A UNICITY THEOREM FOR MEROMORPHIC MAPS OF A COMPLETE KÄHLER MANIFOLD INTO $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$ SHARING HYPERSURFACES

MIN RU AND SURAIZOU SOGOME

(Communicated by Mei-Chi Shaw)

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we give a unicity theorem for meromorphic maps of an m -dimensional complete Kähler manifold M , whose universal covering is a ball in $\mathbb{C}^m$, into $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$, sharing the hypersurfaces in general position in $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$, where the maps satisfy a certain growth condition.

1. INTRODUCTION

In 1926, R. Nevanlinna proved that two distinct nonconstant meromorphic functions f, g on the complex plane $\mathbb{C}$ cannot have the same pre-images for more than four distinct values. The generalization of Nevanlinna's result to the case of meromorphic maps of a complete Kähler manifold M , whose universal covering is a ball in $\mathbb{C}^m$, into $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$ satisfying a certain growth condition (see condition (C_ρ) below) and sharing hyperplanes is given by Fujimoto (see [5]). In this paper, by applying the results obtained in [11] by the authors, we extend Fujimoto's result to the case where the meromorphic maps share hypersurfaces instead of hyperplanes.

Let M be an m -dimensional connected Kähler manifold with Kähler form ω and let f be a meromorphic map of M into $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$. For $\rho \geq 0$, we say that f satisfies condition (C_ρ) if there exists a nonzero bounded continuous real-valued function h on M such that

$$\rho\Omega_f + dd^c \log h^2 \geq \text{Ric}(\omega),$$

where Ω_f denotes the pull-back of the Fubini-Study metric form on $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$ by f , $d = \partial + \bar{\partial}$, $d^c = (\sqrt{-1}/4\pi)(\bar{\partial} - \partial)$, and $\text{Ric}(\omega)$ is the Ricci form of ω . The main theorem in this paper is as follows.

Main Theorem. *Let M be a complete connected Kähler manifold whose universal covering is biholomorphic to either $\mathbb{C}^m$ or the unit ball in $\mathbb{C}^m$. Let f and g be algebraically nondegenerate meromorphic maps of M into $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$. Assume that f and g satisfy condition (C_ρ) and that there exist q hypersurfaces $D_j, j = 1, \dots, q$, of*

Received by the editors October 17, 2011 and, in revised form, January 30, 2012.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 32H30; Secondary 53A10.

The first author was supported in part by NSA H98230-11-1-0201.

©2013 American Mathematical Society
 Reverts to public domain 28 years from publication

degree d_j located in general position in $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$ such that

$$(i) \quad f = g \quad \text{on} \quad \bigcup_{j=1}^q (f^{-1}(D_j) \cup g^{-1}(D_j)),$$

$$(ii) \quad q > n + 1 + \frac{2n(L-1)+1}{d} + \frac{\rho L(L-1)}{d},$$

where $L = \binom{N+n}{n}$ with $N = 2d^2(d+1)n(n+1)(2^n-1) + nd$, and $d = \text{lcm}\{d_1, d_2, \dots, d_q\}$. Then $f \equiv g$.

From the proof we will easily see that if, in addition, we assume that $\dim(f^{-1}(D_i) \cap f^{-1}(D_j)) \leq m-2$ for $i \neq j$, $1 \leq i, j \leq q$, we have the following result.

Theorem 1.1. *Let M be a complete connected Kähler manifold whose universal covering is biholomorphic to either $\mathbb{C}^m$ or the unit ball in $\mathbb{C}^m$. Let f and g be algebraically nondegenerate meromorphic maps of M into $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$. Assume that f and g satisfy condition (C_ρ) and that there exist q hypersurfaces $D_j, j = 1, \dots, q$, of degree d_j located in general position in $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$ such that*

$$(i) \quad f = g \quad \text{on} \quad \bigcup_{j=1}^q (f^{-1}(D_j) \cup g^{-1}(D_j)),$$

$$(ii) \quad \dim(f^{-1}(D_i) \cap f^{-1}(D_j)) \leq m-2, \text{ for } i \neq j, 1 \leq i, j \leq q,$$

$$(iii) \quad q > n + 1 + \frac{2(L-1)+1}{d} + \frac{\rho L(L-1)}{d},$$

where $L = \binom{N+n}{n}$ with $N = 2d^2(d+1)n(n+1)(2^n-1) + nd$, and $d = \text{lcm}\{d_1, d_2, \dots, d_q\}$. Then $f \equiv g$.

In the case $M = \mathbb{C}^m$, we can take the flat metric whose Ricci form vanishes. Therefore all meromorphic maps of $\mathbb{C}^m$ into $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$ satisfy condition (C_0) , and we get the following version of the result of Dulock and Ru in [12].

Corollary 1.2. *Let f and g be algebraically nondegenerate meromorphic maps of $\mathbb{C}^m$ into $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$. Assume that there exist q hypersurfaces $D_j, j = 1, \dots, q$, of degree d_j located in general position in $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$ such that*

$$(i) \quad f = g \quad \text{on} \quad \bigcup_{j=1}^q (f^{-1}(D_j) \cup g^{-1}(D_j)),$$

$$(ii) \quad q > n + 1 + \frac{2n(L-1)+1}{d},$$

where $L = \binom{N+n}{n}$ with $N = 2d^2(d+1)n(n+1)(2^n-1) + nd$, and $d = \text{lcm}\{d_1, d_2, \dots, d_q\}$. Then $f \equiv g$.

In the case when M is a ball in $\mathbb{C}^m$ but without the growth assumption for f and g (i.e. we do not assume that both f and g satisfy condition (C_ρ)), we also have the following result.

Theorem 1.3. *Let f and g be algebraically nondegenerate meromorphic maps of the unit ball $B(1) \subset \mathbb{C}^m$ into $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$. Assume that, for a fixed r_0 with $0 < r_0 < 1$,*

$$\lambda := \limsup_{r \rightarrow 1} \frac{\log(1/(1-r))}{T_f(r, r_0) + T_g(r, r_0)} < \infty.$$

Assume that there exist q hypersurfaces $D_j, j = 1, \dots, q$, of degree d_j located in general position in $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$ such that

$$(i) \quad f = g \quad \text{on} \quad \bigcup_{j=1}^q (f^{-1}(D_j) \cup g^{-1}(D_j)),$$

$$(ii) \quad q > n + 1 + \lambda L(L-1) + \frac{2n(L-1)+1}{d},$$

where $L = \binom{N+n}{n}$ with $N = 2d^2(d+1)n(n+1)(2^n-1) + nd$, and $d = \text{lcm}\{d_1, d_2, \dots, d_q\}$. Then $f \equiv g$.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Let h be a nonconstant holomorphic function on an open domain $G \subset \mathbb{C}^m$. For a set $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m)$ of integers $\alpha_i \geq 0$, we set $|\alpha| = \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_m$ and $D^\alpha h = D_1^{\alpha_1} \dots D_m^{\alpha_m} h$, where $D_i h = (\partial/\partial z_i)h$, for $i = 1, \dots, m$. We define $\nu_h^0 : G \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ by

$$\nu_h^0(z) := \max\{k : D^\alpha h(z) = 0 \text{ for all } \alpha \text{ with } |\alpha| < k\} \quad (z \in G).$$

By a divisor on a domain G in $\mathbb{C}^m$ we mean a map ν of G into $\mathbb{Z}$ such that, for each $z_0 \in G$, there are nonzero holomorphic functions h and g on a connected neighborhood $U \subset G$ of z_0 so that $\nu(z) = \nu_h^0(z) - \nu_g^0(z)$ for each $z \in U$ outside an analytic set of dimension $\leq m-2$. Two divisors are regarded as the same if they are identical outside an analytic set of dimension $\leq m-2$. Take a nonzero meromorphic function φ on a domain G in $\mathbb{C}^m$. For each $z_0 \in G$, we choose nonzero holomorphic functions g and h on a neighborhood $U \subset G$ of z_0 such that $\varphi = \frac{g}{h}$ on U and $\dim(g^{-1}(0) \cup h^{-1}(0)) \leq m-2$. We define $\nu_\varphi^\infty := \nu_h^0$, $\nu_\varphi^a := \nu_{g-ah}^0$ for $a \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\nu_\varphi = \nu_\varphi^0 - \nu_\varphi^\infty$, which are independent of the choices of h and g .

Let f be a meromorphic map of $B(R_0) \subset \mathbb{C}^m$ into $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$, $0 < R_0 \leq \infty$. We take holomorphic functions $f_0, f_1, \dots, f_n$ such that $I_f := \{z \in B(R_0), f_0(z) = \dots = f_n(z) = 0\}$ is of dimension at most $m-2$ and $f(z) = (f_0(z) : \dots : f_n(z))$ on $B(R_0) - I_f$ in terms of homogeneous coordinates $(w_0 : \dots : w_n)$ on $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$. We call such representation $f(z) = (f_0(z) : \dots : f_n(z))$ a reduced representation of f . For $z = (z_1, \dots, z_m) \in \mathbb{C}^m$ we set $\|z\| = (|z_1|^2 + \dots + |z_m|^2)^{1/2}$ and define $B(r) = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^m : \|z\| < r\}$, $S(r) = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^m : \|z\| = r\}$ for $0 < r \leq +\infty$, where we mean $B(\infty) = \mathbb{C}^m$ and $S(\infty) = \emptyset$. Define

$$\sigma_m := d^c \log \|z\|^2 \wedge (dd^c \log \|z\|^2)^{m-1} \text{ on } \mathbb{C}^m - \{0\} \text{ and } v_l := (dd^c \|z\|^2)^l, \quad 0 \leq l \leq m.$$

The pullback of the normalized Fubini-Study metric form Ω on $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$ by f is given by

$$\Omega_f = dd^c \log \|f\|^2,$$

where $\|f\|^2 := |f_0|^2 + \cdots + |f_n|^2$. Fix $r_0 < R_0$; the characteristic function of f is defined by

$$T_f(r, r_0) = \int_{r_0}^r \frac{dt}{t^{2m-1}} \int_{B(t)} \Omega_f \wedge v_{m-1} \quad (0 < r_0 < r < R_0).$$

We then have (see [13])

$$(2.1) \quad T_f(r, r_0) = \int_{S(r)} \log \|f\| \sigma_m - \int_{S(r_0)} \log \|f\| \sigma_m.$$

Let μ_0 be a positive integer or ∞ and ν be divisors on a domain $B(R_0) \subset \mathbb{C}^m$. Set $|\nu| = \{z \in B(R_0) : \nu(z) \neq 0\}$. We define the counting function of ν truncated by μ_0 by

$$N_{\nu}^{[\mu_0]}(r, r_0) = \int_{r_0}^r \frac{n^{[\mu_0]}(t)}{t^{2m-1}} dt,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} n^{[\mu_0]}(t) &= \int_{|\nu| \cap B(t)} \min\{\nu, \mu_0\} v_{m-1} \quad \text{for } m \geq 2 \quad \text{and} \\ n^{[\mu_0]}(t) &= \sum_{|z| \leq t} \min\{\nu(z), \mu_0\} \quad \text{for } m = 1. \end{aligned}$$

For a meromorphic function ϕ on $B(R_0)$, we may regard ϕ as a meromorphic map into $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C})$. We define

$$N_{\phi}^0(r, r_0) = \int_{r_0}^r \frac{n_{\phi}^0(t)}{t^{2m-1}} dt,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} n_{\phi}^0(t) &= \int_{|\nu| \cap B(t)} \nu_{\phi}^0 v_{m-1} \quad \text{for } m \geq 2 \quad \text{and} \\ n_{\phi}^0(t) &= \sum_{|z| \leq t} \nu_{\phi}^0(z) \quad \text{for } m = 1. \end{aligned}$$

Let f and g be algebraically nondegenerate meromorphic maps from $B(R_0) \subset \mathbb{C}^m$ ($0 < R_0 \leq \infty$) into $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$. For a positive integer N , let V_N denote the space of homogeneous polynomials of degree N in $\mathbb{C}[X_0, \dots, X_n]$ and fix a (arbitrary) basis $\phi_1, \dots, \phi_l$, where $l = \dim V_N$. Let

$$(2.2) \quad F = [\phi_1(f) : \cdots : \phi_l(f)] \text{ and } G = [\phi_1(g) : \cdots : \phi_l(g)].$$

Then $F, G : B(R_0) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{l-1}(\mathbb{C})$ are linearly nondegenerate. Hence (see Proposition 3.2 in [11]) there exist $\alpha^j = (\alpha_{j1}, \dots, \alpha_{jm})$ (resp. $\beta^j = (\beta_{j1}, \dots, \beta_{jm})$) with $\alpha_{ji} \geq 0$ being integers (resp. $\beta_{ji} \geq 0$ being integers), $|\alpha^j| := \alpha_{j1} + \cdots + \alpha_{jm} \leq l-1$ for $1 \leq j \leq l$ (resp. $|\beta^j| \leq l-1$ for $1 \leq j \leq l$), and $|\alpha^1| + \cdots + |\alpha^l| \leq l(l-1)/2$ (resp. $|\beta^1| + \cdots + |\beta^l| \leq l(l-1)/2$) such that both

$$(2.3) \quad W_{\alpha^1 \dots \alpha^l}(F) := \det(D^{\alpha^1} F, \dots, D^{\alpha^l} F), \quad W_{\beta^1 \dots \beta^l}(G) := \det(D^{\beta^1} G, \dots, D^{\beta^l} G)$$

are not identically zero on $B(R_0)$. Let $D_1, \dots, D_q$ be hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$ of degree $d_1, \dots, d_q$, located in general position. Let Q_j , $1 \leq j \leq q$, be the homogeneous polynomials defining D_j . Replacing Q_j by Q_j^{d/d_j} if necessary, where $d = \text{lcm}\{d_1, \dots, d_q\}$, we can assume that $Q_1, \dots, Q_q$ have the same degree d .

Choose distinct $\gamma_{i_1}, \dots, \gamma_{i_n} \in \{Q_1, \dots, Q_q\}$. Arrange the n -tuples by lexicographic order and consider the n -tuples $\mathbf{i} = (i_1, \dots, i_n)$ of nonnegative integers with $\sigma(\mathbf{i}) := i_1 + \dots + i_n \leq N/d$. Define the spaces

$$W_{\mathbf{i}} = W_{N, \mathbf{i}} := \sum_{(e) \geq (\mathbf{i})} \gamma_1^{e_1} \dots \gamma_n^{e_n} V_{N-d\sigma(e)}.$$

Clearly, $W_{(0, \dots, 0)} = V_N$, $W_{\mathbf{i}} \supset W_{\mathbf{i}'}$ if $\mathbf{i}' \geq \mathbf{i}$, and $\{W_{\mathbf{i}}\}$ defines a filtration of V_N . Let

$$\Delta_{\mathbf{i}} := \dim \frac{W_{\mathbf{i}}}{W_{\mathbf{i}'}} ,$$

where $\mathbf{i}' > \mathbf{i}$ are consecutive n -tuples with $W_{\mathbf{i}'} \subset W_{\mathbf{i}}$. Then it is known (see Lemma 4.1 in [11]) that each $\Delta_{\mathbf{i}}$ is independent of the choice of $\gamma_{i_1}, \dots, \gamma_{i_n}$. Hence

$$\Delta := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{\mathbf{i}} \Delta_{\mathbf{i}} \sigma(\mathbf{i})$$

is a positive integer depending only on $D_1, \dots, D_q$. The following lemma gives the estimate of Δ .

Lemma 2.1. *With $N = 2d^2(d+1)n(n+1)(2^n-1) + nd$ and $L = \binom{N+n}{n}$, we have*

$$(2.4) \quad \frac{LN}{\Delta} \leq d(n+1) + \frac{1}{2d}.$$

The proof of the above lemma is given by the same argument by setting $\epsilon = 1/d$ as in the proof of [11, Lemma 4.3].

Proposition 2.2 ([11, Proposition 4.4]). *Set*

$$\psi = \frac{W_{\alpha^1 \dots \alpha^l}(F)}{Q_1^{\Delta}(f) \cdots Q_q^{\Delta}(f)}.$$

Then

$$\nu_{\psi}^{\infty} \leq \sum_{j=1}^q \Delta \min\{\nu_{Q_j(f)}^0, l-1\}$$

outside an analytic set of codimension at least two.

Proposition 2.3 (See (4.21) on page 1158 in [11]). *Set $l_0 = |\alpha^1| + \dots + |\alpha^l|$ and take t, p' with $0 < tl_0 < p' < 1$. Then, for fixed r_0 with $0 < r_0 < R_0$ there exists a positive constant K such that for $r_0 < r < R < R_0$,*

$$\int_{S(r)} \left| z^{\alpha^1 + \dots + \alpha^l} \frac{W_{\alpha^1 \dots \alpha^l}(F)}{Q_1^{\Delta}(f) \cdots Q_q^{\Delta}(f)} \right|^t \|f\|^{t(dq\Delta - lN)} \sigma_m \leq K \left(\frac{R^{2m-1}}{R-r} T_F(R, r_0) \right)^{p'}.$$

Theorem 2.4. *Let $f : B(R_0) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$, $0 < R_0 \leq \infty$, be an algebraically nondegenerate meromorphic map and $D_1, \dots, D_q$ be hypersurfaces of degree d_j , $1 \leq j \leq q$, in $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$ located in general position. Then, with $N = 2d^2(d+1)n(n+1)(2^n-1) + nd$ and $L = \binom{N+n}{n}$,*

$$\left(q - (n+1 + (1/d)) \right) T_f(r, r_0) \leq \sum_{j=1}^q d_j^{-1} N_f^{[L-1]}(r, D_j) + S_f(r),$$

where $S_f(r)$ is evaluated as follows:

(1) In the case $R_0 < \infty$,

$$S_f(r) \leq \frac{L(L-1)}{2} \log^+ \frac{1}{R_0 - r} + K \log^+ T_f(r, r_0)$$

for every $r \in [0, R_0)$ excluding a set E with $\int_E \frac{1}{R_0 - t} dt < \infty$, where K is a positive constant.

(2) In the case $R_0 = \infty$,

$$S_f(r) \leq K(\log^+ T_f(r, r_0) + \log r)$$

for every $r \in [0, \infty)$ excluding a set E' with $\int_{E'} dt < \infty$, where K is a positive constant.

Remark 2.5. If $R_0 = \infty$ and $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} T_f(r, r_0)/\log r < \infty$, then we can choose $S_f(r)$ to be bounded.

Proof. The proof is given by the same argument by taking $\epsilon = 1/d$ as in the proof of [11, Theorem 4.5] except for the following modifications in the case $R_0 < +\infty$: From Proposition 2.3, for $0 < tl_0 < p' < 1$, we have

$$(2.5) \quad \int_{S(r)} \left| z^{\alpha^1 + \dots + \alpha^L} \frac{W_{\alpha^1 \dots \alpha^L}(F)}{Q_1^\Delta(f) \dots Q_q^\Delta(f)} \right|^t \|f\|^{t(dq\Delta - LN)} \sigma_m \leq K \left(\frac{R^{2m-1}}{R-r} T_F(R, r_0) \right)^{p'},$$

for $r_0 < r < R < R_0$. Hence, by virtue of the concavity of the logarithm, the above inequality implies that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{S(r)} \log |z^{\alpha^1 + \dots + \alpha^L}| \sigma_m + \int_{S(r)} \log \left| \frac{W_{\alpha^1 \dots \alpha^L}(F)}{Q_1^\Delta(f) \dots Q_q^\Delta(f)} \right| \sigma_m \\ & + (dq\Delta - NL) \int_{S(r)} \log \|f\| \sigma_m \leq \frac{p'}{t} \left(\log \frac{1}{R-r} + \log^+ T_F(R, r_0) \right) + O(1), \end{aligned}$$

for $r_0 < r < R < R_0$. Since $l_0 = |\alpha^1| + \dots + |\alpha^L| \leq L(L-1)/2$, by letting $(p'/t) > l_0$ approach l_0 , we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{S(r)} \log |z^{\alpha^1 + \dots + \alpha^L}| \sigma_m + \int_{S(r)} \log \left| \frac{W_{\alpha^1 \dots \alpha^L}(F)}{Q_1^\Delta(f) \dots Q_q^\Delta(f)} \right| \sigma_m \\ & + (dq\Delta - NL) \int_{S(r)} \log \|f\| \sigma_m \leq \frac{L(L-1)}{2} \left(\log \frac{1}{R-r} + \log^+ T_F(R, r_0) \right) + O(1). \end{aligned}$$

The rest of the arguments follow in the proof of [11, Theorem 4.5]. $\square$

3. THE PROOF OF THE MAIN THEOREM FOR PARTICULAR CASES

In this section, we prove Corollary 1.2 and Theorem 1.3 stated in section 1.

Proof of Corollary 1.2. Assume that $f \not\equiv g$. Fix a reduced representation $(f_0, f_1, \dots, f_n)$ of f and a reduced representation $(g_0, g_1, \dots, g_n)$ of g . We may choose distinct indices i_0 and j_0 such that

$$(3.1) \quad \chi := f_{i_0} g_{j_0} - f_{j_0} g_{i_0}$$

is not identically zero. Applying Theorem 2.4 to the maps f and g (without loss of generality, we assume that $d_1 = \cdots = d_q = d$), we get

$$\left(q - (n + 1 + (1/d))\right) T_f(r, r_0) \leq \sum_{j=1}^q d^{-1} N_f^{[L-1]}(r, D_j) + S_f(r),$$

$$\left(q - (n + 1 + (1/d))\right) T_g(r, r_0) \leq \sum_{j=1}^q d^{-1} N_g^{[L-1]}(r, D_j) + S_g(r),$$

where $S_f(r)$ and $S_g(r)$ satisfy

$$S_f(r) \leq_{exc} K(\log^+ T_f(r, r_0) + \log r) \text{ and } S_g(r) \leq_{exc} K(\log^+ T_g(r, r_0) + \log r),$$

where $\leq_{exc}$ means the inequality holds for all $r \in [0, \infty)$ excluding a set E' with $\int_{E'} dt < \infty$. Adding these two inequalities gives

$$\begin{aligned} \left(q - (n + 1 + (1/d))\right) \left(T_f(r, r_0) + T_g(r, r_0)\right) &\leq \frac{1}{d} \sum_{j=1}^q (N_f^{[L-1]}(r, D_j) + N_g^{[L-1]}(r, D_j)) \\ &\quad + S_g(r) + S_f(r). \end{aligned}$$

Using the fact that the D_j 's are in general position, from assumption (i) in Corollary 1.2, we have trivially $\sum_{j=1}^q (N_f^{[L-1]}(r, D_j) + N_g^{[L-1]}(r, D_j)) \leq 2n(L-1)N(r, A)$, where $A = \bigcup_{j=1}^q (f^{-1}(D_j) \cup g^{-1}(D_j))$. Hence,

$$(3.2) \quad \left(q - (n + 1 + (1/d))\right) \left(T_f(r, r_0) + T_g(r, r_0)\right) \leq \frac{2n(L-1)}{d} N(r, A) + S_g(r) + S_f(r).$$

We now claim that

$$N(r, A) \leq T_f(r_0, r) + T_g(r_0, r) + O(1).$$

Indeed, consider the map $\chi := f_{i_0}g_{j_0} - f_{j_0}g_{i_0}$ defined above. If $z \in A$, then $f(z) = g(z)$ from assumption (i) in Corollary 1.2, and so $\chi(z) = 0$. It then follows that $N(r, A) \leq N_\chi^0(r, r_0)$. By the First Main Theorem of Nevanlinna,

$$N_\chi^0(r, r_0) \leq T_\chi(r, r_0) + O(1) \leq T_f(r, r_0) + T_g(r, r_0) + O(1).$$

The claim then follows.

Therefore (3.2) gives

$$\begin{aligned} \left(q - (n + 1 + (1/d))\right) \left(T_f(r, r_0) + T_g(r, r_0)\right) &\leq \frac{2n(L-1)}{d} \left(T_f(r, r_0) + T_g(r, r_0)\right) \\ &\quad + S_g(r) + S_f(r) \end{aligned}$$

or

$$(3.3) \quad \left(q - (n + 1 + (1/d)) - \frac{2n(L-1)}{d}\right) \leq \frac{S_g(r) + S_f(r)}{T_f(r, r_0) + T_g(r, r_0)}.$$

In the case that f, g are rational, then, as in Remark 2.5, $S_f(r)$ and $S_g(r)$ can be taken to be bounded. Thus

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{S_f(r) + S_g(r)}{T_f(r, r_0) + T_g(r, r_0)} = 0,$$

and hence $q \leq n + 1 + \frac{2n(L-1)+1}{d}$, which leads to a contradiction. If either f or g is transcendental, then

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log r}{T_f(r, r_0) + T_g(r, r_0)} = 0.$$

On the other hand,

$$S_f(r) \leq_{exc} K(\log^+ T_f(r, r_0) + \log r) \text{ and } S_g(r) \leq_{exc} K(\log^+ T_g(r, r_0) + \log r),$$

so

$$\liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{S_f(r) + S_g(r)}{T_f(r, r_0) + T_g(r, r_0)} = 0.$$

This again implies that $q \leq n + 1 + \frac{2n(L-1)+1}{d}$, which leads to a contradiction. This completes the proof of Corollary 1.2.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. The proof is similar to the above argument. Assume that $f \not\equiv g$. Conclusion (1) of Theorem 2.4 implies that there exists a subset E of $[0, 1)$ such that $\int_E (1-r)^{-1} dr < \infty$ and, for every $r \notin E$,

$$\frac{S_f(r) + S_g(r)}{T_f(r, r_0) + T_g(r, r_0)} \leq \frac{L(L-1) \log(1/(1-r)) + K \log^+(T_f(r, r_0) + T_g(r, r_0))}{T_f(r, r_0) + T_g(r, r_0)}.$$

From this and (3.3), we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(q - (n + 1 + (1/d)) - \frac{2n(L-1)}{d} \right) \\ & \leq L(L-1) \liminf_{r \rightarrow 1, r \notin E} \frac{\log(1/(1-r)) + K \log^+(T_f(r, r_0) + T_g(r, r_0))}{T_f(r, r_0) + T_g(r, r_0)} \\ & \leq L(L-1) \limsup_{r \rightarrow 1} \frac{\log(1/(1-r))}{T_f(r, r_0) + T_g(r, r_0)} \leq \lambda L(L-1). \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$q \leq n + 1 + \frac{2n(L-1)+1}{d} + \lambda L(L-1),$$

which contradicts assumption (ii) of Theorem 1.3. This completes the proof.

Remark 3.1. As is easily seen from the above proof, the quantity λ in the conclusion of Theorem 1.3 can be replaced by the least upper bound of the quantities $\tilde{\lambda}$ such that

$$\tilde{\lambda} = \liminf_{r \rightarrow 1, r \notin E} \frac{\log(1/(1-r))}{T_f(r, r_0) + T_g(r, r_0)}$$

for some subset E of $[0, 1)$ with $\int_E (1-r)^{-1} dr < \infty$.

4. PROOF OF THE MAIN THEOREM

Proof. We now proceed to prove the Main Theorem. By lifting f and g to the universal covering of M if necessary, we may assume that $M = B(R_0) (\subset \mathbb{C}^m)$ with $0 < R_0 \leq \infty$. The case when $R_0 = \infty$ (i.e. $M = \mathbb{C}^m$) is nothing but Corollary 1.2, and so it suffices to study the case $M = B(1)$. Moreover, by virtue

of Remark 3.1, the Main Theorem is true unless there exists a subset E of $[0, 1)$ with $\int_E (1-r)^{-1} dr < \infty$ and

$$(4.1) \quad \limsup_{r \rightarrow 1, r \notin E} \frac{T_f(r, r_0) + T_g(r, r_0)}{\log(1/(1-r))} < \infty.$$

We note that by the same argument as in the proof of [4, Proposition 5.5], (4.1) indeed implies that there exists a positive constant K such that

$$(4.2) \quad T_f(r, r_0) + T_g(r, r_0) \leq K \log \frac{1}{1-r} \quad (0 < r_0 \leq r < 1).$$

Assuming (4.2), we shall show that it will lead to a contradiction if $f \neq g$.

Let F, G be the meromorphic maps of $B(1) \subset \mathbb{C}^m$ into $\mathbb{P}^{L-1}(\mathbb{C})$ defined in (2.2). Let α^j and $\beta^j, j = 1, \dots, L$, be m -tuples of nonnegative integers with $|\alpha^j| \leq L-1, |\beta^j| \leq L-1$ for $1 \leq j \leq L$, and $|\alpha^1| + \dots + |\alpha^L| \leq L(L-1)/2, |\beta^1| + \dots + |\beta^L| \leq L(L-1)/2$ such that $W_{\alpha^1 \dots \alpha^L}(F) \neq 0$ and $W_{\beta^1 \dots \beta^L}(G) \neq 0$, where $W_{\alpha^1 \dots \alpha^L}(F)$ and $W_{\beta^1 \dots \beta^L}(G)$ are defined in (2.3). Set $\tilde{\phi} := z^{\alpha^1 + \dots + \alpha^L} \Phi$ and $\tilde{\psi} := z^{\beta^1 + \dots + \beta^L} \Psi$, where

$$\Phi = \frac{W_{\alpha^1 \dots \alpha^L}(F)}{Q_1^\Delta(f) \cdots Q_q^\Delta(f)} \quad \text{and} \quad \Psi = \frac{W_{\beta^1 \dots \beta^L}(G)}{Q_1^\Delta(g) \cdots Q_q^\Delta(g)}.$$

We fix a reduced representation $(f_0, f_1, \dots, f_n)$ of f and a reduced representation $(g_0, g_1, \dots, g_n)$ of g . Since $f \neq g$, we may choose distinct indices i_0 and j_0 such that

$$(4.3) \quad \chi := f_{i_0} g_{j_0} - f_{j_0} g_{i_0} \neq 0.$$

Notice that for a point $p \in f^{-1}(D_j) \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^q (f^{-1}(D_j) \cup g^{-1}(D_j))$, $f(p) = g(p)$. Thus $\chi(p) = 0$. Furthermore, from Proposition 2.2, by noticing that at $p \in B(1)$ there are at most n Q_j 's with $Q_j(f)(p) = 0$ due to the assumption that $D_1, \dots, D_q$ are in general position, we have $\nu_{\tilde{\phi}}^\infty \leq \Delta n(L-1) \nu_\chi^0$ and $\nu_{\tilde{\psi}}^\infty \leq \Delta n(L-1) \nu_\chi^0$, outside an analytic set of codimension ≥ 2 . It then follows that, outside an analytic set of codimension ≥ 2 , the functions $\tilde{\phi} \chi^{\Delta n(L-1)}$ and $\tilde{\psi} \chi^{\Delta n(L-1)}$ are both holomorphic on $B(1)$. Therefore if we let

$$t := \frac{\rho}{dq \Delta - LN - 2 \Delta n(L-1)}$$

and

$$u := t \log |\tilde{\phi} \tilde{\psi} \chi^{2\Delta n(L-1)}|,$$

then u is plurisubharmonic on $B(1)$ outside an analytic set of codimension ≥ 2 . From the assumption that both f and g satisfy condition (C_ρ) , there are continuous plurisubharmonic functions u_1, u_2 on $B(1)$ such that

$$e^{u_1} \det(h_{i\bar{j}})^{1/2} \leq \|f\|^\rho,$$

$$e^{u_2} \det(h_{i\bar{j}})^{1/2} \leq \|g\|^\rho.$$

Since $\rho + 2 \Delta tn(L-1) = t(dq \Delta - LN)$ and noticing that $|\chi| \leq 2\|f\|\|g\|$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \det(h_{i\bar{j}}) e^{u+u_1+u_2} &\leq |\tilde{\phi}|^t |\tilde{\psi}|^t |\chi|^{2\Delta tn(L-1)} \|f\|^\rho \|g\|^\rho \\ &\leq K |\tilde{\phi}|^t |\tilde{\psi}|^t \|f\|^{\rho+2\Delta tn(L-1)} \|g\|^{\rho+2\Delta tn(L-1)} \\ &= K |\tilde{\phi}|^t |\tilde{\psi}|^t \|f\|^{t(dq \Delta - LN)} \|g\|^{t(dq \Delta - LN)} \end{aligned}$$

for some constant K . Note that the volume form on $B(1)$ is given by

$$dV := c_m \det(h_{i\bar{j}}) v_m;$$

therefore,

$$\int_{B(1)} e^{u+u_1+u_2} dV \leq K \int_{B(1)} |\tilde{\phi}|^t \|f\|^{t(dq\Delta-LN)} |\tilde{\psi}|^t \|g\|^{t(dq\Delta-LN)} v_m.$$

Thus, by the Hölder inequality and by noticing that

$$v_m = (dd^c \|z\|^2)^m = 2m \|z\|^{2m-1} \sigma_m \wedge d\|z\|,$$

we obtain

$$(4.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_{B(1)} e^{u+u_1+u_2} dV &\leq K \left(\int_0^1 r^{2m-1} \left(\int_{S(r)} |\tilde{\phi}|^{2t} \|f\|^{2t(dq\Delta-LN)} \sigma_m \right) dr \right)^{1/2} \\ &\times \left(\int_0^1 r^{2m-1} \left(\int_{S(r)} |\tilde{\psi}|^{2t} \|g\|^{2t(dq\Delta-LN)} \sigma_m \right) dr \right)^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

From Lemma 2.1,

$$-\frac{LN}{\Delta} \geq -d(n+1) - \frac{1}{2d}.$$

Therefore, together with assumption (ii) in the Main Theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned} dq\Delta - LN - 2\Delta n(L-1) &= \Delta \left(dq - \frac{LN}{\Delta} - 2n(L-1) \right) \\ &\geq \Delta \left(dq - d(n+1) - \frac{1}{2d} - 2n(L-1) \right) \\ &= d\Delta \left(q - (n+1) - \frac{1}{2d^2} - \frac{2n(L-1)}{d} \right) \\ &> d\Delta \left(\frac{\rho L(L-1)}{d} \right) = \Delta \rho L(L-1), \end{aligned}$$

and thus

$$(2t) \cdot L(L-1)/2 = tL(L-1) = \frac{L(L-1)\rho}{dq\Delta - LN - 2\Delta n(L-1)} < \frac{1}{\Delta} < 1.$$

Take some p' with $0 < tL(L-1) < p' < 1$. Then, since $0 < 2t(|\alpha^1| + \dots + |\alpha^L|) \leq tL(L-1) < p' < 1$, it follows from Proposition 2.3 that for $r_0 < r < R < 1$,

$$(4.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_{S(r)} |\tilde{\phi}|^{2t} \|f\|^{2t(dq\Delta-LN)} \sigma_m &= \int_{S(r)} \left| z^{\alpha^1 + \dots + \alpha^L} \frac{W_{\alpha^1 \dots \alpha^L}(F) \|f\|^{(dq\Delta-LN)}}{Q_1^\Delta(f) \dots Q_q^\Delta(f)} \right|^{2t} \sigma_m \\ &\leq K_3 \left(\frac{1}{R-r} T_F(R, r_0) \right)^{p'} \leq K_3 \left(\frac{d}{R-r} T_f(R, r_0) \right)^{p'}. \end{aligned}$$

Using (4.2) and by taking $R = \frac{1+r}{2}$, (4.5) becomes

$$(4.6) \quad \int_{S(r)} |\tilde{\phi}|^{2t} \|f\|^{2t(dq\Delta-LN)} \sigma_m \leq \frac{K}{(1-r)^{p'}} \left(\log \frac{1}{1-r} \right)^{p'}.$$

Likewise,

$$(4.7) \quad \int_{S(r)} |\tilde{\psi}|^{2t} \|g\|^{2t(dq\Delta-LN)} \sigma_m \leq \frac{K}{(1-r)^{p'}} \left(\log \frac{1}{1-r} \right)^{p'}.$$

Therefore, by combining (4.4), (4.6), and (4.7), we have

$$\int_{B(1)} e^{u+u_1+u_2} dV \leq K \int_0^1 \frac{r^{2m-1}}{(1-r)^{p'}} \left(\log \frac{1}{1-r} \right)^{p'} dr < \infty,$$

since $p' < 1$. On the other hand, by the result of S.T. Yau ([14]) and L. Karp ([7]), we necessarily have

$$\int_{B(1)} e^{u+u_1+u_2} dV = \infty$$

because $u + u_1 + u_2$ is plurisubharmonic. This is a contradiction. Thus, the Main Theorem is proved. $\square$

REFERENCES

- [1] T. T. H. An and H. T. Phuong, An explicit estimate on multiplicity truncation in the Second Main Theorem for holomorphic curves encountering hypersurfaces in general position in projective space, *Houston J. Math.* **35** (2009), 775-786. MR2534280 (2010i:30048)
- [2] H. Fujimoto, Uniqueness problem with truncated multiplicities in value distribution theory, *Nagoya Math. J.* **152** (1998), 131-152. MR1659377 (99m:32029)
- [3] H. Fujimoto, Non-integrated defect relation for meromorphic maps of complete Kähler manifolds into $\mathbb{P}^{n_1}(\mathbb{C}) \times \dots \times \mathbb{P}^{n_k}(\mathbb{C})$, *Japan. J. Math.* **11** (1985), no. 2, 233-264. MR884636 (88m:32049)
- [4] H. Fujimoto, Value distribution theory of the Gauss maps of complete minimal surfaces in R^n , *J. Math. Soc. Japan* **35** (1983), 663-681. MR714468 (85c:53011)
- [5] H. Fujimoto, A unicity theorem for meromorphic maps of a complete Kähler manifold into $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$, *Tôhoku Math. J.* **38** (1986), 327-341. MR843816 (87j:32078)
- [6] W. K. Hayman, Meromorphic functions, *Oxford Mathematical Monographs*, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1964. MR0164038 (29:1337)
- [7] L. Karp, Subharmonic functions on real and complex manifolds, *Math. Z.* **179** (1982), 535-554. MR652859 (84d:53042)
- [8] R. Nevanlinna, Einige Eindeutigkeitsätze in der theorie der meromorphen Funktionen, *Acta Math.* **48** (1926), 367-391. MR1555233
- [9] M. Ru, A defect relation for holomorphic curves intersecting hypersurfaces, *Amer. J. Math.* **126** (2004), 215-226. MR2033568 (2004k:32026)
- [10] M. Ru, Holomorphic curves into algebraic varieties, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **169** (2009), 255-267. MR2480605 (2010d:32009)
- [11] M. Ru and S. Sogome, Non-integrated defect relation for meromorphic maps of complete Kähler manifold intersecting hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **364** (2012), 1145-1162. MR2869171
- [12] M. Dulock and M. Ru, A uniqueness theorem for holomorphic curves encountering hypersurfaces in projective space, *Complex Variables and Elliptic Equations* **53** (2008), 797-802. MR2436255 (2009e:32019)
- [13] W. Stoll, Introduction to value distribution theory of meromorphic maps, *Lecture Notes in Math.* **950** (1982), 210-359. MR672787 (84a:32041)
- [14] S.-T. Yau, Some function-theoretic properties of complete Riemannian manifolds and their applications to geometry, *Indiana U. Math. J.* **25** (1976), 659-670. MR0417452 (54:5502)
- [15] A. Vitter, The lemma of the logarithmic derivative in several variables, *Duke Math. J.* **44** (1977), 89-104. MR0432924 (55:5903)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF HOUSTON, HOUSTON, TEXAS 77204
E-mail address: minru@math.uh.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF HOUSTON, HOUSTON, TEXAS 77204
E-mail address: Suraizou.Sogome@lonestar.edu