3D VISCOUS INCOMPRESSIBLE FLUID AROUND ONE THIN OBSTACLE

C. LACAVE

(Communicated by Ken Ono)

ABSTRACT. In this article, we consider Leray solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations in the exterior of one obstacle in 3D and we study the asymptotic behavior of these solutions when the obstacle shrinks to a curve or to a surface. In particular, we will prove that a solid curve has no effect on the motion of a viscous fluid, so it is a removable singularity for these equations.

1. INTRODUCTION

The present article is devoted to the stability of the Navier-Stokes equations when one obstacle shrinks to a curve or a surface, and to determine the influence of a thin obstacle on the motion of a three-dimensional incompressible viscous flow. More precisely, for any *obstacle* $\mathcal{C}_n$, i.e. verifying

(1.1) $\mathcal{C}_n$ is a compact subset of $\mathbb{R}^3$ such that $\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \mathcal{C}_n$ is simply connected,

we consider the 3D-Navier-Stokes equations on $\Omega_n := \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \mathcal{C}_n$:

$$(1.2) \quad \partial_t u^n - \nu \Delta u^n + u^n \cdot \nabla u^n = -\nabla p^n \quad \forall (t, x) \in (0, \infty) \times \Omega_n,$$

where $u^n = (u_1^n, u_2^n, u_3^n)$ denotes the velocity, p^n the pressure and ν the viscosity. The incompressibility and the no-slip boundary conditions reads

$$(1.3) \quad \operatorname{div} u^n = 0 \quad \forall (t, x) \in [0, \infty) \times \Omega_n, \quad u^n = 0 \quad \forall (t, x) \in (0, \infty) \times \partial\Omega_n.$$

A natural quantity for incompressible flows is the vorticity:

$$\omega^n := \operatorname{curl} u^n = (\partial_2 u_3^n - \partial_3 u_2^n, \partial_3 u_1^n - \partial_1 u_3^n, \partial_1 u_2^n - \partial_2 u_1^n).$$

As the domains Ω_n depend on n , it is standard to give an initial condition in terms of a vorticity independent of n (see [10, 11, 13]): for any smooth initial vorticity ω_0 which is divergence free and compactly supported in $\mathbb{R}^3$, Lemma 1.2 states that there exists a unique vector field u_0^n on Ω_n such that:

$$(1.4) \quad \operatorname{div} u_0^n = 0, \quad \operatorname{curl} u_0^n = \omega_0|_{\Omega_n}, \quad u_0^n \cdot \hat{n}|_{\partial\mathcal{C}_n} = 0, \quad u_0^n \in L^2(\Omega_n).$$

For such an initial velocity, it is well known that there exists a global weak solution u^n of the Navier-Stokes equations (1.2)-(1.3) on Ω_n in the sense of Leray (see Definition 1.3).

Received by the editors November 12, 2013.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 35Q30, 76D05, 35Q35.

Key words and phrases. Navier-Stokes equations, thin obstacles, removable singularity.

The author was partially supported by the Agence Nationale de la Recherche, Project MathOcéan, grant ANR-08-BLAN-0301-01 and by the Project “Instabilities in Hydrodynamics” funded by Paris city hall (program “Emergences”) and the Fondation Sciences Mathématiques de Paris.

The purpose of this paper is to study the asymptotic behavior of u^n when $\mathcal{C}_n$ shrinks to a curve or a surface.

1.1. Leray solutions. In order to give precisely the main theorems, we recall here the notion of weak solution in the sense of Leray. We begin by introducing the classical solenoidal vector fields spaces.

Definition 1.1. Let Ω an open subset of $\mathbb{R}^3$. We denote by

- $V(\Omega) := \left\{ \varphi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega) \mid \operatorname{div} \varphi = 0 \text{ in } \Omega \right\}$;
- $\mathcal{H}(\Omega)$ the closure of $V(\Omega)$ in the norm L^2 ;
- $\mathcal{V}(\Omega)$ the closure of $V(\Omega)$ in the norm H^1 , and its dual space by $\mathcal{V}'(\Omega)$;
- $H_2(\Omega) := \left\{ \varphi \in L^2(\Omega) \mid \operatorname{div} \varphi = 0 \text{ in } \Omega, \varphi \cdot n = 0 \text{ at } \partial\Omega \right\}$;¹
- $G(\Omega) := \left\{ w \in L^2(\Omega) \mid w = \nabla p, \text{ for some } p \in H_{\text{loc}}^1(\Omega) \right\}$.

For any arbitrary domain Ω in $\mathbb{R}^3$, we know that $G(\Omega)$ and $\mathcal{H}(\Omega)$ are orthogonal subspaces in $L^2(\Omega)$ (see e.g. [4, Theo III.1.1]). Moreover,

$$(1.5) \quad L^2(\Omega) = G(\Omega) \oplus \mathcal{H}(\Omega),$$

which implies the existence of a unique projection operator (called Leray projection):

$$\mathbb{P}_\Omega : L^2(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}(\Omega),$$

and by orthogonality we have:

$$\|\mathbb{P}_\Omega u\|_{L^2} \leq \|u\|_{L^2}, \quad \forall u \in L^2(\Omega).$$

Let us also mention that (1.5) implies the existence and uniqueness of a solution of (1.4):

Lemma 1.2. *Let $\mathcal{C}_n$ be a smooth obstacle (in the sense of (1.1)) and $\Omega_n = \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \mathcal{C}_n$. If $\omega_0 \in V(\mathbb{R}^3)$, then there exists a unique solution of (1.4). Moreover,*

$$(1.6) \quad u_0^n = \mathbb{P}_{\Omega_n} v_0,$$

where v_0 is the Biot-Savart law in $\mathbb{R}^3$:

$$(1.7) \quad v_0(x) = - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{x - y}{4\pi|x - y|^3} \times \omega_0(y) dy.$$

In (1.7), $\times$ denotes the standard cross product of vectors in $\mathbb{R}^3$. We note that v_0 is the unique vector field in $\mathbb{R}^3$ verifying:

$$\operatorname{div} v_0 = 0, \quad \operatorname{curl} v_0 = \omega_0, \quad v_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3).$$

For sake of completeness, this lemma is proved in Appendix A.

Now we can give the definition of a global weak solution of the Navier-Stokes equations in the Leray sense.

¹ $\varphi \cdot n$ should be understood in $H^{-1/2}(\partial\Omega)$ (see e.g. [4, Theo III.2.2]).

Definition 1.3. Let $u_0 \in \mathcal{H}(\Omega)$. We say that u is a global weak solution of the Navier-Stokes equations on Ω with initial velocity u_0 iff

- u belongs to
- $$C([0, \infty); \mathcal{V}'(\Omega)) \cap L_{\text{loc}}^\infty([0, \infty); \mathcal{H}(\Omega)) \cap L_{\text{loc}}^2([0, \infty); \mathcal{V}(\Omega));$$
- u verifies the momentum equation in the sense of $\mathcal{V}'(\Omega)$, i.e., $\forall \psi \in C^1([0, \infty); \mathcal{V}(\Omega))$, we have for all t :

$$(1.8) \quad \int_{\Omega} (u \cdot \psi)(t, x) dx + \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} (-u \cdot \psi_t + \nu \nabla u : \nabla \psi - (u \otimes u) : \nabla \psi)(t', x) dx dt' = \int_{\Omega} u_0 \cdot \psi(0, \cdot);$$

- u verifies the energy inequality:

$$(1.9) \quad \|u(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + 2\nu \int_0^t \|\nabla u(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \leq \|u_0\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \quad \forall t \geq 0.$$

Without any assumption about the regularity of Ω , the Leray theorem states that there exists a global weak solution of the Navier-Stokes equations in the sense of the previous definition (see e.g. [2, Theo 2.3] and [15, Theo III.3.1]).

1.2. Main results. In Section 2, we establish that the Navier-Stokes equation is structurally stable under Hausdorff approximations of the fluid domains:

Theorem 1.4. *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be an obstacle of $\mathbb{R}^3$ (in the sense of (1.1)) which is a limit (in the Hausdorff sense) of a sequence of smooth obstacles $\{\mathcal{C}_n\}$. Let $\omega_0 \in V(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and u^n be a global weak solution to the Navier-Stokes equations on $\Omega_n = \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \mathcal{C}_n$ (in the sense of Definition 1.3) with initial velocity u_0^n (given by (1.6), which is the solution of (1.4)). Then we can extract a subsequence such that Eu^n converges weakly-* to u in $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+; L^2(\Omega))$, where u is a global weak solution of the Navier-Stokes equations on $\Omega := \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \mathcal{C}$ with initial velocity $u_0 = \mathbb{P}_\Omega v_0$.*

Here, Eu^n is the extension of u^n on $\mathbb{R}^3$, vanishing on $\mathcal{C}_n$, and $\mathcal{C}_n$ converges to $\mathcal{C}$ in the Hausdorff sense if and only if the Hausdorff distance between $\mathcal{C}_n$ and $\mathcal{C}$ converges to zero. See for example [5, Appendix B] for more details about the Hausdorff topology, in particular the Hausdorff convergence implies the following proposition:

$$(1.10) \quad \text{for any compact set } K \subset \Omega, \text{ there exists } n_K > 0 \text{ such that } K \subset \Omega_n, \forall n \geq n_K.$$

Actually, we will also show that for any sequence $u_0^n \in \mathcal{H}(\Omega_n)$ which verifies $Eu_0^n \rightarrow u_0 \in \mathcal{H}(\Omega)$ (for the L^2 norm), then we can extract a subsequence such that Eu^n converges weakly-* to u in $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+; L^2(\Omega))$, where u is a global weak solution of the Navier-Stokes equations on $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \mathcal{C}$ with initial velocity u_0 . Of course, to pass to the limit in the non-linear term, we will need a strong compactness argument in $L_{\text{loc}}^2(\mathbb{R}^+ \times \Omega)$. However, the precise statement is not very convenient to give here. Indeed, we will decompose the velocity in two parts (depending on the compact subset of Ω) and we will prove the strong compactness only of one part of u^n (see Subsection 2.2 for more details).

More importantly, we wonder for which condition $\mathcal{C}$ is removable, i.e. u is the solution of the Navier-Stokes on the full space $\mathbb{R}^3$. Such an issue presents a large literature on experiments and simulations (see e.g. [1, 8, 9, 14, 16, 17] and the references therein). As the solution u belongs to $H_0^1(\Omega)$ for a.e. time, the natural notion

is the Sobolev H^1 capacity of the obstacle, which is defined by

$$\text{cap}(\mathcal{C}) := \inf\{\|v\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)}^2, v \geq 1 \text{ a.e. in a neighborhood of } \mathcal{C}\}.$$

The capacity is not a measure, but has similar good properties. For nice sets E in $\mathbb{R}^N$, the capacity of E can be thought very roughly as some $n - 1$ dimensional Hausdorff measure of its boundary. More precisely:

- (1) For all compact set K included in a bounded open set D ,

$$\text{cap}(K) = \text{cap}(\partial K).$$

- (2) If $E \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ is contained in a manifold of dimension $N - 2$, then $\text{cap}(E) = 0$.
 (3) If $E \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ contains a piece of some smooth hypersurface (manifold of dimension $N - 1$), then $\text{cap}(E) > 0$.
 (4) Let D and Ω be open sets such that $\Omega \subset D$. Then

$$(v \in H_0^1(\Omega)) \iff (v \in H_0^1(D) \text{ and } v = 0 \text{ quasi everywhere in } D \setminus \Omega),$$

which means that $v = 0$ except on a set with zero capacity.

We refer to [7] for all details on the Sobolev capacity (see [5, Appendix A] for a short summary).

If $\mathcal{C}$ is a compact subset of $\mathbb{R}^3$ which contains a piece of smooth hypersurface, then we infer from (3) and (4) that for a.e. t , u vanishes quasi everywhere in $\mathcal{C}$, where $\text{cap}(\mathcal{C}) > 0$. Therefore, a surface is not removable for the 3D viscous fluid, as a curve for the 2D viscous fluid (case treated in [13]).

Then, we turn to the obstacles with zero capacity. Iftimie, Lopes Filho and Nussenzveig Lopes have considered in [11] the 2D case where one obstacle shrinks homotetically to a point, whereas Iftimie and Kelliher show in [10] that a point in 3D has no influence on a viscous fluid. There is a small restriction in dimension two, due to the fact that the exterior of one obstacle is not simply connected. In this case the vorticity is not sufficient to determine uniquely the velocity, and we also have to prescribe the initial circulation of u_0^n around $\mathcal{C}_n$. Nevertheless, if this initial circulation is assumed to be zero, [11] exactly states that a material point has no effect on a 2D fluid (see [11] for more details).

Section 3 is devoted to proving that a curve is a removable singularity for the 3D Navier-Stokes equations.

Theorem 1.5. *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a C^2 injective compact curve of $\mathbb{R}^3$, and $\omega_0 \in V(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Let $\{\mathcal{C}_n\}$ a family of smooth obstacles (in the sense of (1.1)) converging to $\mathcal{C}$ (in the Hausdorff sense), such that $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{C}_n$. Let u^n be a global weak solution to the Navier-Stokes equations on $\Omega_n = \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \mathcal{C}_n$ with initial velocity u_0^n (given by (1.6)); then we can extract a subsequence such that Eu^n converges weakly- $*$ to u in $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+; L^2(\mathbb{R}^3))$, where u is a global weak solution of the Navier-Stokes equations on $\mathbb{R}^3$ with initial velocity v_0 .*

Even if this theorem is the natural extension of [10, 11], the proof requires a new way to cut-off divergence free test functions. In the two previous articles, the classical cutting-off of stream function was sufficient to get the stability result. Such a method does not hold in our case, and we will introduce a non-explicit approximation, based on Bogovskii's results.

Notation. For any function f defined on Ω_n , we denote by Ef the extension of f on $\mathbb{R}^3$, vanishing on $\mathcal{C}_n$. If f is regular enough and vanishes on $\partial\mathcal{C}_n$, then $\nabla(Ef) = E(\nabla f)$. Similarly, if v is a vector field regular enough and tangent to the boundary, then $\operatorname{div} Ev = E(\operatorname{div} v)$.

2. STABILITY UNDER HAUSDORFF APPROXIMATIONS

2.1. Convergence of the initial velocity. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be an obstacle of $\mathbb{R}^3$ (in the sense of (1.1)) and $\{\mathcal{C}_n\}$ be a family of smooth obstacles converging to $\mathcal{C}$ in the Hausdorff topology when $n \rightarrow \infty$ and such that $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{C}_n$. As mentioned in the introduction, we fix $\omega_0 \in V(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and we define u_0^n as in (1.6), which is the unique vector field verifying (1.4). Let us show that u_0^n converges strongly to $u_0 := \mathbb{P}_\Omega v_0$.

Proposition 2.1. *With the above notation, we have that*

$$Eu_0^n \rightarrow u_0 \text{ strongly in } L^2(\Omega).$$

Proof. As the Leray projection is orthogonal in L^2 , we get from (1.6) that

$$\|Eu_0^n\|_{L^2(\Omega)} = \|u_0^n\|_{L^2(\Omega_n)} \leq \|v_0\|_{L^2(\Omega_n)} \leq \|v_0\|_{L^2(\Omega)}.$$

By the Banach-Alaoglu theorem, we infer that there exists $w_0 \in L^2(\Omega)$ and a subsequence $n \rightarrow \infty$, such that

$$Eu_0^n \rightharpoonup w_0 \text{ weak in } L^2(\Omega).$$

This weak convergence implies in particular that

$$\|w_0\|_{L^2} \leq \liminf \|Eu_0^n\|_{L^2},$$

and

$$\operatorname{curl} w_0 = \omega_0|_\Omega \text{ in } \mathcal{V}'(\Omega).$$

In the proof of Lemma 1.2 (see Appendix A), we have proved that $\operatorname{curl} \mathbb{P}_{\Omega_n} w_0 = \operatorname{curl} w_0|_{\Omega_n} = \omega_0|_{\Omega_n}$. The uniqueness part of Lemma 1.2 implies that $\mathbb{P}_{\Omega_n} w_0 = \mathbb{P}_{\Omega_n} v_0 = u_0^n$ and then

$$\|Eu_0^n\|_{L^2} \leq \|w_0\|_{L^2}.$$

Putting together the last two inequalities, we get $\|Eu_0^n\|_{L^2} \rightarrow \|w_0\|_{L^2}$. Using the weak convergence in $L^2(\Omega)$ of Eu_0^n to w_0 , we obtain the strong convergence in $L^2(\Omega)$.

As Eu_0^n belongs to $\mathcal{H}(\Omega_n)$, we deduce directly from the L^2 strong convergence that w_0 belongs to $\mathcal{H}(\Omega)$. Then we have two functions in $\mathcal{H}(\Omega)$ having the same vorticity, which implies that $u_0 = w_0$, without assuming anything about the regularity of $\mathcal{C}$ (see Appendix A). The uniqueness also implies that the limit holds without extracting a subsequence. $\square$

Remark 2.2. We have obtained in the previous proof that

$$\operatorname{curl} u_0 = \omega_0 = \operatorname{curl} v_0 \text{ in } \mathcal{V}'(\Omega)$$

but we do not have

$$\operatorname{curl} u_0 = \omega_0 = \operatorname{curl} v_0 \text{ in } H^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^3).$$

Even in the case where $\mathcal{C}$ is a surface, we can just pretend that $\operatorname{curl}(u_0 - v_0)$ belongs to $H^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and is supported on the surface. Actually, we will prove in Subsection 2.3 that

$$\operatorname{curl} u_0 = \omega_0 + g_{\mathcal{C}} \delta_{\mathcal{C}}$$

where $g_{\mathcal{C}}$ is the jump of the tangential component of u_0 and $\delta_{\mathcal{C}}$ is the Dirac measure on $\mathcal{C}$.

In the case of the curve, we will show in Subsection 3.1 that there is no function belonging in $H^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ compactly supported on a curve, and we will obtain that $u_0 = v_0$.

2.2. Time evolution. For all n , we denote by u^n a global weak solution of the Navier-Stokes equations (in the sense of Definition 1.3) on $\Omega_n = \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \mathcal{C}_n$ with initial data u_0^n .

By Proposition 2.1, we already know that $Eu_0^n \rightarrow u_0$ in $L^2(\Omega)$. Moreover, thanks to the energy inequality (1.9), we state that

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{aligned} Eu^n &\text{ is uniformly bounded in } L^\infty((0, \infty); \mathcal{H}_\Omega) \\ &\text{ and } \nabla Eu^n \text{ is uniformly bounded in } L^2((0, \infty) \times \Omega). \end{aligned}$$

Now, we need to establish a temporal estimate. First, we use that u^n verifies the Dirichlet boundary condition for a.e. $t > 0$ in order to write the following Sobolev inequality:

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \|u^n\|_{L^4(\Omega_n)} &= \|Eu^n\|_{L^4(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq \|Eu^n\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^{1/4} \|Eu^n\|_{L^6(\mathbb{R}^3)}^{3/4} \\ &\leq C \|Eu^n\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^{1/4} \|\nabla(Eu^n)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^{3/4} = C \|u^n\|_{L^2(\Omega_n)}^{1/4} \|\nabla u^n\|_{L^2(\Omega_n)}^{3/4}, \end{aligned}$$

where C is independent of n . Let us consider $T > 0$ and let O be an open smooth bounded set relatively compact in Ω . By (1.10), there exists n_O such that $O \cap \mathcal{C}_n = \emptyset$ for all $n \geq n_O$. Even if it is possible to show that $\{Eu^n\}$ is equicontinuous in $\mathcal{V}'(O)$, we cannot deduce precompactness in $L^2([0, T] \times O)$: indeed there is no injection from $\{v \in L^2(O), \operatorname{div} v = 0\}$ to $\mathcal{V}'(O)$ (the gradients of harmonic function are divergence free, but their $\mathcal{V}'(O)$ norm are equal to zero). To use interpolation, we have to consider $\mathcal{H}(O)$ which embeds in $\mathcal{V}'(O)$: the boundary condition forbids the gradients of harmonic function. Then, we write in O

$$u^n = \mathbb{P}_O u^n + \nabla q^n, \quad \Delta q^n = 0,$$

with

$$\|\mathbb{P}_O u^n\|_{L^2(O)}^2 + \|\nabla q^n\|_{L^2(O)}^2 = \|u^n\|_{L^2(O)}^2, \quad \|\mathbb{P}_O u^n\|_{H^1(O)} + \|\nabla q^n\|_{H^1(O)} \leq C \|u^n\|_{H^1(O)},$$

which imply that ∇q^n converges to ∇q weak-* in $L^\infty([0, T]; L^2(O))$ and in $L^2([0, T]; H^1(O))$. For $\mathbb{P}_O u^n$, we perform a strong compactness argument as follows: for any $\Phi \in \mathcal{V}(O)$ and $n \geq n_O$:

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle \mathbb{P}_O u^n(t), \Phi \rangle - \langle \mathbb{P}_O u^n(s), \Phi \rangle| &= \left| \int_s^t \int_{\Omega_n} -\nu \nabla u^n : \nabla \Phi + (u^n \otimes u^n) : \nabla \Phi \right| \\ &\leq \nu(t-s)^{1/2} \|\nabla u^n\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+ \times \Omega_n)} \|\nabla \Phi\|_{L^2} \\ &\quad + (t-s)^{1/4} \|u^n\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+, L^2(\Omega_n))}^{1/2} \|\nabla u^n\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+ \times \Omega_n)}^{3/2} \|\nabla \Phi\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq C((t-s)^{1/2} + (t-s)^{1/4}) \|\Phi\|_{H^1}, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used (2.2). This inequality implies that $\{\mathbb{P}_O u^n\}$ is equicontinuous as a family of functions from $\mathbb{R}^+$ to $\mathcal{V}'(O)$. Using that this family is bounded in $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+; \mathcal{H}(O))$ and the compact embedding in $\mathcal{V}'(O)$, the Ascoli theorem gives that $\{\mathbb{P}_O u^n\}$ is precompact in $L^\infty((0, T); \mathcal{V}'(O))$. Moreover, $\{\mathbb{P}_O u^n\}$ is also bounded in $L^2((0, T); H^1(O))$; then we get by interpolation that this family is precompact in $L^2((0, T) \times O)$. By a diagonal extraction on the compact sets of Ω and $[0, +\infty)$, we

find a subsequence $E u_n$ such that we have the following property: for any compact set $[0, T] \times K \subset [0, \infty) \times \Omega$, there exists O a relatively compact set of Ω belonging in the sequence where the diagonal extraction was considered, such that $K \subset O$ and $\mathbb{P}_O u_n$ is precompact in $L^2((0, T) \times K)$.

Moreover, extracting again a subsequence if necessary, we know from (2.1) that the limit verifies

$$(2.3) \quad u \in L^\infty((0, \infty); \mathcal{H}(\Omega)) \quad \text{and} \quad \nabla u \in L^2((0, \infty) \times \Omega).$$

For any test function $\psi \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^+; \mathcal{V}(\Omega))$, there exist $\psi^k \in C_c^\infty((0, \infty) \times \Omega)$, $\operatorname{div} \psi^k = 0$ such that²

$$\psi^k \rightarrow \psi \text{ strongly in } L_{\text{loc}}^q(\mathbb{R}^+; \mathcal{V}(\Omega)), \quad \forall q \in (1, \infty).$$

For k fixed, there exists O a relatively compact set of Ω , belonging in the sequence where the diagonal extraction was considered, where $\operatorname{supp} \psi^k \subset O$. As there exists n_O such that $O \cap \mathcal{C}_n = \emptyset$, $\forall n \geq n_O$, then (1.8) reads

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \int_0^\infty \int_\Omega \left(-u^n \cdot \psi_t^k + \nu \nabla u^n : \nabla \psi^k - (u^n \otimes u^n) : \nabla \psi^k \right) dx dt' \\ 0 &= \int_0^\infty \int_\Omega \left(-u^n \cdot \psi_t^k + \nu \nabla u^n : \nabla \psi^k - (\mathbb{P}_O u^n \otimes \mathbb{P}_O u^n) : \nabla \psi^k - (\mathbb{P}_O u^n \otimes \nabla q^n) : \nabla \psi^k \right. \\ &\quad \left. - (\nabla q^n \otimes \mathbb{P}_O u^n) : \nabla \psi^k - (\nabla q^n \otimes \nabla q^n) : \nabla \psi^k \right) dx dt'. \end{aligned}$$

Actually, the last term is equal to zero: indeed we can check that $\operatorname{div}(\nabla q^n \otimes \nabla q^n) = \frac{1}{2} \nabla(|\nabla q^n|^2)$ and as it is a gradient, the last part vanishes by the divergence free condition of ψ^k . Thanks to the strong convergence of $\mathbb{P}_O u^n$ in $L_{\text{loc}}^2(\mathbb{R}^+ \times O)$ and the weak of ∇u^n , u^n , ∇q^n , we can pass to the limit $n \rightarrow \infty$ to get:

$$\int_0^\infty \int_\Omega \left(-u \cdot \psi_t^k + \nu \nabla u : \nabla \psi^k - (u \otimes u) : \nabla \psi^k \right) dx dt' = 0.$$

In particular, this equality together with (2.3) and (2.2) gives that

$$\partial_t u \text{ belongs to } L_{\text{loc}}^{4/3}(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathcal{V}'(\Omega)).$$

Then we can pass to the limit in

$$(2.4) \quad \int_0^\infty \int_\Omega (\partial_t u \cdot \psi^k + \nu \nabla u : \nabla \psi^k - (u \otimes u) : \nabla \psi^k) dx dt' = 0$$

as $k \rightarrow \infty$ to get

$$\forall \varphi \in C_c^\infty(0, \infty), \quad \int_0^\infty \int_\Omega (\partial_t u \cdot \psi \varphi + \nu \varphi \nabla u : \nabla \psi - \varphi(u \otimes u) : \nabla \psi) dx dt' = 0.$$

This equality implies that

$$(2.5) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \int_\Omega u \cdot \psi = \int_\Omega (u \cdot \psi_t - \nu \nabla u : \nabla \psi + (u \otimes u) : \nabla \psi) dx$$

in the sense of distribution in $\mathbb{R}^+$. Since the right hand side term belongs to $L_{\text{loc}}^1(\mathbb{R}^+)$, the equality holds in $L_{\text{loc}}^1(\mathbb{R}^+)$.

Now, we check that u belongs in the good functional space. Thanks to the previous equality, and (2.3), we can easily prove that

$$u \in C([0, \infty); \mathcal{V}'(\Omega)) \cap C_w([0, \infty); \mathcal{H}(\Omega)).$$

²See e.g. [13, Prop 3.6].

This argument can be found in [15, Subsection III.3.1]: as $\partial_t u$ belongs to $L^1(\mathcal{V}')$, it implies that u is almost everywhere equal to a function continuous from $\mathbb{R}^+$ into $\mathcal{V}'$. Moreover, using the fact that $u \in L^\infty(\mathcal{H})$, [15, Lem 1.4] states that the continuity in $\mathcal{V}'$ implies the weak continuity in time with values in $\mathcal{H}$.

Moreover, thanks to the continuity in $\mathcal{V}'$, we infer that the equality (2.5) in the sense of $L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^+)$ implies that the integral equality (1.8) holds for all $t > 0$. Indeed, for the initial data we know from the uniform convergence in $H^{-2}_{\text{loc}}(\Omega)$ that $Eu_0^n \rightarrow u|_{t=0}$ in $H^{-2}_{\text{loc}}(\Omega)$. However, we proved in Proposition 2.1 that $Eu_0^n \rightarrow u_0$ in $L^2(\Omega)$, which allows us to state by the uniqueness of the limit in H^{-2}_{loc} that the initial velocity is $u_0 = \mathbb{P}_\Omega v_0$.

To finish the proof of Theorem 1.4, we have to prove the energy inequality. We take the liminf of (1.9):

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow 0} \|Eu^n(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + 2\nu \liminf_{n \rightarrow 0} \int_0^t \|\nabla Eu^n(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \leq \|u_0\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2$$

and we note that the weak limit³ in L^2 of $Eu^n(t)$ to $u(t)$ and the weak limit in $L^2((0, t) \times \Omega)$ of ∇Eu^n to ∇u imply that

$$\|u(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow 0} \|Eu^n(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \|\nabla u\|_{L^2((0, t) \times \Omega)}^2 \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow 0} \|\nabla Eu^n\|_{L^2((0, t) \times \Omega)}^2.$$

It gives the last point required in Definition 1.3, which ends the proof of Theorem 1.4.

Therefore, we have shown that the Navier-Stokes solutions converge when the smooth obstacles converge to an obstacle $\mathcal{C}$ verifying (1.1). We note here that we do not assume any assumption on the regularity of $\mathcal{C}$. In particular, this result holds if $\mathcal{C}$ is a surface. As $u(t, \cdot) \in \mathcal{V}(\Omega)$ for a.e. time, it is clear that the surface has a non-negligible effect on the motion of 3D viscous flow: u verifies the no slip boundary condition almost every time. In the following subsection, we discuss the initial velocity properties in the particular case of the surface, and the goal is to get some similarities to the curve in 2D.

2.3. Remark on the behavior of the initial velocity near a smooth surface.

In the two dimensional case, we obtain in [13] an explicit formula of the initial velocity in terms of Riemann maps (identifying $\mathbb{R}^2$ and $\mathbb{C}$). This formula allows us to state that u_0 is continuous up to the curve with different values on each side, except near the end-points where it behaves as the inverse of the square root of the distance. In our case, we do not have this formula, and we use in this subsection classical elliptic theory in order to get similar results in the case where $\mathcal{C}$ is a bounded orientable surface of codimension one in $\mathbb{R}^3$.

As mentioned in Remark 2.2, $u_0 - v_0$ is curl free in Ω and as Ω is simply connected we infer that there exists p such that $u_0 - v_0 = \nabla p$. We know that v_0 is continuous up to the boundary; then the goal is to determine the behavior of ∇p near $\mathcal{C}$ where p verifies the following elliptic problem:

$$\begin{cases} \Delta p = 0 & \text{in } \Omega \\ \frac{\partial p}{\partial n} = -v_0 \cdot n & \text{on } \mathcal{C}, \end{cases}$$

³Indeed, by the uniform estimates and diagonal extraction, we can find a common subsequence such that $Eu^n(t)$ weakly converge for all $t \in \mathbb{Q}^+$. Then, we conclude by the continuity that this sequence holds for all $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$.

where v_0 is regular on $\mathcal{C}$.

This subsection is independent of the convergence theory, and the goal here is to give an example of the behavior of u_0 . Therefore, here we add some assumptions on $\mathcal{C}$ in order to apply classical elliptic results. We assume that $\mathcal{C}$ is a C^∞ manifold and its boundary $\mathcal{B}$ is a C^∞ closed curve. For example, if $\mathcal{C} = \{(x, y, 0) \in \mathbb{R}^3, x^2 + y^2 \leq 1\}$ we denote by $\mathcal{B} = \{(x, y, 0) \in \mathbb{R}^3, x^2 + y^2 = 1\}$.

The study of elliptic equations in the exterior of a surface with the Neumann condition is standard for the crack problem in 3D linear elasticity. Actually, to get exactly the Neumann boundary condition, we add a regular function h such that $\tilde{p} := p + h$ verifies

$$\Delta \tilde{p} = f \text{ in } \Omega, \quad \frac{\partial \tilde{p}}{\partial n} = 0 \text{ on } \mathcal{C}.$$

For ω_0 regular enough, we rich the necessary regularity for f in order that $\tilde{p}$ has an expansion near $\mathcal{B}$ on the form

$$\sum_{k \geq 0} r^{\frac{1}{2}+k} \psi(\theta)$$

in local polar coordinates (r, θ) . Such a result is proved in [3] (see also the references therein). In particular, it implies that u_0 is continuous up to $\mathcal{C}$, with possibly different values on each side, except near the boundary $\mathcal{B}$ where u_0 behaves like the inverse of the square root of the distance. Therefore, we obtain exactly the same behavior in 3D in the exterior of a surface as in 2D in the exterior of a curve.

Thanks to the continuity up to the surface, it is easy to see that the tangent condition implies that

$$\operatorname{div} u_0 = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \operatorname{curl} u_0 = \omega_0 + g \delta_{\mathcal{C}}$$

in $\mathcal{V}'(\mathbb{R}^3)$, where g is the jump of the tangential component of u_0 through the surface (see e.g. [12, Lem 5.8] for this proof in dimension two).

3. VISCOUS FLOW AROUND A CURVE

As in the previous section and as in [10], we consider $\{\mathcal{C}_n\}$ a family of smooth obstacles of $\mathbb{R}^3$ (in the sense of (1.1)) which converges to $\mathcal{C}$ in the Hausdorff topology when $n \rightarrow \infty$. Here, $\mathcal{C}$ is assumed to be a compact injective C^2 curve in $\mathbb{R}^3$ (i.e. included in a smooth manifold of dimension one). The goal of this section is to prove that the curve is a removable singularity for the Navier-Stokes solutions in $\mathbb{R}^3$.

3.1. Convergence of the initial velocity. We will need a suitable cutoff function of a small neighborhood of $\mathcal{C}$. Let χ be a function verifying

$$\chi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}), \quad \chi(s) \equiv 0 \text{ on } (-\infty, 1), \quad \chi(s) \equiv 1 \text{ on } (2, +\infty),$$

then we define

$$(3.1) \quad \eta_n(x) := \chi\left(nd(x, \mathcal{C})\right).$$

Although it is obvious that η_n vanishes in a small neighborhood of $\mathcal{C}$, we need the following estimates of $\|\nabla \eta_n\|_{L^p}$.

Proposition 3.1. *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a C^2 compact injective curve. There exist n_0 and $C > 0$ such that for all $n > n_0$,*

$$\|\nabla \eta_n\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq Cn \quad \text{and} \quad \text{meas}\left(\text{supp}(1 - \eta_n)\right) \leq C/n^2,$$

where meas is the Lebesgue measure.

Even if such a proposition seems standard, we write the details in Appendix B for the sake of completeness. Thanks to this proposition, we can prove that there is no function supported on $\mathcal{C}$ which belongs to $H^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^3)$.

Lemma 3.2. *Let f be a function belonging in $H^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^3)$. If*

$$\langle f, \varphi \rangle_{H^{-1}, H^1} = 0, \quad \forall \varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \mathcal{C}),$$

then $f = 0$ in $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^3)$.

Proof. We fix $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$, and we introduce

$$\varphi_n(x) := \eta_n(x)\varphi(x)$$

where η_n is the cutoff function defined in (3.1). As η_n is C^1 (see Appendix B) and supported in the exterior of a small neighborhood of $\mathcal{C}$, then by assumption (and density of $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \mathcal{C})$ in $C_c^1(\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \mathcal{C})$ for $H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ norm), we have that

$$\langle f, \varphi_n \rangle_{H^{-1}, H^1} = 0, \quad \forall n.$$

Thanks to Proposition 3.1, we easily get that

$$\|\varphi_n - \varphi\|_{L^2} \leq C(\varphi)/n \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \|\nabla \varphi_n - \nabla \varphi\|_{L^2} \leq C(\varphi).$$

By the Banach-Alaoglu theorem, we can extract a subsequence such that $\varphi_n - \varphi \rightharpoonup 0$ weakly in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$. In particular, it implies that

$$\langle f, \varphi \rangle = \langle f, \varphi_n \rangle + \langle f, \varphi - \varphi_n \rangle = \langle f, \varphi - \varphi_n \rangle \rightarrow 0$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Then, we have proved that $\langle f, \varphi \rangle = 0$, for all $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$. $\square$

Now, we can come back to our main problem. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be an injective compact smooth curve in $\mathbb{R}^3$. We consider $\{\mathcal{C}_n\}$ a family of smooth obstacles of $\mathbb{R}^3$ such that $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{C}_n$ and $\mathcal{C}_n$ converges to $\mathcal{C}$ in the Hausdorff topology when $n \rightarrow \infty$. Let $\omega_0 \in V(\mathbb{R}^3)$ be an initial vorticity. As in the previous section we set

$$\omega_0^n := \omega_0|_{\Omega_n} \quad \text{with} \quad \Omega_n = \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \mathcal{C}_n,$$

then we define by $u_0^n := \mathbb{P}_{\Omega_n} v_0$ the unique vector field in Ω_n solving (1.4). We prove in the following proposition that we do not feel the presence of the curve for the limit initial velocity.

Proposition 3.3. *With the above notation, we have*

$$Eu_0^n \rightarrow v_0 \quad \text{strongly in } L^2(\mathbb{R}^3),$$

where v_0 is the velocity field without obstacle (1.7).

Proof. As v_0 is continuous and behaves like $\mathcal{O}(1/|x|^2)$ at infinity (see (1.7) for the explicit formula), we obtain directly that v_0 belongs to $L^p(\mathbb{R}^3)$ for any $p \in (3/2, \infty]$.

First, we introduce the stream function corresponding to v_0 :

$$\psi(x) = - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{x - y}{4\pi|x - y|^3} \times v_0(y) dy,$$

which verifies $\operatorname{curl} \psi = v_0$, $\operatorname{div} \psi = 0$ and $\|\psi\|_{L^\infty} \leq C\|v_0\|_{L^2 \cap L^4}$ (see e.g. [10]), so ψ is bounded.

Next, we define

$$w^n := \operatorname{curl}(\eta_n \psi) = \eta_n v_0 + \nabla \eta_n \times \psi,$$

where η_n is the cutoff function (3.1). By construction, we deduce that w^n belongs to $H_2(\Omega_n) = \mathcal{H}(\Omega_n)$ (see Appendix A).

Finally, we use that u_0^n is the L^2 projection of $v_0|_{\Omega_n}$ on $\mathcal{H}(\Omega_n)$, to compute

$$\begin{aligned} \|Eu_0^n - v_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} &\leq \|u_0^n - v_0\|_{L^2(\Omega_n)} + \|v_0\|_{L^2(\mathcal{C}_n)} \\ &\leq \|w^n - v_0\|_{L^2(\Omega_n)} + \|v_0\|_{L^2(\mathcal{C}_n)} \\ &\leq \|\nabla \eta_n \times \psi\|_{L^2(\Omega_n)} + \|(1 - \eta_n)v_0\|_{L^2(\Omega_n)} + \|v_0\|_{L^2(\mathcal{C}_n)} \\ &\leq \|\nabla \eta_n\|_{L^2} \|\psi\|_{L^\infty} + \|v_0\|_{L^\infty} (1/n + \operatorname{meas}(\mathcal{C}_n)) \\ &\leq C, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used Proposition 3.1. This inequality implies that there exist $v \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and a subsequence such that

$$Eu_0^n - v_0 \rightharpoonup v \text{ weakly in } L^2.$$

By passing to the limit, we obtain that $\operatorname{div} v = \operatorname{curl} v = 0$ for all test function in $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \mathcal{C})$. Moreover, $\operatorname{div} v$ and $\operatorname{curl} v$ belongs to $H^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and we apply the previous lemma to state that $\operatorname{div} v = \operatorname{curl} v \equiv 0$ on $\mathbb{R}^3$, and then $v = 0$.

Moreover, reasoning as in the proof of Proposition 2.1, we pass from the weak convergence to the strong convergence of u_0^n to v_0 , which ends this proof. $\square$

3.2. Proof of Theorem 1.5. The beginning of the proof follows the same idea as the proof of Theorem 1.4: we prove that we have a strong limit of Eu^n to u a solution of the Navier-Stokes equations in “the exterior of the curve”. The second step is to show that u is actually a solution in $\mathbb{R}^3$.

For all n , we consider u^n a global weak solution, in the sense of Definition 1.3, of (1.2)-(1.3) in Ω_n with initial datum u_0^n , which is defined in the previous subsection.

By Proposition 3.3, we already know that $Eu_0^n \rightarrow v_0$ in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Next, we exactly follow Subsection 2.2:

- uniform estimates of Eu^n in $L^\infty((0, \infty); L^2(\mathbb{R}^3))$ and of ∇Eu^n in $L^2((0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^3)$;
- equicontinuity in $\mathcal{V}^{-1}(O)$, with O relatively compact in $\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \mathcal{C}$;
- precompactness of $\{\mathbb{P}_O u^n\}$ in $L^2((0, T) \times O)$;
- by a priori estimates we know that

$$u \in L^\infty((0, \infty); L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)) \quad \text{and} \quad \nabla u \in L^2((0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^3);$$

- passing at the limit $n \rightarrow \infty$ in the weak formulation of the momentum equation: $\forall \psi^k \in C_0^\infty((0, \infty) \times (\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \mathcal{C}))$ $|\operatorname{div} \psi^k = 0$, we have (2.4).

Now, we need to prove that the momentum equation is verified for all $\psi \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^+; \mathcal{V}(\mathbb{R}^3))$. Then, to finish the proof of Theorem 1.5, we have to establish (1.8) for test functions whose support meets the curve. The following lemma will be the key of this extension.

Lemma 3.4. *For all $\varphi \in C_c^\infty((0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^3)$ such that $\operatorname{div} \varphi = 0$, there exists a sequence $\psi_n \in C_c^\infty((0, \infty) \times (\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \mathcal{C}))$ such that*

$$\operatorname{div} \psi_n = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \psi_n \rightharpoonup \varphi \text{ weak-* in } L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+; H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)).$$

Proof. All the difficulty comes from the condition $\operatorname{div} \psi_n = 0$. Indeed, without this condition, it is sufficient to multiply by the cutoff function. In [10, 11], the standard way to construct divergence free functions compactly supported outside the obstacle is to multiply the stream function by the cutoff function. However, we see in the proof of Proposition 3.3 that the computation of the H^1 norm makes $\|\nabla^2 \eta_n\|_{L^2}$ appear, which blows up strongly in our case.

So, here we present a new way to approximate divergence free function, which is not explicit as in the standard way. The following method comes from [4, Chap III.4] and is based on the Bogovskii operator. We set

$$(3.2) \quad f_n(t, x) := \eta_n(x) \varphi(t, x)$$

which belongs to $C_c^\infty((0, \infty); C_c^1(\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \mathcal{C}))$ but which is not divergence free. Its divergence

$$g_n(t, x) := \operatorname{div} f_n(t, x) = \varphi(t, x) \cdot \nabla \eta_n(x)$$

verifies the following estimates for all t :

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} g_n(t, \cdot) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \|g_n(t, \cdot)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq C n^{1-\frac{2}{p}},$$

where C depends only on φ . To correct this divergence, we use the result of Bogovskii. Let B be a ball big enough containing the support of φ . As the set $\tilde{\Omega} := B \setminus \mathcal{C}$ verifies the cone condition (see [4, Rem III.3.4] for a precise definition), and as $g_n \in L^p(\tilde{\Omega})$ with $\int_{\tilde{\Omega}} g_n = 0$, then Theorem 3.1 in [4, Chap III.3] states that there exists at least one solution h_n of the following problem:

$$\operatorname{div} h_n = -g_n, \quad h_n \in W_0^{1,p}(\tilde{\Omega}), \quad \|h_n\|_{W^{1,p}(\tilde{\Omega})} \leq c_p \|g_n\|_{L^p(\tilde{\Omega})}.$$

Moreover, the constant c_p depends only on $\tilde{\Omega}$ and p , and as g_n is a compact support in $\tilde{\Omega}$, so is h_n . Extending by zero outside $\tilde{\Omega}$, we can define $h_n(t, \cdot) \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ for all t , and we have that $h_n \rightarrow 0$ strongly in $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+; W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^3))$ for all $p < 2$ and uniformly bounded in $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+; H^1(\mathbb{R}^3))$. So we can extract a subsequence such that h_n converges weak-* in $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+; H^1(\mathbb{R}^3))$ and by uniqueness of the limit in $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+; W^{1,p}(B))$ it converges to 0.

On the other hand, we know from the definition (3.2) that $f_n - \varphi$ converges to 0 strongly in $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+; W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^3))$ for any $p < 2$ and weak-* in $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+; H^1(\mathbb{R}^3))$.

In conclusion, $\psi_n := f_n + h_n \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+; H^1(\mathbb{R}^3))$ is divergence free, compactly supported in $B \setminus \mathcal{C}$ and converges to φ weak-* in $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+; H^1(\mathbb{R}^3))$. Smoothing ψ_n by some mollifiers, we obtain the result. $\square$

In the previous proof, we see that the approximation constructed also verifies

$$\partial_t \psi_n \rightharpoonup \partial_t \varphi \text{ weak-* in } L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+; H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)).$$

Therefore, we apply the previous lemma in order to pass to the limit in (2.4), which implies that this equality is verified for all test function in $C_c^\infty((0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^3)$. Now, we finish as we did in Subsection 2.2:

- (2.4) gives that $\partial_t u \in L_{\text{loc}}^{4/3}(\mathbb{R}^+; \mathcal{V}'(\mathbb{R}^3))$;
- we pass at the limit to say that the momentum equation is verified for all $\psi \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^+; \mathcal{V}(\mathbb{R}^3))$ in $L_{\text{loc}}^1(\mathbb{R}^+)$;
- we get the continuity in time with values in $\mathcal{V}'(\mathbb{R}^3)$;

- we conclude to the validity of (1.8) for all t ;
- identification of the initial velocity: $u(0, \cdot) = v_0$ by Proposition 3.3;
- thanks to weak convergence, we prove the energy inequality (1.9) on $\mathbb{R}^3$.

In conclusion we prove that u is a global weak solution of the Navier-Stokes solutions in $\mathbb{R}^3$, in the sense of Definition 1.3.

APPENDIX A. PROOF OF LEMMA 1.2

First, we note from [4, Theo III.2.2] that the assumption on Ω_n (smoothness and compact boundary) implies the coincidence of $\mathcal{H}(\Omega_n)$ and $H_2(\Omega_n)$.

By (1.5), we know that $v_0|_{\Omega} - \mathbb{P}_{\Omega_n} v_0$ is a gradient and then $\text{curl } \mathbb{P}_{\Omega_n} v_0 = \text{curl } v_0|_{\Omega_n} = \omega_0|_{\Omega_n}$. Therefore $\mathbb{P}_{\Omega_n} v_0$ is a solution of (1.4), which proves the existence part of Lemma 1.2.

Concerning the uniqueness, let us assume that u and w are two solutions. As $\Omega_n = \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \mathcal{C}_n$ is simply connected in $\mathbb{R}^3$, $\text{curl}(u - w) = 0$ on Ω_n implies that there exists p such that $u - w = \nabla p$. Then ∇p belongs to $\mathcal{H}_{\Omega_n}$ which is possible only for $\nabla p = 0$ (see (1.5)). Its ends the proof of Lemma 1.2.

APPENDIX B. CUTOFF FUNCTIONS

In order to differentiate the function $x \mapsto d(x, \mathcal{C})$, we have to check that the minimal distance $d(x, y)$ is reached for a unique $y \in \mathcal{C}$, at least for x close enough to $\mathcal{C}$. We need to assume that the curve is at least C^1 , because such a property is false near a corner. For example, if $\mathcal{C}$ is the curve $\{(x, y, 0) | x \in [-1, 1], y = |x|\}$, then for all M on the half line $\{(0, y, 0) | y > 0\}$, we have two minimums on $\mathcal{C}$: $M_1 := (-y/\sqrt{2}, y/\sqrt{2}, 0)$ and $M_2 := (y/\sqrt{2}, y/\sqrt{2}, 0)$ and $d(M, \mathcal{C}) = d(M, M_1) = d(M, M_2) = y/\sqrt{2}$.

This example shows that we have to assume some regularity for the curve.

Lemma B.1. *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a C^2 injective curve; then there exists $\tilde{n}_0$ such that*

$$\forall x \mid d(x, \mathcal{C}) < 1/\tilde{n}_0, \exists! y \in \mathcal{C} \mid d(x, y) = d(x, \mathcal{C}).$$

Proof. Let $s \mapsto \mathcal{C}(s) = M$ be the arclength parametrization. Then, we recall the standard definition:

- the tangent vector and the curvature

$$\vec{\tau}(s) = \frac{dM}{ds} = \mathcal{C}'(s), \quad \rho(s) = \left\| \frac{d\vec{\tau}(s)}{ds} \right\| = \|\mathcal{C}''(s)\|;$$

- the main normal vector and the binormal vector (if $\rho(s) \neq 0$)

$$\vec{\nu}(s) = \frac{1}{\rho(s)} \frac{d\vec{\tau}(s)}{ds} = \frac{\mathcal{C}''(s)}{\|\mathcal{C}''(s)\|}, \quad \vec{\beta}(s) = \vec{\tau}(s) \times \vec{\nu}(s).$$

As $\mathcal{C}$ is assumed to be C^2 , there exists $\rho_M > 0$ such that $|\rho(s)| \leq \rho_M$ on $\mathcal{C}$.

The existence of $y \in \mathcal{C}$ such that $d(x, y) = d(x, \mathcal{C})$ is obvious because the map $z \mapsto d(x, z)$ is continuous on the compact $\mathcal{C}$. Let us assume that the conclusion of the lemma is false, i.e. that the infimum is reached twice:

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \exists x_n \mid d(x_n, \mathcal{C}) < 1/n, \exists y_n = \mathcal{C}(s_{1,n}), z_n = \mathcal{C}(s_{2,n})$$

such that

$$s_{1,n} < s_{2,n} \quad \text{and} \quad d(x_n, y_n) = d(x_n, \mathcal{C}) = d(x_n, z_n).$$

Extracting a subsequence, we have by compactness that $x_n, y_n, z_n \rightarrow x \in \mathcal{C}$. As the curve is assumed to be injective (so without cross-point), we infer that $s_{1,n} - s_{2,n} \rightarrow 0$. So, by continuity of $\mathcal{C}$ in x , we note easily that there exists N such that

$$(B.1) \quad d(x_N, \mathcal{C}(s)) \leq 1/(2\rho_M), \quad \forall s \in [s_{1,N}, s_{2,N}].$$

Next, we introduce the following function:

$$f : s \mapsto d(x_N, \mathcal{C}(s))^2 = \|\overrightarrow{x_N M(s)}\|^2,$$

and we differentiate twice to get

$$f'(s) = 2\overrightarrow{x_N M(s)} \cdot \vec{\tau}(s), \quad f''(s) = 2\left(1 + \rho(s)\overrightarrow{x_N M(s)} \cdot \vec{\nu}(s)\right).$$

The previous computation holds even if $\rho(s) = 0$.

By assumption, f is minimal for $s_{1,N}$ and $s_{2,N}$, so

$$f'(s_{1,N}) = 0 = f'(s_{2,N}),$$

but (B.1) implies that

$$f''(s) \geq 1, \quad \forall s \in [s_{1,N}, s_{2,N}]$$

which is impossible. This contradiction allows us to end this proof. $\square$

Remark B.2. In the previous proof, we infer from $f'(s_{1,N}) = 0$ that $\overrightarrow{x_N M(s_{1,N})} \cdot \vec{\tau}(s_{1,N}) = 0$ when $M(s_{1,N})$ is the closest point of $\mathcal{C}$ to x_N .

Now, we link the differentiability of $g : x \mapsto d(x, \mathcal{C})$ and the previous lemma.

Lemma B.3. *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a C^2 injective curve and $\tilde{n}_0$ from Lemma B.1. Then for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \mathcal{C}$ such that $d(x, \mathcal{C}) < 1/\tilde{n}_0$, g is differentiable in x and*

$$\nabla g(x) = \frac{x - y}{\|x - y\|},$$

where y is the unique point of $\mathcal{C}$ such that $\|x - y\| = d(x, \mathcal{C})$.

Proof. This proof can be found in the exercise book [6] and we copy it for the sake of completeness. We fix $x \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \mathcal{C}$ such that $d(x, \mathcal{C}) < 1/\tilde{n}_0$; then Lemma B.1 states that there exists a unique $y \in \mathcal{C}$ verifying $\|x - y\| = d(x, \mathcal{C})$.

The first step consists of showing the following property: for all $h \in \mathbb{R}^3$ small enough, we set y_h by the unique point of $\mathcal{C}$ such that $\|x + h - y_h\| = d(x + h, \mathcal{C})$; then

$$(B.2) \quad \|y_h - y\| \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } h \rightarrow 0.$$

Let us assume that (B.2) is false; then there exist a sequence $h_n \rightarrow 0$ and $\delta > 0$ such that $\|y_{h_n} - y\| \geq \delta$ for all n . We introduce the following compact set:

$$G := \{z \in \mathcal{C} \mid \|z - y\| \geq \delta\}$$

and the function

$$h : z \in G \mapsto \|x - z\|^2.$$

This function is continuous and reaches its minimum. As $y \notin G$, the uniqueness part of Lemma B.1 allows us to check that this minimum is strictly greater than $\|x - y\|^2$. Hence, we have

$$d(x, G) > d(x, \mathcal{C}).$$

However, we use the fact that y_{h_n} belongs to G to write

$$g(x + h_n) = d(x + h_n, \mathcal{C}) = \|x + h_n - y_{h_n}\| = d(x + h_n, G)$$

implying that

$$g(x + h_n) \rightarrow d(x, G) > g(x)$$

which is impossible by the continuity of g . The property (B.2) follows from this contradiction.

Let us prove in the second step that (B.2) implies that g is differentiable. On the one hand, we have that

$$(B.3) \quad g^2(x + h) \leq \|x + h - y\|^2 = g^2(x) + 2(x - y) \cdot h + \|h\|^2.$$

On the other hand, we compute

$$\begin{aligned} g^2(x + h) = \|x + h - y_h\|^2 &= \|x - y_h\|^2 + 2(x - y_h) \cdot h + \|h\|^2 \\ &\geq \|x - y\|^2 + 2(x - y) \cdot h + 2(y - y_h) \cdot h + \|h\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, (B.2) implies that

$$(B.4) \quad g^2(x + h) \geq g^2(x) + 2(x - y) \cdot h + o(h).$$

Putting together (B.4) and (B.3), we conclude that g^2 is differentiable and that

$$\nabla(g^2(x)) = 2g(x)\nabla g(x) = 2(x - y)$$

which ends the proof. $\square$

From the value of ∇g , we see that the uniqueness part of Lemma B.1 is mandatory to obtain the differentiability of g (or of g^2).

Finally, we can establish the estimates of the cutoff function by proving Proposition 3.1.

Thanks to the definition and Lemma B.3, we compute for $n > 2\tilde{n}_0$

$$\nabla\eta_n(x) = n\chi'\left(nd(x, \mathcal{C})\right)\nabla d(x, \mathcal{C}) = n\chi'\left(nd(x, \mathcal{C})\right)\frac{x - y}{\|x - y\|},$$

where y is the unique point of $\mathcal{C}$ such that $\|x - y\| = d(x, \mathcal{C})$. Indeed, $\chi'(nd(x, \mathcal{C})) \neq 0$ iff $1/n \leq d(x, \mathcal{C}) \leq 2/n$, so $x \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \mathcal{C}$ and $d(x, \mathcal{C}) < 1/\tilde{n}_0$ which allows us to apply the previous lemmas.

Hence, we directly obtain the first point:

$$\|\nabla\eta_n\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq n\|\chi'\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})}.$$

Concerning the support, we use Remark B.2 to note that for all $x \in \text{supp}(1 - \eta_n)$, the unique $y \in \mathcal{C}$ such that $d(x, y) = d(x, \mathcal{C})$ verifies $\overrightarrow{xy} \cdot \overrightarrow{\tau} = 0$. If there is an interval where $\rho(s) = 0$, then it implies that $\mathcal{C}$ on this part is a segment. Then, it is obvious that the volume such that $d(x, \mathcal{C}) \leq 2/n$ is $\mathcal{O}(1/n^2)$ on this section. So, without loss of generality, we assume that $\rho(s) \neq 0$ on $\mathcal{C}$, and the previous remark implies that

$$\text{supp}(1 - \eta_n)$$

$$= \{\mathcal{C}(s) + r \cos \varphi \overrightarrow{\nu}(s) + r \sin \varphi \overrightarrow{\beta}(s) \mid (s, r, \varphi) \in [0, L] \times [0, 2/n] \times [0, 2\pi]\} \bigcup_{i=1,2} O_i$$

and the application $\Psi: (s, r, \varphi) \mapsto \mathcal{C}(s) + r \cos \varphi \overrightarrow{\nu} + r \sin \varphi \overrightarrow{\beta}$ is a diffeomorphism invertible where $\psi^{-1}(M)$ gives the parameter of $\mathcal{C}(s)$ where $d(M, \mathcal{C})$ is reached, the distance $d(M, \mathcal{C})$, and the angle between $\overrightarrow{\mathcal{C}(s)M}$ and $\overrightarrow{\nu}$. In the expression of $\text{supp}(1 - \eta_n)$, O_i denotes the half ball on each endpoint, which obviously verifies $\text{mes } O_i = \mathcal{O}(1/n^3)$.

Using the general relation

$$\frac{d\vec{\beta}}{ds} = \theta(s)\vec{\nu}(s), \quad \frac{d\vec{\nu}}{ds} = -\rho(s)\vec{\tau}(s) - \theta(s)\vec{\beta}(s),$$

where θ is a smooth function called the torsion, we can compute $D\Psi$ in the orthonormal base $(\vec{\tau}, \vec{\nu}, \vec{\beta})$:

$$D\Psi(r, s, f) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 - r\rho \cos \varphi & 0 & 0 \\ r\theta \sin \varphi & \cos \varphi & -r \sin \varphi \\ -r\theta \cos \varphi & \sin \varphi & r \cos \varphi \end{pmatrix}$$

which implies that $|\det D\Psi(r, s, f)| \leq r/2$ if r is chosen small enough (i.e. $r \leq 1/(2\rho_M)$). Finally, we can compute for n big enough:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{mes}\left(\text{supp}(1 - \eta_n)\right) &= \int_{\text{supp}(1 - \eta_n)} 1 \, dx dy dz \\ &= \int_0^L \int_0^{2/n} \int_0^{2\pi} |\det D\Psi(r, s, f)| \, d\varphi dr ds + \mathcal{O}(1/n^3) \\ &\leq \int_0^L \int_0^{2/n} \int_0^{2\pi} r/2 \, d\varphi dr ds + \mathcal{O}(1/n^3) = \frac{2\pi L}{n^2} + \mathcal{O}(1/n^3) \end{aligned}$$

which ends the proof of Proposition 3.1.

REFERENCES

- [1] P. Donald Ariel, *On computation of the three-dimensional flow past a stretching sheet*, Appl. Math. Comput. **188** (2007), no. 2, 1244–1250, DOI 10.1016/j.amc.2006.10.083. MR2335625 (2008e:76125)
- [2] J.-Y. Chemin, B. Desjardins, I. Gallagher, and E. Grenier, *Mathematical geophysics*, Oxford Lecture Series in Mathematics and its Applications, vol. 32, The Clarendon Press Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2006. An introduction to rotating fluids and the Navier-Stokes equations. MR2228849 (2007m:76148)
- [3] Martin Costabel, Monique Dauge, and Roland Duduchava, *Asymptotics without logarithmic terms for crack problems*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations **28** (2003), no. 5-6, 869–926, DOI 10.1081/PDE-120021180. MR1986055 (2004c:35099)
- [4] G. P. Galdi, *An introduction to the mathematical theory of the Navier-Stokes equations*, 2nd ed., Springer Monographs in Mathematics, Springer, New York, 2011. Steady-state problems. MR2808162 (2012g:35233)
- [5] David Gérard-Varet and Christophe Lacave, *The two-dimensional Euler equations on singular domains*, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. **209** (2013), no. 1, 131–170, DOI 10.1007/s00205-013-0617-9. MR3054600
- [6] S. Gonnord and N. Tosel, *Calcul différentiel*, Ellipse, 1998.
- [7] Antoine Henrot and Michel Pierre, *Variation et optimisation de formes* (French), Mathématiques & Applications (Berlin) [Mathematics & Applications], vol. 48, Springer, Berlin, 2005. Une analyse géométrique. [A geometric analysis]. MR2512810 (2009m:49003)
- [8] Dorel Homentcovschi, *Three-dimensional Oseen flow past a flat plate*, Quart. Appl. Math. **40** (1982/83), no. 2, 137–149. MR666670 (83h:76030)
- [9] J. D. Hudson and S. C. R. Dennis, *The flow of a viscous incompressible fluid past a normal flat plate at low and intermediate Reynolds numbers: the wake*, J. Fluid Mech. **160** (1985), 369–383, DOI 10.1017/S0022112085003524. MR823192 (87d:76066)
- [10] Dragoş Iftimie and James P. Kelliher, *Remarks on the vanishing obstacle limit for a 3D viscous incompressible fluid*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **137** (2009), no. 2, 685–694, DOI 10.1090/S0002-9939-08-09670-6. MR2448591 (2009m:35385)
- [11] D. Iftimie, M. C. Lopes Filho, and H. J. Nussenzveig Lopes, *Two-dimensional incompressible viscous flow around a small obstacle*, Math. Ann. **336** (2006), no. 2, 449–489, DOI 10.1007/s00208-006-0012-z. MR2244381 (2007d:76050)

- [12] Christophe Lacave, *Two dimensional incompressible ideal flow around a thin obstacle tending to a curve*, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire **26** (2009), no. 4, 1121–1148, DOI 10.1016/j.anihpc.2008.06.004. MR2542717 (2010h:76054)
- [13] Christophe Lacave, *Two-dimensional incompressible viscous flow around a thin obstacle tending to a curve*, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A **139** (2009), no. 6, 1237–1254, DOI 10.1017/S0308210508000632. MR2557320 (2010k:76040)
- [14] S. H. Smith, *A note on the boundary layer approach to the impulsively started flow past a flat plate*, J. Engrg. Math. **29** (1995), no. 2, 195–202, DOI 10.1007/BF00051743. MR1323562 (95k:76034)
- [15] Roger Temam, *Navier-Stokes equations*, Revised edition, Studies in Mathematics and its Applications, vol. 2, North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, 1979. Theory and numerical analysis; With an appendix by F. Thomasset. MR603444 (82b:35133)
- [16] Colin Turfus, *Prandtl-Batchelor flow past a flat plate at normal incidence in a channel—inviscid analysis*, J. Fluid Mech. **249** (1993), 59–72, DOI 10.1017/S0022112093001077. MR1214471 (93m:76016)
- [17] Luca Zannetti, *Vortex equilibrium in flows past bluff bodies*, J. Fluid Mech. **562** (2006), 151–171, DOI 10.1017/S0022112006001054. MR2263540 (2008a:76029)

INSTITUT DE MATHÉMATIQUES DE JUSSIEU - PARIS RIVE GAUCHE, UMR 7586 - CNRS, UNIVERSITÉ PARIS-DIDEROT (PARIS 7), BÂTIMENT SOPHIE GERMAIN, CASE 7012, 75205 PARIS CEDEX 13, FRANCE

E-mail address: lacave@math.jussieu.fr

Current address: Univ Paris Diderot, Sorbonne Paris Cité, Institut de Mathématiques de Jussieu-Paris Rive Gauche, UMR 7586, CNRS, Sorbonne Universités, UPMC Univ Paris 06, F-75013, Paris, France

E-mail address: christoph.lacave@imj-prg.fr