UNIQUE CONTINUATION FOR FRACTIONAL SCHRÖDINGER OPERATORS IN THREE AND HIGHER DIMENSIONS

IHYEOK SEO

(Communicated by Joachim Krieger)

ABSTRACT. We prove the unique continuation property for the differential inequality $|(-\Delta)^{\alpha/2}u| \leq |V(x)u|$, where $0 < \alpha < n$ and $V \in L_{\text{loc}}^{n/\alpha, \infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $n \geq 3$.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this note we are concerned with the unique continuation property for solutions of the differential inequality

$$(1.1) \quad |(-\Delta)^{\alpha/2}u| \leq |V(x)u|, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad n \geq 2,$$

where $(-\Delta)^{\alpha/2}$, $0 < \alpha < n$, is defined by means of the Fourier transform $\mathcal{F}f (= \widehat{f})$:

$$\mathcal{F}[(-\Delta)^{\alpha/2}f](\xi) = |\xi|^\alpha \widehat{f}(\xi).$$

In particular, the equation $((-\Delta)^{\alpha/2} + V(x))u = 0$ has attracted interest from quantum mechanics in the case $1 < \alpha < 2$ as well as the case $\alpha = 2$. Recently, by generalizing the Feynman path integral to the Lévy one, Laskin [5] introduced the fractional quantum mechanics in which it is conjectured that physical realizations may be limited to $1 < \alpha < 2$, where averaged quantities are finite, and the fractional Schrödinger operator $(-\Delta)^{\alpha/2} + V(x)$ plays a central role. Of course, the case $\alpha = 2$ becomes equivalent to an ordinary quantum mechanics.

The unique continuation property means that a solution of (1.1) which vanishes in an open subset of $\mathbb{R}^n$ must vanish identically. In the case of $\alpha = 2$, Jerison and Kenig [1] proved the property for $V \in L_{\text{loc}}^{n/2}$, $n \geq 3$. An extension to $L_{\text{loc}}^{n/2, \infty}$ was obtained by Stein [9] with small norm in the sense that

$$\sup_{a \in \mathbb{R}^n} \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \|V\|_{L^{n/2, \infty}(B(a, r))}$$

is sufficiently small. Here, $B(a, r)$ denotes the ball of radius $r > 0$ centered at $a \in \mathbb{R}^n$. These results later turn out to be optimal in the context of L^p spaces ([2, 3]).

On the other hand, the results when $\alpha \neq 2$ are rather scarce. Laba [4] considered the higher orders where $\alpha/2$ are integers, and obtained the property for $V \in L_{\text{loc}}^{n/\alpha}$. Recently, there was an attempt [7] to handle the non-integer orders when $n - 1 \leq \alpha < n$, $n \geq 2$, from which it turns out that the condition $V \in L^p$, $p > n/\alpha$, is sufficient to have the property. Hence this particularly gives a unique continuation

Received by the editors September 5, 2013.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 35B60; Secondary 35J10.

Key words and phrases. Unique continuation, Schrödinger operators.

result for the fractional Schrödinger operator in the full range $1 < \alpha < 2$ when $n = 2$. Our aim here is to fill the gap, $0 < \alpha < n - 1$, for $n \geq 3$, which allows us to have the unique continuation for the fractional Schrödinger operator when $n \geq 3$ with the full range of α .

Theorem 1.1. *Let $n \geq 3$ and $0 < \alpha < n$. Assume that $V \in L_{\text{loc}}^{n/\alpha, \infty}$ and u is a non-zero solution of (1.1) such that*

$$(1.2) \quad u \in L^1 \cap L^{p,q} \quad \text{and} \quad (-\Delta)^{\alpha/2} u \in L^q,$$

where $p = 2n/(n - \alpha)$ and $q = 2n/(n + \alpha)$. Then it cannot vanish in any non-empty open subset of $\mathbb{R}^n$ if

$$(1.3) \quad \sup_{a \in \mathbb{R}^n} \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \|V\|_{L^{n/\alpha, \infty}(B(a, r))}$$

is sufficiently small. Here, $L^{p,q}$ denotes the usual Lorentz space.

Remarks. (a) The smallness condition (1.3) is trivially satisfied for $V \in L_{\text{loc}}^{n/\alpha}$ because $L_{\text{loc}}^{n/\alpha} \subset L_{\text{loc}}^{n/\alpha, \infty}$. Hence the above theorem can be seen as natural extensions to (1.1) of the results obtained in [1, 9] for the Schrödinger operator ($\alpha = 2$). As an immediate consequence of the theorem, the same result also holds for the stationary equation

$$((-\Delta)^{\alpha/2} + V(x))u = Eu, \quad E \in \mathbb{C},$$

because $(-\Delta)^{\alpha/2} u = (E - V(x))u$ and the condition (1.3) is trivially satisfied for the constant E .

(b) The index n/α is quite natural, in view of the standard rescaling: $u_\varepsilon(x) = u(\varepsilon x)$ takes the equation $(-\Delta)^{\alpha/2} u = Vu$ into $(-\Delta)^{\alpha/2} u_\varepsilon = V_\varepsilon u_\varepsilon$, where $V_\varepsilon(x) = \varepsilon^\alpha V(\varepsilon x)$. So, $\|V_\varepsilon\|_{L^{p, \infty}} = \varepsilon^{\alpha - n/p} \|V\|_{L^{p, \infty}}$. Hence the $L^{p, \infty}$ norm of V_ε is independent of ε precisely when $p = n/\alpha$.

(c) When $\alpha = n$ in (1.1), there are some unique continuation results with $V \in L_{\text{loc}}^p$, $p > 1$. (See [1] and [6] for $\alpha = 2$ and $\alpha = 2m$ ($m \in \mathbb{N}$), respectively.)

2. PROOF OF THE THEOREM

From now on, we will use the letter C to denote a constant that may be different at each occurrence.

Without loss of generality, we need to prove that u must vanish identically if it vanishes in a sufficiently small neighborhood of zero.

Our proof is based on the following Carleman estimate which will be shown below: If $f \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\})$ and $(-\Delta)^{\alpha/2} f \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\})$, then there is a constant C depending only on $\delta_t := \min_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |t - k|$ and n such that for $t \notin \mathbb{Z}$ with $\delta_t < n - \alpha$

$$(2.1) \quad \| |x|^{-t-n/p} f \|_{L^{p,q}} \leq C \| |x|^{-t+\alpha-n/q} (-\Delta)^{\alpha/2} f \|_{L^q},$$

where p, q are given as in the theorem (i.e., $1/p + 1/q = 1$ and $1/q - 1/p = \alpha/n$).

Indeed, since we are assuming that $u \in L^1 \cap L^{p,q}$ and $(-\Delta)^{\alpha/2} u \in L^q$ vanish near zero (see (1.2), (1.1)), from (2.1) (with a standard limiting argument involving a C_0^∞ approximate identity), we see that

$$\| |x|^{-t-n/p} u \|_{L^{p,q}} \leq C \| |x|^{-t+\alpha-n/q} (-\Delta)^{\alpha/2} u \|_{L^q}.$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \| |x|^{-t-n/p} u \|_{L^{p,q}(B(0,r))} &\leq C \| |x|^{-t+\alpha-n/q} V u \|_{L^q(B(0,r))} \\ &\quad + C \| |x|^{-t+\alpha-n/q} (-\Delta)^{\alpha/2} u \|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B(0,r))}. \end{aligned}$$

The first term on the right-hand side can be absorbed into the left-hand side as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} C \| |x|^{-t+\alpha-n/q} V u \|_{L^q(B(0,r))} &\leq C \| V \|_{L^{n/\alpha,\infty}(B(0,r))} \| |x|^{-t+\alpha-n/q} u \|_{L^{p,q}(B(0,r))} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \| |x|^{-t-n/p} u \|_{L^{p,q}(B(0,r))} \end{aligned}$$

if we choose r small enough (see (1.3)). Here, recall that $\alpha - n/q = -n/p$, and $\| |x|^{-t-n/p} u \|_{L^{p,q}(B(0,r))}$ is finite since $u \in L^{p,q}$ vanishes near zero. So, we get

$$\| (r/|x|)^{t+n/p} u \|_{L^{p,q}(B(0,r))} \leq 2C \| (-\Delta)^{\alpha/2} u \|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B(0,r))} < \infty.$$

Now, we choose a sequence $\{t_i\}$ of values of t tending to infinity such that δ_{t_i} is independent of $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, by letting $i \rightarrow \infty$, we see that $u = 0$ on $B(0, r)$, which implies $u \equiv 0$ by a standard connectedness argument.

Proof of (2.1). We will show (2.1) using Stein's complex interpolation, as in [9], on an analytic family of operators T_z defined by

$$T_z g(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} K_z(x, y) g(y) |y|^{-n} dy,$$

where $K_z(x, y) = H_z(x, y)/\Gamma(n/2 - z/2)$ with

$$H_z(x, y) = |x|^{-t} |y|^{n+t-z} c_z \left(|x-y|^{-n+z} - \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \frac{1}{j!} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial s} \right)^j |sx-y|^{-n+z} \Big|_{s=0} \right).$$

Note that for $f \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\})$

$$(2.2) \quad T_\alpha(|y|^{-t+\alpha} (-\Delta)^{\alpha/2} f(y))(x) = |x|^{-t} f(x)/\Gamma(n/2 - \alpha/2)$$

(see Lemma 2.1 in [7]).

Let m be a fixed positive integer such that $m-1 < t < m$, and recall the following two estimates for the cases of $\operatorname{Re} z = 0$ (Lemma 2.3 in [1]) and $n-1 < \operatorname{Re} z < n - \delta_t$ (Lemma 4 in [9]): There is a constant C depending only on δ_t and n such that

$$(2.3) \quad \|T_{i\gamma} g\|_{L^2(dx/|x|^n)} \leq C e^{c|\gamma|} \|g\|_{L^2(dx/|x|^n)}, \quad \gamma \in \mathbb{R},$$

and

$$(2.4) \quad \|T_z g\|_{L^r(dx/|x|^n)} \leq C e^{c|\gamma|} \|g\|_{L^s(dx/|x|^n)}, \quad \gamma = \operatorname{Im} z \in \mathbb{R},$$

where $n-1 < \beta = \operatorname{Re} z < n - \delta_t$, $1/s - 1/r = \beta/n$ and $1 < s < n/\beta$.

We first consider the case where $n-1 < \alpha < n$. Note that we can choose β so that $\alpha < \beta < n - \delta_t$, since we are assuming $\delta_t < n - \alpha$. Hence, by Stein's complex interpolation ([8]) between (2.3) and (2.4), we see that

$$(2.5) \quad \|T_\alpha g\|_{L^r(dx/|x|^n)} \leq C \|g\|_{L^s(dx/|x|^n)},$$

where $1/s - 1/r = \alpha/n$ and $1 < s < n/\alpha$. From this and (2.2), we get

$$(2.6) \quad \| |x|^{-t-n/r} f \|_{L^r} \leq C \| |x|^{-t+\alpha-n/s} (-\Delta)^{\alpha/2} f \|_{L^s}$$

with the same r, s in (2.5), since we are assuming $(-\Delta)^{\alpha/2}f \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\})$. Note that $1 < q < n/\alpha$. So, we can choose $r_j, s_j, j = 1, 2$, such that

$$1 < s_1 < q < s_2 < n/\alpha, \quad 1/s_j - 1/r_j = \alpha/n,$$

and for $t_j = t + n(1/p - 1/r_j)$

$$m - 1 < t_j < m, \quad \delta_t/2 \leq \delta_{t_j} \leq 3\delta_t/2.$$

Hence we can apply (2.6) with $t = t_j$ to obtain

$$(2.7) \quad \| |x|^{-t-n/p} f \|_{L^{r_j}} \leq C \| |x|^{-t+\alpha-n/q} (-\Delta)^{\alpha/2} f \|_{L^{s_j}}$$

for $j = 1, 2$. Since $r_1 < p < r_2$ and $s_1 < q < s_2$, by real interpolation ([8]) between the estimates in (2.7), we see that for $1 \leq w \leq \infty$

$$\| |x|^{-t-n/p} f \|_{L^{p,w}} \leq C \| |x|^{-t+\alpha-n/q} (-\Delta)^{\alpha/2} f \|_{L^{q,w}}.$$

By choosing $w = q$, we get (2.1).

Now we turn to the remaining case where $0 < \alpha \leq n - 1$. In this case, (2.5) is valid for $1/s - 1/r = \alpha/n$ and

$$(2.8) \quad \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{n-1} \right) + \frac{\alpha}{n} < \frac{1}{s} < \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\alpha}{2(n-1)},$$

because we can choose β so that $n - 1 < \beta < n - \delta_t$. Since (2.8) holds for s replaced by q , repeating the previous argument, one can show (2.1). We omit the details. $\square$

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The author is very grateful to Luis Escauriza for bringing the author's attention to the papers [1, 9] and for helpful suggestions and discussions.

REFERENCES

- [1] David Jerison and Carlos E. Kenig, *Unique continuation and absence of positive eigenvalues for Schrödinger operators*, Ann. of Math. (2) **121** (1985), no. 3, 463–494, DOI 10.2307/1971205. With an appendix by E. M. Stein. MR794370 (87a:35058)
- [2] Carlos E. Kenig and Nikolai Nadirashvili, *A counterexample in unique continuation*, Math. Res. Lett. **7** (2000), no. 5-6, 625–630, DOI 10.4310/MRL.2000.v7.n5.a8. MR1809288 (2001m:35044)
- [3] Herbert Koch and Daniel Tataru, *Sharp counterexamples in unique continuation for second order elliptic equations*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **542** (2002), 133–146, DOI 10.1515/crll.2002.003. MR1880829 (2002m:35020)
- [4] Izabella Laba, *Unique continuation for Schrödinger operators and for higher powers of the Laplacian*, Math. Methods Appl. Sci. **10** (1988), no. 5, 531–542, DOI 10.1002/mma.1670100504. MR965420 (89k:35063)
- [5] Nikolai Laskin, *Fractional quantum mechanics and Lévy path integrals*, Phys. Lett. A **268** (2000), no. 4-6, 298–305, DOI 10.1016/S0375-9601(00)00201-2. MR1755089 (2000m:81097)
- [6] Ihyeok Seo, *Remark on unique continuation for higher powers of the Laplace operator*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **397** (2013), no. 2, 766–771, DOI 10.1016/j.jmaa.2012.08.035. MR2979611
- [7] Ihyeok Seo, *On unique continuation for Schrödinger operators of fractional and higher orders*, Math. Nachr. **287** (2014), no. 5-6, 699–703, DOI 10.1002/mana.201300008. MR3193945
- [8] Elias M. Stein, *Interpolation of linear operators*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **83** (1956), 482–492. MR0082586 (18,575d)
- [9] E. M. Stein, *Appendix to “unique continuation”*, Ann. of Math. **121** (1985), 489–494.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SUNGKYUNKWAN UNIVERSITY, SUWON 440-746, REPUBLIC OF KOREA

E-mail address: ihseo@skku.edu