

## STRICT $S$ -NUMBERS OF THE VOLTERRA OPERATOR

ÖZLEM BAKŞI, TAQSEER KHAN, JAN LANG, AND VÍT MUSIL

(Communicated by Thomas Schlumprecht)

ABSTRACT. For Volterra operator  $V: L^1(0,1) \rightarrow C[0,1]$  and summation operator  $\sigma: \ell^1 \rightarrow c$ , we obtain exact values of Approximation, Gelfand, Kolmogorov and Isomorphism numbers.

### 1. INTRODUCTION AND MAIN RESULTS

Compact operators and their sub-classes (nuclear operators, Hilbert-Schmidt operators, etc.) play a crucial role in many different areas of Mathematics. These operators are studied extensively but somehow less attention is devoted to operators which are non-compact but close to the class of compact operators. In this work we will focus on two such operators.

First, consider the Volterra operator  $V$ , given by

$$(1.1) \quad Vf(t) = \int_0^t f(s) ds, \quad (0 \leq t \leq 1), \quad \text{for } f \in L^1(0,1).$$

When  $V$  is regarded as an operator from  $L^p$  into  $L^q$ , ( $1 < p, q < \infty$ ), it is a compact operator, however in the limiting case, when  $V$  maps  $L^1$  into the space  $C$  of continuous functions on the closed unit interval, the operator is bounded, with the operator norm  $\|V\| = 1$ , but non-compact. It is worth mentioning that, despite being non-compact or even weakly non-compact, this operator possesses some good properties as being strictly singular (follows from [2], or see [11]). This makes Volterra operator, in the above-mentioned limiting case, an interesting example of a non-compact operator “close” to the class of compact operators. The focus of our paper will be on obtaining exact values of strict  $s$ -numbers for this operator.

Volterra operator was already extensively studied. Let us briefly recall those results related to our work. The first credit goes to V. I. Levin [12], who computed explicitly the norm of  $V$  between two  $L^p$  spaces ( $1 < p < \infty$ ) and described the extremal function which is connected with the function  $\sin_p$ . Later on, E. Schmidt in [18] extended this result for  $V: L^p \rightarrow L^q$ , where  $1 < p, q < \infty$ . This operator was also studied in the context of Approximation theory [3, 10, 16, 17, 19, 20]. Later a weighted version of this operator was studied in connection with Brownian motion [13], Spectral theory [5, 6] and Approximation theory [9].

---

Received by the editors February 27, 2017 and, in revised form, March 31, 2017.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 47B06; Secondary 47G10.

*Key words and phrases*. Integral operator, summation operator,  $s$ -numbers.

This research was partly supported by the United States-India Educational Foundation (USIEF), by the grant P201-13-14743S of the Grant Agency of the Czech Republic and by the grant SVV-2017-260455.

Recently, sharp estimates for Bernstein numbers of  $V$  in the limiting case were obtained in [11, Theorem 2.2], more specifically,

$$(1.2) \quad b_n(V) = \frac{1}{2n-1} \quad \text{for } n \in \mathbb{N},$$

and also the estimates for the essential norm can be found in the recent preprint [1].

In our paper, we will compute exact values of all the remaining strict  $s$ -numbers, i.e., Approximation, Gelfand, Kolmogorov and Isomorphism numbers denoted by  $a_n$ ,  $c_n$ ,  $d_n$  and  $i_n$  respectively (for the exact definitions see Section 2). Our main result reads as follows.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $V: L^1(0, 1) \rightarrow C[0, 1]$  be defined as in (1.1). Then*

$$(1.3) \quad a_n(V) = c_n(V) = d_n(V) = \frac{1}{2} \quad \text{for } n \geq 2$$

and

$$(1.4) \quad i_n(V) = \frac{1}{2n-1} \quad \text{for } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

If we also include the result (1.2) concerning the Bernstein numbers, we see that all the strict  $s$ -numbers of  $V$  split between two groups. The upper half (1.3) remains bounded from below while the lower half (1.4) converges to zero. This phenomenon for this operator was already observed; for instance compare [3] and [2], and for the weighted version see [8] and [7].

The similar results continue to hold for the sequence spaces and for the discrete analogue of  $V$ , namely for the operator  $\sigma: \ell^1 \rightarrow c$ , defined as

$$(1.5) \quad \sigma(\mathbf{x})_k = \sum_{j=1}^k x_j, \quad (k \in \mathbb{N}), \quad \text{for } \mathbf{x} \in \ell^1,$$

where we denoted  $\mathbf{x} = \{x_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$  for brevity. The operator is well defined and bounded with the operator norm  $\|\sigma\| = 1$ . It is shown in [11, Theorem 3.2] that

$$b_n(\sigma) = \frac{1}{2n-1} \quad \text{for } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

We have the next result.

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let  $\sigma: \ell^1 \rightarrow c$  be the operator from (1.5). Then*

$$a_n(\sigma) = c_n(\sigma) = d_n(\sigma) = \frac{1}{2} \quad \text{for } n \geq 2$$

and

$$i_n(\sigma) = \frac{1}{2n-1} \quad \text{for } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

The proofs are provided at the end of Section 3.

## 2. BACKGROUND MATERIAL

We shall fix the notation in this section, although we mostly work with standard notions from functional analysis.

**2.1. Normed linear spaces.** For normed linear spaces  $X$  and  $Y$ , we denote by  $B(X, Y)$  the set of all bounded linear operators acting between  $X$  and  $Y$ . For any  $T \in B(X, Y)$ , we use just  $\|T\|$  for its operator norm, since the domain and target spaces are always clear from the context. By  $B_X$ , we mean the closed unit ball of  $X$  and, similarly,  $S_X$  stands for the unit sphere of  $X$ . It is well known that  $B_X$  is compact if and only if  $X$  is finite-dimensional.

Let  $Z$  be a closed subspace of the normed space  $X$ . The quotient space  $X/Z$  is the collection of the sets  $[x] = x + Z = \{x + z; z \in Z\}$  equipped with the norm

$$\|[x]\|_{X/Z} = \inf\{\|x - z\|_X; z \in Z\}.$$

We sometimes adopt the notation  $\|x\|_{X/Z}$  when no confusion is likely to happen. Recall the notion of canonical map  $Q_Z: X \rightarrow X/Z$ , given by  $Q_Z(x) = [x]$ . A normed linear space  $X$  is said to have the lifting property if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  and for each linear operator  $T$  mapping  $X$  into a quotient space  $Y/N$  of an arbitrary normed linear space  $Y$ , there is a lifting  $\widehat{T}$  of  $T$  from  $X$  into  $Y$  with  $\|\widehat{T}\| \leq (1 + \varepsilon)\|T\|$ .

By the Lebesgue space  $L^1$ , we mean the set of all real-valued, Lebesgue integrable functions on  $(0, 1)$  identified almost everywhere and equipped with the norm

$$\|f\|_1 = \int_0^1 |f(s)| \, ds.$$

The space of real-valued, continuous functions on  $[0, 1]$ , denoted by  $C$ , enjoys the norm

$$\|f\|_\infty = \sup_{0 \leq t \leq 1} |f(t)|.$$

The discrete counterpart to  $L^1$  is the space of all summable sequences,  $\ell^1$ , where

$$\|\mathbf{x}\|_1 = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |x_j|$$

and, similarly to the space  $C$ , we denote by  $c$  the space of all convergent sequences endowed with the norm

$$\|\mathbf{x}\|_\infty = \sup_{j \in \mathbb{N}} |x_j|.$$

Here and in the latter, we use the abbreviation  $\mathbf{x} = \{x_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$  for the sequences and we write them in bold font. Note that we also consider only real-valued sequence spaces.

All the above-mentioned spaces are complete, i.e., they form Banach spaces. Furthermore the spaces  $L^1$  and  $\ell^1$  have the lifting property (see [17, p. 36]).

**2.2.  $s$ -numbers.** Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be Banach spaces. To every operator  $T \in B(X, Y)$ , one can attach a sequence of non-negative numbers  $s_n(T)$  satisfying for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  the following conditions:

- (S1)  $\|T\| = s_1(T) \geq s_2(T) \geq \dots \geq 0$ ,
- (S2)  $s_n(T + S) \leq s_n(T) + \|S\|$  for every  $S \in B(X, Y)$ ,
- (S3)  $s_n(B \circ T \circ A) \leq \|B\|s_n(T)\|A\|$  for every  $A \in B(X_1, X)$  and  $B \in B(Y, Y_1)$ ,
- (S4)  $s_n(\text{Id}: \ell_n^2 \rightarrow \ell_n^2) = 1$ ,
- (S5)  $s_n(T) = 0$  whenever  $\text{rank } T < n$ .

The number  $s_n(T)$  is then called the  $n$ -th  $s$ -number of the operator  $T$ . When (S4) is replaced by a stronger condition

(S6)  $s_n(\text{Id}: E \rightarrow E) = 1$  for every Banach space  $E$ ,  $\dim E = n$ , we say that  $s_n(T)$  is the  $n$ -th strict  $s$ -number of  $T$ .

Note that the original definition of  $s$ -numbers, which was introduced by Pietsch in [14], uses the condition (S6) which was later modified to accommodate a wider class of  $s$ -numbers (like Weyl, Chang and Hilbert numbers). For a detailed account of  $s$ -numbers, one is referred for instance to [15], [4] or [9].

We shall briefly recall some particular strict  $s$ -numbers. Let  $T \in B(X, Y)$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then the  $n$ -th Approximation, Gelfand, Kolmogorov, Isomorphism and Bernstein numbers of  $T$  are defined by

$$\begin{aligned} a_n(T) &= \inf_{\substack{F \in B(X, Y) \\ \text{rank } F < n}} \|T - F\|, \\ c_n(T) &= \inf_{\substack{M \subseteq X \\ \text{codim } M < n}} \sup_{x \in B_M} \|Tx\|_Y, \\ d_n(T) &= \inf_{\substack{N \subseteq Y \\ \text{dim } N < n}} \sup_{x \in B_X} \|Tx\|_{Y/N}, \\ i_n(T) &= \sup \|A\|^{-1} \|B\|^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

where the supremum is taken over all Banach spaces  $E$  with  $\dim E \geq n$  and  $A \in B(Y, E)$ ,  $B \in B(E, X)$  such that  $A \circ T \circ B$  is the identity map on  $E$  and

$$b_n(T) = \sup_{\substack{M \subseteq X \\ \text{dim } M \geq n}} \inf_{x \in S_M} \|Tx\|_Y,$$

respectively.

Let us briefly recall some general inequalities between the above-introduced quantities. It is known that Approximation numbers are the largest  $s$ -numbers while the Isomorphism numbers are the smallest among all strict  $s$ -numbers. Next, for any  $T \in B(X, Y)$  and any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  we have that

$$i_n(T) \leq b_n(T) \leq \max\{c_n(T), d_n(T)\} \leq a_n(T).$$

Moreover, if  $X$  has lifting property, then  $a_n(T) = d_n(T)$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  (see [15] and [9]).

### 3. PROOFS

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then we have the following lower bounds of the Isomorphism numbers of  $V$  and  $\sigma$ :*

(i)

$$i_n(V) \geq \frac{1}{2n - 1};$$

(ii)

$$i_n(\sigma) \geq \frac{1}{2n - 1}.$$

*Proof.* (i) Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  be fixed. We shall construct a pair of maps  $A$  and  $B$  such that the chain

$$\ell_{w,n}^1 \xrightarrow{B} L^1 \xrightarrow{V} C \xrightarrow{A} \ell_{w,n}^1$$

forms the identity on  $\ell^1_{w,n}$ . Here  $\ell^1_{w,n}$  is the  $n$ -dimensional weighted space  $\ell^1$  with the norm given by

$$\|\mathbf{x}\|_{\ell^1_{w,n}} = \sum_{k=1}^n w_k |x_k|.$$

For the purpose of this proof, we choose  $w_k = 2$  for  $1 \leq k \leq n - 1$  and  $w_n = 1$ .

Now, define  $A: C \rightarrow \ell^1_{w,n}$  by

$$(Af)_k = (2n - 1) f\left(\frac{2k - 1}{2n - 1}\right), \quad (1 \leq k \leq n), \quad \text{for } f \in C.$$

Obviously,  $A$  is bounded with the operator norm

$$\|A\| = (2n - 1)^2.$$

In order to construct the mapping  $B$ , consider the partition of the unit interval into subintervals  $I_1, I_2, \dots, I_{2n-1}$  of the same length, i.e.

$$I_k = \left[ \frac{k - 1}{2n - 1}, \frac{k}{2n - 1} \right] \quad \text{for } 1 \leq k \leq 2n - 1,$$

and define

$$B(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} x_k (\chi_{I_{2k-1}} - \chi_{I_{2k}}) + x_n \chi_{I_{2n-1}}.$$

Clearly  $B(\mathbf{x})$  is integrable for every  $\mathbf{x} \in \ell^1_{w,n}$ ,  $B$  is bounded and the operator norm satisfies

$$\|B\| = \frac{1}{2n - 1}.$$

One can observe that the composition  $A \circ V \circ B$  is the identity mapping on  $\ell^1_{w,n}$  and by the very definition of the  $n$ -th Isomorphism number we have

$$i_n(V) \geq \|A\|^{-1} \|B\|^{-1} = \frac{1}{2n - 1}$$

which completes the proof.

As for the discrete case (ii), we consider the chain

$$\ell_n^\infty \xrightarrow{B} \ell^1 \xrightarrow{\sigma} c \xrightarrow{A} \ell_n^\infty$$

where  $\ell_n^\infty$  stands for the  $n$ -dimensional space  $\ell^\infty$ ,  $A$  is given by

$$A(\mathbf{x})_k = x_{2k-1}, \quad (1 \leq k \leq n), \quad \text{for } \mathbf{x} \in c$$

and  $B$  satisfies

$$B(\mathbf{y}) = (y_1, -y_1, \dots, y_{n-1}, -y_{n-1}, y_n, 0, 0, \dots) \quad \text{for } \mathbf{y} \in \ell_n^\infty.$$

Both  $A$  and  $B$  are bounded, the composition  $A \circ \sigma \circ B$  forms the identity on  $\ell_n^\infty$  and thus

$$i_n(\sigma) \geq \|A\|^{-1} \|B\|^{-1} = \frac{1}{2n - 1},$$

since  $\|A\| = 1$  and  $\|B\| = 2n - 1$ . □

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let  $n \geq 2$ ; then the estimates of Gelfand numbers of  $V$  and  $\sigma$  read as*

(i)

$$c_n(V) \geq \frac{1}{2};$$

(ii)

$$c_n(\sigma) \geq \frac{1}{2}.$$

*Proof.* (i) Let  $n \geq 2$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$  be fixed. By the definition of the  $n$ -th Gelfand number, we can find a subspace  $M$  in  $L^1$  having  $\text{codim } M < n$  and satisfying

$$(3.1) \quad c_n(V) + \varepsilon \geq \sup_{f \in B_M} \|Vf\|_\infty.$$

The proof will be finished once we show that the supremum in (3.1) is at least one half.

Let us define the trial functions

$$(3.2) \quad f_k = 2^{k+1} \chi_{(2^{-k-1}, 2^{-k})}, \quad (k \in \mathbb{N}).$$

There is  $\|f_k\|_1 = 1$  for every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\|f_k - f_l\|_1 = 2$  and  $\|Vf_k - Vf_l\|_\infty = 1$  for distinct  $k$  and  $l$ . Note that the quotient space  $L^1/M$  is of finite dimension thus, the projected sequence  $\{[f_k]\}$  is bounded and hence there is a Cauchy subsequence, which we denote  $\{[f_k]\}$  again. Now, let  $\eta > 0$  be fixed. We have

$$(3.3) \quad \|f_k - f_l\|_{L^1/M} < \eta$$

for  $k$  and  $l$  sufficiently large. Let us denote  $f = \frac{1}{2}(f_k - f_l)$  for these  $k$  and  $l$ . Thanks to (3.3) and the definition of quotient norm, one can find a function  $g \in M$  such that

$$\|f - g\|_1 \leq \eta.$$

On setting

$$h = \frac{g}{1 + \eta},$$

we have

$$\|h\|_1 \leq \frac{1}{1 + \eta} (\|f\|_1 + \|f - g\|_1) \leq 1,$$

whence  $h \in B_M$ . Next

$$\begin{aligned} \|Vh\|_\infty &\geq \frac{1}{1 + \eta} (\|Vf\|_\infty - \|V(f - g)\|_\infty) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{1 + \eta} \left( \frac{1}{2} - \|V\| \|f - g\|_1 \right) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{1 + \eta} \left( \frac{1}{2} - \eta \right), \end{aligned}$$

thus

$$\sup_{f \in B_M} \|Vf\|_\infty \geq \frac{1}{1 + \eta} \left( \frac{1}{2} - \eta \right)$$

and the lemma follows, since  $\eta > 0$  was arbitrarily chosen.

The proof of the discrete counterpart (ii) is completely analogous, once we consider the canonical vectors  $e^k$  instead of  $f_k$ , hence we omit it. □

**Lemma 3.3.** *Let  $n \geq 2$ . Then we have the following upper bounds of the Approximation numbers of  $V$  and  $\sigma$ :*

(i)

$$a_n(V) \leq \frac{1}{2};$$

(ii)

$$a_n(\sigma) \leq \frac{1}{2}.$$

*Proof.* (i) Consider the one-dimensional operator  $F: L^1 \rightarrow C$  given by

$$Ff(t) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 f(s) \, ds, \quad (0 \leq t \leq 1), \quad \text{for } f \in L^1.$$

Then  $F$  is a sufficient approximation of  $V$ . Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} \|Vf - Ff\|_\infty &= \sup_{0 \leq t \leq 1} \left| \int_0^t f(s) \, ds - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 f(s) \, ds \right| \\ &= \sup_{0 \leq t \leq 1} \left| \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t f(s) \, ds - \frac{1}{2} \int_t^1 f(s) \, ds \right| \\ &\leq \sup_{0 \leq t \leq 1} \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t |f(s)| \, ds + \frac{1}{2} \int_t^1 |f(s)| \, ds \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \|f\|_1 \end{aligned}$$

and therefore

$$a_n(V) \leq \|V - F\| \leq \frac{1}{2}.$$

In order to show (ii), choose the operator

$$\varrho(\mathbf{x})_k = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^\infty x_j, \quad (k \in \mathbb{N}), \quad \text{for } \mathbf{x} \in \ell^1.$$

This is a well-defined one-dimensional operator and, by the calculations similar to above,  $\|\sigma - \varrho\| \leq 1/2$ . The proof is complete.  $\square$

Now, we are at the position to prove the main results.

*Proof of Theorem 1.1.* Let  $n \geq 2$  be fixed. Since  $a_n(V)$  is the largest among all  $s$ -numbers, we immediately obtain the inequality  $a_n(V) \geq c_n(V)$  and using Lemma 3.3 with Lemma 3.2, we get  $\frac{1}{2} \geq a_n(V) \geq c_n(V) \geq \frac{1}{2}$ . Due to the fact that the domain space  $L^1$  has the lifting property, we have that Kolmogorov and Approximation numbers of  $V$  coincide and therefore  $d_n(V) = a_n(V) = \frac{1}{2}$ . This gives (1.3).

Next, let  $n$  be arbitrary. Due to  $i_n(V)$  being the smallest strict  $s$ -number, we have that  $i_n(V) \leq b_n(V)$ . For the lower bound, we use Lemma 3.1, while for the upper, we make use of the result of Lefèvre, [11]. This gives (1.4).  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 1.2.* The proof follows along exactly the same lines as that of Theorem 1.1 and hence omitted.  $\square$

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

We are grateful to the referee for a careful reading of this paper and for a clever remark that shortened the final proof for Kolmogorov numbers.

## REFERENCES

- [1] I. A. Alam, G. Habib, P. Lefèvre, and F. Maalouf, *Essential norms of Volterra and Cesàro operators on Müntz spaces*, arXiv:1612.03218, 2016.
- [2] J. Bourgain and M. Gromov, *Estimates of Bernstein widths of Sobolev spaces*, Geometric aspects of functional analysis (1987–88), Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 1376, Springer, Berlin, 1989, pp. 176–185, DOI 10.1007/BFb0090054. MR1008722
- [3] M. Š. Birman and M. Z. Solomjak, *Piecewise polynomial approximations of functions of classes  $W_p^\alpha$*  (Russian), Mat. Sb. (N.S.) **73 (115)** (1967), 331–355. MR0217487
- [4] Bernd Carl and Irma Stephani, *Entropy, compactness and the approximation of operators*, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, vol. 98, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990. MR1098497
- [5] D. E. Edmunds and W. D. Evans, *Spectral theory and differential operators*, Oxford Mathematical Monographs, The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 1987. Oxford Science Publications. MR929030
- [6] David E. Edmunds and W. Desmond Evans, *Hardy operators, function spaces and embeddings*, Springer Monographs in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2004. MR2091115
- [7] D. E. Edmunds and J. Lang, *Approximation numbers and Kolmogorov widths of Hardy-type operators in a non-homogeneous case*, Math. Nachr. **279** (2006), no. 7, 727–742, DOI 10.1002/mana.200510389. MR2226408
- [8] D. E. Edmunds and J. Lang, *Bernstein widths of Hardy-type operators in a non-homogeneous case*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **325** (2007), no. 2, 1060–1076, DOI 10.1016/j.jmaa.2006.02.025. MR2270069
- [9] Jan Lang and David Edmunds, *Eigenvalues, embeddings and generalised trigonometric functions*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 2016, Springer, Heidelberg, 2011. MR2796520
- [10] A. Kolmogoroff, *Über die beste Annäherung von Funktionen einer gegebenen Funktionenklasse* (German), Ann. of Math. (2) **37** (1936), no. 1, 107–110, DOI 10.2307/1968691. MR1503273
- [11] Pascal Lefèvre, *The Volterra operator is finitely strictly singular from  $L^1$  to  $L^\infty$* , J. Approx. Theory **214** (2017), 1–8, DOI 10.1016/j.jat.2016.11.001. MR3588527
- [12] V. I. Levin, *On a class of integral inequalities*, Recueil Mathématiques **4** (1938), no. 46, 309–331.
- [13] Mikhail A. Lifshits and Werner Linde, *Approximation and entropy numbers of Volterra operators with application to Brownian motion*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **157** (2002), no. 745, viii+87, DOI 10.1090/memo/0745. MR1895252
- [14] Albrecht Pietsch, *s-numbers of operators in Banach spaces*, Studia Math. **51** (1974), 201–223. MR0361883
- [15] Albrecht Pietsch, *History of Banach spaces and linear operators*, Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 2007. MR2300779
- [16] Allan Pinkus, *n-widths in approximation theory*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete (3) [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas (3)], vol. 7, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1985. MR774404
- [17] Allan Pinkus, *n-widths of Sobolev spaces in  $L^p$* , Constr. Approx. **1** (1985), no. 1, 15–62, DOI 10.1007/BF01890021. MR766094
- [18] Erhard Schmidt, *Über die Ungleichung, welche die Integrale über eine Potenz einer Funktion und über eine andere Potenz ihrer Ableitung verbindet* (German), Math. Ann. **117** (1940), 301–326, DOI 10.1007/BF01450021. MR0003430
- [19] V. M. Tihomirov and S. B. Babadžanov, *Diameters of a function class in an  $L_p$ -space ( $p \geq 1$ )* (Russian, with Uzbek summary), Izv. Akad. Nauk UzSSR Ser. Fiz.-Mat. Nauk **11** (1967), no. 2, 24–30. MR0209747
- [20] V. M. Tihomirov, *Diameters of sets in functional spaces and the theory of best approximations*, Russian Math. Surveys **15** (1960), no. 3, 75–111, DOI 10.1070/RM1960v015n03ABEH004093. MR0117489



DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, OHIO STATE UNIVERSITY, COLUMBUS, OHIO 43210-1174 —  
AND — DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, YILDIZ TECHNICAL UNIVERSITY, FACULTY OF ART AND  
SCIENCE, ISTANBUL, TURKEY

*E-mail address:* `baksi@yildiz.edu.tr`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, OHIO STATE UNIVERSITY, COLUMBUS, OHIO 43210-1174 —  
AND — ALIGARH MUSLIM UNIVERSITY, ALIGARH, U.P.-202002, INDIA

*E-mail address:* `taqi.khan91@gmail.com`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, OHIO STATE UNIVERSITY, COLUMBUS, OHIO 43210-1174

*E-mail address:* `lang@math.osu.edu`

*ORCID:* 0000-0003-1582-7273

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, OHIO STATE UNIVERSITY, COLUMBUS, OHIO 43210-1174 —  
AND — DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL ANALYSIS, FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS AND PHYSICS,  
CHARLES UNIVERSITY, SOKOLOVSKÁ 83, 186 75 PRAHA 8, CZECH REPUBLIC

*E-mail address:* `musil@karlin.mff.cuni.cz`

*ORCID:* 0000-0001-6083-227X