

ISOMORPHIC CLASSIFICATION OF $L_{p,q}$ -SPACES: THE CASE $p = 2, 1 \leq q < 2$

O. SADOVSKAYA AND F. SUKOCHEV

(Communicated by Thomas Schlumprecht)

ABSTRACT. Let $1 \leq q < 2$. We prove that the Banach space $l_{2,q}$ (respectively, $L_{2,q}(0, \infty)$) does not isomorphically embed into the space $L_{2,q}(0, 1)$ (respectively, $L_{2,q}(0, 1) \oplus l_{2,q}$).

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper, we complete the isomorphic classification of $L_{p,q}$ -spaces over resonant measure spaces by answering two open questions posed in [7, Section 5.1]. In that paper the authors proved that $l_{p,q}$ does not isomorphically embed into $L_{p,q}(0, 1)$ and that the space $L_{p,q}(0, 1) \oplus l_{p,q}$ does not contain a closed subspace which is isomorphic to $L_{p,q}(0, \infty)$ for all $1 \leq p, q < \infty, p \neq q, p \neq 1, p \neq 2$, and $p = 2, q > 2$. The case $p = 2, 1 \leq q < 2$ was not amenable to the techniques employed there and was left open in [7]. We shall use here a rather different approach from that of [7], which is of interest in its own right.

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. **$L_{p,q}$ -spaces.** Let m be the Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{R}^n, n = 1, 2$. Given a measurable real-valued function f defined on a measurable set $B \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, we define the *distribution* function d_f (of $|f|$) by setting

$$d_f(t) = m(\{|f| > t\})$$

and the *decreasing rearrangement* of $|f|$ by

$$f^*(t) = \inf\{s > 0 : d_f(s) \leq t\}.$$

For $0 < p < \infty$ and $I = [0, 1]$, or $I = [0, 1]^2$, or $[0, \infty)$, the Lorentz function space $L_{p,q}(I)$ is the space (of equivalence classes) of all measurable functions f on I for which $\|f\|_{p,q} < \infty$, where

$$(1) \quad \|f\|_{p,q} = \left(\int_I f^*(t)^q d(t^{q/p}) \right)^{1/q}, \quad q < \infty.$$

A symmetric sequence space analogue of $L_{p,q}$ is given by the space $l_{p,q}$ consisting of all scalar sequences $(x_i)_{i=1}^\infty$ for which $\|(x_i)\|_{p,q} < \infty$, where

$$(2) \quad \|(x_i)\|_{p,q} = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^\infty x_i^{*q} (i^{q/p} - (i-1)^{q/p}) \right\}^{1/q}, \quad q < \infty,$$

Received by the editors October 25, 2017, and, in revised form, December 12, 2017.
 2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 46E30.

and where (x_i^*) is the decreasing rearrangement of $(|x_i|)$. Clearly, $l_{p,q}$ is isometric to a sublattice of $L_{p,q}[0, \infty)$. Also for any $p \geq 1$ we have $L_{p,p} = L_p$ and $l_{p,p} = l_p$; in this case we will simply write $\|\cdot\|_p$.

It is well known that for $1 \leq q \leq p < \infty$, (1) defines a norm under which $L_{p,q}$ is a separable, rearrangement invariant (r.i.) Banach function space; otherwise, (1) defines a quasi-norm on $L_{p,q}$ (which is known to be equivalent to a norm if $1 < p < q \leq \infty$).

Next recall that for any $0 < p < \infty$ and $0 < q \leq \infty$, $L_{p,q}$ is equal, up to an equivalent norm, to the space $[L_{p_1}, L_{p_2}]_{\theta,q}$ constructed using the *real interpolation method*, where $0 < p_1 < p_2 \leq \infty$, $0 < \theta < 1$, and $1/p = (1 - \theta)/p_1 + \theta/p_2$. (See Theorem 5.2.4 in [2].)

Let $I = [0, 1]$ or $I = [0, \infty)$ and let ψ be an increasing concave function on I with $\psi(0) = \psi(+0) = 0$, $1 \leq q < \infty$. The Lorentz space $\Lambda_{\psi,q}$ (when $q = 1$ we simply write Λ_ψ) consists of all measurable functions f on I for which

$$\|f\|_{\Lambda_{\psi,q}} := \left(\int_I f^*(t)^q d\psi(t) \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} < \infty.$$

If $\psi(t) = t$ for all $0 \leq t < \infty$, then $\Lambda_{\psi,q} = L_q$ with equality of norms. If $1 \leq q \leq p < \infty$, then $L_{p,q} = \Lambda_{\psi,q}$ with $\psi(t) = t^{q/p}$, $0 \leq t < \infty$.

2.2. Main tool. A key role in our proofs is played by the operator A_n defined below. It is a substantially modified operator A_n introduced in [10]. Let $r_n(t)$ denote the n^{th} Rademacher function, that is,

$$r_n(t) = \text{sign} \sin 2^n \pi t, \quad \text{for } 0 \leq t \leq 1 \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots).$$

Define operators $A_n : L_{2,1}(0, 1) \rightarrow L_{2,1}((0, 1)^2)$, $n \geq 1$, by setting

$$(3) \quad A_n f = \sum_{k=1}^n k^{-\frac{1}{2}} (f \circ \gamma_k) \otimes r_k, \quad n \geq 1,$$

where $\gamma_k : (0, 1) \rightarrow (0, 1)$ is an arbitrary measure-preserving transformation, for every $k \geq 1$.

In the rest of this subsection we collect a number of (basically) known results. Firstly, we need to recall a well-known sufficient condition on a sequence $\{f_k\}_{k=1}^\infty \subseteq L_{p,q}$ guaranteeing that it has a subsequence whose closed linear span is isomorphic to the space l_q .

Lemma 1 ([3, Lemma 2.1], [4, Proposition 1]). *Let $I = [0, 1]$ or $I = [0, 1]^2$ or $[0, \infty)$, let $1 < p < \infty$, $1 \leq q < \infty$, and let $\{f_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ be a sequence of semi-normalized elements in $L_{p,q}(I)$. If $f_k \xrightarrow{a.e.} 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$, then there is a subsequence of $\{f_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ which is equivalent to the unit vector basis of l_q .*

The statement of the following subsequence splitting lemma is very similar to [5, Proposition 3.2] and [10, Theorem 3.2]. The only new component below is the assertion that the subsequence $\{f'_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ and sequences $\{x_k\}_{k=1}^\infty, \{y_k\}_{k=1}^\infty \subseteq L_{p,q}(0, 1)$ can be chosen to be unconditional. This assertion easily follows from a well-known fact that the space $L_{p,q}(0, 1)$, $1 < p < \infty$, $1 \leq q < \infty$ admits an unconditional finite dimensional decomposition (see e.g. the proof of [1, Proposition 3.10]).

Lemma 2. Let $1 < p < \infty$, $1 \leq q < \infty$ and let $\{f_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ be a weakly null sequence of elements in $L_{p,q}(0,1)$ with $\inf_k \|f_k\|_{p,q} > 0$. Then there exists an unconditional basic subsequence $\{f'_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ of $\{f_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ such that

$$(4) \quad f'_k = x_k + y_k + d_k, \quad k \geq 1,$$

where $\{x_k\}_{k=1}^\infty, \{y_k\}_{k=1}^\infty, \{d_k\}_{k=1}^\infty \subseteq L_{p,q}(0,1)$, $x_k^* = x_1^*$ for all k , $y_k y_j = 0$ for all $k \neq j$, $\|d_k\|_{p,q} \rightarrow 0, k \rightarrow \infty$, and both sequences $\{x_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ and $\{y_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ are unconditional basic sequences in $L_{p,q}(0,1)$.

3. AUXILIARY RESULTS

Given a measurable real-valued function f on $B \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, $n = 1, 2$, we define the support of f by $\text{supp}(f) = \{f \neq 0\}$. In what follows χ_E denotes the indicator function of a Lebesgue measurable set E .

Lemma 3. For every $k \geq 1$, let $S_k \subset (0,1)$ be a measurable set such that $m(S_k) = t \in (0,1]$. For all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$\left\| \left(\sum_{k=1}^n k^{-1} \chi_{S_k} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{2,1} \leq \sqrt{\frac{6}{\log(2)}} \cdot t^{\frac{1}{2}} \log(en).$$

Proof. Fix $n \geq 1$ and set $f = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k} \chi_{S_k}$. For every $l \in \mathbb{Z}$, consider the set $\{2^l < f \leq 2^{1+l}\}$. Obviously,

$$2^l \chi_{\{2^l < f \leq 2^{1+l}\}} \leq f \chi_{\{2^l < f \leq 2^{1+l}\}} \leq 2^{1+l} \chi_{\{2^l < f \leq 2^{1+l}\}}, \quad l \in \mathbb{Z},$$

and therefore, setting

$$g = \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^l \chi_{\{2^l < f \leq 2^{1+l}\}}$$

we obtain

$$g \leq f \leq 2g.$$

Next, observing that $\frac{1}{n} \chi_{\text{supp}(f)} \leq f \leq (1 + \log(n)) \chi_{\text{supp}(f)}$, we can rewrite the function g as a finite sum

$$g = \sum_{l=l_-(n)}^{l_+(n)} 2^l \chi_{\{2^l < f \leq 2^{1+l}\}},$$

where $l_-(n)$ and $l_+(n)$ are integers depending on n such that $l_-(n) \leq l_+(n)$.

It is immediate that

$$g^* = \sum_{l=l_-(n)}^{l_+(n)} 2^l \chi_{(d_f(2^{l+1}), d_f(2^l))}.$$

Using the inequality $\alpha^{\frac{1}{2}} - \beta^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq (\alpha - \beta)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ for $0 < \beta < \alpha$ and the fact that $f \leq 2g$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|f^{\frac{1}{2}}\|_{2,1} &\leq 2^{\frac{1}{2}} \|g^{\frac{1}{2}}\|_{2,1} = 2^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{l=l_-(n)}^{l_+(n)} 2^{\frac{l}{2}} (d_f(2^l)^{\frac{1}{2}} - d_f(2^{1+l})^{\frac{1}{2}}) \\ &\leq 2^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{l=l_-(n)}^{l_+(n)} 2^{\frac{l}{2}} (d_f(2^l) - d_f(2^{1+l}))^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

From Cauchy inequality, we have

$$\sum_{l=l_-(n)}^{l_+(n)} a_l \leq (l_+(n) - l_-(n) + 1)^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \left(\sum_{l=l_-(n)}^{l_+(n)} a_l^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Setting

$$a_l = 2^{\frac{l}{2}}(d_f(2^l) - d_f(2^{1+l}))^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

we obtain

$$(5) \quad \|f^{\frac{1}{2}}\|_{2,1} \leq 2^{\frac{1}{2}}(l_+(n) - l_-(n) + 1)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sum_{l=l_-(n)}^{l_+(n)} 2^l(d_f(2^l) - d_f(2^{1+l})) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Also,

$$(6) \quad \sum_{l=l_-(n)}^{l_+(n)} 2^l(d_f(2^l) - d_f(2^{1+l})) = \|g\|_1 \leq \|f\|_1 = t \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k} \leq t \log(en).$$

From (5) and (6) we have

$$(7) \quad \|f^{\frac{1}{2}}\|_{2,1} \leq 2^{\frac{1}{2}}(l_+(n) - l_-(n) + 1)^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot (t \log(en))^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Clearly,

$$\frac{1}{n} \leq \inf\{f(t) : f(t) \neq 0\} \in (2^{l_-(n)}, 2^{1+l_-(n)}],$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k} \geq \sup\{f(t) : f(t) \neq 0\} \in (2^{l_+(n)}, 2^{1+l_+(n)}].$$

Thus,

$$\frac{-\log(n)}{\log(2)} \leq 1 + l_-(n), \quad \frac{\log(\sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k})}{\log(2)} \geq l_+(n).$$

In either case, we have

$$l_+(n) \leq \frac{\log(\log(en))}{\log(2)} \leq \frac{\log(en)}{\log(2)},$$

$$l_-(n) \geq -\frac{\log(2n)}{\log(2)} \geq -\frac{\log(en)}{\log(2)}.$$

Hence,

$$1 + l_+(n) - l_-(n) \leq 1 + 2 \frac{\log(en)}{\log(2)} \leq 3 \frac{\log(en)}{\log(2)}.$$

Substituting this into (7), we arrive at

$$\|f^{\frac{1}{2}}\|_{2,1} \leq \sqrt{\frac{6}{\log(2)}} \cdot t^{\frac{1}{2}} \log(en).$$

This concludes the proof. □

The following fact should be compared with formula [6, (II.5.4)].

Fact 4. If $f \in \Lambda_\psi(0, 1)$ is such that $f : (0, 1) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_+$, then

$$\|f\|_{\Lambda_\psi} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \psi(d_f(k)).$$

Proof. Indeed, we have that

$$f^*(t) = k + 1, \quad t \in (d_f(k + 1), d_f(k)).$$

Therefore,

$$\|f\|_{\Lambda_\psi} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (k + 1) \cdot (\psi(d_f(k)) - \psi(d_f(k + 1))).$$

Summation by parts yields

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k \geq 0} \psi(d_f(k)) &= \sum_{k \geq 0} \sum_{l \geq k} (\psi(d_f(l)) - \psi(d_f(l + 1))) \\ &= \sum_{l \geq 0} (\psi(d_f(l)) - \psi(d_f(l + 1))) \sum_{k=0}^l 1 \\ &= \sum_{l \geq 0} (l + 1) (\psi(d_f(l)) - \psi(d_f(l + 1))). \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\|f\|_{\Lambda_\psi} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \psi(d_f(k)).$$

□

In the lemma below we shall use the following simple decomposition. Suppose that $0 \leq f \in \Lambda_\psi(0, 1)$. Fix $\epsilon > 0$ and consider the approximation

$$\begin{aligned} f_\epsilon &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (k + 1) \epsilon \chi_{(k\epsilon, (k+1)\epsilon]}(f) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (k + 1) \epsilon (\chi_{(k\epsilon, \infty)}(f) - \chi_{((k+1)\epsilon, \infty)}(f)) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \epsilon \chi_{(k\epsilon, \infty)}(f), \end{aligned}$$

where $\chi_{(a,b)}(f) = \chi_{\{a < f < b\}}$.

Obviously, by construction, we have

$$\|f - f_\epsilon\|_{\Lambda_\psi} \leq \|f - f_\epsilon\|_\infty \leq \epsilon.$$

The following result could be inferred from [6, Lemma II.5.2]; however, we supply a short and self-contained proof for the convenience of the reader.

Lemma 5. *If $V : \Lambda_\psi(0, 1) \rightarrow \Lambda_\psi(0, 1)$ is a bounded operator, then*

$$\|V\|_{\Lambda_\psi \rightarrow \Lambda_\psi} = \sup_{\substack{A \subset (0,1) \\ m(A) > 0}} \sup_{\substack{h \in \Lambda_\psi \\ |h| = \chi_A}} \frac{\|V(h)\|_{\Lambda_\psi}}{\|h\|_{\Lambda_\psi}}.$$

Proof. Assume for simplicity that the right hand side is 1. We aim to prove that $\|V\|_{\Lambda_\psi \rightarrow \Lambda_\psi} \leq 1$. Fix $f \in \Lambda_\psi$. We shall show below that

$$\|V(f)\|_{\Lambda_\psi} \leq \|f\|_{\Lambda_\psi}.$$

Let $f_+ = f \cdot \chi_{\{f > 0\}}$ and $f_- = f \cdot \chi_{\{f < 0\}}$.

Fix $\epsilon > 0$ and let¹

$$g_1 = \epsilon \lceil \frac{f_+}{\epsilon} \rceil = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \epsilon \chi_{(k\epsilon, \infty)}(f_+),$$

¹Here, $\lceil \cdot \rceil$ denotes the ceiling function.

$$g_2 = \epsilon \lceil \frac{f_-}{\epsilon} \rceil = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \epsilon \chi_{(k\epsilon, \infty)}(f_-).$$

These series converge in the norm of Λ_ψ and

$$(8) \quad \|f - (g_1 - g_2)\|_{\Lambda_\psi} \leq \|f - (g_1 - g_2)\|_\infty \leq \epsilon.$$

By triangle inequality, we have

$$\|V(g_1 - g_2)\|_{\Lambda_\psi} \leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \epsilon \|V(\chi_{(k\epsilon, \infty)}(f_+) - \chi_{(k\epsilon, \infty)}(f_-))\|_{\Lambda_\psi}.$$

Observing that $\chi_{(k\epsilon, \infty)}(f_+) \cdot \chi_{(k\epsilon, \infty)}(f_-) = 0, k \geq 0$, we see that by our assumption

$$\|V(\chi_{(k\epsilon, \infty)}(f_+) - \chi_{(k\epsilon, \infty)}(f_-))\|_{\Lambda_\psi} \leq \|\chi_{(k\epsilon, \infty)}(f_+) - \chi_{(k\epsilon, \infty)}(f_-)\|_{\Lambda_\psi}, \quad k \geq 0,$$

and hence

$$(9) \quad \|V(g_1 - g_2)\|_{\Lambda_\psi} \leq \epsilon \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \|\chi_{(k\epsilon, \infty)}(f_+) - \chi_{(k\epsilon, \infty)}(f_-)\|_{\Lambda_\psi} = \epsilon \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \|\chi_{(k\epsilon, \infty)}(|f|)\|_{\Lambda_\psi}.$$

Denote for brevity

$$h = \lceil \frac{|f|}{\epsilon} \rceil.$$

We have

$$(10) \quad \chi_{(k\epsilon, \infty)}(|f|) = \chi_{(k, \infty)}(\frac{|f|}{\epsilon}) = \chi_{(k, \infty)}(h).$$

Clearly, h takes only values in \mathbb{Z}_+ , and, therefore, one can apply Fact 4. We then have

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \|\chi_{(k\epsilon, \infty)}(|f|)\|_{\Lambda_\psi} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \|\chi_{(k, \infty)}(h)\|_{\Lambda_\psi} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \psi(d_h(k)) \stackrel{F.4}{=} \|h\|_{\Lambda_\psi}.$$

Therefore, we have

$$(11) \quad \|V(g_1 - g_2)\|_{\Lambda_\psi} \leq \epsilon \|h\|_{\Lambda_\psi} = \|g_1 - g_2\|_{\Lambda_\psi} \leq \|f\|_{\Lambda_\psi} + \epsilon.$$

Hence, from (10) and (11), we arrive at

$$\|V(f)\|_{\Lambda_\psi} \leq \|V(g_1 - g_2)\|_{\Lambda_\psi} + \|V(f - g_1 + g_2)\|_{\Lambda_\psi} \leq \|f\|_{\Lambda_\psi} + \epsilon \|V\|_{\Lambda_\psi \rightarrow \Lambda_\psi}.$$

Since $\epsilon > 0$ is arbitrarily small, the assertion follows. □

The following three lemmas provide key estimates for the norm of the operator $A_n, n \geq 1$, and for the norms $\|A_n f\|_{2,q}, 1 \leq q < 2, n \geq 1$, for an arbitrary fixed element $f \in L_{2,q}(0, 1)$. The notation c_{abs} stands for an absolute constant (whose value may change from line to line).

Lemma 6. *Let $A_n : L_{2,1}(0, 1) \rightarrow L_{2,1}((0, 1)^2)$, then*

$$(12) \quad \|A_n\|_{L_{2,1} \rightarrow L_{2,1}} \leq c_{abs} \log(en), \quad n \geq 1.$$

Proof. Let $A \subset (0, 1)$ be measurable. By Proposition 2.d.1 in [9] and Lemma 3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|A_n(\chi_A)\|_{2,1} &= \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n k^{-\frac{1}{2}} (\chi_A \circ \gamma_k) \otimes r_k \right\|_{2,1} \leq c_{abs} \left\| \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k} (\chi_A \circ \gamma_k)^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{2,1} \\ &= c_{abs} m(A)^{\frac{1}{2}} \log(en) = c_{abs} \log(en) \|\chi_A\|_{2,1}. \end{aligned}$$

If A and B are Lebesgue measurable subsets of $(0, 1)$ such that $A \cap B = \emptyset$, then

$$\|A_n(\chi_A - \chi_B)\|_{2,1} \leq 2\|A_n(\chi_{A \cup B})\|_{2,1} \leq 2c_{abs} \log(en) \|\chi_{A \cup B}\|_{2,1},$$

and we conclude that (12) holds, thanks to Lemma 5. \square

Lemma 7. *Let $A_n : L_{2,q}(0, 1) \rightarrow L_{2,q}((0, 1)^2)$, for $1 \leq q \leq 2$. Then*

$$(13) \quad \|A_n\|_{L_{2,q} \rightarrow L_{2,q}} \leq c_{abs} \log^{\frac{1}{q}}(en), \quad n \geq 1.$$

Proof. It follows from Theorem 5.2.4 in [2] that $[L_{2,1}, L_2]_{\frac{2q-2}{q}, q} = L_{2,q}$. Using Proposition 2.g.15 in [9] we obtain

$$(14) \quad \|A_n\|_{L_{2,q} \rightarrow L_{2,q}} \leq \|A_n\|_{L_{2,1} \rightarrow L_{2,1}}^{\frac{2-q}{q}} \|A_n\|_{L_2 \rightarrow L_2}^{\frac{2q-2}{q}}.$$

Clearly,

$$(15) \quad \|A_n\|_{L_2 \rightarrow L_2} = \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \log^{\frac{1}{2}}(en).$$

From (14) and (15) and Lemma 6 we infer that

$$\|A_n\|_{L_{2,q} \rightarrow L_{2,q}} \leq c_{abs} \left(\log(en) \right)^{\frac{2-q}{q}} \cdot \left(\log^{\frac{1}{2}}(en) \right)^{\frac{2q-2}{q}} = c_{abs} \log^{\frac{1}{q}}(en).$$

\square

Lemma 8. *For every $f \in L_{2,q}(0, 1)$, $1 \leq q < 2$, we have*

$$\|A_n f\|_{2,q} = o(\log^{\frac{1}{q}}(en)), \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Proof. Without loss of generality, we assume that $f \geq 0$ and fix $\epsilon > 0$. Since $L_{2,q}$ is separable, it follows that there exists $t > 0$ with $\|f^*(t)\chi_{(0,t)}\|_{2,q} \leq \epsilon$. Set $f_1 = (f - f^*(t))_+$ and $f_2 = \min\{f, f^*(t)\}$. It is immediate that

$$(16) \quad \|A_n f\|_{2,q} \leq \|A_n(f_1)\|_{2,q} + \|A_n(f_2)\|_{2,q}.$$

By Lemma 7, we have

$$(17) \quad \|A_n(f_1)\|_{2,q} \leq c_{abs} \log(en) \|f_1\|_{2,q} \leq c_{abs} \epsilon \log^{\frac{1}{q}}(en).$$

By Proposition 2.d.1 in [9], we have

$$(18) \quad \begin{aligned} \|A_n(f_2)\|_{2,q} &\leq c_{abs} \left\| \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k} (f_2 \circ \gamma_k)^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{2,q} \leq c_{abs} \left\| \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k} (f_2 \circ \gamma_k)^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{\infty} \\ &= c_{abs} \left\| \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k} (f_2 \circ \gamma_k)^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{\infty} \leq c_{abs} \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k} \| (f_2 \circ \gamma_k)^2 \|_{\infty} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= c_{abs} \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k} (f^*(t))^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = c_{abs} f^*(t) \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq c_{abs} \log^{\frac{1}{2}}(en) f^*(t). \end{aligned}$$

From (16), (17), and (18) we have

$$\|A_n(f)\|_{2,q} \leq c_{abs} \log^{\frac{1}{2}}(en) f^*(t) + c_{abs} \epsilon \log^{\frac{1}{q}}(en).$$

Taking into account that $q < 2$, we obtain

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\log^{\frac{1}{q}}(en)} \|A_n f\|_{2,q} \leq c_{abs} \epsilon.$$

Since $\epsilon > 0$ is arbitrarily small, the assertion follows. □

4. MAIN RESULTS

In the proof, $A \approx B$ means that $c^{-1}A \leq B \leq cA$ for some constant c which only depends on the isomorphic embedding.

Theorem 9. *If $1 \leq q < 2$, then the Banach space $l_{2,q}$ does not isomorphically embed into $L_{2,q}(0, 1)$.*

Proof. Suppose the contrary, that is, there exists T , the required embedding. Let $\{e_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ be the standard unit basis in $l_{2,q}$. Set $f_k = T(e_k), k \geq 1$. It is obvious that $\{f_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ is a basic sequence in $L_{2,q}(0, 1)$, which is equivalent to the basis $\{e_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ in $l_{2,q}$. Hence, for every $t \in (0, 1)$ and for every $\alpha \in l_{2,q}$, we have

$$\left\| \sum_{k \geq 1} \alpha_k r_k(t) f_k \right\|_{2,q} \approx \left\| \sum_{k \geq 1} \alpha_k r_k(t) e_k \right\|_{2,q} \approx \|\alpha\|_{2,q}.$$

Thus,

$$\int_0^1 \left\| \sum_{k \geq 1} \alpha_k r_k(t) f_k \right\|_{2,q} dt \approx \|\alpha\|_{2,q}.$$

By Theorem 1.d.6 and Proposition 2.d.1 in [9], we have

$$\int_0^1 \left\| \sum_{k \geq 1} \alpha_k r_k(t) f_k \right\|_{2,q} dt \approx \left\| \sum_{k \geq 1} \alpha_k f_k \otimes r_k \right\|_{2,q}$$

and therefore

$$(19) \quad \left\| \sum_{k \geq 1} \alpha_k f_k \otimes r_k \right\|_{2,q} \approx \|\alpha\|_{2,q}.$$

Since the sequence $\{f_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ is weakly null and $\inf_k \|f_k\|_{2,q} > 0$, it follows from Lemma 2 that we may further assume (passing to a subsequence, if necessary)² that $\{f_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ is an unconditional basic sequence satisfying

$$f_k = u_k + v_k, \quad k \geq 1,$$

where $\{u_k\}_{k=1}^\infty, \{v_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ are unconditional basic sequences in $L_{2,q}(0, 1)$, such that $u_k^* = u_1^*$ for all $k \geq 1$ (hence, there exists $u \in L_{2,q}$ and measure-preserving transformations $\gamma_k : (0, 1) \rightarrow (0, 1)$ such that $u_k = u \circ \gamma_k$ for all $k \geq 1$) and $v_k v_i = 0$ for all $k \neq i \geq 1$, in particular, $v_k \rightarrow 0$ in measure as $k \rightarrow \infty$.

Suppose that $v_k \not\rightarrow 0$ in the norm of $L_{2,q}(0, 1)$. Passing to a subsequence if needed, we may further assume (see Lemma 1) that the basic sequence $\{v_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ in $L_{2,q}$ is equivalent to the basis $\{e_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ in l_q . It follows from the triangle inequality that

$$(20) \quad \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n k^{-\frac{1}{2}} v_k \otimes r_k \right\|_{2,q} \leq \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n k^{-\frac{1}{2}} f_k \otimes r_k \right\|_{2,q} + \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n k^{-\frac{1}{2}} u_k \otimes r_k \right\|_{2,q}.$$

²We get rid of the third sequence by applying Proposition 1.a.9 in [8].

By (2), we have

$$(21) \quad \left\| \left\{ k^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right\}_{k=1}^n \right\|_{2,q} \approx \log^{\frac{1}{q}}(en), \quad n \geq 1,$$

and therefore we immediately infer from (19) that

$$\left\| \sum_{k=1}^n k^{-\frac{1}{2}} f_k \otimes r_k \right\|_{2,q} \approx \log^{\frac{1}{q}}(en), \quad n \geq 1.$$

Note that $u_k = u \circ \gamma_k$ and, hence, the second summand on the right hand side of (20) equals $\|A_n u\|_{2,q}$. Appealing to Lemma 6, we infer that this second summand is also $O(\log^{\frac{1}{q}}(en))$ when $n \rightarrow \infty$. So we obtain from (20)

$$(22) \quad \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n k^{-\frac{1}{2}} v_k \otimes r_k \right\|_{2,q} = O(\log^{\frac{1}{q}}(en)), \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

However,

$$\left\| \sum_{k=1}^n k^{-\frac{1}{2}} v_k \otimes r_k \right\|_{2,q} \approx \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n k^{-\frac{1}{2}} v_k \right\|_{2,q} \approx \left\| \left\{ k^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right\}_{k=1}^n \right\|_q \approx n^{\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{2}}.$$

Combining the equivalences above with (22), we arrive at

$$n^{\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{2}} = O(\log^{\frac{1}{q}}(en)), \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Taking into account the fact that $q < 2$, we obtain a contradiction, which shows that $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|v_k\|_{2,q} = 0$.

Again appealing to Proposition 1.a.9 in [8], we may further assume without loss of generality that $v_k = 0$, $k \geq 1$. Now, combining (21) with (19), we arrive at

$$(23) \quad \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n k^{-\frac{1}{2}} u_k \otimes r_k \right\|_{2,q} \approx \log^{\frac{1}{q}}(en), \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Recalling that $u_k = u \circ \gamma_k$, the equivalence (23) can be rewritten as

$$\|A_n u\|_{2,q} \approx \log^{\frac{1}{q}}(en), \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

This contradiction with Lemma 8 completes the proof. \square

For every $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$, $t \in (0, \infty)$ we define

$$(24) \quad r_{n,k}(t) := \begin{cases} r_n(t - k + 1), & t \in (k - 1, k], \\ 0, & \text{elsewhere,} \end{cases}$$

where $r_n(t)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $t \in (0, 1)$ are the Rademacher functions. Let $\mathbf{r} := \{r_{n,k}\}_{n,k \in \mathbb{N}}$.

Theorem 10. *Let $[r_{n,k}]_{k,n=1}^\infty$ be the closed linear subspace of $L_{2,q}(0, \infty)$ spanned by the system \mathbf{r} . If $1 \leq q < 2$, then $[r_{n,k}]_{k,n=1}^\infty$ does not isomorphically embed into $L_{2,q}(0, 1) \oplus l_{2,q}$.*

Proof. The proof is essentially a verbatim repetition of the arguments given in the proof of [7, Theorem 11]. One needs only to consistently replace the references to [7, Theorem 10] and [7, Corollary 8] there with references to our Theorem 9. We omit further details. \square

Corollary 11. *If $1 \leq q < 2$, then the space $L_{2,q}(0, 1) \oplus l_{2,q}$ does not contain a closed subspace which is isomorphic to $L_{2,q}(0, \infty)$.*

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors thank E. Semenov and D. Zanin for detailed discussions and assistance in the preparation of this article.

REFERENCES

- [1] S. V. Astashkin and F. A. Sukochev, *Banach-Saks property in Marcinkiewicz spaces*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **336** (2007), no. 2, 1231–1258. MR2353012
- [2] Jöran Bergh and Jörgen Löfström, *Interpolation spaces. An introduction*, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, No. 223, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1976. MR0482275
- [3] N. L. Carothers and S. J. Dilworth, *Subspaces of $L_{p,q}$* , Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **104** (1988), no. 2, 537–545. MR962825
- [4] S. J. Dilworth, *Special Banach lattices and their applications*, Handbook of the geometry of Banach spaces, Vol. I, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 2001, pp. 497–532. MR1863700
- [5] P. G. Dodds, E. M. Semenov, and F. A. Sukochev, *The Banach-Saks property in rearrangement invariant spaces*, Studia Math. **162** (2004), no. 3, 263–294. MR2047655
- [6] S. G. Kreĭn, Yu. Ī. Petunĭn, and E. M. Semĕnov, *Interpolation of linear operators*, translated from the Russian by J. Szűcs, Translations of Mathematical Monographs, vol. 54, American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I., 1982. MR649411
- [7] A. Kuryakov and F. Sukochev, *Isomorphic classification of $L_{p,q}$ -spaces*, J. Funct. Anal. **269** (2015), no. 8, 2611–2630. MR3390012
- [8] Joram Lindenstrauss and Lior Tzafriri, *Classical Banach spaces. I*, Sequence spaces, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, Vol. 92, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1977. MR0500056
- [9] Joram Lindenstrauss and Lior Tzafriri, *Classical Banach spaces. II*, Function spaces, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas], vol. 97, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1979. MR540367
- [10] E. M. Semĕnov and F. A. Sukochev, *The Banach-Saks index* (Russian, with Russian summary), Mat. Sb. **195** (2004), no. 2, 117–140; English transl., Sb. Math. **195** (2004), no. 1-2, 263–285. MR2068953

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS OF UZBEKISTAN ACADEMY OF SCIENCES, TASHKENT, 100084, UZBEKISTAN

Email address: sadovskaya-o@inbox.ru

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, UNIVERSITY OF NEW SOUTH WALES, SYDNEY, 2052, AUSTRALIA

Email address: f.sukochev@unsw.edu.au