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#### Abstract

In this paper we construct a complete injective holomorphic immersion $\mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{2}$ whose image is dense in $\mathbb{C}^{2}$. The analogous result is obtained for any closed complex submanifold $X \subset \mathbb{C}^{n}$ for $n>1$ in place of $\mathbb{C} \subset \mathbb{C}^{2}$. We also show that if $X$ intersects the unit ball $\mathbb{B}^{n}$ of $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ and $K$ is a connected compact subset of $X \cap \mathbb{B}^{n}$, then there is a Runge domain $\Omega \subset X$ containing $K$ which admits a complete injective holomorphic immersion $\Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{B}^{n}$ whose image is dense in $\mathbb{B}^{n}$


## 1. Introduction

A smooth immersion $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ from a smooth manifold $X$ to the Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ is said to be complete if the image of every divergent path in $X$ has infinite length in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$; equivalently, if the metric $f^{*}\left(d s^{2}\right)$ on $X$ induced by the Euclidean metric $d s^{2}$ on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ is complete. An injective immersion will be called an embedding. If $X$ is an open Riemann surface, $n \geq 3$, and $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ is a conformal immersion, then it parametrizes a minimal surface in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ if and only if it is a harmonic map.

A seminal result of Colding and Minicozzi [9, Corollary 0.13] states that a complete embedded minimal surface of finite topology in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ is necessarily proper in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$; this was extended to surfaces of finite genus and countably many ends by Meeks, Pérez, and Ros 18. This is no longer true for complex curves in $\mathbb{C}^{2}$ (a special case of minimal surfaces in $\mathbb{R}^{4}$ ). Indeed, there exist complete embedded complex curves in $\mathbb{C}^{2}$ with arbitrary topology which are bounded and hence non-proper (see [3]; the case of finite topology was previously shown in [4]). Furthermore, every relatively compact domain in $\mathbb{C}$ admits a complete non-proper holomorphic embedding into $\mathbb{C}^{2}$ (see [2, Corollary 4.7]). Since all examples in the cited sources are normalized by open Riemann surfaces of hyperbolic type (i.e., carrying non-constant negative subharmonic functions; see e.g. [10, p. 179]), one is led to wonder whether hyperbolicity plays a role in this context. The purpose of this note is to show that it actually does not. The following is our first main result.

Theorem 1.1. Given a closed complex submanifold $X$ of $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ for some $n>1$, there exists a complete holomorphic embedding $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{n}$ such that $f(X)$ contains any given countable subset of $\mathbb{C}^{n}$. In particular, $f(X)$ can be made dense in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$.

[^0]By dense we shall always mean everywhere dense. Note that if $f(X)$ is dense in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$, then $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{n}$ is non-proper. Taking $n=2$ and $X=\mathbb{C}$ gives the following corollary.

Corollary 1.2. There is a complete embedded complex line $\mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{2}$ with a dense image.

Corollary 1.2 also holds if $\mathbb{C}$ is replaced by any open Riemann surface admitting a proper holomorphic embedding into $\mathbb{C}^{2}$. There are many open parabolic (i.e., non-hyperbolic) Riemann surfaces enjoying this condition; it is however not known whether all open Riemann surfaces do. For a survey of this classical embedding problem we refer to Sections 8.9 and 8.10 in [12] and the paper [15]. Without taking care of injectivity, every open Riemann surface admits complete dense holomorphic immersions into $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ for any $n \geq 2$ and complete dense conformal minimal immersions into $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ for $n \geq 3$ (see [1).

These results provide additional evidence that there is much more room for conformal minimal surfaces (even those given by holomorphic maps) in $\mathbb{R}^{4}=\mathbb{C}^{2}$ than in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$. We point out that it is quite easy to find injective holomorphic immersions $\mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{2}$ which are neither complete nor proper. For example, if $a>0$ is irrational, then the map $\mathbb{C} \ni z \mapsto\left(e^{z}, e^{a z}\right) \in \mathbb{C}^{2}$ is an injective immersion, but the image of the negative real axis is a curve of finite length in $\mathbb{C}^{2}$ terminating at the origin. On the other hand, it is an open problem whether a conformal minimal embedding $\mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{3}$ is necessarily proper; see [11, Conjecture 1.2].

To prove Theorem 1.1, we use an idea from the recent paper by Alarcón, Globevnik, and López [4]. The construction relies on two ingredients. First, in any spherical shell in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ one can find a compact polynomially convex set $L$, consisting of finitely many pairwise disjoint balls contained in affine real hyperplanes, such that any curve traversing this shell and avoiding $L$ has length bigger than a prescribed constant. For a suitable choice of $L$ with this property it is then possible to find a holomorphic automorphism of $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ which pushes a given complex submanifold $X \subset \mathbb{C}^{n}$ off $L$. The construction of such an automorphism uses the main result of the Andersén-Lempert theory. In [4 this construction was used to show that every closed complex submanifold $X \subset \mathbb{C}^{n}$ contains a bounded Runge domain $\Omega$ admitting a proper complete holomorphic embedding into the unit ball of $\mathbb{C}^{n}$. Furthermore, $\Omega$ can be chosen to contain any given compact subset of $X$. Clearly, such $\Omega$ carries non-constant negative plurisubharmonic functions and is Kobayashi hyperbolic, so in general one cannot map all of $X$ into the ball. We choose instead a sequence of automorphisms which converges uniformly on compacts in $X$ to a complete holomorphic embedding $X \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}^{n}$ whose image contains a prescribed countable set of points in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$.

It is natural to ask whether the analogue of Theorem 1.1 holds for more general target manifolds in place of $\mathbb{C}^{n}$. Since our proof relies on the Andersén-Lempert theory which holds on any Stein manifold $Y$ enjoying Varolin's density property (the latter meaning that every holomorphic vector field on $Y$ can be approximated uniformly on compacts by Lie combinations of $\mathbb{C}$-complete holomorphic vector fields; see Varolin [19] or [12, Section 4.10]), the following is a reasonable conjecture.

Conjecture 1.3. Assume that $Y$ is a Stein manifold with the density property. Choose a complete Riemannian metric $\mathfrak{g}$ on $Y$.
(a) If $\operatorname{dim} Y \geq 3$, then there exists a $\mathfrak{g}$-complete holomorphic embedding $\mathbb{C} \rightarrow Y$ with a dense image.
(b) More generally, if $X$ is a Stein manifold, $\operatorname{dim} X<\operatorname{dim} Y$, and there is a proper holomorphic embedding $X \hookrightarrow Y$, then there exists a $\mathfrak{g}$-complete injective holomorphic immersion $X \rightarrow Y$ with a dense image.

It was recently shown in $[7$ that if $X$ and $Y$ are as in assertion (b) above and satisfy $2 \operatorname{dim} X+1 \leq \operatorname{dim} Y$, then there exists a proper (hence complete) holomorphic embedding $X \hookrightarrow Y$. Thus, for such dimensions we are just asking whether proper can be replaced by dense, keeping completeness.

It is known that for any $n>1$ the unit ball $\mathbb{B}^{n}$ of $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ contains complete properly embedded complex hypersurfaces (see [4,6, 16] and the references therein); this settles in an optimal way a problem posed by Yang in 1977 about the existence of complete bounded complex submanifolds of $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ (see [20,21). Moreover, given a discrete subset $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{B}^{2}$ there are complete properly embedded complex curves in $\mathbb{B}^{2}$ containing $\Lambda$ (see [17] for discs and [3] for examples with arbitrary topology). It remained an open problem whether $\mathbb{B}^{n}$ also admits complete densely embedded complex submanifolds. Our second main result gives an affirmative answer to this question.
Theorem 1.4. Let $X$ be a closed complex submanifold of $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ for some $n>1$ such that $X \cap \mathbb{B}^{n} \neq \varnothing$. Given a connected compact subset $K \subset X \cap \mathbb{B}^{n}$, there are a pseudoconvex Runge domain $\Omega \subset X$ containing $K$ and a complete holomorphic embedding $f: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{B}^{n}$ whose image $f(\Omega)$ contains any given countable subset of $\mathbb{B}^{n}$. In particular, $f(\Omega)$ can be made dense in $\mathbb{B}^{n}$.

As above, if $f(\Omega) \subset \mathbb{B}^{n}$ is dense, then the map $f: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{B}^{n}$ is non-proper. Taking $n=2$ and $X=\mathbb{D}:=\{\zeta \in \mathbb{C}:|\zeta|<1\}$ we obtain the following corollary.
Corollary 1.5. There is a complete embedded complex disc $\mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}^{2}$ with a dense image.

More generally, it follows from Theorem 1.4 that in $\mathbb{B}^{2}$ there are complete embedded complex curves with arbitrary finite topology and containing any given countable subset. (See Corollary 3.1.) Without taking care of injectivity, given an arbitrary domain (i.e., a connected open subset) $D$ in $\mathbb{C}^{n}(n \geq 2)$, on each open connected orientable smooth surface there is a complex structure such that the resulting open Riemann surface admits complete dense holomorphic immersions into $D$. Moreover, every bordered Riemann surface carries a complete holomorphic immersion into $D$ with dense image (see [1). The analogous results for conformal minimal immersions into any domain in $\mathbb{R}^{n}(n \geq 3)$ also hold (see [1).

The proof of Theorem 1.4uses arguments similar to those in the proof of Theorem 1.1. but with an additional ingredient to keep the image of the embedding $f$ inside the ball.

Notation. Given a closed complex submanifold $X$ of $\mathbb{C}^{n}(n>1)$, a compact set $K \subset X$, and a map $f=\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{n}\right): X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{n}$, we write $\|f\|_{K}=\sup \{|f(x)|:$ $x \in K\}$ where $|f|^{2}=\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left|f_{j}\right|^{2}$. Denote by $d s^{2}$ the Euclidean metric on $\mathbb{C}^{n}$. Given an immersion $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{n}$, we denote by $\operatorname{dist}_{f}(x, y)$ the distance between points $x, y \in X$ in the metric $f^{*}\left(d s^{2}\right)$ on $X$. If $K \subset L$ are compact subsets of $X$, we set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{dist}_{f}(K, X \backslash L)=\inf \left\{\operatorname{dist}_{f}(x, y): x \in K, y \in X \backslash L\right\} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 2. Proof of Theorem 1.1

Let $X$ be a closed complex submanifold of $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ for some $n>1$ and let $A=\left\{a_{j}\right\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ be any countable subset of $\mathbb{C}^{n}$. Pick a compact $\mathscr{O}(X)$-convex set $K_{0} \subset X$ and a number $0<\epsilon_{0}<1$. Let $f_{0}$ denote the inclusion map $X \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}^{n}$. In order to prove Theorem 1.1] we shall inductively construct the following:
(a) an exhaustion of $X$ by an increasing sequence of compact $\mathscr{O}(X)$-convex sets

$$
K_{1} \subset K_{2}^{\prime} \subset K_{2} \subset K_{3}^{\prime} \subset K_{3} \subset \cdots \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} K_{i}=X
$$

such that $K_{i-1} \subset \stackrel{\circ}{K}_{i}^{\prime}$ and $K_{i}^{\prime} \subset \stackrel{\circ}{K}_{i}$ hold for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$,
(b) a sequence of proper holomorphic embeddings $f_{i}: X \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}^{n}(i \in \mathbb{N})$,
(c) a discrete sequence of points $\left\{b_{i}\right\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \subset X$ with $b_{i} \in K_{i}$ for every $i \in \mathbb{N}$, and
(d) a decreasing sequence of numbers $\epsilon_{i}>0$,
such that the following conditions hold for every $i \in \mathbb{N}$ :
(i) $\left\|f_{i}-f_{i-1}\right\|_{K_{i-1}}<\epsilon_{i-1}$,
(ii) $a_{j}=f_{i}\left(b_{j}\right) \in f_{i}\left(K_{i}\right)$ for $j=1, \ldots, i$ and $f_{i}\left(b_{j}\right)=f_{i-1}\left(b_{j}\right)$ for $j=1, \ldots$, $i-1$,
(iii) $\operatorname{dist}_{f_{i}}\left(K_{i-1}, X \backslash K_{i}^{\prime}\right)>1 / \epsilon_{i-1}$ (see (1.1)),
(iv) $0<\epsilon_{i}<\epsilon_{i-1} / 2$,
(v) if $g: X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{n}$ is a holomorphic map such that $\left\|g-f_{i}\right\|_{K_{i}}<2 \epsilon_{i}$, then $g$ is an injective immersion on $K_{i-1}$ and $\operatorname{dist}_{g}\left(K_{i-1}, X \backslash K_{i}\right)>1 /\left(2 \epsilon_{i-1}\right)$.
Assume for a moment that sequences with these properties exist. Conditions (a) and (iv) ensure that the sequence $f_{i}$ converges uniformly on compacts in $X$ to a holomorphic map $f=\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} f_{i}: X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{n}$. By (i) and (iv) we have for every $i \in \mathbb{N}$ that

$$
\left\|f-f_{i}\right\|_{K_{i}} \leq \sum_{k=i}^{\infty}\left\|f_{k+1}-f_{k}\right\|_{K_{i}}<\sum_{k=i}^{\infty} \epsilon_{k}<2 \epsilon_{i} .
$$

Hence condition (v) implies that $f$ is an injective immersion on $K_{i-1}$ and

$$
\operatorname{dist}_{f}\left(K_{i-1}, X \backslash K_{i}\right)>1 /\left(2 \epsilon_{i-1}\right)
$$

Since this holds for every $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\sum_{i} 1 / \epsilon_{i}=+\infty$, it follows that $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{n}$ is a complete injective immersion. Finally, condition (ii) implies that $f(X)$ contains the set $A=\left\{a_{j}\right\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$. This completes the proof.

Let us now explain the induction. We shall frequently use the well known fact that if $g: X \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}^{n}$ is a proper holomorphic embedding and $K \subset X$ is a compact $\mathscr{O}(X)$-convex set, then the set $g(K) \subset \mathbb{C}^{n}$ is polynomially convex.

Assume that for some $i \in \mathbb{N}$ we have found maps $f_{j}$, sets $K_{j}^{\prime} \subset K_{j}$ and numbers $\epsilon_{j}$ satisfying the stated conditions for $j=0, \ldots, i-1$. The next map $f_{i}$ will be of the form $f_{i}=\Phi \circ f_{i-1}$ for some holomorphic automorphism $\Phi \in \operatorname{Aut}\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}\right)$ which will be found in two steps:

$$
\Phi=\phi \circ \theta \quad \text { with } \quad \phi, \theta \in \operatorname{Aut}\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}\right)
$$

Let $\mathbb{B}=\mathbb{B}^{n}$ be the open unit ball in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$. Choose a number $r>0$ such that

$$
f_{i-1}\left(K_{i-1}\right) \subset r \mathbb{B},
$$

and then pick numbers $R>r^{\prime}$ with $r^{\prime}>r$. In the open spherical shell $S=R \mathbb{B} \backslash r^{\prime} \overline{\mathbb{B}}$ we choose a labyrinth $L=\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} L_{k}$ of the type constructed in [4, Theorem 2.5];
i.e., every set $L_{k}$ is a ball in an affine real hyperplane $\Lambda_{k} \subset \mathbb{C}^{n}$ such that these balls are pairwise disjoint, the set $\widetilde{L}_{k}=\bigcup_{j=1}^{k} L_{j}$ is contained in an open halfspace determined by $\Lambda_{k+1}$ for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$, and any path $\lambda:[0,1) \rightarrow R \mathbb{B} \backslash L$ with $\lambda(0) \in r^{\prime} \overline{\mathbb{B}}$ and $\lim _{t \rightarrow 1}|\lambda(t)|=R$ has infinite Euclidean length. (Alternatively, we may use a labyrinth of the type constructed by Globevnik in [16, Corollary 2.2].) It follows that $\widetilde{L}_{k} \cap r^{\prime} \overline{\mathbb{B}}=\varnothing$ and $\widetilde{L}_{k} \cup r^{\prime} \overline{\mathbb{B}}$ is polynomially convex for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Fix $k_{0} \in \mathbb{N}$ big enough such that every path $\lambda:[0,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{n} \backslash \widetilde{L}_{k_{0}}$ with $\lambda(0) \in r^{\prime} \overline{\mathbb{B}}$ and $\lambda(1) \in \mathbb{C}^{n} \backslash R \mathbb{B}$ has length bigger than $1 / \epsilon_{i-1}$. Choose a holomorphic automorphism $\theta \in \operatorname{Aut}\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}\right)$ satisfying the following conditions:
(I) $\left|\theta\left(f_{i-1}(x)\right)-f_{i-1}(x)\right|<\min \left\{\epsilon_{i-1} / 2, r^{\prime}-r\right\}$ for all $x \in K_{i-1}$,
(II) $\theta\left(a_{j}\right)=a_{j}$ for $j=1, \ldots, i-1$ (note that $a_{j}=f_{i-1}\left(b_{j}\right) \in f_{i-1}\left(K_{i-1}\right)$ for $j=1, \ldots, i-1)$,
(III) $a_{i} \notin \theta\left(f_{i-1}(X)\right)$, and
(IV) $\theta\left(f_{i-1}(X)\right) \cap \widetilde{L}_{k_{0}}=\varnothing$.

Such $\theta$ is found by an application of the Andersén-Lempert theory as explained in [4. Proofs of Lemma 3.1 and Theorem 1.6], using the fact that the set $f_{i-1}\left(K_{i-1}\right) \cup$ $\widetilde{L}_{k_{0}}$ is polynomially convex (since $f_{i-1}\left(K_{i-1}\right) \subset r \overline{\mathbb{B}}$ and $r \overline{\mathbb{B}} \cup \widetilde{L}_{k_{0}}$ is polynomially convex). The explicit result used in their proof is [14, Theorem 2.1], which is also available in [12, Theorem 4.12.1].

Consider the proper holomorphic embedding $g_{i}=\theta \circ f_{i-1}: X \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}^{n}$. The compact set

$$
K_{i}^{\prime}=\left\{x \in X:\left|g_{i}(x)\right| \leq R+1\right\}
$$

is $\mathscr{O}(X)$-convex and contains $K_{i-1}$ in its interior. By condition (I) we have $g_{i}\left(K_{i-1}\right)$ $\subset r^{\prime} \mathbb{B}$, and hence condition (IV) and the choice of $k_{0}$ imply that

$$
\operatorname{dist}_{g_{i}}\left(K_{i-1}, X \backslash K_{i}^{\prime}\right)>1 / \epsilon_{i-1}
$$

Choose a point $b_{i} \in X \backslash K_{i}^{\prime}$. The set $K_{i}^{\prime} \cup\left\{b_{i}\right\}$ is then $\mathscr{O}(X)$-convex, and hence its image $g_{i}\left(K_{i}^{\prime}\right) \cup\left\{g_{i}\left(b_{i}\right)\right\} \subset g_{i}(X) \subset \mathbb{C}^{n}$ is polynomially convex. By the AndersénLempert theorem (see [14, Theorem 2.1] or [12, Theorem 4.12.1]) we can find an automorphism $\phi \in \operatorname{Aut}\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}\right)$ which approximates the identity map as closely as desired on $g_{i}\left(K_{i}^{\prime}\right)$, fixes each of the points $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{i-1} \in g_{i}\left(K_{i-1}\right)$, and satisfies $\phi\left(g_{i}\left(b_{i}\right)\right)=a_{i}$. If the approximation is close enough, then the proper holomorphic embedding

$$
f_{i}=\phi \circ g_{i}=\phi \circ \theta \circ f_{i-1}: X \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}^{n}
$$

satisfies conditions (i), (ii) and (iii) for the index $i$. Indeed, (i) and (ii) are obvious, and (iii) follows by observing that

$$
f_{i}\left(K_{i-1}\right) \subset r^{\prime} \mathbb{B}, \quad f_{i}\left(b K_{i}^{\prime}\right) \subset \mathbb{C}^{n} \backslash R \overline{\mathbb{B}}, \quad \text { and } \quad f_{i}\left(K_{i}^{\prime}\right) \cap \widetilde{L}_{k_{0}}=\varnothing
$$

provided that $\phi$ approximates the identity sufficiently closely on $g_{i}\left(K_{i}^{\prime}\right)$. Thus, any path in $X$ starting in $K_{i-1}$ and ending in $X \backslash K_{i}^{\prime}$ is mapped by $f_{i}$ to a path in $\mathbb{C}^{n} \backslash \widetilde{L}_{k_{0}}$ starting in $r^{\prime} \mathbb{B}$ and ending in $\mathbb{C}^{n} \backslash R \overline{\mathbb{B}}$. Hence its length is bigger than $1 / \epsilon_{i-1}$ by the choice of $\widetilde{L}_{k_{0}}$.

We now choose a compact $\mathscr{O}(X)$-convex set $K_{i} \subset X$ containing $K_{i}^{\prime} \cup\left\{b_{i}\right\}$ in its interior. Furthermore, $K_{i}$ can be chosen as big as desired, thereby ensuring that the sequence of these sets will exhaust $X$. By choosing $\epsilon_{i}>0$ small enough we obtain conditions (iv) and (v). Indeed, since the sets $K_{i-1} \subset K_{i}^{\prime}$ are contained in the
interior of $K_{i}$, uniform approximation on $K_{i}$ gives approximation in the $\mathscr{C}^{1}$-norm on $K_{i}^{\prime}$ by the Cauchy estimates.

This finishes the induction step and hence completes the proof of Theorem 1.1

## 3. Proof of Theorem 1.4 and Corollary 1.5

We begin with the proof of Theorem (1.4.
Let $X$ be a closed complex submanifold of $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ for some $n>1$, and let $f_{0}: X \hookrightarrow$ $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ denote the inclusion map. Let $K \subset X \cap \mathbb{B}^{n}$ be a connected compact subset, and let $A=\left\{a_{j}\right\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a countable subset of $\mathbb{B}^{n}$. Pick a compact connected $\mathscr{O}(X)$ convex set $K_{0} \subset X \cap \mathbb{B}^{n}$ containing $K$ and a number $0<\epsilon_{0}<1$. Similarly to what has been done in the proof of Theorem [1.1] we shall inductively construct the following:
(a) an increasing sequence of connected compact $\mathscr{O}(X)$-convex subsets of $X$,

$$
K_{1} \subset K_{2}^{\prime} \subset K_{2} \subset K_{3}^{\prime} \subset K_{3} \subset \cdots
$$

such that $K_{i-1} \subset \stackrel{\circ}{K}_{i}^{\prime}$ and $K_{i}^{\prime} \subset \stackrel{\circ}{K}_{i} \subset X$ hold for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$,
(b) a sequence of proper holomorphic embeddings $f_{i}: X \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}^{n}(i \in \mathbb{N})$,
(c) a sequence $\left(b_{i}\right)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \subset X$ without repetition such that $b_{i} \in K_{i}$ for every $i \in \mathbb{N}$, and
(d) a decreasing sequence of numbers $\epsilon_{i}>0$,
such that the following conditions hold for every $i \in \mathbb{N}$ :
(i) $\left\|f_{i}-f_{i-1}\right\|_{K_{i-1}}<\epsilon_{i-1}$,
(ii) $a_{j}=f_{i}\left(b_{j}\right) \in f_{i}\left(K_{i}\right)$ for $j=1, \ldots, i$ and $f_{i}\left(b_{j}\right)=f_{i-1}\left(b_{j}\right)$ for $j=1, \ldots$, $i-1$,
(iii) $\operatorname{dist}_{f_{i}}\left(K_{i-1}, X \backslash K_{i}^{\prime}\right)>1 / \epsilon_{i-1}$ (see (1.1)),
(iv) $0<\epsilon_{i}<\epsilon_{i-1} / 2$,
(v) if $g: X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{n}$ is a holomorphic map such that $\left\|g-f_{i}\right\|_{K_{i}}<2 \epsilon_{i}$, then $g$ is an injective immersion on $K_{i-1}$ and $\operatorname{dist}_{g}\left(K_{i-1}, X \backslash K_{i}\right)>1 /\left(2 \epsilon_{i-1}\right)$, and
(vi) $f_{i}\left(K_{i}\right) \subset \mathbb{B}^{n}$.

The main novelty with respect to the proof of Theorem 1.1 is condition (vi), which implies that the connected domain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega=\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} K_{i} \subset X \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

may be a proper subset of $X$. Note that $\Omega$ is pseudoconvex and Runge in $X$ since each set $K_{i}$ is $\mathscr{O}(X)$-convex. Granted these conditions, we see as in the proof of Theorem [1.1 that the limit map $f:=\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} f_{i}: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{n}$ exists and is a complete holomorphic embedding whose image $f(\Omega)$ contains the countable set $A$; moreover, we have $f(\Omega) \subset \mathbb{B}^{n}$ in view of (vi). Thus, to complete the proof of Theorem 1.4 it remains to establish the induction.

For the inductive step we assume that for some $i \in \mathbb{N}$ we have already found maps $f_{j}$, sets $K_{j}^{\prime} \subset K_{j}$, and numbers $\epsilon_{j}>0$ satisfying the stated conditions for $j=0, \ldots, i-1$. (This is vacuous for $i=1$.) The next map $f_{i}$ will be obtained in two steps, each obtained by a composition with a suitably chosen holomorphic automorphism of $\mathbb{C}^{n}$.

Write $\mathbb{B}=\mathbb{B}^{n}$. By compactness of the set $K_{i-1}$ and property (vi) for the index $i-1$ there is a number $0<r<1$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{i-1}\left(K_{i-1}\right) \subset r \mathbb{B} . \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Pick a number $R \in(r, 1)$. Let $L=\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} L_{k} \subset R \mathbb{B} \backslash r \overline{\mathbb{B}}$ be a labyrinth as in the proof of Theorem 1.1. Set $\widetilde{L}_{k}=\bigcup_{j=1}^{k} L_{k}$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Pick $k_{0} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that the length of any path $\lambda:[0,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{n} \backslash \widetilde{L}_{k_{0}}$ with $|\lambda(0)|=r$ and $|\lambda(1)|=R$ is bigger than $1 / \epsilon_{i-1}$. Reasoning as in the proof of Theorem 1.1 we find a holomorphic automorphism $\theta \in \operatorname{Aut}\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}\right)$ satisfying
(I) $\left|\theta\left(f_{i-1}(x)\right)-f_{i-1}(x)\right|<\epsilon_{i-1} / 2$ for all $x \in K_{i-1}$,
(II) $\theta\left(a_{j}\right)=a_{j}$ for $j=1, \ldots, i-1$,
(III) $a_{i} \notin \theta\left(f_{i-1}(X)\right)$, and
(IV) $\theta\left(f_{i-1}(X)\right) \cap \widetilde{L}_{k_{0}}=\varnothing$.

Moreover, by (3.2) we may choose $\theta$ close enough to the identity on $f_{i-1}\left(K_{i-1}\right)$ so that
(V) $g_{i}\left(K_{i-1}\right) \subset r \mathbb{B}$, where $g_{i}:=\theta \circ f_{i-1}: X \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}^{n}$.

Since $g_{i}$ is a proper holomorphic embedding, there is a connected compact $\mathscr{O}(X)$ convex set $K_{i}^{\prime} \subset X$ such that $K_{i-1} \subset \dot{K}_{i}^{\prime}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{i}\left(b K_{i}^{\prime}\right) \subset \mathbb{B} \backslash R \overline{\mathbb{B}} . \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

(For example, fixing a number $\rho \in(R, 1)$, we may choose $K_{i}^{\prime}$ such that $g_{i}\left(K_{i}^{\prime}\right)$ is the connected component of the set $g_{i}(X) \cap \rho \overline{\mathbb{B}}$ which contains $g_{i}\left(K_{i-1}\right)$.) Properties (3.2), (IV), (V), and (3.3) ensure that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{dist}_{g_{i}}\left(K_{i-1}, X \backslash K_{i}^{\prime}\right)>1 / \epsilon_{i-1} . \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $U$ be the connected component of $\mathbb{B} \cap g_{i}(X)$ containing the set $g_{i}\left(K_{i}^{\prime}\right)$. Set $V:=g_{i}^{-1}(U) \subset X$ and note that $K_{i}^{\prime} \subset V$. Pick a point $b_{i} \in V \backslash K_{i}^{\prime}$; then $g_{i}\left(b_{i}\right) \in U \backslash g_{i}\left(K_{i}^{\prime}\right)$. Choose a smooth embedded arc $\gamma \subset V \backslash \grave{K}_{i}^{\prime}$ having an endpoint $p$ in $K_{i}^{\prime}$ and being otherwise disjoint from $K_{i}^{\prime}$. Then, $g_{i}(\gamma)$ is an embedded arc in $\mathbb{B}$ having $g_{i}(p) \in g_{i}\left(K_{i}^{\prime}\right)$ as an endpoint and being otherwise disjoint from $g_{i}\left(K_{i}^{\prime}\right)$. Since the set $\mathbb{B} \backslash g_{i}\left(K_{i}^{\prime}\right)$ is path connected and contains the point $a_{i}$ in view of (III), there exists a homeomorphism

$$
F: g_{i}\left(K_{i}^{\prime} \cup \gamma\right) \rightarrow g_{i}\left(K_{i}^{\prime}\right) \cup F\left(g_{i}(\gamma)\right) \subset \mathbb{C}^{n}
$$

which equals the identity on a neighborhood of $g_{i}\left(K_{i}^{\prime}\right)$ such that the arc $F\left(g_{i}(\gamma)\right)$ is contained in $\mathbb{B}$, has $g_{i}(p)$ and $a_{i}$ as endpoints, and is otherwise disjoint from $g_{i}\left(K_{i}^{\prime}\right)$. Since $K_{i}^{\prime}$ is $\mathscr{O}(X)$-convex, the set $g_{i}\left(K_{i}^{\prime}\right) \subset \mathbb{C}^{n}$ is polynomially convex. In this situation, [13, Proposition, p. 560] (on combing hair by holomorphic automorphisms; see also [12, Corollary 4.13.5, p. 148]) enables us to approximate $F$ uniformly on $g_{i}\left(K_{i}^{\prime} \cup \gamma\right)$ by a holomorphic automorphism $\phi \in \operatorname{Aut}\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi\left(a_{j}\right)=a_{j} \text { for } j=1, \ldots, i-1 \quad \text { and } \quad \phi\left(g_{i}\left(b_{i}\right)\right)=a_{i} . \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consider the proper holomorphic embedding

$$
f_{i}:=\phi \circ g_{i}=\phi \circ \theta \circ f_{i-1}: X \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}^{n} .
$$

If the approximation of $F$ by $\phi$ is close enough uniformly on $g_{i}\left(K_{i}^{\prime} \cup \gamma\right)$, then the inclusion (3.3) and the maximum principle guarantee that

$$
f_{i}\left(K_{i}^{\prime} \cup \gamma\right)=\phi\left(g_{i}\left(K_{i}^{\prime} \cup \gamma\right)\right) \subset \mathbb{B} .
$$

Hence there is a connected compact $\mathscr{O}(X)$-convex subset $K_{i} \subset X$ such that $K_{i}^{\prime} \cup \gamma \subset$ $\stackrel{\circ}{K}_{i}$ and $f_{i}\left(K_{i}\right) \subset \mathbb{B}$. Assuming that the approximation of $F$ by $\phi$ is close enough, the inequality (3.4) ensures that $\operatorname{dist}_{f_{i}}\left(K_{i-1}, X \backslash K_{i}^{\prime}\right)>1 / \epsilon_{i-1}$, and so the same holds when replacing $K_{i}^{\prime}$ by the bigger set $K_{i}$. Summarizing, the map $f_{i}$ satisfies conditions (i), (iii), and (vi). Moreover, conditions (II), (3.5), and the fact that $b_{i} \in \gamma \subset K_{i}$ guarantee condition (ii). Finally, conditions (iv) and (v) hold provided that $\epsilon_{i}>0$ is chosen small enough.

This concludes the proof of Theorem 1.4 .
Corollary 1.5 is a particular case of the following result.
Corollary 3.1. Every open connected orientable smooth surface $S$ of finite topology admits a complex structure $J$ such that the open Riemann surface $R=(S, J)$ admits a complete holomorphic embedding $f: R \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}^{2}$ whose image $f(R)$ lies in the ball $\mathbb{B}^{2}$ and contains any given countable subset of $\mathbb{B}^{2}$. In particular, $f(R)$ can be made dense in $\mathbb{B}^{2}$.

Proof. Let $S$ be an open connected orientable smooth surface of finite topology, and let $A \subset \mathbb{B}^{2}$ be a countable subset. Let $J_{0}$ be a complex structure on $S$ such that the open Riemann surface $R_{0}=\left(S, J_{0}\right)$ admits a proper holomorphic embedding $\phi: R_{0} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}^{2}$; such $J_{0}$ exists by [8] (see also [5] for the case of surfaces of infinite topology). Up to composing with a homothety we may assume that all the topology of $X:=\phi\left(R_{0}\right)$ is contained in $\mathbb{B}^{2}$, meaning that $X \cap \mathbb{B}^{2}$ is homeomorphic to $X$ and $X \backslash \mathbb{B}^{2}$ consists of finitely many pairwise disjoint closed annuli, each one bounded by a Jordan curve in $b \mathbb{B}^{2}=\left\{z \in \mathbb{C}^{2}:|z|=1\right\}$. Theorem 1.4 applied to $X \subset \mathbb{C}^{2}$ and any compact subset $K$ of $X \cap \mathbb{B}^{2}$ which is a strong deformation retract of $X$ gives a Runge domain $\Omega \subset X$ containing $K$ and a complete holomorphic embedding $f: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{B}^{2}$ with $A \subset f(\Omega)$. Since $K \subset \Omega, K$ is homeomorphic to $X$, and $\Omega$ is Runge in $X$, we have that also $\Omega$ is homeomorphic to $X$ and hence to $R_{0}=\left(S, J_{0}\right)$. Thus, there is a complex structure $J$ on $S$ such that $R=(S, J)$ is biholomorphic to $\Omega$. The open Riemann surface $R$ and the complete holomorphic embedding $f: R \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{2}$ satisfy the conclusion of the corollary.
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