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#### Abstract

The result stated in the title is proved, thereby disproving the result shown in a 1983 paper by B. A. Barnes (Theorem 4.1).


## 1. Introduction

Let $A$ be a non-unital algebra such that $a \in A$ and $a A=\{0\}$ or $A a=\{0\}$ implies $a=0$. Let $A_{e}=\{a+\lambda e: a \in A, \lambda \in \mathbb{C}\}$ be the unitization of $A$ with the unit element denoted by $e$. For an (algebra) norm $\|\cdot\|$ on $A$, define the algebra norms on $A_{e}$ as

$$
\|a+\lambda e\|_{o p}:=\sup \{\|a b+\lambda b\|: b \in A,\|b\| \leq 1\} \quad \text { and } \quad\|a+\lambda e\|_{1}:=\|a\|+|\lambda|
$$

for all $a+\lambda e \in A_{e}$. We must note that throughout this paper, no norm on $A$ is assumed to be complete.

A $C^{*}$-norm is a norm $\|\cdot\|$ on a $*$-algebra $A$ such that $\left\|a^{*} a\right\|=\|a\|^{2} \quad(a \in A)$. The $*$-algebra $A$ has unique $C^{*}$-norm property $\left(U C^{*} N P\right)$ if $A$ admits exactly one $C^{*}$-norm. The $\mathrm{U} C^{*} \mathrm{NP}$ and the twin property of $*$-regularity were discovered by Barnes [2]. They are of significance in harmonic analysis [6, Section 10.5] and have inspired the study of unique uniform norm property (UUNP) in Banach algebras 5 , Section 4.6]. For a non-unital, commutative Banach $*$-algebra $A$, Dabhi and Dedania have proved [4, Corollary 2.3(ii)] that $A$ has $\mathrm{U} C^{*} \mathrm{NP}$ iff $A_{e}$ has $\mathrm{U} C^{*} \mathrm{NP}$. Here we prove the same for any non-unital $*$-algebra, not necessarily commutative. As a result, Theorem 4.1 in [2] is false.

## 2. Results

Suppose that $\|\cdot\|$ is a unital norm on the algebra $A_{e}$ (i.e., $\|e\|=1$ ). Then $\|a+\lambda e\|_{o p} \leq\|a+\lambda e\| \leq\|a+\lambda e\|_{1} \quad\left(a+\lambda e \in A_{e}\right)$. Theorem 2.1] below implies that the norm $\|\cdot\|$ is equivalent to either $\|\cdot\|_{o p}$ or $\|\cdot\|_{1}$. This theorem is inspired by [1].
Theorem 2.1. Let $\|\cdot\|$ be a unital norm on $A_{e}$.
(1) If $A$ is closed in $\left(A_{e},\|\cdot\|\right)$, then $\|a+\lambda e\|_{1} \leq 3\|a+\lambda e\|\left(a+\lambda e \in A_{e}\right)$.
(2) If $A$ is dense in $\left(A_{e},\|\cdot\|\right)$, then $\|a+\lambda e\|_{o p}=\|a+\lambda e\|\left(a+\lambda e \in A_{e}\right)$.

[^0]Proof. (1) Let $a+\lambda e \in A_{e}$. We may assume that $\|a+\lambda e\|_{1}=1$. First suppose that $|\lambda| \leq 1 / 3$. Then $\|a\|=1-|\lambda| \geq 2 / 3$. So $\|a+\lambda e\| \geq\|a\|-|\lambda| \geq 2 / 3-1 / 3=1 / 3$. Hence $\|a+\lambda e\|_{1} \leq 3\|a+\lambda e\|$. Secondly, suppose that $|\lambda|>1 / 3$. Since $A$ is closed in $\left(A_{e},\|\cdot\|\right)$, the multiplicative linear functional $\varphi_{\infty}(a+\lambda e):=\lambda\left(a+\lambda e \in A_{e}\right)$ is $\|\cdot\|$-continuous. Therefore $1=\left|\varphi_{\infty}(e)\right|=\left|\varphi_{\infty}(b-e)\right| \leq\|b-e\| \quad(b \in A)$. In particular, $1 / 3 \leq|\lambda| \leq|\lambda|\left\|-\frac{a}{\lambda}-e\right\|=\|a+\lambda e\|$. Thus $\|a+\lambda e\|_{1} \leq 3\|a+\lambda e\|$.
(2) Let $a+\lambda e \in A_{e}$. Since $A$ is dense in $\left(A_{e},\|\cdot\|\right)$, there exists a sequence $\left\{c_{n}\right\}$ in $A$ such that $\left\|c_{n}\right\|=1(n \in \mathbb{N})$ and $c_{n} \longrightarrow e$ in $\|\cdot\|$ as $n \longrightarrow \infty$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|a+\lambda e\|_{o p} & \leq\|a+\lambda e\|=\|(a+\lambda e) e\|=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|(a+\lambda e) c_{n}\right\| \\
& \leq \sup \{\|(a+\lambda e) b\|: b \in A ;\|b\| \leq 1\}=\|a+\lambda e\|_{o p}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus $\|a+\lambda e\|_{o p}=\|a+\lambda e\|$.
Corollary 2.2. Let $A$ be a non-unital algebra.
(1) Any norm $\|\cdot\|$ on $A_{e}$ is equivalent to either $\|\cdot\|_{o p}$ or $\|\cdot\|_{1}$.
(2) [1, Corollary 2] Let $\|\cdot\|$ be a complete norm on A such that $\|a\|_{o p}=\|a\|$ $(a \in A)$. Then $\|a+\lambda e\|_{1} \leq 3\|a+\lambda e\|_{o p}\left(a+\lambda e \in A_{e}\right)$.

Proof. (1) Without loss of generality, we may assume that $\|\cdot\|$ is unital. Now this is immediate from Theorem [2.1.
(2) Let $|\cdot|=\|\cdot\|_{o p}$ on $A_{e}$. Then $|\cdot|$ is a unital norm on $A_{e}$. Since $\|\cdot\|$ is a complete norm on $A,|\cdot|=\|\cdot\|_{o p}$ is complete on $A_{e}$, so $A$ is closed in $\left(A_{e},|\cdot|\right)$. So, by Theorem [2.1](1), $|a+\lambda e|_{1} \leq 3|a+\lambda e|\left(a+\lambda e \in A_{e}\right)$. Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|a+\lambda e\|_{1} & =\|a\|+|\lambda|=\|a\|_{o p}+|\lambda| \text { (by hypothesis) } \\
& =|a|+|\lambda|=|a+\lambda|_{1} \leq 3|a+\lambda e|=3\|a+\lambda e\|_{o p} .
\end{aligned}
$$

This proves (2).
Let $A$ be a non-unital $*$-algebra with $\mathrm{U} C^{*} \mathrm{NP}$. In Lemma 2.3, we show that $A_{e}$ cannot have more than two $C^{*}$-norms. Then in Theorem [2.6, we prove that, in fact, $A_{e}$ must have $\mathrm{U} C^{*} \mathrm{NP}$.

Lemma 2.3. Let $A$ be a non-unital $*$-algebra with $U C^{*} N P$. Then $A_{e}$ has at most two $C^{*}$-norms.

Proof. Assume that $A$ has $\mathrm{U} C^{*} \mathrm{NP}$. Let $\|\cdot\|$ be the unique $C^{*}$-norm on $A$. Then $\|\cdot\|_{o p}$ is a $C^{*}$-norm on $A_{e}$ due to [3, Proposition 2.2(b)]. First we claim that $\|\cdot\|_{o p}$ is the minimum $C^{*}$-norm on $A_{e}$. If $|\cdot|$ is a $C^{*}$-norm on $A_{e}$, then it is also a $C^{*}$-norm on $A$. So, by the hypothesis, $|\cdot|=\|\cdot\|$ on $A$. Hence, $\|\cdot\|_{o p}=|\cdot|_{o p} \leq|\cdot|$ on $A_{e}$. This proves our claim.

Now let $\left\|\|\cdot\| \mid\right.$ be a $C^{*}$-norm on $A_{e}$ other than $\| \cdot \|_{o p}$. Because any two equivalent $C^{*}$-norms are identical, it is enough to show that $\|\|\cdot\|\| \cong\left\|\|_{1}\right.$ on $A_{e}$. Since $A$ has $\mathrm{U} C^{*} \mathrm{NP},\left|\left\|\cdot\left|\|\mid=\| \cdot \|\right.\right.\right.$ on $A$. Hence $\| \| \cdot\| \|_{o p}=\|\cdot\|_{o p}$ on $A_{e}$. Now $A$ must be closed in $\left(A_{e},\| \| \cdot\| \|\right)$. Otherwise, by Theorem 2.1(2), $\left\|\left\|\cdot\left|\|\mid=\|\|\cdot\| \|_{o p}\right.\right.\right.$ on $A_{e}$, and so $\left\|\left|\cdot\|\|=\| \mid \cdot\|\left\|_{o p}=\right\| \cdot \|_{o p}\right.\right.$ on $A_{e}$, which is a contradiction. Then, by Theorem[2.1(1),

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|\mid a+\lambda e\| \| & \leq\left\|\left|\left\|\left|\|+|\lambda|=\| a\|+|\lambda|=\| a+\lambda e\left\|_{1}=\right\| a \|+|\lambda|\right.\right.\right.\right. \\
& =\left\||\|a\||+|\lambda|=\left|\|a+\lambda e\|\left\|_{1} \leq 3\right\|\right| \mid a+\lambda e\right\| \| .
\end{aligned}
$$

This completes the proof.

Lemma 2.4. Let $A$ be a non-unital *-algebra. Let $\|\cdot\|$ be a $C^{*}$-norm on $A$. Let $\left(C^{*}(A),\|\cdot\|\right)$ be the completion of $(A,\|\cdot\|)$. If $\left(C^{*}(A),\|\cdot\| \widetilde{)}\right.$ contains the identity, then $\|\cdot\|_{o p}=\|\cdot\|$ on $A_{e}$.

Proof. Clearly, $A_{e} \subset C^{*}(A)$. Let $a+\lambda e \in A_{e} \subset C^{*}(A)$ be non-zero. Then there exists a sequence $\left(a_{n}\right)$ in $A$ such that $a_{n} \longrightarrow a+\lambda e$ in $\|\cdot\|$. Let $b \in A$ be such that $\|b\| \leq 1$. Then $a_{n} b \longrightarrow a b+\lambda b$ in $\|\cdot\| \tilde{\|}=\|\cdot\|$. So

$$
\|a b+\lambda b\|=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|a_{n} b\right\| \leq \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|a_{n}\right\|=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|a_{n}\right\| \tilde{I}=\|a+\lambda e\|
$$

Hence $\|a+\lambda e\|_{o p} \leq\|a+\lambda e\|$. For the reverse inequality, consider a sequence $\left(c_{n}\right)$ in $A$ such that $\left\|c_{n}\right\| \leq 1$ and $c_{n} \longrightarrow \frac{(a+\lambda e)^{*}}{\|a+\lambda e\|}$ in $\|\cdot\|$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|a+\lambda e\|_{o p} & \geq \sup _{n}\left\|(a+\lambda e) c_{n}\right\| \geq \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|(a+\lambda e) c_{n}\right\|=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|(a+\lambda e) c_{n}\right\| \tilde{\Pi} \\
& =\frac{\left\|(a+\lambda e)(a+\lambda e)^{*}\right\|}{\|a+\lambda e\|}=\|a+\lambda e\|
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus $\|a+\lambda e\|_{o p} \geq\|a+\lambda e\|$.
Lemma 2.5. Let $A$ be a non-unital *-algebra. Let $\|\cdot\|$ be a $C^{*}$-norm on $A$. Let $\left(C^{*}(A),\|\cdot\| \tilde{)}\right.$ be the completion of $(A,\|\cdot\|)$. If $\left(C^{*}(A),\|\cdot\|\right)$ does not contain the identity, then $\|\cdot\|_{o p}=\|\cdot\|_{o p}$ on $A_{e}$.
Proof. Since $A \subset C^{*}(A)$, we have $A_{e} \subset C^{*}(A)_{e}$. Let $a+\lambda e \in A_{e}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|a+\lambda e\|_{o p} & =\sup \{\|(a+\lambda e) b\|: b \in A ;\|b\| \leq 1\} \\
& =\sup \{\|(a+\lambda e) b \widetilde{\widetilde{ }}: b \in A ;\| b \| \leq 1\} \\
& \leq \sup \left\{\left\|(a+\lambda e) b \widetilde{\|}: b \in C^{*}(A) ;\right\| b \tilde{\|} \leq 1\right\} \\
& =\| a+\lambda e \tilde{\Pi}_{o p} .
\end{aligned}
$$

For the reverse inequality, let $b \in C^{*}(A)$ be such that $\|b\| \leq 1$. Then there exists a sequence $\left(b_{n}\right)$ in $A$ such that $\left\|b_{n}\right\| \leq 1$ and $b_{n} \longrightarrow b$ in $\|\cdot\|$. So

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|(a+\lambda e) b\| & =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|(a+\lambda e) b_{n}\right\|=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|(a+\lambda e) b_{n}\right\| \\
& \leq \sup _{n}\left\|(a+\lambda e) b_{n}\right\| \leq\|a+\lambda e\|_{o p} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus $\left\|a+\lambda e \tilde{\Pi}_{o p} \leq\right\| a+\lambda e \|_{o p}$.
The next result disproves [2, Theorem 4.1]. The gap in that proof lies in the first line. It is claimed that $C^{*}(A)$ can be identified with a closed maximal ideal of $C^{*}\left(A_{e}\right)$ of codimension one. But this is not true. In [2, Example 4.4], we have $C^{*}\left(A_{e}\right)=C^{*}(A)$.

Theorem 2.6. A non-unital $*$-algebra $A$ has $U C^{*} N P$ iff $A_{e}$ has $U C^{*} N P$.
Proof. Let $A$ have U $C^{*}$ NP. Then, by Lemma 2.3 . $A_{e}$ has at most two $C^{*}$-norms. Also, by the first paragraph in the proof of Lemma 2.3, $A_{e}$ has a minimum $C^{*}$ norm. Let $\|\cdot\|$ and $\|\|\cdot\|\|$ be these two $C^{*}$-norms on $A_{e}$ with $\|\cdot\| \leq\| \| \cdot\| \|$. Note that $\|\cdot\|_{o p}=\|\cdot\|$ on $A_{e}$. Since $A$ has $\mathrm{U} C^{*} \mathrm{NP},\|\cdot\|=\| \| \cdot\| \|$ on $A$. Let $\left(C^{*}(A),\|\cdot\|\right)$ and $\left(C^{*}\left(A_{e}\right),\| \| \cdot \| \widetilde{)}\right.$ be the completions of $(A,\|\cdot\|)$ and $\left(A_{e},\| \| \cdot\| \|\right)$, respectively.

We embed $C^{*}(A)$ into $C^{*}\left(A_{e}\right)$ as a $*$-subalgebra. Let $a \in C^{*}(A)$. Then there exists a sequence $\left(a_{n}\right)$ in $A$ converging to $a$ in $\|\cdot\|$. Hence $\left(a_{n}\right)$ is a Cauchy
sequence in $\|\cdot\|=\|\cdot\|$. So $\left(a_{n}\right)$ is a Cauchy sequence in $\|\|\cdot\|\|$ because we have $\|\cdot\|=\| \| \cdot\| \|$ on $A$. Thus it is a Cauchy sequence in $\left(A_{e},\| \| \cdot\| \|\right)$ and so it converges to some $b$ in $C^{*}\left(A_{e}\right)$. Hence the map $j: C^{*}(A) \rightarrow C^{*}\left(A_{e}\right)$ defined by $j(a)=b$ is a well defined, one-to-one $*$-homomorphism. Thus we have $C^{*}(A) \hookrightarrow C^{*}\left(A_{e}\right)$.

Assume that $C^{*}(A)$ has identity. Then $A_{e} \hookrightarrow C^{*}(A) \hookrightarrow C^{*}\left(A_{e}\right)$. Since every $C^{*}$-algebra has $\mathrm{U} C^{*} \mathrm{NP}$, we have $\|\cdot\|=\| \| \cdot\| \|$ on $C^{*}(A)$. So, by Lemma [2.4. we have $\|\cdot\|=\|\cdot\|_{o p}=\|\cdot\|=\| \| \cdot\|\Gamma=\|\|\cdot\| \|$ on $A_{e}$. Now, assume that $C^{*}(A)$ does not have the identity. Then $C^{*}(A) \hookrightarrow C^{*}(A)_{e} \hookrightarrow C^{*}\left(A_{e}\right)$. Since $C^{*}(A)_{e}$ is also a $C^{*}$-algebra with $\| \cdot \Pi_{o p}$, we have $\|\cdot\|_{o p}=\| \| \cdot \| \Pi$ on $C^{*}(A)_{e}$ and hence on $A_{e}$ because $A_{e} \hookrightarrow C^{*}(A)_{e}$. By Lemma [2.5] $\|\cdot\|_{o p}=\|\cdot\|_{o p}$ on $A_{e}$. Therefore $\|\cdot\|=\|\cdot\|_{o p}=\left\|\cdot \Pi_{o p}=\right\|\|\cdot\| \tilde{I}=\| \| \cdot\| \|$ on $A_{e}$. Thus $A_{e}$ has UC*NP.

Conversely, let $A_{e}$ have $\mathrm{U} C^{*} \mathrm{NP}$. Since $A$ is a $*$-ideal in $A_{e}, A$ has $\mathrm{U} C^{*} \mathrm{NP}$ due to [2, Theorem 2.2(1)].

A norm $\|\cdot\|$ on an algebra $A$ is spectral if $r_{A}(a) \leq\|a\| \quad(a \in A)$, where $r_{A}(\cdot)$ is the spectral radius on $A$. The algebra $A$ has Spectral Extension Property (SEP) if every norm on $A$ is spectral. This property arose in the investigation of incomplete algebra norms on Banach algebras [5, Section 4.5]. The next result is in the direction of a non-commutative analogue of 3, Corollary 3.2].
Theorem 2.7. Let $A$ be a semisimple, non-unital algebra.
(1) If $A_{e}$ has SEP, then $A$ has SEP and $A$ is closed in every norm on $A_{e}$.
(2) If $A$ has SEP and if $A$ is closed in every norm on $A_{e}$, then $A_{e}$ has SEP.

Proof. (1) Let $\|\cdot\|$ be any norm on $A$. Then, by the hypothesis, $\|\cdot\|_{1}$ is a spectral norm on $A_{e}$ so that $r_{A_{e}}(a+\lambda e) \leq\|a+\lambda e\|_{1} \quad\left(a+\lambda e \in A_{e}\right)$, and hence $r_{A}(a)=r_{A_{e}}(a) \leq\|a\| \quad(a \in A)$. Thus $A$ has SEP. Now let $\|\cdot\|$ be any norm on $A_{e}$. Since $A_{e}$ has SEP, $\|\cdot\|$ is a spectral norm on $A_{e}$. Define $\varphi_{\infty}(a+\lambda e):=\lambda\left(a+\lambda e \in A_{e}\right)$. Then $\varphi_{\infty}$ is a multiplicative linear functional on $A_{e}$ and $\operatorname{ker} \varphi_{\infty}=A$. Since $\|\cdot\|$ is a spectral norm on $A_{e}, \varphi_{\infty}$ is $\|\cdot\|$-continuous. Hence $A=\operatorname{ker} \varphi_{\infty}$ is closed in $\left(A_{e},\|\cdot\|\right)$.
(2) Let $A$ have SEP. Let $|\cdot|$ be any norm on $A_{e}$. It is enough to show that

$$
r_{A_{e}}(a+\lambda e) \leq|a+\lambda e|_{o p} \quad\left(a+\lambda e \in A_{e}\right) .
$$

Set $\|\cdot\|=|\cdot|_{o p}$ on $A_{e}$. Then $\|\cdot\|$ is a unital norm on $A_{e}$. By the hypothesis, $A$ is closed in $\left(A_{e},\|\cdot\|\right)$. Hence, by Theorem [2.1(1), we have

$$
\|(a+\lambda e)\|_{1} \leq 3\|a+\lambda e\| \quad\left(a+\lambda e \in A_{e}\right) .
$$

Therefore,
$r_{A_{e}}(a+\lambda e) \leq r_{A_{e}}(a)+|\lambda|=r_{A}(a)+|\lambda| \leq\|a\|+|\lambda|=\|a+\lambda e\|_{1} \leq 3\|a+\lambda e\|$.
Since $r_{A_{e}}\left((a+\lambda e)^{n}\right)=r_{A_{e}}(a+\lambda e)^{n}$ and $\left\|(a+\lambda e)^{n}\right\| \leq\|a+\lambda e\|^{n}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we get $r_{A_{e}}(a+\lambda e) \leq\|a+\lambda e\|=|a+\lambda e|_{o p} \quad\left(a+\lambda e \in A_{e}\right)$.

Remark 2.8. (1) Even if $A$ is a non-unital *-algebra with $\mathrm{U} C^{*} \mathrm{NP}$, the $C^{*}$-algebra $C^{*}(A)$ may have the identity. For example, let $D:=\left\{(x, y, 0) \in \mathbb{R}^{3}: x^{2}+y^{2} \leq 1\right\}$, let $R:=\left\{(x, 0, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{3}:-1 \leq x \leq 1,0 \leq t \leq 1\right\}$, and let $\Omega:=D \cup R$. Let $A$ be the algebra of all continuous complex-valued functions $f$ on $\Omega$ such that $f$ is analytic on the interior of $D$ and $f(0,1,0)=f(0,-1,0)=0$. For $f \in A$, define $f^{*}(x, y, t)=\overline{f(x,-y, t)}\left((x, y, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$. Then $A$ is a non-unital, commutative Banach *-algebra with $\mathrm{U} C^{*} \mathrm{NP}$. As in [2, Example 4.4], the $C^{*}(A)$ has identity.
(2) We do not know whether the assumption that " $A$ is closed in every norm on $A_{e}$ " in Theorem 2.7(2) can be omitted.
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