set of free generators in $\mathcal{A}$, and consider the function f defined in (3.1). We will show that $\{g_\alpha f : \alpha < \mathfrak{c}\}$ is a set of free generators in $\mathcal{F}$ and that the algebra generated by this set is entirely contained in $\mathcal{F} \cap \{0\}$. Let p be a polynomial of n variables and real coefficients, with no constant term, given by

$$p(x_1, \dots, x_n) \doteq \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \lambda_\gamma x_1^{\gamma_1} \dots x_n^{\gamma_n};$$

here, Γ denotes a (finite) set of n -dimensional multi-indices of the form $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n)$, and each λ_γ is nonzero. Note that $(0, \dots, 0) \notin \Gamma$. To complete our proof, it suffices to show that $g \doteq p(g_{\alpha_1} f, \dots, g_{\alpha_n} f)$ is in $\mathcal{F} \cup \{0\}$ and that g is zero if and only if $\lambda_\gamma = 0$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$. We can write g as follows:

$$g = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \lambda_\gamma g_{\alpha_1}^{\gamma_1} \dots g_{\alpha_n}^{\gamma_n} f^{|\gamma|} = \sum_{j=1}^k \left[\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma, |\gamma|=j} \lambda_\gamma g_{\alpha_1}^{\gamma_1} \dots g_{\alpha_n}^{\gamma_n} \right] f^j = \sum_{j=1}^k G_j f^j,$$

where each $G_j \doteq \lambda_\gamma g_{\alpha_1}^{\gamma_1} \dots g_{\alpha_n}^{\gamma_n}$ clearly belongs to $\mathcal{A}$. Note that, since $\{g_\alpha : \alpha < \mathfrak{c}\}$ is a set of free generators in $\mathcal{A}$, then for each j , $G_j = 0$ implies that $\lambda_\gamma = 0$ for each $\gamma \in \Gamma$ satisfying $|\gamma| = j$. The result follows from Lemma 3.4. $\square$

3.1. An alternative proof of the spaceability of $\mathcal{F}$. Recall that L^1 is isometrically embedded in $BV[0, 1]$ via the natural map ψ which takes each Lebesgue integrable function g into its primitive $G(x) \doteq \int_0^x g$. The image of ψ is denoted by AC_0 , and it consists of all absolutely continuous functions starting at zero. Note that each nowhere essentially bounded Lebesgue integrable function is mapped via ψ into a continuous function which is not identically zero in any subinterval of the domain; therefore by Theorem 2.3 there is an infinite dimensional closed subspace S of $BV[0, 1]$, each nonzero element of which satisfies that property. Note also that for each nonzero $g \in S$, $(f + 1)g$ has a dense set of jump discontinuities, where f was defined in (3.1). The conclusion follows from the fact that $g \in AC_0 \xrightarrow{\varphi} (f + 1)g \in BV[0, 1]$ is an isomorphism onto its image, and thus $\varphi[S]$ is a closed, infinite-dimensional subspace of $BV[0, 1]$ contained in $\mathcal{F} \cup \{0\}$.

4. SPACEABILITY OF SETS OF KURZWEIL INTEGRABLE FUNCTIONS

Consider the vector space $K([0, 1])$ of all Kurzweil integrable functions equipped with the Alexievicz norm given by

$$\|f\|_A \doteq \|F\|_\infty,$$

where F is the (Kurzweil) primitive function of f . As in L^1 , in $K([0, 1])$ equivalence classes of almost everywhere equal functions are considered, and Kurzweil integrable functions which are equal a.e. have the same primitive. Since all primitives to Kurzweil integrable functions are continuous, $K([0, 1])$ can be isometrically imbedded, through the natural identification $f \mapsto F$, onto a (dense, since all polynomials are primitives for Kurzweil integrable functions) subspace of $C([0, 1])$, which we will denote by $\mathbb{K}$, or $\mathbb{K}([0, 1])$. One of the main properties of $\mathbb{K}$ is that it includes AC_0 (which is another way of saying that all Lebesgue integrable functions are Kurzweil integrable) and $C^0([0, 1])$, the subspace of $C([0, 1])$ consisting of all differentiable functions; for details see e.g. [8]. Another feature of the Kurzweil integral, lacked by the Lebesgue integral, is Hake's Theorem:

Theorem 4.1 (Hake). *$f : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is Kurzweil integrable if and only if for each small $\epsilon > 0$, the restriction $f|_{[\epsilon, 1]} \in K([\epsilon, 1])$, and the limit*

$$(4.1) \quad \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{[\epsilon, 1]} f$$

exists. In that case, $\int_{[0, 1]} f$ equals (4.1).

We will also need the following standard result which relates the Kurzweil and the Lebesgue integrals:

Proposition 4.2. *$f : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is Lebesgue integrable if and only if f and $|f|$ are Kurzweil integrable, and in that case the values of the Lebesgue and the Kurzweil integrals of f coincide.*

We are interested in the set $\mathcal{J}$ of Kurzweil integrable functions which are not Lebesgue integrable. In the following we will construct a class of such functions which satisfy nice properties. Define $\Phi : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\Phi(x) \doteq \begin{cases} 4x^2 \sin\left(\frac{\pi}{4x^2}\right) & \text{if } x \in (0, 1/2]; \\ -4(1-x)^2 \sin\left(\frac{\pi}{4(1-x)^2}\right) & \text{if } x \in (1/2, 1); \\ 0 & \text{if } x = 0 \text{ or } x = 1. \end{cases}$$

Then Φ is everywhere differentiable and

$$\phi(x) \doteq \Phi'(x) = \begin{cases} 8x \sin\left(\frac{\pi}{4x^2}\right) - \frac{2\pi}{x} \cos\left(\frac{\pi}{4x^2}\right) & \text{if } x \in (0, 1/2]; \\ 8(1-x) \sin\left(\frac{\pi}{4(1-x)^2}\right) - \frac{2\pi}{1-x} \cos\left(\frac{\pi}{4(1-x)^2}\right) & \text{if } x \in (1/2, 1); \\ 0 & \text{if } x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus (0, 1). \end{cases}$$

For each subinterval $I = [a, b]$, define $\Phi_I : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$(4.2) \quad \Phi_I(x) \doteq \begin{cases} \Phi\left(\frac{x-a}{b-a}\right) & \text{if } x \in I; \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then Φ_I is also everywhere differentiable, and the derivative is given by

$$(4.3) \quad \phi_I(x) \doteq \Phi'_I(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{b-a} \phi\left(\frac{x-a}{b-a}\right) & \text{if } x \in I; \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Each ϕ_I is Kurzweil integrable (with $(K)\int \phi_I = 0$), but is not Lebesgue integrable, since its positive and negative parts have infinite integral. For each natural number k , consider $I_k \doteq [2^{k+1}, 2^k]$. Clearly $\{\phi_{I_k} : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is linearly independent. We can now state our first result on lineability for sets of Kurzweil integrable functions:

Proposition 4.3. *$\text{span}(\{\Phi_{I_k} : k \in \mathbb{N}\}) \subset (C^0([0, 1]) \setminus AC_0) \cup \{0\}$. In particular, $(C^0([0, 1]) \setminus AC_0)$ and $\mathcal{J}$ are lineable.*

Proof. It suffices to show that $\text{span}(\{\phi_{I_k} : k \in \mathbb{N}\}) \cap L^1([0, 1]) = \{0\}$. Let f be a nontrivial linear combination of the ϕ_{I_k} . Then there is a nonzero sequence $(\alpha_k)_k \in c_{00}$ such that

$$f = \sum_k \alpha_k \phi_{I_k}.$$

Suppose that $\alpha_{k_0} \neq 0$. Then $f|_{I_{k_0}} = \alpha_{k_0} \phi_{I_{k_0}}$, which is not Lebesgue integrable. $\square$

Remark 4.4. It is natural to ask whether Proposition 4.3 can be generalized analogously to Corollary 2.4 or not, that is, whether the set of Kurzweil integrable functions which are not Lebesgue integrable in *any* subinterval is lineable or not. The generalization is impossible, the reason being that, as is known (see again [8]), each Kurzweil integrable function must be Lebesgue integrable in some subinterval.

Proposition 4.5. *$\overline{\text{span}(\{\phi_{I_k} : k \in \mathbb{N}\})}^{\|\cdot\|_A} \subset \mathcal{J} \cup \{0\}$. In particular, $\mathcal{J}$ is spaceable in $K([0, 1])$.*

Proof. Suppose that $f \in \overline{\text{span}(\{\phi_{I_k} : k \in \mathbb{N}\})}^{\|\cdot\|_A}$. Consider a sequence $(f^m)_m$, such that each $f^m \in \text{span}(\{\phi_{I_k} : k \in \mathbb{N}\})$. Then for each m there is a sequence $(\alpha_k^m) \in c_{00}$ such that

$$f^m = \sum_k \alpha_k^m \phi_{I_k}.$$

An easy computation gives us that, for each k , $(\alpha_k^m)_m$ converges in m to a real number α_k , and that we can write

$$(4.4) \quad f = \sum_k \alpha_k \phi_{I_k}.$$

An argument identical to the one in the proof of Proposition 4.3 shows that f is not Lebesgue integrable. $\square$

If we consider, instead of the closure in $K([0, 1])$ of $\text{span}(\{\phi_{I_k} : k \in \mathbb{N}\})$, the closure in $C([0, 1])$ of $\text{span}(\{\Phi_{I_k} : k \in \mathbb{N}\})$ (with the sup norm), then it is easily seen that a function $F \in \overline{\text{span}(\{\Phi_{I_k} : k \in \mathbb{N}\})}^{\|\cdot\|_\infty}$, as in (4.4), is of the form

$$F = \sum_k \alpha_k \Phi_{I_k}.$$

For each small $\epsilon > 0$, $F|_{[\epsilon, 1]} \in \mathbb{K}([\epsilon, 1])$, and by the continuity of F the limit $\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} (F(1) - F(\epsilon))$ exists. Then by Hake's Theorem 4.1, $F \in \mathbb{K}([0, 1])$. Thus, in addition to Proposition 4.5, we have the following:

Proposition 4.6. *Given $x_0 \in [0, 1]$, $C^0([0, 1])$ admits an infinite dimensional subspace S such that each element F of $\overline{S}^{\|\cdot\|_\infty}$ in $C([0, 1])$ satisfies:*

- (1) *F is a primitive to a Kurzweil integrable function;*
- (2) *F is differentiable everywhere except perhaps at x_0 .*

Remark 4.7. As was mentioned, Gurariy proved that $C^0([0, 1])$ is not spaceable in $C([0, 1])$, which means that every infinite dimensional space of differentiable functions has limit points which are not differentiable. Proposition 4.6 allows us to expect some control on the closure of infinite dimensional spaces of differentiable functions if these spaces are constructed carefully. This raises the natural question: which are the *minimal* conditions that a closed subspace of $C([0, 1])$, containing an infinite dimensional subspace of $C^0([0, 1])$, must have? In [4], Bongiorno, Di Piazza and Preiss have given a constructive definition of integral, called *C-integral*, whose set of primitives is exactly $AC + C^0([0, 1])$. Motivated by this we might ask if there is a closed subspace E of $C([0, 1])$, containing an infinite dimensional subspace of $C^0([0, 1])$, and such that $E \subset AC + C^0([0, 1])$. Since there is not a Hake-like theorem for the C-integral, we are not able to answer this question with an argument analogous to the one used to prove Proposition 4.6.

4.1. Remark on the algebrability of $\mathcal{J}$. Unlike the sets studied in the previous sections (see Theorems 2.5 and 3.2), the set $\mathcal{J} \cup \{0\}$ does not admit algebraic structures within it at all. This is a direct consequence of the following fact: if g is a Kurzweil integrable function such that g^2 is Kurzweil integrable, then g^2 is also Lebesgue integrable by Proposition 4.2.

REFERENCES

1. R. Aron, V. I. Gurariy, and J. B. Seoane, *Lineability and spaceability of sets of functions on $\mathbb{R}$* , Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **133** (2005), 795–803. MR2113929 (2006i:26004)
2. R. Aron and J. B. Seoane-Sepúlveda, *Algebrability of the set of everywhere surjective functions on $\mathbb{C}$* , Bull. Belg. Math. Soc. Simon Stevin, **14** (2007), 25–31. MR2327324 (2008d:26016)
3. A. Bartoszewicz and Sz. Głąb, *Strong algebrability of sets of sequences and functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. (to appear).
4. B. Bongiorno, L. Di Piazza, and D. Preiss, *A constructive minimal integral which includes Lebesgue integrable functions and derivatives*, J. London Math. Soc., **62** (2000), no. 1, 117–126. MR1771855 (2001g:26005)
5. P. Enflo and V. I. Gurariy, *On lineability and spaceability of sets in function spaces*, unpublished notes.
6. F. J. García-Pacheco, M. Martín, and J. B. Seoane-Sepúlveda, *Lineability, spaceability, and algebrability of certain subsets of function spaces*, Taiwanese J. Math., **13** (2009), no. 4, 1257–1269. MR2543741 (2010h:46072)
7. F. J. García-Pacheco, N. Palmberg, and J. B. Seoane-Sepúlveda, *Lineability and algebrability of pathological phenomena in analysis*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **326** (2007), 929–939. MR2280953 (2007i:26003)
8. R. A. Gordon, *The Integrals of Lebesgue, Denjoy, Perron and Henstock*, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1994. MR1288751 (95m:26010)
9. V. I. Gurariy, *Subspaces and bases in spaces of continuous functions (Russian)*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR, **167** (1966), 971–973. MR0199674 (33:7817)
10. V. I. Gurariy, *Linear spaces composed of nondifferentiable functions*, C. R. Acad. Bulgare Sci., **44** (1991), 13–16. MR1127022 (92j:46046)

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, TECHNICAL UNIVERSITY OF ŁÓDŹ, WÓLCZAŃSKA 215, 93-005
ŁÓDŹ, POLAND

E-mail address: `szymon.glab@p.lodz.pl`

INSTITUTO DE MATEMÁTICA E ESTATÍSTICA, UNIVERSIDADE DE SÃO PAULO, RUA DO MATÃO,
1010, CEP 05508-900, SÃO PAULO, BRAZIL

E-mail address: `plkaufmann@gmail.com`

INSTITUTO DE MATEMÁTICA E ESTATÍSTICA, UNIVERSIDADE DE SÃO PAULO, RUA DO MATÃO,
1010, CEP 05508-900, SÃO PAULO, BRAZIL

E-mail address: `leonardo@ime.usp.br`