PROCEEDINGS OF THE AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY Volume 144, Number 5, May 2016, Pages 1931–1938 http://dx.doi.org/10.1090/proc/12877 Article electronically published on December 15, 2015

THE VOJTA CONJECTURE IMPLIES GALOIS RIGIDITY IN DYNAMICAL FAMILIES

WADE HINDES

(Communicated by Romyar T. Sharifi)

ABSTRACT. We show that the Vojta (or Hall-Lang) conjecture implies that the arboreal Galois representations in a 1-parameter family of quadratic polynomials are surjective if and only if they surject onto some finite and uniform quotient. As an application, we use the Vojta conjecture, our uniformity theorem over $\mathbb{Q}(t)$, and Hilbert's irreducibility theorem to prove that the prime divisors of many quadratic orbits have density zero.

To prove the surjectivity of the ℓ -adic Galois representation attached to an elliptic curve, it suffices to prove the surjectivity onto some finite quotient. Namely, if $G \leq \operatorname{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}_l)$ is a closed subgroup that surjects onto $\operatorname{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/\ell^n\mathbb{Z})$ for some small n, then G must be equal to $\operatorname{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}_l)$; see [17]. However, in [7] Rafe Jones has suggested that such a rigidity is unlikely to hold if we replace $\operatorname{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}_l)$ with the automorphism group $\operatorname{Aut}(T_\infty)$ of an infinite binary rooted tree T_∞ , replace the subgroup G with the image of an arboreal Galois representation $G_\infty(\phi)$ attached to a quadratic polynomial $\phi \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$ (see [1] and [8]), and replace $\operatorname{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/\ell^n\mathbb{Z})$ with the automorphism group $\operatorname{Aut}(T_n)$ of a level n binary rooted tree T_n ; here the difficulty arises from the fact that the Frattini subgroup of $\operatorname{Aut}(T_\infty)$ has infinite index. To illustrate this point, Jones cleverly constructs a large quadratic polynomial

(1) $\phi(x) = (x - 88255775491812351975604)^2 + 88255775491812351975605,$

satisfying $G_8(\phi) \cong \operatorname{Aut}(T_8)$ and $G_\infty(\phi) \not\cong \operatorname{Aut}(T_\infty)$; see [7]. However, our uniformity theorem over rational function fields [3, Theorem 1] together with Hilbert's irreducibility theorem suggest that such a rigidity holds in a 1-parameter family obtained by specializing a quadratic polynomial with polynomial coefficients. In this paper we prove such a claim, assuming some powerful (yet standard) conjectures in arithmetic geometry. As a consequence, we predict that the prime divisors of many quadratic orbits have density zero; see Corollary 1.

Notation. We fix some notation. Let $\phi(x) = (x - \gamma(t))^2 + c(t)$ for some polynomials $\gamma, c \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$ and let $\phi_a(x) = (x - \gamma(a))^2 + c(a)$ be the specialization of ϕ at some integer a. Finally, we say that ϕ_a is stable if every iterate of ϕ_a is irreducible. Then we have the following theorem regarding the arboreal Galois representations $G_{\infty}(\phi_a)$ in the family $\{\phi_a\}_{a\in\mathbb{Z}}$.

Received by the editors January 7, 2015 and, in revised form, June 16, 2015. 2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 14G05, 37P55; Secondary 11R32. Key words and phrases. Rational points on curves, arithmetic dynamics, Galois theory.

Theorem 1. Suppose that ϕ is not isotrivial, $\phi(\gamma) \cdot \phi^2(\gamma) \neq 0$, and the Vojta (or Hall-Lang) conjecture holds. Then there exist an integer $n_{\phi} > 0$ and an effectively computable finite set F_{ϕ} such that for all integers $a \notin F_{\phi}$,

$$G_{n_{\phi}}(\phi_a) \cong \operatorname{Aut}(T_{n_{\phi}})$$
 implies that $G_{\infty}(\phi_a) \cong \operatorname{Aut}(T_{\infty})$.

Furthermore, if ϕ_a is stable, then

$$\sup_{\substack{a \notin F_{\phi} \\ \phi_a \text{ is stable}}} \left\{ \left[\operatorname{Aut}(T_{\infty}) : G_{\infty}(\phi_a) \right] \right\} \text{ is finite.}$$

The study of arboreal representations owes its beginnings to classical prime factorization problems in polynomial recurrences. Specifically, let $f \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ be a polynomial with integer coefficients and let $b = b_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$. For $n \ge 1$, define the sequence $b_n = f(b_{n-1}) = f^n(b_0)$. A fundamental object in dynamics, this set of numbers is called the *orbit* of b with respect to f and is denoted

(2)
$$\mathcal{O}_f(b) := \{b, f(b), f^2(b), \dots\}.$$

It is a classical question in number theory to ask whether $\mathcal{O}_f(b)$ contains infinitely many primes. At the moment, this question is well beyond reach. For example, if $f(x) = (x-1)^2 + 1$ and b = 3, then $b_n = 2^{2^n} + 1$ are the Fermat numbers, which have been studied extensively [10].

However, one can ask a more tractable question, which has connections to arboreal representations. Namely, how big is the set of prime divisors in a particular orbit? As a partial answer, it is known that $G_{\infty}(f) \cong \operatorname{Aut}(T_{\infty}(f))$ implies that the set

(3)
$$\mathcal{P}_f(b) := \{ \text{primes } p \mid b_n = f^n(b) \equiv 0 \pmod{p} \text{ for some } n \ge 1 \}$$

of prime divisors of $\mathcal{O}_f(b)$ has density zero [8, Theorem 4.1]. Intuitively, this means that if the Galois groups of iterates of f are as large as possible, then the prime divisors in any particular orbit cannot accumulate. To illustrate this point and Theorem 1, we use our uniformity theorem over $\mathbb{Q}(t)$ (see [3, Theorem 1]) and Hilbert's irreducibility theorem to predict that the prime divisors of many quadratic orbits have density zero.

Corollary 1. Suppose that ϕ satisfies the following conditions:

- (a) ϕ is not isotrivial,
- (b) $G_{m_{\phi}}(\phi) \cong \operatorname{Aut}(T_{m_{\phi}})$ for m_{ϕ} given by

$$m_{\phi} := \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} 17 & , \deg(\gamma) \neq \deg(c) \\ 2 \cdot \log_2 \left(78 \cdot \frac{\deg(\gamma)}{\deg(c-\gamma)} + 9 \right) & , \deg(\gamma) = \deg(c) \end{array} \right\}.$$

Then the Vojta (or Hall-Lang) conjecture implies the following statements:

- (1) There exists a thin set E_{ϕ} such that $G_{\infty}(\phi_a) \cong \operatorname{Aut}(T_{\infty})$ for all $a \notin E_{\phi}$.
- (2) The density $\delta(\mathcal{P}_{\phi_a}(b)) = 0$ for all $b \in \mathbb{Z}$ and all $a \notin E_{\phi}$.

Before we begin the proof of the theorem, we remind the reader of the relevant conjectural height bounds in arithmetic geometry. In keeping with standard notation, we let $h: \overline{\mathbb{Q}} \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ be the (absolute) logarithmic height of an algebraic number [15, VIII.5]. Similarly, for $f \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}[x]$, we let h(f) be the maximum of the heights of the coefficients of f.

Conjecture 1. For all $d \ge 3$ there exist constants $C_1 = C_1(d)$ and $C_2 = C_2(d)$ so that for all $f \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ of degree d with $\operatorname{disc}(f) \ne 0$, if $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$ satisfy $y^2 = f(x)$, then

$$(4) h(x) \le C_1 \cdot h(f) + C_2.$$

Remark 1.1. Versions of Conjecture 1 were made by Hall and Lang (see [15, IV.7]) for $d \leq 4$. On the other hand, for larger d Conjecture 1 is a consequence of the Vojta conjecture; see the main result of [5] or [16, Example 5].

Proof (Theorem). To prove Theorem 1, we need only assume that the bounds in Conjecture 1 hold for a single value of $d \geq 3$. Therefore, without loss of generality, we assume that (4) holds for d = 3. Throughout the proof, we use the following fact: for all $f \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$ of degree d there are constants $\mathcal{C}_{1,f}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{2,f}$, depending on f, such that

(5)
$$d \cdot h(\alpha) - \mathcal{C}_{1,f} \le h(f(\alpha)) \le d \cdot h(\alpha) + \mathcal{C}_{2,f} \quad \text{for all } \alpha \in \bar{\mathbb{Q}};$$

see [14, Theorem 3.11]. We fix some notation. Define the affine transformation $\lambda_a(x) = x + \gamma(a)$, and let σ_a be the quadratic polynomial given by conjugating ϕ_a by λ_a , that is,

$$\sigma_a(x) := \lambda_a^{-1} \circ \phi_a \circ \lambda_a(x) = x^2 + c(a) - \gamma(a).$$

The triangle inequality on the absolute values of \mathbb{Q} and (5) imply that

(6)
$$h(\lambda_a^{-1}(\alpha)) \le h(\alpha) + 2 \cdot \log(3) + \deg(\gamma) \cdot h(\alpha) + h(\gamma)$$
 for all $\alpha \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$.

On the other hand, there is a lower bound

(7)
$$h(\alpha) - \deg(\gamma) \cdot h(a) - A_{1,\phi} \le h(\lambda_a^{-1}(\alpha)) \text{ for all } \alpha \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$$

and some positive constant $A_{1,\phi}$; see [14, Theorem 3.11]. Moreover, repeated application of the triangle inequality implies that

(8)
$$h(\sigma_a^m(\alpha)) \le 2^m \cdot (h(\alpha) + A_{2,\phi} + \deg(c - \gamma) \cdot h(\alpha))$$
 for all $\alpha \in \bar{\mathbb{Q}}$

and some positive constant $A_{2,\phi}$. Finally, since ϕ is not isotrivial, $\deg(c-\gamma) \neq 0$. In particular, (5) implies that there exists a computable, positive constant $B_{1,\phi}$ such that

(9)
$$\deg(c-\gamma) \cdot h(a) - B_{1,\phi} \le h(c(a) - \gamma(a)) \text{ for all } a \in \bar{\mathbb{Q}}.$$

From here, we derive Theorem 1 from the following lemma, which ensures the existence of so-called square-free, primitive prime divisors in the critical orbit after a uniform number of iterates; compare to [2] and [13].

Lemma 1.1. Assume the Vojta (or Hall-Lang) conjecture and suppose that $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ satisfies the following properties:

(1)
$$\phi_a(\gamma(a)) \cdot \phi_a^2(\gamma(a)) \neq 0$$
,

$$(2) \ c(a) - \gamma(a) \notin \{-2, -1, 0, \},\$$

(3)
$$\deg(c - \gamma) \cdot h(a) - B_{1,\phi} > 0.$$

Then there is an $n_{\phi} > 0$ (not depending on a) such that for all $n > n_{\phi}$ there exists an odd prime p_n with the following property:

$$(10) \quad v_{p_n}(\phi_a^n(\gamma(a)))\not\equiv 0 \pmod 2 \quad and \quad v_{p_n}(\phi_a^j(\gamma(a)))=0 \ \ for \ all \ \ 1\leq j\leq n-1;$$

here v_p denotes the normalized p-adic valuation. Such a prime p_n is called a square-free, primitive prime divisor for $\phi_a^n(\gamma(a))$.

Proof. For every n, write $\phi_a^n(\gamma(a)) = 2^{e_n} \cdot d_n \cdot y_n^2$ for $e_n \in \{0,1\}$ and some odd, square-free integer d_n . Our goal is to first prove that the d_n grow rapidly, and from there deduce that eventually each new d_n is divisible by a new prime. Note that if the d_n 's were to grow slowly, then (ignoring the power of 2 for now), there would be values of d for which the curve $dY^2 = \phi_a^2(X)$ has a rational point with very large coordinates compared to the height of its defining equation. Quantifying this idea leads to a contradiction of Conjecture 1.

If n is such that no prime p_n as in (10) exists, then d_n is a unit or $d_n = \prod p_i$ for some primes $p_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ satisfying $p_i | \phi_a^{m_i}(\gamma(a))$ and $1 \leq m_i \leq n-1$. On the other hand, if d_n is not a unit, then each $p_i | \phi_a^{n-m_i}(0)$, since $p_i | \phi_a^{m_i}(\gamma(a))$ and $p_i | \phi_a^n(\gamma(a))$. To see this, note that

$$\phi_a^{n-m_i}(0) \equiv \phi_a^{n-m_i}(\phi_a^{m_i}(\gamma(a))) \equiv \phi_a^n(\gamma(a)) \equiv 0 \pmod{p_i}.$$

In particular, when d_n is not a unit, we have the refinement:

(11)
$$d_n = \prod p_i, \text{ where } p_i | \phi_a^{t_i}(\gamma(a)) \text{ or } p_i | \phi_a^{t_i}(0) \text{ for some } 1 \le t_i \le \left| \frac{n}{2} \right|.$$

Our goal is to show that n is bounded independently of a. To do this, define the elliptic curve

(12)
$$C_{\phi_a}^{(d_n)}: Y^2 = 2^{e_n} \cdot d_n \cdot (X - c(a)) \cdot \phi_a(X).$$

Note that $C_{\phi_a}^{(d_n)}$ is nonsingular by assumption (1) of Lemma 1.1. Then we have the integral point

(13)
$$\left(\phi_a^{n-1}(\gamma(a)), \ 2^{e_n} \cdot d_n \cdot y_n \cdot \left(\phi_a^{n-2}(\gamma(a)) - \gamma(a) \right) \right) \in C_{\phi_a}^{(d_n)}(\mathbb{Z}).$$

In particular, the Hall-Lang conjecture (cf. Conjecture 1 for d=3) on integral points of elliptic curves implies that

(14)
$$h(\phi_a^{n-1}(\gamma(a))) \le \kappa_1 \cdot h(d_n) + \kappa_2 \cdot h(a) + \kappa_3$$

for some absolute constants $\kappa_i > 0$.

Assuming the Vojta conjecture, one obtains a similar bound as in (14); we simply replace $C_{\phi_a}^{(d_n)}$ and the point on (13) with the genus two curve $Y^2 = 2^{e_n} \cdot d_n \cdot (X - c(a)) \cdot \phi^2(X)$ and its corresponding point with X-coordinate $\phi_a^{n-2}(\gamma(a))$; see [4] for more on these hyperelliptic curves defined by iteration. Finally, apply the main result of [5]; see also [16, Example 5].

Combining (6) and (14), we obtain

(15)
$$h(\lambda_a^{-1}(\phi_a^{n-1}(\gamma(a)))) \le \kappa_1 \cdot h(d_n) + \kappa_{2,\phi} \cdot h(a) + \kappa_{3,\phi},$$

where the constants $\kappa_{2,\phi}$ and $\kappa_{3,\phi}$ depend on ϕ . However,

$$\lambda_a^{-1}(\phi_a^{n-1}(\gamma(a))) = \lambda_a^{-1} \circ \phi_a^{n-1} \circ \lambda_a(0) = \sigma_a^{n-1}(0).$$

On the other hand, the canonical height (see [14, 3.4]) satisfies

$$\left|\hat{h}_{\sigma_a}(x) - h(x)\right| \le h\left(c(a) - \gamma(a)\right) + \log(2) \le \deg(c - \gamma) \cdot h(a) + B_{1,\phi} + \log(2),$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{Q}$; see [6, Lemma 12]. In particular, we apply this bound to $x = \sigma_a^{n-1}(0)$ and use (15) to conclude that

(16)
$$2^{n-1} \cdot \hat{h}_{\sigma_a}(0) = \hat{h}_{\sigma_a}(\sigma_a^{n-1}(0)) \le \kappa_1 \cdot h(d_n) + \kappa'_{2,\phi} \cdot h(a) + \kappa'_{3,\phi}.$$

Moreover, Ingram has shown in [6, Proposition 11], that

$$\hat{h}_{\sigma_a}(x) \ge \frac{1}{32} \max \{h(c(a) - \gamma(a)), 1\}$$
 for all wandering points $x \in \mathbb{Q}$.

By assumption $c(a) - \gamma(a) \notin \{-2, -1, 0\}$, so that 0 is a wandering point of σ_a (equivalently, ϕ_a is not postcritically finite). To see this, note that if 0 is not wandering, then $c(a) - \gamma(a)$ belongs to the Mandelbrot set \mathcal{M} over the complex numbers; see [14, §4.24]. In particular, [14, Proposition 4.19] implies that $|c(a) - \gamma(a)| \leq 2$, where $|\cdot|$ denotes the complex absolute value. Hence the absolute logarithmic height of $c(a) - \gamma(a)$ is at most $\log(2)$. One checks that this implies that $c(a) - \gamma(a) \in \{0, -1, -2\}$ as claimed.

On the other hand, we know there exists a positive constant $B_{1,\phi}$ as in (9). Consolidating this fact with the lower bound on $\hat{h}_{\sigma_a}(0)$ and the bound on (16), we obtain that

(17)
$$2^{n-6} \cdot \left(\deg(c - \gamma) \cdot h(a) - B_{1,\phi} \right) \le \kappa_1 \cdot h(d_n) + \kappa'_{2,\phi} \cdot h(a) + \kappa'_{3,\phi}.$$

The left hand side of (17) is of our desired shape. It remains to bound $h(d_n)$ in terms of h(a), to complete the proof of Lemma 1.1. To do this, note that (7) and (11) together imply that

(18)
$$h(d_n) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} h(\phi_a^i(\gamma(a))) + \sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} h(\phi_a^j(0))$$
$$\leq \sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} h(\sigma_a^i(0)) + \sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} h(\sigma_a^j(\gamma(a))) + n \cdot (\deg(\gamma) \cdot h(a) + A_{1,\phi}).$$

On the other hand, (8) implies that

$$h(\sigma_a^i(0)) \le 2^i \cdot (A_{2,\phi} + \deg(c - \gamma) \cdot h(a))$$

and

$$h(\sigma_a^j(\gamma(a))) \le 2^j \cdot (h(\gamma(a)) + A_{2,\phi} + \deg(c - \gamma) \cdot h(a)).$$

However, there exists a positive constant $A_{3,\phi}$, such that $h(\gamma(a)) \leq \deg(\gamma) \cdot h(a) + A_{3,\phi}$. Hence,

(19)

$$h(d_n) \leq 2^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor + 2} \cdot \left(A_{2,\phi} + \deg(c - \gamma) \cdot h(a) \right) + 2^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor + 1} \cdot \left(\deg(\gamma) \cdot h(a) + A_{3,\phi} \right) + n \cdot \left(\deg(\gamma) \cdot h(a) + A_{1,\phi} \right).$$

Combining (17) and (19), we see that

$$2^{n-6} \leq \left(\frac{\kappa_{1} \cdot \deg(c - \gamma) \cdot h(a) + \kappa_{1} \cdot A_{2,\phi}}{\deg(c - \gamma) \cdot h(a) - B_{1,\phi}}\right) \cdot 2^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor + 2}$$

$$+ \left(\frac{\kappa_{1} \cdot \deg(\gamma) \cdot h(a) + \kappa_{1} \cdot A_{3,\phi}}{\deg(c - \gamma) \cdot h(a) - B_{1,\phi}}\right) \cdot 2^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor + 1}$$

$$+ \left(\frac{\kappa_{1} \cdot \deg(\gamma) \cdot h(a) + \kappa_{1} \cdot A_{1,\phi}}{\deg(c - \gamma) \cdot h(a) - B_{1,\phi}}\right) \cdot n + \left(\frac{\kappa'_{2,\phi} \cdot h(a) + \kappa'_{3,\phi}}{\deg(c - \gamma) \cdot h(a) - B_{1,\phi}}\right).$$

However, as a real valued function, any linear fractional transformation $\rho(x) = \frac{ax+b}{cx+d}$ is bounded away from its poles. Hence, if we view h(a) as the variable x, we see that

(21)
$$2^{n-6} \le M_{1,\phi} \cdot 2^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor + 2} + M_{2,\phi} \cdot 2^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor + 1} + M_{3,\phi} \cdot n + M_{4,\phi},$$

since $deg(c-\gamma) \cdot h(a) - B_{1,\phi} > 0$. In particular, if $M_{\phi} := max\{M_{i,\phi}\}$, then

(22)
$$n \le \max\{6, 2 \cdot \log_2(M_\phi) + 19\}.$$

Therefore, we have bounded n independently of a. This completes the proof of Lemma 1.1.

With the lemma in place, we return to the proof of Theorem 1. Let $P_{\phi}(t)$ be the polynomial whose roots cut out conditions (1) and (2) of Lemma 1.1, that is,

$$(23) P_{\phi}(t) := \phi(\gamma(t)) \cdot \phi^{2}(\gamma(t)) \cdot \left(c(t) - \gamma(t)\right) \cdot \left(c(t) - \gamma(t) + 1\right) \cdot \left(c(t) - \gamma(t) + 2\right).$$

From here, we can define the finite set of exceptional specializations:

(24)
$$F_{\phi} := \left\{ a \in \mathbb{Z} \mid P_{\phi}(a) = 0 \text{ or } h(a) \le \frac{B_{1,\phi}}{\deg(c - \gamma)} \right\}.$$

Remark 1.2. The set F_{ϕ} can be explicitly computed by using an effective version of the Nullstellensatz to compute $B_{1,\phi}$; see the proof of the lower bound in [14, Proposition 3.11]. However, since ϕ is relatively simple, it is likely that F_{ϕ} may be computed without the Nullstellensatz.

We now set $n_{\phi} := 1 + \max\{2, \ 2 \cdot \log_2(M_{\phi}) + 19\}$ and suppose that $G_{n_{\phi}}(\phi_a) \cong \operatorname{Aut}(T_{n_{\phi}})$ and that $a \notin F_{\phi}$. To prove that $G_{\infty}(\phi_a) \cong \operatorname{Aut}(T_{\infty})$, we first show that ϕ_a is stable.

If ϕ_a is not stable, then [9, Proposition 4.2] implies that $\phi_a^n(\gamma(a))$ is a square for some $n \geq 1$. However, by Lemma 1.1, such an n must be less than n_{ϕ} . In particular, $G_{n-1}(\phi_a) \cong \operatorname{Aut}(T_{n-1})$, as the Galois group of the larger iterate $\phi_a^{n_{\phi}}$ is maximal. Moreover, since the full automorphism group of the preimage tree acts transitively on the roots of ϕ_a^{n-1} , we conclude that ϕ_a^{n-1} must be irreducible.

On the other hand, [3, Lemma 1] implies that $K_n(\phi_a)/K_{n-1}(\phi_a)$ is not maximal, since $\phi_a^n(\gamma(a))$ is a square in $K_{n-1}(\phi_a)$; in fact, it is already a square over the rational numbers. Therefore, $G_n(\phi_a) \ncong \operatorname{Aut}(T_n)$, contradicting our assumption that $G_{n_{\phi}}(\phi_a) \cong \operatorname{Aut}(T_{n_{\phi}})$. We conclude that ϕ_a is stable.

Now, let m be any integer and suppose that the subextension $K_m(\phi_a)/K_{m-1}(\phi_a)$ is not maximal. In particular, $m > n_\phi$ since $G_{n_\phi}(\phi_a) \cong \operatorname{Aut}(T_{n_\phi})$. However, we have shown that ϕ_a is stable, hence $\phi_a^m(\gamma(a)) \in \left(K_{m-1}(\phi_a)\right)^2$; see [3, Lemma 1]. Hence, if we write $\phi_a^m(\gamma(a)) = 2^{e_m} \cdot d_m \cdot y_m^2$ for some $y_m, d_m \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that d_m is a unit or an odd square-free integer, then the primes dividing d_m must ramify in $K_{m-1}(\phi_a)$.

On the other hand, by [11, Corollary 2, p.159], we see that the primes which ramify in $K_{m-1}(\phi_a)$ must divide the discriminant of ϕ_a^{m-1} . Let Δ_n be the discriminant of ϕ_a^n . Then we have the following formula, given in [9, Lemma 2.6]:

(25)
$$\Delta_n = \pm \Delta_{n-1}^2 \cdot 2^{2^n} \cdot \phi_a^n(\gamma(a)).$$

In particular, if d_m is not a unit, then $d_m = \prod p_i$ for some odd primes $p_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $p_i | \phi^{m_i}(\gamma)$ and $1 \leq m_i \leq m-1$. However, since $m > n_{\phi}$, Lemma 1.1 implies that there exists a prime divisor of d_m which is coprime to all lower iterates,

a contradiction. Hence, $K_m(\phi_a)/K_{m-1}(\phi_a)$ is maximal for all m. It follows that $G_{\infty}(\phi_a) \cong \operatorname{Aut}(T_{\infty})$, completing the first statement of Theorem 1.

Similarly, if $a \notin F_{\phi}$ and ϕ_a is stable, then Lemma 1.1 implies that the subextensions $K_m(\phi_a)/K_{m-1}(\phi_a)$ are maximal for all $m > n_{\phi}$. Hence $G_{\infty}(\phi_a)$ is a finite index subgroup of $\operatorname{Aut}(T_{\infty})$, and

$$\begin{split} \left[\operatorname{Aut}(T_{\infty}) : G_{\infty}(\phi_a) \right] &= \left[\operatorname{Aut}(T_{n_{\phi}}) : G_{n_{\phi}}(\phi_a) \right] \\ &= \frac{2^{2^{n_{\phi}} - 1}}{\left[K_{n_{\phi}}(\phi_a) : \mathbb{Q} \right]} \le \frac{2^{2^{n_{\phi}} - 1}}{2^{n_{\phi}}} = 2^{2^{n_{\phi}} - n_{\phi} - 1}, \end{split}$$

since $\phi_a^{n_\phi}$ is an irreducible polynomial of degree 2^{n_ϕ} over the rational numbers. In particular, the index bound does not depend on a, which completes the proof of the theorem.

If we fix ϕ , it is natural to ask to what extent the corresponding n_{ϕ} is computable (at least conjecturally). However, since the constants appearing in Conjecture 1 are not explicit, we cannot make the proof of Theorem 1 effective. Nonetheless, it is possible to use additional techniques in the theory of rational points on curves to classify the Galois behavior of small iterates and produce a conjectural n_{ϕ} . For instance, we make the following conjecture when $\phi(x) = x^2 + t$.

Conjecture 2. If $\phi_a(x) = x^2 + a$, then for all $a \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$G_3(\phi_a) \cong \operatorname{Aut}(T_3)$$
 implies that $G_\infty(\phi_a) \cong \operatorname{Aut}(T_\infty)$.

In particular, if $a \neq 3$, then $G_2(\phi_a) \cong \operatorname{Aut}(T_2)$ implies that $G_\infty(\phi_c) \cong \operatorname{Aut}(T_\infty)$.

Remark 1.3. The evidence for Conjecture 2 comes from [4, Theorem 1.1]. There we prove that $G_3(\phi_a) \cong \operatorname{Aut}(T_3)$ implies $G_4(\phi_a) \cong \operatorname{Aut}(T_4)$. Furthermore, we show that if $a \neq 3$ and $G_2(\phi_a) \cong \operatorname{Aut}(T_2)$, then $G_4(\phi_a) \cong \operatorname{Aut}(T_4)$.

We close with the proof of Corollary 1.

Proof (Corollary). If ϕ is not isotrivial and $G_{m_{\phi}}(\phi) \cong \operatorname{Aut}(T_{m_{\phi}})$, then it follows from [3, Theorem 1] that $G_{\infty}(\phi) \cong \operatorname{Aut}(T_{\infty})$. In particular, $\phi(\gamma) \cdot \phi^2(\gamma) \neq 0$ and there exists a finite set F_{ϕ} and an integer n_{ϕ} such that

(26)
$$G_{n_{\phi}}(\phi_a) \cong \operatorname{Aut}(T_{n_{\phi}}) \text{ implies that } G_{\infty}(\phi_a) \cong \operatorname{Aut}(T_{\infty}),$$

for all $a \notin F_{\phi}$; see Theorem 1 above. On the other hand, since $G_{\infty}(\phi) \cong \operatorname{Aut}(T_{\infty})$, we conclude that $G_{n_{\phi}}(\phi) \cong \operatorname{Aut}(T_{n_{\phi}})$ and Hilbert's irreducibility theorem implies that the set

(27)
$$Z_{\phi} := \left\{ a \in \mathbb{Z} \mid G_{n_{\phi}}(\phi_a) \not\cong \operatorname{Aut}(T_{n_{\phi}}) \right\}$$

is thin; see [12, Theorem 3.4.1]. Hence, the set $E_{\phi} := Z_{\phi} \cap F_{\phi}$ is also thin, and if $a \notin E_{\phi}$, then (26) and (27) imply that $G_{\infty}(\phi_a) \cong \operatorname{Aut}(T_{\infty})$. In particular, the density $\delta(\mathcal{P}_{\phi_a}(b))$ of prime divisors of $\mathcal{O}_{\phi_a}(b)$ is zero; see [8, Theorem 4.1]. This completes the proof of Corollary 1.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

It is a pleasure to thank Joe Silverman for the many discussions related to the work in this paper. The author also thanks Michael Stoll for relaying the question of Odoni regarding the existence of the thin set E_{ϕ} for the family $\phi_a(x) = x^2 + a$ (see Corollary 1).

1938

References

- Nigel Boston and Rafe Jones, The image of an arboreal Galois representation, Pure Appl. Math. Q. 5 (2009), no. 1, 213–225, DOI 10.4310/PAMQ.2009.v5.n1.a6. MR2520459 (2010e:11050)
- [2] C. Gratton, K. Nguyen, and T. J. Tucker, ABC implies primitive prime divisors in arithmetic dynamics, Bull. Lond. Math. Soc. 45 (2013), no. 6, 1194–1208, DOI 10.1112/blms/bdt049. MR3138487
- [3] Wade Hindes, Galois uniformity in quadratic dynamics over k(t), J. Number Theory 148 (2015), 372–383, DOI 10.1016/j.jnt.2014.09.033. MR3283185
- [4] W. Hindes, The arithmetic of curves defined by iteration. Acta Arith., in press, arXiv:1305.0222, (2014).
- [5] Su-Ion Ih, Height uniformity for algebraic points on curves, Compositio Math. 134 (2002),
 no. 1, 35–57, DOI 10.1023/A:1020246809487. MR1931961 (2004g:11052)
- [6] Patrick Ingram, Lower bounds on the canonical height associated to the morphism $\phi(z) = z^d + c$, Monatsh. Math. **157** (2009), no. 1, 69–89, DOI 10.1007/s00605-008-0018-6. MR2504779 (2010a:11122)
- [7] Rafe Jones, An iterative construction of irreducible polynomials reducible modulo every prime,
 J. Algebra 369 (2012), 114–128, DOI 10.1016/j.jalgebra.2012.05.020. MR2959789
- [8] Rafe Jones, Galois representations from pre-image trees: an arboreal survey (English, with English and French summaries), Actes de la Conférence "Théorie des Nombres et Applications", Publ. Math. Besançon Algèbre Théorie Nr., Presses Univ. Franche-Comté, Besançon, 2013, pp. 107–136. MR3220023
- [9] Rafe Jones, The density of prime divisors in the arithmetic dynamics of quadratic polynomials, J. Lond. Math. Soc. (2) 78 (2008), no. 2, 523-544, DOI 10.1112/jlms/jdn034. MR2439638 (2010b:37239)
- [10] Michal Křížek, Florian Luca, and Lawrence Somer, 17 lectures on Fermat numbers. From number theory to geometry; With a foreword by Alena Šolcová, CMS Books in Mathematics/Ouvrages de Mathématiques de la SMC, 9, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2001. MR1866957 (2002i:11001)
- [11] Władysław Narkiewicz, Elementary and analytic theory of algebraic numbers, 3rd ed., Springer Monographs in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2004. MR2078267 (2005c:11131)
- [12] Jean-Pierre Serre, Topics in Galois theory, Research Notes in Mathematics. Lecture notes prepared by Henri Damon [Henri Darmon]; With a foreword by Darmon and the author, vol. 1, Jones and Bartlett Publishers, Boston, MA, 1992. MR1162313 (94d:12006)
- [13] Joseph H. Silverman, Primitive divisors, dynamical Zsigmondy sets, and Vojta's conjecture, J. Number Theory 133 (2013), no. 9, 2948–2963, DOI 10.1016/j.jnt.2013.03.005. MR3057058
- [14] Joseph H. Silverman, The arithmetic of dynamical systems, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 241, Springer, New York, 2007. MR2316407 (2008c:11002)
- [15] Joseph H. Silverman, The arithmetic of elliptic curves, 2nd ed., Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 106, Springer, Dordrecht, 2009. MR2514094 (2010i:11005)
- [16] Michael Stoll, Rational points on curves (English, with English and French summaries), J. Théor. Nombres Bordeaux 23 (2011), no. 1, 257–277. MR2780629 (2012d:14037)
- [17] Adrian Vasiu, Surjectivity criteria for p-adic representations. I, Manuscripta Math. 112 (2003), no. 3, 325–355, DOI 10.1007/s00229-003-0402-4. MR2067042 (2005e:11157)

Department of Mathematics, Brown University, Providence, Rhode Island 02912