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#### Abstract

Let $J^{65}$ be the Jacobian of the Shimura curve attached to the indefinite quaternion algebra over $\mathbb{Q}$ of discriminant 65 . We study the isogenies $J_{0}(65) \rightarrow J^{65}$ defined over $\mathbb{Q}$, whose existence was proved by Ribet. We prove that there is an isogeny whose kernel is supported on the Eisenstein maximal ideals of the Hecke algebra acting on $J_{0}(65)$, and, moreover, the odd part of the kernel is generated by a cuspidal divisor of order 7, as is predicted by a conjecture of Ogg.


## 1. Introduction

Let $N$ be a product of an even number of distinct primes. Let $J_{0}(N)$ be the Jacobian of the modular curve $X_{0}(N)$. In [20], Ribet proved the existence of an isogeny defined over $\mathbb{Q}$ between the "new" part $J_{0}(N)^{\text {new }}$ of $J_{0}(N)$ and the Jacobian $J^{N}$ of the Shimura curve $X^{N}$ attached to a maximal order in the indefinite quaternion algebra over $\mathbb{Q}$ of discriminant $N$. Although there are no morphisms $X_{0}(N) \rightarrow X^{N}$ defined over $\mathbb{Q}$, Ribet showed that the $\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}$-adic Tate modules of $J_{0}(N)^{\text {new }}$ and $J^{N}$ are isomorphic as $\operatorname{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}} / \mathbb{Q})$-modules, where $\ell$ is an arbitrary prime number; this is a consequence of a correspondence between automorphic forms on $\mathrm{GL}(2)$ and automorphic forms on the multiplicative group of a quaternion algebra. The existence of the isogeny $J_{0}(N)^{\text {new }} \rightarrow J^{N}$ defined over $\mathbb{Q}$ then follows from a special case of Tate's isogeny conjecture for abelian varieties over number fields, also proved in [20] (the general case of Tate's conjecture was proved a few years later by Faltings). Unfortunately, Ribet's argument provides no information about the isogenies $J_{0}(N)^{\text {new }} \rightarrow J^{N}$ beyond their existence.

In [16], Ogg made an explicit conjecture about the kernel of Ribet's isogeny when $N=p q$ is a product of two distinct primes and $p=2,3,5,7,13$ : the conjecture predicts that there is an isogeny $J_{0}(N)^{\text {new }} \rightarrow J^{N}$ of minimal degree whose kernel is a specific group arising from the cuspidal divisor subgroup of $J_{0}(N)$. Note that $p=2,3,5,7,13$ are exactly the primes for which $J_{0}(p q)$ has purely toric reduction at $q$. This fact is crucial for the calculations used by Ogg to come up with his conjecture; the underlying idea is that the knowledge of the group of connected

[^0]components of the Néron models of $J_{0}(N)^{\text {new }}$ and $J^{N}$ at $q$ yields restrictions on the isogenies between them. Ogg's conjecture remains open except for the special cases when $J^{N}$ has dimension $\leq 3$.

When $\operatorname{dim}\left(J^{N}\right)=1$, equiv. $N=2 \cdot 7,3 \cdot 5,3 \cdot 7,3 \cdot 11,2 \cdot 17, J^{N}$ is an elliptic curve over $\mathbb{Q}$ which is uniquely determined by its component groups at $p$ and $q$, and $J_{0}(N)^{\text {new }}$ is the optimal elliptic curve of conductor $N$. Then one easily checks Ogg's conjecture using Cremona's tables [5]. In general, the orders of component groups of $J^{N}$ can be computed using Brandt matrices [10, which is relatively easy to do with the help of a computer program such as Magma.

When $\operatorname{dim}\left(J^{N}\right)=2$, equiv. $N=2 \cdot 13,2 \cdot 19,2 \cdot 29$, Ogg's conjecture is verified in [7]. In this case, the proof is based on the fact that $X^{N}$ is bielliptic and the lattices of $J_{0}(N)^{\text {new }}$ and $J^{N}$ can be computed through their elliptic quotients.

When $\operatorname{dim}\left(J^{N}\right)=3$, equiv. $N=2 \cdot 31,2 \cdot 41,2 \cdot 47,3 \cdot 13,3 \cdot 17,3 \cdot 19$, $3 \cdot 23,5 \cdot 7,5 \cdot 11$, Ogg's conjecture is verified in [6]. In this case, $X^{N}$ is always hyperelliptic. By utilizing this fact, González and Molina explicitly compute the equation for each $X^{N}$. Then they obtain a basis of regular differentials for $X^{N}$ from these equations to produce a period matrix for $J^{N}$. The period matrix of $J_{0}(N)^{\text {new }}$ can be computed using cusp forms with rational $q$-expansions. The problem then reduces to comparing the period matrices of appropriate quotients of $J_{0}(N)^{\text {new }}$ with the period matrix of $J^{N}$.

The goal of this paper is to study Ribet's isogeny for $N=5 \cdot 13=65$. In this case, $\operatorname{dim}\left(J^{N}\right)=5$ and $X^{N}$ is not hyperelliptic; cf. [14]. Our approach to the study of Ribet isogenies is completely different from that in [7] and [6], and crucially relies on the Hecke equivariance of such isogenies. In this approach we need to know very little about $X^{N}$ or $J^{N}$; we only need to know the orders of component groups of $J^{N}$, which, as we mentioned, are easy to compute, and in fact were already computed in [16]. The difficulty shifts to the study of the structure of the Hecke algebra and its action on $J_{0}(N)$.

Let $\mathbb{T}(N):=\mathbb{Z}\left[T_{2}, T_{3}, \ldots\right]$ be the $\mathbb{Z}$-algebra generated by the Hecke operators $T_{n}$ acting on be the space $S_{2}(N)$ of weight 2 cups forms on $\Gamma_{0}(N)$. This algebra is isomorphic to the subalgebra of $\operatorname{End}\left(J_{0}(N)\right)$ generated by $T_{n}$ acting as correspondences on $X_{0}(N)$. When $N=65$, we have $J_{0}(N)^{\text {new }}=J_{0}(N)$, so there is a Ribet isogeny

$$
\pi: J_{0}(N) \rightarrow J^{N}
$$

$\mathbb{T}(N)$ also naturally acts on $J^{N}$ and $\pi$ is $\mathbb{T}(N)$-equivariant. This equivariance is implicit in Ribet's proof [20]; see also [9, Cor. 2.4].

From now on we assume $N=65$. To simplify the notation, we denote $\mathbb{T}:=\mathbb{T}(N)$, $J:=J_{0}(N), J^{\prime}:=J^{N}, G_{\mathbb{Q}}:=\operatorname{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}} / \mathbb{Q})$. Given a finite abelian group $H$, we denote by $H_{p}$ its $p$-primary component ( $p$ is a prime number), and by $H_{\text {odd }}$ its maximal subgroup of odd order, so that $H \cong H_{2} \times H_{\text {odd }}$. Since the endomorphisms of $J$ induced by Hecke operators are defined over $\mathbb{Q}$, the actions of $\mathbb{T}$ and $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ on $J$ commute with each other. Thus, $\operatorname{ker}(\pi)$ is a $\mathbb{T}\left[G_{\mathbb{Q}}\right]$-submodule of $J$. We show that if the kernel of an isogeny from $J$ to another abelian variety is a $\mathbb{T}\left[G_{\mathbb{Q}}\right]$-module, then, up to endomorphisms of $J$, the kernel is supported on the Eisenstein maximal ideals of $\mathbb{T}$. We then classify all $\mathbb{T}\left[G_{\mathbb{Q}}\right]$-submodules of $J$ of odd order supported on the Eisenstein maximal ideals. This leads to the following theorem, which is the main result of the paper.

Theorem 1.1. There is a Ribet isogeny $\pi: J \rightarrow J^{\prime}$ such that $\operatorname{ker}(\pi)_{\text {odd }} \cong \mathbb{Z} / \mathbb{Z}$ is the 7-primary component of the cuspidal divisor group of $J$.

Ogg's conjecture in this case predicts that in fact $\operatorname{ker}(\pi)=\mathbb{Z} / 7 \mathbb{Z}$. There is a unique Eisenstein maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}_{2} \triangleleft \mathbb{T}$ of residue characteristic 2 . In principle, it should be possible to extend our analysis to finite $\mathbb{T}\left[G_{\mathbb{Q}}\right]$-submodules of $J$ supported on $\mathfrak{m}_{2}$ to show that $\operatorname{ker}(\pi)_{2}=0$. But there are several technical difficulties which at present we are not able to overcome: these stem from the fact that $\mathfrak{m}_{2}$ is a prime of fusion, $\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{m}_{2}}$ is not Gorenstein, and the groups of rational points of reductions of $J$ usually have large 2-primary components.

Our strategy can be applied also to cases when $\operatorname{dim}\left(J^{N}\right)=3$, which leads to results similar to Theorem 1.1 at least when $J_{0}(N)^{\text {new }}=J_{0}(N)$ (equiv. $N=$ $3 \cdot 13,5 \cdot 7$ ); see Remarks 4.9 and 4.10 .

Remark 1.2. Given a prime $\ell$, if $H:=\left(J_{0}(N)^{\text {new }}(\mathbb{Q})_{\text {tor }}\right)_{\ell} \neq 0$ but $\left(J^{N}(\mathbb{Q})_{\text {tor }}\right)_{\ell}=0$, then obviously $H \subset \operatorname{ker}(\pi)$ for any Ribet isogeny $\pi: J_{0}(N)^{\text {new }} \rightarrow J^{N}$. For an odd prime $\ell$, in [24], Yoo gives sufficient conditions for the non-existence of rational points of order $\ell$ on $J^{N}$, when $N=p q$ is a product of two distinct primes. This then can be used to find non-trivial subgroups of the kernels of Ribet isogenies; see [24. Thm. 1.3]. In the case when $N=65$, Yoo's theorem implies that $\mathbb{Z} / 7 \mathbb{Z} \subset$ $\operatorname{ker}(\pi)$.

## 2. NÉron models

In this section we recall some terminology and facts from the theory of Néron models. Let $R$ be a complete discrete valuation ring, with fraction field $K$ and residue field $k$. Let $A$ be an abelian variety over $K$. Denote by $\mathcal{A}$ its Néron model over $R$ and denote by $\mathcal{A}_{k}^{0}$ the connected component of the identity of the special fiber $\mathcal{A}_{k}$ of $A$. There is an exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{k}^{0} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{k} \rightarrow \Phi_{A} \rightarrow 0
$$

where $\Phi_{A}$ is a finite (abelian) group called the component group of $A$. We say that $A$ has semi-abelian reduction if $\mathcal{A}_{k}^{0}$ is an extension of an abelian variety $A_{k}^{\prime}$ by an affine algebraic torus $T_{A}$ over $k$ (cf. [1, p. 181]):

$$
0 \rightarrow T_{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{k}^{0} \rightarrow A_{k}^{\prime} \rightarrow 0 .
$$

We say that $A$ has good reduction, if $\mathcal{A}_{k}^{0}=A_{k}^{\prime}$ (in this case, we also have $\mathcal{A}_{k}=\mathcal{A}_{k}^{0}$ ); we say that $A$ has (purely) toric reduction if $\mathcal{A}_{k}^{0}=T_{A}$. The character group

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{A}:=\operatorname{Hom}\left(\left(T_{A}\right)_{\bar{k}}, \mathbb{G}_{m, \bar{k}}\right) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a free abelian group contravariantly associated to $A$.
Let $K^{\prime}$ be a finite unramified extension of $K$, with ring of integers $R^{\prime}$ and residue field $k^{\prime}$. By the fundamental property of Néron models, we have an isomorphism of groups $A\left(K^{\prime}\right) \cong \mathcal{A}\left(R^{\prime}\right)$, which defines a canonical reduction map

$$
\begin{equation*}
A\left(K^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{k}\left(k^{\prime}\right) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Composing (2.2) with $\mathcal{A}_{k} \rightarrow \Phi_{A}$, we get a homomorphism

$$
\begin{equation*}
A\left(K^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow \Phi_{A} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proposition 2.1. Let $K^{\prime}$ be a finite unramified extension of $K$. Let $H \subset A\left(K^{\prime}\right)$ be a finite subgroup. Assume that either $\# H$ is coprime to the characteristic $p$ of $k$, or that $K$ has characteristic 0 and its absolute ramification index is $<p-1$. Then (2.2) defines an injection $H \hookrightarrow \mathcal{A}_{k}\left(k^{\prime}\right)$.

Proof. See [11, p. 502] and [1, Prop. 7.3/3].
Let $\varphi: A \rightarrow B$ be an isogeny defined over $K$. By the Néron mapping property, $\varphi$ extends to a morphism $\varphi: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ of the Néron models. On the special fibers we get a homomorphism $\varphi_{k}: \mathcal{A}_{k} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_{k}$, which induces an isogeny $\varphi_{k}^{0}: \mathcal{A}_{k}^{0} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_{k}^{0}$; [1, Cor. 7.3/7]. This implies that $B$ has semi-abelian (resp. toric) reduction if $A$ has semi-abelian (resp. toric) reduction. The isogeny $\varphi_{k}^{0}$ restricts to an isogeny $\varphi_{t}: T_{A} \rightarrow T_{B}$, which corresponds to an injective homomorphism of character groups $\varphi^{*}: M_{B} \rightarrow M_{A}$ with finite cokernel. We also get a natural homomorphism $\varphi_{\Phi}: \Phi_{A} \rightarrow \Phi_{B}$.

Denote by $\hat{A}$ the dual abelian variety of $A$. Let $\hat{\varphi}: \hat{B} \rightarrow \hat{A}$ be the isogeny dual to $\varphi$. Assume $A$ has semi-abelian reduction. In [8], Grothendieck defined a non-degenerate pairing $u_{A}: M_{A} \times M_{\hat{A}} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ (called monodromy pairing) with nice functorial properties, which induces an exact sequence

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \rightarrow M_{\hat{A}} \xrightarrow{u_{A}} \operatorname{Hom}\left(M_{A}, \mathbb{Z}\right) \rightarrow \Phi_{A} \rightarrow 0 . \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (2.4), one obtains a commutative diagram with exact rows (cf. [21, p. 8]):


From this diagram we get the exact sequence

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \rightarrow \operatorname{ker}\left(\varphi_{\Phi}\right) \rightarrow M_{\hat{B}} / \hat{\varphi}^{*}\left(M_{\hat{A}}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{1}\left(M_{A} / \varphi^{*}\left(M_{B}\right), \mathbb{Z}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{coker}\left(\varphi_{\Phi}\right) \rightarrow 0 \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since

$$
\operatorname{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{1}\left(M_{A} / \varphi^{*}\left(M_{B}\right), \mathbb{Z}\right) \cong \operatorname{Hom}\left(M_{A} / \varphi^{*}\left(M_{B}\right), \mathbb{Q} / \mathbb{Z}\right)=:\left(M_{A} / \varphi^{*}\left(M_{B}\right)\right)^{\vee}
$$

we can rewrite (2.5) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \rightarrow \operatorname{ker}\left(\varphi_{\Phi}\right) \rightarrow M_{\hat{B}} / \hat{\varphi}^{*}\left(M_{\hat{A}}\right) \rightarrow\left(M_{A} / \varphi^{*}\left(M_{B}\right)\right)^{\vee} \rightarrow \operatorname{coker}\left(\varphi_{\Phi}\right) \rightarrow 0 . \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that $M_{A} / \varphi^{*}\left(M_{B}\right) \cong \operatorname{Hom}\left(\operatorname{ker}\left(\varphi_{t}\right), \mathbb{G}_{m, k}\right)$. On the other hand, $\operatorname{ker}\left(\varphi_{t}\right)$ can be canonically identified with a subgroup scheme of $H:=\operatorname{ker}(\varphi)$; cf. 33, p. 762]. Therefore, $\# M_{A} / \varphi^{*}\left(M_{B}\right)$ divides $\# H$. Similarly, $\# M_{\hat{B}} / \hat{\varphi}^{*}\left(M_{\hat{A}}\right)$ divides $\# \operatorname{ker}(\hat{\varphi})$. Since $\operatorname{ker}(\hat{\varphi}) \cong \operatorname{Hom}\left(\operatorname{ker}(\phi), \mathbb{G}_{m, K}\right)$ (see [15, Thm.1, p. 143]), we conclude that $\# M_{\hat{B}} / \hat{\varphi}^{*}\left(M_{\hat{A}}\right)$ also divides $\# H$. Now one easily deduces from (2.6) the following:

Lemma 2.2. Assume $A$ has semi-abelian reduction, and $\varphi: A \rightarrow B$ is an isogeny defined over $K$. If $\ell$ is a prime number which does not divide $\# \operatorname{ker}(\varphi)$, then $\varphi_{\Phi}$ induces an isomorphism $\left(\Phi_{A}\right)_{\ell} \cong\left(\Phi_{B}\right)_{\ell}$.

Lemma 2.3. Let $K^{\prime}$ be a finite unramified extension of $K$. Let $\varphi: A \rightarrow B$ be an isogeny defined over $K$ such that $H=\operatorname{ker}(\varphi) \subset A\left(K^{\prime}\right)$, i.e., $H$ becomes a constant group-scheme over $K^{\prime}$. Let $H_{0}$ (resp. $H_{1}$ ) be the kernel (resp. image) of the homomorphism $H \rightarrow \Phi_{A}$ defined by (2.3). Assume A has toric reduction. Assume
that either $\# H$ is coprime to the characteristic $p$ of $k$, or that $K$ has characteristic 0 and its absolute ramification index is $<p-1$. Then there is an exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow H_{1} \rightarrow \Phi_{A} \xrightarrow{\varphi_{\Phi}} \Phi_{B} \rightarrow H_{0} \rightarrow 0 .
$$

Proof. Under these assumptions, we have $H \hookrightarrow \mathcal{A}_{k}\left(k^{\prime}\right)$ and $H_{0}=\operatorname{ker}\left(\varphi_{t}\right)$. This implies $\left(M_{A} / \varphi^{*}\left(M_{B}\right)\right)^{\vee} \cong H_{0}$. Next, [3, Thm. 8.6] implies that $M_{\hat{B}} / \hat{\varphi}^{*}\left(M_{\hat{A}}\right) \cong$ $H_{1}$. Thus, we can rewrite (2.6) as

$$
0 \rightarrow \operatorname{ker}\left(\varphi_{\Phi}\right) \rightarrow H_{1} \rightarrow H_{0} \rightarrow \operatorname{coker}\left(\varphi_{\Phi}\right) \rightarrow 0 .
$$

Since $\operatorname{ker}\left(\varphi_{\Phi}\right)=H_{1}$, we conclude from this exact sequence that $\operatorname{coker}\left(\varphi_{\Phi}\right) \cong H_{0}$.

## 3. Hecke algebra

Since the $\mathbb{Z}$-algebra $\mathbb{T}$ is free of finite rank as a $\mathbb{Z}$-module, we can define the discriminant $\operatorname{disc}(\mathbb{T})$ of $\mathbb{T}$ with respect to the trace pairing; cf. [19, p. 66]. An algorithm for computing the discriminants of Hecke algebras is implemented in Magma; it gives $\operatorname{disc}(\mathbb{T})=2^{11} \cdot 3$. We then obtain

$$
\mathbb{T}=\mathbb{Z} T_{1}+\mathbb{Z} T_{2}+\mathbb{Z} T_{3}+\mathbb{Z} T_{5}+\mathbb{Z} T_{11}
$$

as a free $\mathbb{Z}$-module by comparing the discriminants. We have $\mathbb{T} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} \cong \mathbb{Q} \times \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}) \times$ $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{3})$. Let

$$
\widetilde{\mathbb{T}}=\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{2}] \times \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{3}]
$$

be the integral closure of $\mathbb{T}$ in $\mathbb{T} \otimes \mathbb{Q}$. Viewing $\mathbb{T}$ as an order in $\widetilde{\mathbb{T}}$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
T_{1} & =(1,1,1), \\
T_{2} & =(-1,-1+\sqrt{2}, \sqrt{3}), \\
T_{3} & =(-2, \sqrt{2}, 1-\sqrt{3}),  \tag{3.1}\\
T_{5} & =(-1,1,-1), \\
T_{11} & =(2,2-\sqrt{2},-3+\sqrt{3}) .
\end{align*}
$$

One then observes that $\mathbb{T}=\mathbb{Z} v_{1}+\mathbb{Z} v_{2}+\mathbb{Z} v_{3}+\mathbb{Z} v_{4}+\mathbb{Z} v_{5}$, where

$$
\begin{gathered}
v_{1}=(1,1,1), \quad v_{2}=(0,2,0), \quad v_{3}=(0,0,2), \quad v_{4}=(0,2 \sqrt{2}, 0) \\
v_{5}=(-1,-1+\sqrt{2}, 2-\sqrt{3})
\end{gathered}
$$

which implies

$$
\mathbb{T} \cong\left\{\begin{array}{l|c}
\left(a, b_{1}+b_{2} \sqrt{2}, c_{1}+c_{2} \sqrt{3}\right) & a, b_{1}, b_{2}, c_{1}, c_{2} \in \mathbb{Z}  \tag{3.2}\\
a \equiv b_{1} \equiv\left(c_{1}+c_{2}\right) \bmod 2, \\
b_{2} \equiv c_{2} \bmod 2
\end{array}\right\} .
$$

Given a maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m} \triangleleft \mathbb{T}$, let $\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{m}}=\lim _{\underset{n}{ }} \mathbb{T} / \mathfrak{m}^{n}$ denote the completion of $\mathbb{T}$ at m.

Proposition 3.1. Every maximal ideal in $\mathbb{T}$ of odd residue characteristic is principal. In particular, $\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is Gorenstein for any maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m} \triangleleft \mathbb{T}$ of odd residue characteristic; cf. [23, p. 329].

Proof. Since

$$
\operatorname{disc}(\mathbb{T})=[\widetilde{\mathbb{T}}: \mathbb{T}]^{2} \cdot \operatorname{disc}(\widetilde{\mathbb{T}})=[\widetilde{\mathbb{T}}: \mathbb{T}]^{2} \cdot 2^{5} \cdot 3,
$$

we get $[\widetilde{\mathbb{T}}: \mathbb{T}]=2^{3}$. Let $I_{\widetilde{T}, 2^{\prime}}$ be the set of ideals $I \triangleleft \widetilde{\mathbb{T}}$ such that $\widetilde{\mathbb{T}} / I$ is a finite ring of odd order. Let $I_{\mathbb{T}, 2^{\prime}}$ be the set of ideals $I \triangleleft \mathbb{T}$ such that $\mathbb{T} / I$ is a finite ring of odd order. The argument of the proof of Proposition 7.20 in [4] shows that the map $I \mapsto I \cap \mathbb{T}$ gives a bijection from $I_{\widetilde{\mathbb{T}}, 2^{\prime}}$ to $I_{\mathbb{T}, 2^{\prime}}$, with the inverse given by $I \mapsto I \widetilde{\mathbb{T}}$. Moreover, the proof of that proposition shows that for $I \in I_{\widetilde{\mathbb{T}}, 2^{\prime}}$ we have $\widetilde{\mathbb{T}} / I \cong \mathbb{T} / I \cap \mathbb{T}$, so that this bijection restricts to a bijection between the maximal ideals of $\widetilde{\mathbb{T}}$ and $\mathbb{T}$ of odd residue characteristic.

Since $\widetilde{\mathbb{T}}$ is a direct product of Euclidean domains, every ideal $I \in I_{\widetilde{T}, 2^{\prime}}$ is principal. Write $I=\theta \widetilde{\mathbb{T}}$. If $\theta \in \mathbb{T}$, then $I \cap \mathbb{T}=\theta \mathbb{T}$ is also principal, since $(\theta \mathbb{T}) \widetilde{\mathbb{T}}=\theta \widetilde{\mathbb{T}}$. Therefore, to prove the proposition it is enough to show that for every maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m} \in I_{\widetilde{T}, 2^{\prime}}$ we can choose a generator which lies in $\mathbb{T}$. Let $p>2$ be the residue characteristic of $\mathfrak{m}=\theta \widetilde{\mathbb{T}}$. If we write $\mathfrak{m}=\mathfrak{m}^{\prime} \times \mathfrak{m}^{\prime \prime} \times \mathfrak{m}^{\prime \prime \prime}$, where $\mathfrak{m}^{\prime} \triangleleft \mathbb{Z}, \mathfrak{m}^{\prime \prime} \triangleleft \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{2}]$, $\mathfrak{m}^{\prime \prime \prime} \triangleleft \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{3}]$, then one of these ideals is maximal of residue characteristic $p$, and the other two are equal to the corresponding ring. We consider three cases depending on which of the three ideals is proper.
Case 1: $\mathfrak{m}^{\prime}=p \mathbb{Z}$. Then $\theta=(p, 1,1) \in \mathbb{T}$.
Case 2: $\mathfrak{m}^{\prime \prime}$ is proper. If $(p)$ is inert in $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{2}]$, then we can take $\theta=(1, p, 1) \in \mathbb{T}$. Now suppose $p=(\alpha+\beta \sqrt{2})(\alpha-\beta \sqrt{2})$ splits, where $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}$. Note that $\alpha$ must be odd. If $\beta$ is even, then $\theta=(1, \alpha \pm \beta \sqrt{2}, 1) \in \mathbb{T}$. If $\beta$ is odd, then $\theta=(1, \alpha \pm \beta \sqrt{2}, 2+\sqrt{3}) \in \mathbb{T}$, as $2+\sqrt{3}$ is a unit in $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{3}]$.
Case 3: $\mathfrak{m}^{\prime \prime \prime}$ is proper. If $(p)$ is inert in $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{3}]$, then we can take $\theta=(1,1, p) \in \mathbb{T}$. If $p=3$, then $\theta=(1,1+\sqrt{2}, \sqrt{3}) \in \mathbb{T}$, since $1+\sqrt{2}$ is a unit in $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{2}]$. Finally, suppose $p=(\alpha+\beta \sqrt{3})(\alpha-\beta \sqrt{3})$, where $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}$. Considering $p=\alpha^{2}-3 \beta^{2}$ modulo 2 , we get $1 \equiv(\alpha+\beta)^{2} \bmod 2$, so that $\alpha$ and $\beta$ have different parity. If $\alpha$ is odd and $\beta$ is even, then $\theta=(1,1, \alpha \pm \beta \sqrt{3}) \in \mathbb{T}$. If $\alpha$ is even and $\beta$ is odd, then $\theta=(1,1+\sqrt{2}, \alpha \pm \beta \sqrt{3}) \in \mathbb{T}$.

Remark 3.2. Let $\mathcal{O}=\mathbb{Z}[i]$ be the Gaussian integers. Let $\mathcal{O}^{\prime}=\mathbb{Z}+3 \mathcal{O}=\mathbb{Z}+3 i \mathbb{Z}$ be an order in $\mathcal{O}$. We have $\left[\mathcal{O}: \mathcal{O}^{\prime}\right]=3$. The ideal $\mathfrak{m}=(2+i) \mathcal{O}$ is maximal and $\mathcal{O} / \mathfrak{m} \cong \mathbb{F}_{5}$. On the other hand, $\mathfrak{m} \cap \mathcal{O}^{\prime}=(5,1+3 i) \mathcal{O}^{\prime}$ is not principal, although $(5,1+3 i) \mathcal{O}=\mathfrak{m}$. This indicates that Proposition 3.1] is not a special case of a general fact about orders.

Definition 3.3. The Eisenstein ideal of $\mathbb{T}$ is the ideal $\mathcal{E} \triangleleft \mathbb{T}$ generated by $T_{\ell}-(\ell+1)$ for all primes $\ell \nmid 65$. A maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m} \triangleleft \mathbb{T}$ in the support of the Eisenstein ideal is called an Eisenstein maximal ideal.
Proposition 3.4. We have

$$
\mathbb{T} / \mathcal{E} \cong \mathbb{Z} / 84 \mathbb{Z} \cong \mathbb{Z} / 4 \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} / 3 \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} / 7 \mathbb{Z}
$$

Proof. First, we explain how to compute the expansion of an arbitrary Hecke operator $T_{m} \in \mathbb{T}$ in terms of the $\mathbb{Z}$-basis $\left\{T_{1}, T_{2}, T_{3}, T_{5}, T_{11}\right\}$ of $\mathbb{T}$. Up to Galois conjugacy, there are three normalized $\mathbb{T}$-eigenforms in $S_{2}(65)$. The three coordinates of $T_{m}$ in
the ring on the right-hand side of (3.2) are the eigenvalues with which $T_{m}$ acts on these eigenforms. Once we have this representation of $T_{m}$, thanks to (3.1), finding the expansion of $T_{m}$ in terms of our basis amounts to solving a system of five linear equations in five variables. This strategy yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
T_{7} & =2 T_{1}-T_{2}-6 T_{3}+9 T_{5}-5 T_{11}, \\
T_{19} & =2 T_{1}+2 T_{2}-4 T_{3}+8 T_{5}-3 T_{11}, \\
T_{29} & =-4 T_{1}+T_{2}+12 T_{3}-13 T_{5}+9 T_{11} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The Hecke operators $T_{\ell}$ for primes $\ell \nmid 65$ are all congruent to integers modulo $\mathcal{E}$. Since $T_{5}=\left(T_{7}-T_{19}\right)+3 T_{2}+2 T_{3}+2 T_{11}$, we conclude that all Hecke operators are congruent to integers. Hence the natural map $\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{T} / \mathcal{E}$ is surjective. We cannot have $\mathbb{T} / \mathcal{E}=\mathbb{Z}$, for then there would exist a cusp form $f \in S_{2}(65)$ such that $T_{\ell} f=(\ell+1) f$, which would contradict the Ramanujan-Petersson bound. Therefore, $\mathbb{T} / \mathcal{E} \cong \mathbb{Z} / n \mathbb{Z}$ for some integer $n$. Note that $T_{5} \equiv 29(\bmod \mathcal{E})$. From the expansion of $T_{7}$, we obtain $168=2^{3} \cdot 3 \cdot 7 \equiv 0(\bmod \mathcal{E})$; from the expansion of $T_{29}$, we obtain $252=2^{2} \cdot 3^{2} \cdot 7 \equiv 0(\bmod \mathcal{E})$; thus, $n$ divides $4 \cdot 3 \cdot 7=84$. On the other hand, the Eichler-Shimura congruence [13, p. 89] implies that $\mathcal{E}$ annihilates $J(\mathbb{Q})_{\text {tor }} \cong \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} / 4 \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} / 3 \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} / 7 \mathbb{Z}$; see Proposition 4.2. Hence $n$ is divisible by the exponent of this group, which is 84 .

Lemma 3.5. The Hecke operators $T_{5}$ and $T_{13}$ act on $\mathbb{T} / \mathcal{E} \cong \mathbb{Z} / 4 \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} / 3 \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} / 7 \mathbb{Z}$ as $(1,-1,1)$ and $(1,1,-1)$, respectively.

Proof. In the proof of Proposition 3.4 we computed that $T_{5} \equiv 29(\bmod \mathcal{E})$. Similarly, $T_{13}=-T_{3}+T_{5}-T_{11} \equiv 13(\bmod \mathcal{E})$. From this the claim of the lemma immediately follows since, for example, $29 \equiv 1(\bmod 4), 29 \equiv-1(\bmod 3)$, and $29 \equiv 1(\bmod 7)$.

Remark 3.6. We note that $T_{5}$ and $T_{13}$ are actually equal to the negatives of the Atkin-Lehner involutions $W_{5}$ and $W_{13}$ acting on $S_{2}(65)$. The conclusion $(\mathbb{T} / \mathcal{E})_{\text {odd }} \cong$ $\mathbb{Z} / 3 \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} / 7 \mathbb{Z}$ then can be deduced from Theorem 3.1.3 in [17].

Proposition 3.4 implies that there are three Eisenstein maximal ideals in $\mathbb{T}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathfrak{m}_{2}:=(\mathcal{E}, 2)=\left(\mathcal{E}, 2, T_{5}-1, T_{13}-1\right), \\
& \mathfrak{m}_{3}:=(\mathcal{E}, 3)=\left(\mathcal{E}, 3, T_{5}+1, T_{13}-1\right), \\
& \mathfrak{m}_{7}:=(\mathcal{E}, 7)=\left(\mathcal{E}, 7, T_{5}-1, T_{13}+1\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proposition 3.7. We have:
(i) The ideal $\mathfrak{m}_{2} \triangleleft \mathbb{T}$ is equal to the ideal

$$
((2,1,1) \widetilde{\mathbb{T}}) \cap \mathbb{T}=\left\{\left(a, b_{1}+b_{2} \sqrt{2}, c_{1}+c_{2} \sqrt{3}\right) \in \mathbb{T} \mid a \in 2 \mathbb{Z}\right\}
$$

which is the unique maximal ideal of $\mathbb{T}$ of residue characteristic 2 .
(ii) $\mathfrak{m}_{2}^{n}$ is not principal for any $n \geq 1$.
(iii) $\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{m}_{2}}$ is not Gorenstein.

Proof. (i) The uniqueness of the maximal ideal of residue characteristic 2 implies that it must be the Eisenstein maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}_{2}$. To prove the uniqueness, note that each of the rings $\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{2}], \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{3}]$ has a unique maximal ideal of residue
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characteristic 2 ; these are generated by $2, \sqrt{2}$, and $1+\sqrt{3}$, respectively. One easily checks that

$$
\mathfrak{m}:=((2,1,1) \widetilde{\mathbb{T}}) \cap \mathbb{T}=((1, \sqrt{2}, 1) \widetilde{\mathbb{T}}) \cap \mathbb{T}=((1,1,1+\sqrt{3}) \widetilde{\mathbb{T}}) \cap \mathbb{T}
$$

and $\mathbb{T} / \mathfrak{m} \cong \mathbb{F}_{2}$.
(ii) To prove this statement it is enough to observe that $(1,0,0) \in \widetilde{\mathbb{T}}$ is in $\operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{T}}\left(\mathfrak{m}_{2}^{n}\right)$ but $(1,0,0) \notin \mathbb{T}$.
(iii) We apply [23, Prop. 1.4 (iii)]: Let $\overline{\mathfrak{m}}_{2}$ denote the image of $\mathfrak{m}_{2}$ in $\mathbb{T} / 2 \mathbb{T}$. Then $\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{m}_{2}}$ is Gorenstein if and only if $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{F}_{2}}(\mathbb{T} / 2 \mathbb{T})\left[\overline{\mathfrak{m}}_{2}\right]=1$. Note that $(2,0,0)$ and $(0,2,0)$ have distinct non-zero images in $\mathbb{T} / 2 \mathbb{T}$, since otherwise $(2,2,0) \in 2 \mathbb{T}$, which would imply $(1,1,0) \in \mathbb{T}$. On the other hand, for any $\theta \in \mathfrak{m}_{2}$ we have $\theta(2,0,0)=(4 a, 0,0)=2(2 a, 0,0) \in 2 \mathbb{T}$ for some $a \in \mathbb{Z}$. Therefore, $\overline{\mathfrak{m}}_{2}$ annihilates $(2,0,0)$, and similarly $\overline{\mathfrak{m}}_{2}$ annihilates $(0,2,0)$; thus, $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{F}_{2}}(\mathbb{T} / 2 \mathbb{T})\left[\overline{\mathfrak{m}}_{2}\right] \geq 2$.
$\operatorname{Spec}(\mathbb{T})$ can be sketched as in Figure 1 It has three irreducible components intersecting at $\mathfrak{m}_{2}$. The irreducible components containing the closed points $\mathfrak{m}_{3}$ and $\mathfrak{m}_{7}$ are determined by observing that $T_{5}+1=(0,2,0)$ and $T_{5}-1=(-2,0,-2)$, so $T_{5}$ acts as -1 (resp., 1) on the component $\operatorname{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{3}])$ (resp., $\operatorname{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{2}])$ ). Finally, note that $\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{m}_{7}} \cong \mathbb{Z}_{7}$ and $\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{m}_{3}} \cong \mathbb{Z}_{3}[\sqrt{3}]$.

## 4. Modular Jacobian

There are exactly four cusps, denoted [1], $[p]$, $[q]$, and $[p q]$, on $X_{0}(p q)$, where $p$ and $q$ are two distinct prime numbers. Let $\mathcal{C}(p q)$ be the subgroup of $J_{0}(p q)$ generated by all cuspidal divisors. Since all cusps are $\mathbb{Q}$-rational, we have $\mathcal{C}(p q) \subset$ $J_{0}(p q)(\mathbb{Q})$. Let $\Phi(p)$ and $\Phi(q)$ denote the component groups of $J_{0}(p q)$ at $p$ and $q$, and $\wp_{p}, \wp_{q}: \mathcal{C}(p q) \rightarrow \Phi(p), \Phi(q)$ be the homomorphisms induced by (2.3).
Proposition 4.1. Let $p=5$ and $q=13$. Let $c_{p}$ and $c_{q}$ be the divisor classes of $[1]-[p]$ and $[1]-[q]$ in $J_{0}(p q)$. Denote $\mathcal{C}:=\mathcal{C}(p q)$.
(i) $\mathcal{C}$ is generated by $c_{p}$ and $c_{q}$. The order of $c_{p}$ is 28; the order of $c_{q}$ is 12; the only relation between $c_{p}$ and $c_{q}$ in $\mathcal{C}$ is $14 c_{p}=6 c_{q}$. This implies

$$
\mathcal{C} \cong \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} / 4 \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} / 3 \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} / 7 \mathbb{Z}
$$

(ii) $\Phi(p) \cong \mathbb{Z} / 42 \mathbb{Z}$ and $\Phi(q) \cong \mathbb{Z} / 6 \mathbb{Z}$.
(iii) The order of $\wp_{p}\left(c_{p}\right)$ is 14 , and $\wp_{p}\left(c_{q}\right)=0$; this implies that there is an exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow\left\langle c_{q}\right\rangle \rightarrow \mathcal{C} \xrightarrow{\wp_{p}} \Phi(p) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} / 3 \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0 .
$$

The order of $\wp_{q}\left(c_{q}\right)$ is 6 , and $\wp_{q}\left(c_{p}\right)=0$; this implies that there is an exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow\left\langle c_{p}\right\rangle \rightarrow \mathcal{C} \xrightarrow{\wp_{q}} \Phi(q) \rightarrow 0 .
$$

Proof. (i) follows from [2]. The groups $\Phi(p)$ and $\Phi(q)$ can be computed from the structure of special fibers of $X_{0}(p q)$ using a well-known method of Raynaud; see [16, p. 214] or the appendix in [13]. Finally, by considering the reductions of the cusps in the special fiber of the minimal regular model of $X_{0}(p q)$ over $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$, one can determine the homomorphism $\wp_{p}$ and $\wp_{q}$; cf. [18, p. 1161].

Proposition 4.2. We have $\mathcal{C}=J(\mathbb{Q})_{\text {tor }}$.
Proof. Obviously $\mathcal{C} \subseteq J(\mathbb{Q})_{\text {tor }}$. On the other hand, $J$ has good reduction at any odd prime $p \nmid 65$, so by Proposition 2.1 we have an injective homomorphism $J(\mathbb{Q})_{\text {tor }} \hookrightarrow$ $J\left(\mathbb{F}_{p}\right)$, where $J\left(\mathbb{F}_{p}\right)$ denotes the group of $\mathbb{F}_{p}$-rational points on the reduction of $J$ at $p$. The order of $J\left(\mathbb{F}_{p}\right)$ can be computed using Magma. We have $\# J\left(\mathbb{F}_{3}\right)=2^{3} \cdot 3^{2} \cdot 7$ and $\# J\left(\mathbb{F}_{11}\right)=2^{3} \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7^{2} \cdot 37$. Since the greatest common divisor of these numbers is $2^{3} \cdot 3 \cdot 7=\# \mathcal{C}$, the claim follows.

The Hecke ring $\mathbb{T}$ is isomorphic to a subring of endomorphisms of $J$ generated by the Hecke operators $T_{n}$ acting as correspondences on $X$. In fact, in our case $\mathbb{T}$ is the full ring of endomorphisms of $J$ (this can be proved as in [13, Prop. 9.5]). For a maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m} \triangleleft \mathbb{T}$, we denote

$$
J[\mathfrak{m}]=\bigcap_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{m}} \operatorname{ker}(J \xrightarrow{\alpha} J) .
$$

Then $J[\mathfrak{m}] \subset J[p]$, where $p$ is the characteristic of $\mathbb{T} / \mathfrak{m}$. By a theorem of Mazur [23, p. 341], $\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is Gorenstein if and only if $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{T} / \mathfrak{m}} J[\mathfrak{m}]=2$. Therefore, using Proposition [3.1] we conclude that $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{T} / \mathfrak{m}} J[\mathfrak{m}]=2$ for any maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$ of odd residue characteristic.

Let $p=3,7$ and $\mathfrak{m}_{p}$ be the corresponding Eisenstein maximal ideal. The EichlerShimura congruence relation implies that $\mathcal{E}$ annihilates $J(\mathbb{Q})_{\text {tor }}=\mathcal{C}$. Hence $\mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z} \cong$ $\mathcal{C}_{p} \subset J\left[\mathfrak{m}_{p}\right]$. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow J\left[\mathfrak{m}_{p}\right] \longrightarrow \mu_{p} \longrightarrow 0, \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

since $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ acts on $\wedge^{2} J\left[\mathfrak{m}_{p}\right]$ by the $\bmod p$ cyclotomic character; cf. [22, p. 465]. By [12], the Shimura subgroup $\Sigma\left(=\right.$ kernel of the functorial homomorphims $J_{0}(65) \rightarrow$ $J_{1}(65)$ ) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Sigma \cong \mu_{2} \times \mu_{3}, \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the Eisenstein ideal $\mathcal{E}$ annihilates $\Sigma$. Therefore, (4.1) splits for $p=3$ :

$$
J\left[\mathfrak{m}_{3}\right]=\mathcal{C}_{3} \times \Sigma_{3} \cong \mathbb{Z} / 3 \mathbb{Z} \times \mu_{3}
$$

Lemma 4.3. The sequence (4.1) does not split for $p=7$.
Proof. If (4.1) splits, then $\mathbb{Z} / 7 \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} / 7 \mathbb{Z} \subset J\left(\mathbb{Q}\left(\mu_{7}\right)\right)_{\text {tor }}$. Since $\ell=29$ splits completely in $\mathbb{Q}\left(\mu_{7}\right)$, by Proposition 2.1 we must have $7^{2} \mid \# J\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right)=2^{3} \cdot 3^{2} \cdot 7 \cdot 13 \cdot 23^{2}$.
Remark 4.4. Let $E$ be the elliptic curve defined by $y^{2}+x y=x^{3}-x$. It is easy to check that $E$ has a rational 2-torsion point and $E[2]$ as a Galois module is a non-split extension

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow E[2] \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow 0 .
$$

By Table 1 in [5], $E$ is isomorphic to a subvariety of $J$. We claim that $E[2] \subset J\left[\mathfrak{m}_{2}\right]$. To see this, consider a Hecke operator $T_{p}=\left(a_{p}, b_{p}+\sqrt{2} c_{p}, d_{p}+\sqrt{3} e_{p}\right)$ for prime $p \nmid 65$, given as in (3.2). $T_{p}$ acts on $E$ by multiplication by $a_{p}$. The fact that $\mathfrak{m}_{2}$ is Eisenstein implies that $a_{p}-(p+1)$ is even; thus, $T_{p}-(p+1)$ annihilates $E[2]$; thus $\mathfrak{m}_{2}=(2, \mathcal{E})$ annihilates $E[2]$. On the other hand, clearly $E[2] \not \subset \mathcal{C}[2]$, as $\mathcal{C}[2]$ is constant. Therefore, $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{T} / \mathfrak{m}_{2}} J\left[\mathfrak{m}_{2}\right] \geq \operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{F}_{2}} \mathcal{C}[2]+1=3$. This gives a geometric proof of the fact that $\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{m}_{2}}$ is not Gorenstein. Note that Proposition 4.2 implies that $\Sigma[2] \subset \mathcal{C}[2]$, since $\mu_{2} \cong \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$ is constant over $\mathbb{Q}$.

Proposition 4.5. Let $\mathfrak{m} \triangleleft \mathbb{T}$ be an Eisenstein maximal ideal of odd residue characteristic $p$. Let $H \subset J\left[\mathfrak{m}^{s}\right], s \geq 1$, be a $\mathbb{T}\left[G_{\mathbb{Q}}\right]$-module. If $J[\mathfrak{m}] \not \subset H$, then $H \subsetneq J[\mathfrak{m}]$.

Proof. We will assume that $J[\mathfrak{m}] \not \subset H$ and $H \not \subset J[\mathfrak{m}]$, and reach a contradiction. First, we make some simplifications. Since $H\left[\mathfrak{m}^{2}\right] \subset J\left[\mathfrak{m}^{2}\right]$ is a $\mathbb{T}\left[G_{\mathbb{Q}}\right]$-module satisfying the same assumptions, if we want to show that $H$ does not exist, it is enough to prove the non-existence under the additional assumption that $H \subset J\left[\mathfrak{m}^{2}\right]$.

Lemma 4.6. We have $H \cong \mathbb{T} / \mathfrak{m}^{2}$.
Proof. We can consider $H$ as a finite $\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{m}}$-module. Since $\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is a DVR, we have

$$
H \cong \mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{m}} / \mathfrak{m}^{s_{1}} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{m}} / \mathfrak{m}^{s_{r}} \cong \mathbb{T} / \mathfrak{m}^{s_{1}} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{T} / \mathfrak{m}^{s_{r}}
$$

for some $1 \leq s_{1} \leq s_{2} \leq \cdots \leq s_{r} \leq 2$. Since $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{T} / \mathfrak{m}} J[\mathfrak{m}]=2$, and $H[\mathfrak{m}] \cong$ $(\mathbb{T} / \mathfrak{m})^{r} \subsetneq J[\mathfrak{m}]$, we must have $r=1$, i.e., $H \cong \mathbb{T} / \mathfrak{m}^{s}$ for $s=1$ or $s=2$. If $s=1$, then $H \subset J[\mathfrak{m}]$, contrary to our assumption, so $s=2$.

Note that

$$
\mathbb{T} / \mathfrak{m}^{2} \cong \begin{cases}\mathbb{Z} / p^{2} \mathbb{Z} & \text { if } p=7 \\ \mathbb{F}_{p}[x] /\left(x^{2}\right) & \text { if } p=3\end{cases}
$$

Let $K:=\mathbb{Q}(H)$. If $K=\mathbb{Q}$, then $p^{2}=\# H$ divides $\# J(\mathbb{Q})_{\text {tor }}$. This contradicts Proposition 4.2, so we will assume from now on that $K \neq \mathbb{Q}$. Let $\eta$ be a generator of $\mathfrak{m}$. Note that $\eta H=H[\eta] \subset J[\mathfrak{m}]$ is a proper non-trivial Galois invariant subgroup. On the other hand, the $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$-invariant subgroups of $J[\mathfrak{m}]$ are $\mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z}$ and $\mu_{p}$, so either

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow H \xrightarrow{\eta} \mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0 \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \rightarrow \mu_{p} \rightarrow H \xrightarrow{\eta} \mu_{p} \rightarrow 0 . \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, the second possibility does not occur for $p=7$, since (4.1) does not split.
Lemma 4.7. Let $K_{p}$ denote the unique degree $p$ extension of $\mathbb{Q}$ contained in $\mathbb{Q}\left(\mu_{p^{2}}\right)$.
(1) If $p=7$, then $K=K_{p}$.
(2) Assume $p=3$. In case of (4.3), we have $[K: \mathbb{Q}]=p$ and $K \subset K_{p} \mathbb{Q}\left(\mu_{13}\right)$. In case of (4.4), we have $\mathbb{Q}\left(\mu_{p}\right) \subseteq K \subset \mathbb{Q}\left(\mu_{p^{2}}, \mu_{13}\right)$.

Proof. Since the actions of $\mathbb{T}$ and $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ on $H$ commute, we have

$$
\operatorname{Gal}(K / \mathbb{Q}) \subset \operatorname{Aut}_{\mathbb{T}}\left(\mathbb{T} / \mathfrak{m}^{2}\right) \cong\left(\mathbb{T} / \mathfrak{m}^{2}\right)^{\times} \cong \mathbb{Z} /(p-1) p \mathbb{Z}
$$

Hence $K / \mathbb{Q}$ is an abelian extension. Since $J$ has good reduction away from 5 and 13 , the extension $K / \mathbb{Q}$ is unramified away from $p, 5,13$. By class field theory, $K$ is
a subfield of a cyclotomic extension $\mathbb{Q}\left(\mu_{p^{n_{1}}}, \mu_{5^{n_{2}}}, \mu_{13^{n_{3}}}\right)$, for some $n_{1}, n_{2}, n_{3} \geq 1$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Gal}\left(\mathbb{Q}\left(\mu_{p^{n_{1}}}, \mu_{5^{n_{2}}}, \mu_{13^{n_{3}}}\right) / \mathbb{Q}\right) \\
& \cong \operatorname{Gal}\left(\mathbb{Q}\left(\mu_{p^{n_{1}}} / \mathbb{Q}\right) \times \operatorname{Gal}\left(\mathbb{Q}\left(\mu_{5^{n_{2}}} / \mathbb{Q}\right) \times \operatorname{Gal}\left(\mathbb{Q}\left(\mu_{13^{n_{3}}} / \mathbb{Q}\right)\right.\right.\right. \\
& \cong \mathbb{Z} / p^{n_{1}-1}(p-1) \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} / 5^{n_{2}-1}(5-1) \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} / 13^{n_{3}-1}(13-1) \mathbb{Z}
\end{aligned}
$$

Assume $p=7$. Since in this case $H$ is as in (4.3), $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ acts trivially on $p H$, so $\operatorname{Gal}(K / \mathbb{Q})$ is in the subgroup of units $\left(\mathbb{Z} / p^{2} \mathbb{Z}\right)^{\times}$which satisfy $a p \equiv p\left(\bmod p^{2}\right)$, or equivalently, $a \equiv 1(\bmod p)$. The units with this property form the cyclic subgroup of order $p$ in $\left(\mathbb{Z} / p^{2} \mathbb{Z}\right)^{\times}$. Hence $K / \mathbb{Q}$ is an abelian extension of degree $p$. Since $p$ does not divide $(5-1) 5^{n_{2}-1}$ or $(13-1) 13^{n_{3}-1}$, the field $K$ is fixed by $\operatorname{Gal}\left(\mathbb{Q}\left(\mu_{5^{n_{2}}}\right) / \mathbb{Q}\right) \times \operatorname{Gal}\left(\mathbb{Q}\left(\mu_{13^{n_{3}}}\right) / \mathbb{Q}\right)$. Therefore, $K \subset \mathbb{Q}\left(\mu_{p^{n_{1}}}\right)$ is a subfield of degree $p$ over $\mathbb{Q}$. There is a unique such field $\left(\operatorname{as} \operatorname{Gal}\left(\mathbb{Q}\left(\mu_{p^{n_{1}}} / \mathbb{Q}\right)\right.\right.$ is cyclic $)$, and it is contained in $\mathbb{Q}\left(\mu_{p^{2}}\right)$.

Assume $p=3$ and $H$ fits into an exact sequence (4.3). By the argument in the previous paragraph, $[K: \mathbb{Q}]=p$. Let $F:=\mathbb{Q}\left(\mu_{13}\right)$ and $K^{\prime}=F(H)$. We know that $\left[K^{\prime}: F\right]=1$ or $p$. Note that

$$
\operatorname{Gal}\left(\mathbb{Q}\left(\mu_{p^{n_{1}}}, \mu_{5^{n_{2}}}, \mu_{13^{n_{3}}}\right) / F\right) \cong \mathbb{Z} /(p-1) p^{n_{1}-1} \times \mathbb{Z}(5-1) 5^{n_{2}-1} \times \mathbb{Z} / 13^{n_{3}-1} \mathbb{Z}
$$

so as in the case of $p=7$, we get $F(H) \subset K_{p} F$.
Finally, assume $p=3$ and $H$ fits into an exact sequence (4.4). Then obviously $\mathbb{Q}\left(\mu_{p}\right) \subset K$. Over $L:=\mathbb{Q}\left(\mu_{p}\right)$, the group scheme $H$ fits into an exact sequence (4.3), so, as in the earlier cases, $L(H) / L$ is cyclic of order 1 or $p$. If $H$ is not constant over $F L$, then $[F L(H): F L]=p$. On the other hand,

$$
\operatorname{Gal}\left(\mathbb{Q}\left(\mu_{p^{n_{1}}}, \mu_{5^{n_{2}}}, \mu_{13^{n_{3}}}\right) / F L\right) \cong \mathbb{Z} / p^{n_{1}-1} \times \mathbb{Z}(5-1) 5^{n_{2}-1} \times \mathbb{Z} / 13^{n_{3}-1} \mathbb{Z}
$$

As in the earlier cases, this implies that $F L(H) \subset K_{p} F L=\mathbb{Q}\left(\mu_{p^{2}}, \mu_{13}\right)$. Overall, we see that $K$ is always a subfield of $\mathbb{Q}\left(\mu_{p^{2}}, \mu_{13}\right)$.

Assume $p=7$. By Lemma 4.7 we have $K=K_{p}$. Let $\ell$ be a prime which splits completely in $K_{p}$. Then $H$ is constant over $\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}$, so $H \subset J\left(\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}\right)_{\text {tor }}$. On the other hand, under the canonical reduction map, we have an injection $J\left(\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}\right)_{\text {tor }} \hookrightarrow J\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right)$; see Proposition 2.1] Therefore, we must have $p^{2} \mid \# J\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right)$. It is easy to show that a prime $\ell$ splits completely in $K_{p}$ if and only if its order in $\left(\mathbb{Z} / p^{2} \mathbb{Z}\right)^{\times}$is coprime to $p$. We can take 3 as a generator of $\left(\mathbb{Z} / p^{2} \mathbb{Z}\right)^{\times}$. The elements of orders coprime to $p$ are the powers of $3^{7} \equiv 31$. These are $\{31,30,48,18,19,1\}$. Thus, the smallest prime that splits completely in $K_{7}$ is 19 , and $\# J\left(\mathbb{F}_{19}\right)=2^{3} \cdot 3^{2} \cdot 7 \cdot 13 \cdot 23^{2}$. As $7^{2}$ does not divide this number, we get a contradiction.

Assume $p=3$. By Lemma 4.7 we have $\mathbb{Q}(H) \subset \mathbb{Q}\left(\mu_{13}, \mu_{p^{2}}\right)$. Since $\mu_{p}$ is constant over $K^{\prime}$, we have $\mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z} \cong J\left(K^{\prime}\right)[\mathfrak{m}] \subset J\left(K^{\prime}\right)_{\text {tor }} \subset J\left(\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}\right)$. Since $H$ is also constant over $K^{\prime}$, we also have $\mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z} \cong H \subset J(\mathbb{Q})$. Since $J[\mathfrak{m}] \not \subset H$, we see that $J\left(\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}\right)$ contains a subgroup isomorphic to $(\mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z})^{3}$. As earlier, this implies that $p^{3} \mid \# J\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right)$. A prime $\ell$ splits completely in $K^{\prime}:=\mathbb{Q}\left(\mu_{13}, \mu_{p^{2}}\right)$ if and only if $\ell \equiv 1(\bmod 9)$ and $\ell \equiv 1(\bmod 13)$. The smallest such prime is $\ell=937$, and $\# J\left(\mathbb{F}_{937}\right)=2^{13} \cdot 3^{2} \cdot 7 \cdot 11^{2} \cdot 41 \cdot 97 \cdot 2963$. As $3^{3}$ does not divide this number, we get a contradiction. This concludes the proof of Proposition 4.5,

Let $A$ be an abelian variety over $\mathbb{Q}$ and $\pi: J \rightarrow A$ an isogeny defined over $\mathbb{Q}$. Assume $\operatorname{ker}(\pi)$ is invariant under the action of $\mathbb{T}$, i.e., $\operatorname{ker}(\pi)$ is a finite $\mathbb{T}\left[G_{\mathbb{Q}}\right]$-module.

We can decompose $\operatorname{ker}(\pi)=\operatorname{ker}(\pi)_{2} \times \operatorname{ker}(\pi)_{\text {odd }}$; each of these subgroups is also a $\mathbb{T}\left[G_{\mathbb{Q}}\right]$-module. Let the maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m} \triangleleft \mathbb{T}$ be in the support of $H:=\operatorname{ker}(\pi)_{\text {odd }}$. Since $\mathfrak{m}$ has odd residue characteristic, $\mathfrak{m}=\eta \mathbb{T}$ is principal by Proposition 3.1, If $\operatorname{ker}(\eta)=J[\mathfrak{m}] \subset H$, then we can decompose $\pi=\pi^{\prime} \circ \eta$, where $\pi^{\prime}: J \rightarrow A$ is another isogeny whose kernel is a $\mathbb{T}\left[G_{\mathbb{Q}}\right]$-module but with smaller odd component than $\pi$. We can apply the same argument to $\pi^{\prime}$ and continue this process until we obtain an isogeny whose kernel does not contain any $J[\mathfrak{m}]$ with $\mathfrak{m}$ having odd residue characteristic. From now on we assume that $\pi$ itself has this property.

Since $\mathfrak{m}$ has odd residue characteristic, the $\mathbb{T}\left[G_{\mathbb{Q}}\right]$-module $J[\mathfrak{m}]$ is 2-dimensional over $\mathbb{T} / \mathfrak{m}$. By [13, Prop. 14.2] and [22, Thm. 5.2], if $\mathfrak{m}$ is not Eisenstein, then $J[\mathfrak{m}]$ is irreducible. Since $J[\mathfrak{m}] \cap H \neq 0$, we must have $J[\mathfrak{m}] \subset H$, which contradicts our assumption on $\pi$. Hence $H$ is supported on the Eisenstein maximal ideals $\mathfrak{m}_{3}$ and $\mathfrak{m}_{7}$. We decompose $H=H_{3} \times H_{7}$ into 3-primary and 7-primary components, which themselves are $\mathbb{T}\left[G_{\mathbb{Q}}\right]$-modules. Now $H_{p} \subset J\left[\mathfrak{m}_{p}^{s}\right]$ for some $s \geq 1, p=3,7$, and $J\left[\mathfrak{m}_{p}\right] \not \subset H_{p}$. Applying Proposition 4.5, we conclude that $H_{p} \subsetneq J\left[\mathfrak{m}_{p}\right]$. Thus $H_{7}=0$ or $\mathcal{C}_{7}$, and $H_{3}=0$ or $\Sigma_{3}$ or $\mathcal{C}_{3}$. Overall, $H$ can be one of the following subgroups of $J$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
0, \quad \mathcal{C}_{3}, \quad \Sigma_{3}, \quad \mathcal{C}_{7}, \quad \mathcal{C}_{3} \times \mathcal{C}_{7}, \quad \Sigma_{3} \times \mathcal{C}_{7} \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 4.8. If $A=J^{\prime}$, then for $\pi: J \rightarrow J^{\prime}$ chosen with the minimality condition discussed above, we must have $H=\mathcal{C}_{7}$.

Proof. The reductions of $J$ and $J^{\prime}$ at $p=5$ or 13 are purely toric; cf. [16, [22]. Let $\Phi(5)^{\prime}$ and $\Phi(13)^{\prime}$ be the component groups of $J^{\prime}$ at 5 and 13 . We have (see [16, p. 214]):

$$
\Phi(5)^{\prime} \cong \mathbb{Z} / 6 \mathbb{Z}, \quad \Phi(13)^{\prime} \cong \mathbb{Z} / 42 \mathbb{Z}
$$

We decompose $\pi: J \rightarrow J^{\prime}$ as $J \rightarrow J / H \xrightarrow{\pi^{\prime}} J^{\prime}$, where $\operatorname{ker}\left(\pi^{\prime}\right)$ is isomorphic to the 2-primary part of $\operatorname{ker}(\pi)$. Let $\Phi(p)^{\prime \prime}$ be the component group of $J / H$ at $p$. By Lemma 2.2 we must have $\left(\Phi(p)^{\prime \prime}\right)_{\text {odd }} \cong\left(\Phi(p)^{\prime}\right)_{\text {odd }}$. On the other hand, since we know the image and kernel of $\wp_{p}: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \Phi(p)$, we can compute $\#\left(\Phi(p)^{\prime \prime}\right)_{\text {odd }}$ for each possible $H$ from the list (4.5) using Lemma [2.3. This simple calculation shows that the only possible $H$ is $\mathcal{C}_{7}$. (Note that the group-scheme $\Sigma_{3}$ becomes constant over an unramified extension of $\mathbb{Q}_{p}$, but it is not important to know whether $\wp_{p}: \Sigma_{3} \rightarrow \Phi(p)$ is injective or trivial; neither of these possibilities gives the correct $\Phi(p)^{\prime \prime}$ if $\Sigma_{3} \subset H$.)

Remark 4.9. Let $N=5 \cdot 7$. In this case,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{T}=\mathbb{Z}\left[T_{3}\right] & \cong \mathbb{Z}[x] /(x-1)\left(x^{2}+x-4\right) \\
& \cong\{(a, b+c \alpha) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}[\alpha] \mid a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}, a \equiv b+c(\bmod 2)\}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\alpha:=-\frac{1+\sqrt{17}}{2}$. Note that $\mathbb{Z}[\alpha]$ is the ring of integers in $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{17})$, and $\mathbb{Z}[\alpha]$ is a Euclidean domain with respect to the usual norm. We have

$$
\mathcal{C} \cong \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} / 8 \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} / 3 \mathbb{Z}, \quad \Sigma \cong \mu_{4} \times \mu_{3}
$$

There is a unique Eisenstein maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}_{3} \triangleleft \mathbb{T}$ of odd residue characteristic. There is a unique $\mathbb{Q}$-isogeny class of elliptic curves of level 35 . The optimal curve is [5, p. 112]

$$
E: y^{2}+y=x^{3}+x^{2}+9 x+1
$$

We have $E[3] \cong \mu_{3} \times \mathbb{Z} / 3 \mathbb{Z}$. Since $\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is Gorenstein for any maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m} \triangleleft \mathbb{T}$ (as $\mathbb{T}$ is monogenic), $J[\mathfrak{m}]$ is 2 -dimensional over $\mathbb{T} / \mathfrak{m}$, so $J\left[\mathfrak{m}_{3}\right]=E[3]=\mathcal{C}_{3} \times \Sigma_{3}$. Now it is easy to analyze all $\mathbb{T}\left[G_{\mathbb{Q}}\right]$-submodules of $J$ supported on $\mathfrak{m}_{3}$. An argument similar to the argument of the proof of Theorem 4.8 then implies that there is a Ribet isogeny $\pi: J \rightarrow J^{\prime}$ with $\operatorname{ker}(\pi)_{\text {odd }}=0$. Ogg's conjecture in this case predicts that $\operatorname{ker}(\pi) \cong \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z} \subset \mathcal{C}_{2}$.

Remark 4.10. Let $N=3 \cdot 13$. In this case,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{T}=\mathbb{Z}\left[T_{2}\right] & \cong \mathbb{Z}[x] /(x-1)\left(x^{2}+2 x-1\right) \\
& \cong\{(a, b+c \sqrt{2}) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{2}] \mid a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}, a \equiv b(\bmod 2)\}
\end{aligned}
$$

We have

$$
\mathcal{C} \cong \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} / 4 \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} / 7 \mathbb{Z}, \quad \Sigma \cong \mu_{4}
$$

There is a unique Eisenstein maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}_{7} \triangleleft \mathbb{T}$ of odd residue characteristic. $J[\mathfrak{m}]$ fits into the exact sequence (4.1), which is non-split in this case. One can classify $\mathbb{T}\left[G_{\mathbb{Q}}\right]$-submodules of $J$ supported on $\mathfrak{m}_{7}$ using an argument similar to the argument we used in Proposition 4.5. Finally, one deduces as in Theorem 4.8 that there is a Ribet isogeny $\pi: J \rightarrow J^{\prime}$ with $\operatorname{ker}(\pi)_{\text {odd }}=\mathcal{C}_{7} \cong \mathbb{Z} / 7 \mathbb{Z}$. Ogg's conjecture in this case predicts that $\operatorname{ker}(\pi)=\mathcal{C}_{7}$.
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