ON THE OPTIMALLY DEFINED HARDY OPERATOR IN L^p-SPACES

WERNER J. RICKER

(Communicated by Thomas Schlumprecht)

ABSTRACT. For each $1 , the optimal extension of the classical Hardy operator from <math>L^p(\mathbb{R}^+)$ into itself has been identified by Delgado and Soria. By relaxing the target space to be $L^p_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^+)$ we determine the optimal Hardy operator which maps into this target space.

1. INTRODUCTION AND SUMMARY OF RESULTS

Let $f \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^+)$ with $\mathbb{R}^+ := [0, \infty)$. The Cesàro average of f is the function $Cf \in L^0(\mathbb{R}^+)$ defined by

(1.1)
$$Cf: x \mapsto \frac{1}{x} \int_0^x f(y) \, dy, \qquad x \in (0,\infty),$$

where $L^0(\mathbb{R}^+)$ is the space of all \mathbb{C} -valued, measurable functions defined on \mathbb{R}^+ . For some authors the linear map $C: f \mapsto Cf$ is called the (infinite range) *Cesàro operator*, [7], [8], [21], and for others it is called the *Hardy operator*, [6], [14], [16]. Evidently C is an integral operator with kernel $K(x, y) := \frac{1}{x}\chi_{(0,x]}(y)$ on $\mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^+$. The boundedness of the operator $C: L^p(\mathbb{R}^+) \to L^p(\mathbb{R}^+)$, for 1 , denoted $by <math>C_p$ for the sake of clarity, is due to G. H. Hardy, [17, p. 240], who showed that its operator norm is $||C_p||_{\text{op}} = p'$, where $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p'} = 1$. Considering C_p as an operator on the (complex) Banach function space (briefly, B.f.s.) $L^p(\mathbb{R}^+)$, it is clear from (1.1) that C_p is a positive operator, i.e., $C_p f \ge 0$ for every function $0 \le f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^+)$. The spectrum $\sigma(C_p)$ and the point spectrum $\sigma_{pt}(C_p)$ of C_p are known, [7, Theorem 2], [8], [21, p. 28]; namely,

(1.2)
$$\sigma(C_p) = \left\{ \lambda \in \mathbb{C} : |\lambda - \frac{p'}{2}| = \frac{p'}{2} \right\} \text{ and } \sigma_{pt}(C_p) = \emptyset.$$

At the level of individual functions we see that $C : L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^+) \to L^0(\mathbb{R}^+)$. Fixing the target space to be the B.f.s. $L^p(\mathbb{R}^+) \subseteq L^0(\mathbb{R}^+)$, 1 , Hardy's inequalityshows that <math>C maps $L^p(\mathbb{R}^+) \subseteq L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^+)$ continuously into this target space. Recalling that $L^p(\mathbb{R}^+)$ has order continuous norm, briefly o.c.-norm (i.e., order bounded, increasing sequences of \mathbb{R} -valued functions in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^+)$ are norm convergent), one may ask if there exists a *largest* B.f.s. (with o.c.-norm) inside $L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^+)$ which contains $L^p(\mathbb{R}^+)$ and which C maps continuously into the target space $L^p(\mathbb{R}^+)$. Clearly

Received by the editors July 28, 2017, and, in revised form, October 30, 2017.

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 46E30, 47A67; Secondary 46G10, 47B38. Key words and phrases. Hardy operator, L_{loc}^{p} -space, optimal domain, vector measure, integral

representation.

this is the B.f.s.

(1.3)
$$[C_p, L^p(\mathbb{R}^+)] := \left\{ f \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^+) : C|f| \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^+) \right\}$$

equipped with the norm $||f|| := ||C|f||_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^+)}$. An alternate description of this largest (or optimal) domain space is presented in [14, Proposition 3.4 & Example 3.5]. Since the appearance of [14], several authors have made a detailed analysis of the spaces (1.3) and exposed many of their Banach space properties; see, for example, [2], [3], [4], [19], [22], [23] and the references therein.

This note is inspired by an alternate description of the space (1.3) which is also presented in [14, §3]. Considering the δ -ring of sets

$$\mathcal{R} := \{ A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^+) : \mu(A) < \infty \text{ and } \exists \epsilon > 0 \text{ with } \mu(A \cap [0, \epsilon]) = 0 \},\$$

where $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^+)$ is the σ -algebra of Borel subsets of \mathbb{R}^+ and μ is Lebesgue measure, it is shown that the finitely additive set function

$$\nu_p: A \mapsto C_p \chi_A, \qquad A \in \mathcal{R},$$

is actually σ -additive on \mathcal{R} , i.e., ν_p is an $L^p(\mathbb{R}^+)$ -valued vector measure on \mathcal{R} . Via the theory of vector measures defined on δ -rings (see [12], [13], [25], [26], [27], and the references therein) it is shown that there is a B.f.s. $L^1(\nu_p)$, consisting of all the ν_p -integrable functions, having o.c.-norm in which the \mathcal{R} -simple functions are dense and such that $L^p(\mathbb{R}^+) \subseteq L^1(\nu_p)$ with a continuous inclusion, [14, Proposition 2.3 & Example 3.5]. Moreover, the associated integration map $I_{\nu_p}: L^1(\nu_p) \to L^p(\mathbb{R}^+)$, given by $I_{\nu_p}(f) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} f \, d\nu_p$, for $f \in L^1(\nu_p)$, is linear, continuous and provides an integral representation of C_p ; namely,

(1.4)
$$I_{\nu_p}(f) = C_p f = \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} f d\nu_p, \qquad f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^+) \subseteq L^1(\nu_p),$$

i.e., I_{ν_p} is an $L^p(\mathbb{R}^+)$ -valued, linear extension of C_p , [13, Corollary 2.4]. Moreover, $L^1(\nu_p)$ is optimal with these properties. So,

(1.5)
$$L^1(\nu_p) = [C_p, L^p(\mathbb{R}^+)],$$

[14, Example 3.5]. According to [14, Proposition 2.3], the containment $L^p(\mathbb{R}^+) \subseteq L^1(\nu_p)$, $1 , is proper, i.e., <math>I_{\nu_p}$ genuinely extends C_p .

The above description of the optimal domain $[C_p, L^p(\mathbb{R}^+)]$ for C_p via the vector measure ν_p defined on the δ -ring \mathcal{R} is forced by the fact that there exist sets $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^+)$ for which $\chi_A \notin L^p(\mathbb{R}^+)$, and so C_p does not act on χ_A . However, since $\chi_A \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^+)$, the function $C\chi_A$ is surely well defined via (1.1) and belongs to $L^0(\mathbb{R}^+)$; actually, it clearly belongs to $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+)$ for every $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^+)$. Since integration with respect to vector measures defined over the more traditional class of σ -algebras is better understood and has significant advantages to the more intricate and involved theory based on δ -rings, it seems that a consideration of the Fréchet function space (briefly, F.f.s.) $L^p_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^+) \subseteq L^0(\mathbb{R}^+)$ as the target space for C (instead of the B.f.s. $L^p(\mathbb{R}^+)$) is worthwhile and not without interest. Recall that $L^p_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^+)$, $1 , is the F.f.s. consisting of all functions <math>f \in L^0(\mathbb{R}^+)$ satisfying

(1.6)
$$q_j(f) := \left(\int_0^j |f(x)|^p \, dx\right)^{1/p} < \infty \qquad \forall j \in \mathbb{N},$$

and is endowed with the metrizable, locally convex topology generated by the fundamental, increasing sequence of seminorms $\{q_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$. It is important to note that each q_j , for $j \in \mathbb{N}$, is a *Riesz seminorm*, meaning that $q_j(f) \leq q_j(g)$ whenever

4694

 $f,g \in L^p_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^+)$ satisfy $|f| \leq |g|$ on \mathbb{R}^+ (pointwise μ -a.e.), and that $L^p_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^+)$ is an *ideal* of functions in $L^0(\mathbb{R}^+)$, i.e., $f \in L^0(\mathbb{R}^+)$ and $g \in L^p_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^+)$ with $|f| \leq |g|$ implies that $f \in L^p_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^+)$. By the definition of the seminorms (1.6) and Hardy's inequality, [17, p. 240], the positive linear operator $C : L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^+) \to L^0(\mathbb{R}^+)$ maps the F.f.s. $L^p_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^+)$, $1 , continuously into itself; this operator will be denoted by <math>C_{[p]}$. An important feature is that the F.f.s. $L^p_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^+)$ has a *Lebesgue topology* (i.e., sequences of $[0,\infty)$ -valued functions in $L^p_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^+)$ which decrease to 0 μ -a.e. are convergent to 0 in the topology of $L^p_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^+)$). One may again ask: Is there an *optimal* domain space for $C_{[p]}$ lying within $L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^+)$, having a Lebesgue topology and containing $L^p_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^+)$, to which $C_{[p]} : L^p_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^+) \to L^p_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^+)$ has a linear, continuous, $L^p_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^+)$ -valued extension?

For Banach function spaces and vector measures defined on σ -algebras an existing theory is available; see [9], [30], and the references therein. This theory has recently been extended to the setting of *Fréchet* function spaces, [5], which we will make use of in determining the optimal extension of $C_{[p]} : L^p_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^+) \to L^p_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^+)$, $1 . A relevant aspect is that the spectrum of <math>C_{[p]}$ (acting in $L^p_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^+)$) is significantly different from that of C_p (acting in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^+)$); see (1.2). Namely,

(1.7)
$$\sigma_{pt}(C_{[p]}) = \left\{ \lambda \in \mathbb{C} : |\lambda - \frac{p'}{2}| < \frac{p'}{2} \right\} \text{ and } \sigma(C_{[p]}) = \overline{\sigma_{pt}(C_{[p]})},$$

[1, Theorem 4.2]. This will generate some new features.

Let us summarize our main results. For fixed 1 , define

(1.8)
$$[C_{[p]}, L^p_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^+)] := \{ f \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^+) : C|f| \in L^p_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^+) \}.$$

It turns out that the set function $m_p: \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^+) \to L^p_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^+)$ given by

(1.9)
$$m_p(A) := C\chi_A, \qquad A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^+),$$

is a σ -additive vector measure on the σ -algebra $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^+)$; see the discussion prior to and after Proposition 3.1. Associated with m_p is its space $L^1(m_p)$ of all m_p integrable functions; it is an F.f.s. only (in particular, $f \in L^1(m_p)$ whenever $f \in L^0(\mathbb{R}^+)$ and $0 \leq g \in L^1(m_p)$ satisfy $|f| \leq g$) with a Lebesgue topology and contains $L^p_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^+)$ continuously. Moreover, the integration operator $I_{m_p}: L^1(m_p) \to$ $L^p_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^+)$ given by

(1.10)
$$I_{m_p}(f) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} f \, dm_p, \qquad f \in L^1(m_p),$$

is linear, continuous and an extension of $C_{[p]}$ from $L_{loc}^{p}(\mathbb{R}^{+})$ to $L^{1}(m_{p})$, which provides an *integral representation* of $C_{[p]}$. In fact, $L^{1}(m_{p})$ is the *largest* F.f.s. over $(\mathbb{R}^{+}, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^{+}), \mu)$ with a Lebesgue topology and containing $L_{loc}^{p}(\mathbb{R}^{+})$ to which $C_{[p]}$ has a continuous, $L_{loc}^{p}(\mathbb{R}^{+})$ -valued, linear extension (namely, $I_{m_{p}}$). In addition, it turns out that

(1.11)
$$L^{1}(m_{p}) = \left[C_{[p]}, L^{p}_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^{+})\right]$$

and that

(1.12)
$$I_{m_p}(f) = Cf, \qquad f \in L^1(m_p);$$

see Theorem 3.3. Moreover, both the containments

(1.13)
$$L^p_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^+) \subseteq L^1(m_p) \subseteq L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^+)$$

hold (cf. Corollary 3.4) and are *proper* and continuous; see Corollary 3.7 and Proposition 3.8. In view of (1.3), (1.5) and the containment $L^p(\mathbb{R}^+) \subseteq L^p_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^+)$ we have

(1.14)
$$L^1(\nu_p) \subseteq L^1(m_p).$$

It is also the case (see Corollary 3.5) that

$$I_{m_p}(f) = I_{\nu_p}(f), \qquad f \in L^1(\nu_p).$$

In particular, $I_{\nu_p}(f) = Cf$, for $f \in L^1(\nu_p)$, which extends (1.4). Moreover, the containment (1.14) is proper; see the discussion after Proposition 3.8. A curious feature is that $L^1(\nu_p) \not\subseteq L^p_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^+)$ and conversely, that $L^p_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^+) \not\subseteq L^1(\nu_p)$. The second containment in (1.13), valid for each $p \in (1, \infty)$, is actually stronger; namely,

$$\bigcup_{1$$

see Corollary 3.7. This is a consequence of (1.7), which implies that $L^1(m_p) \subsetneq L^1(m_q)$ whenever $1 < q < p < \infty$; see Proposition 3.6.

2. Integral extension of the Hardy operator C_p

In addition to \mathcal{R} there are two further δ -rings which are natural candidates for generating an integral representation for $C_p: L^p(\mathbb{R}^+) \longrightarrow L^p(\mathbb{R}^+)$. Indeed, consider the δ -ring of sets $\mathcal{R}_f := \{A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^+) : \mu(A) < \infty\}$, in which case $\mathcal{R} \subsetneq \mathcal{R}_f$ and

(2.1)
$$\left\{\chi_A: A \in \mathcal{R}_f\right\} \subseteq L^1(\nu_p), \qquad p \in (1, \infty).$$

To see this note that if $A \in \mathcal{R}_f$, then $\chi_A \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^+)$ and hence, also $C_p\chi_A \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^+)$. According to (1.3) and (1.5) it follows that $\chi_A \in L^1(\nu_p)$. This establishes (2.1). Clearly, the finitely additive set function $\tilde{\nu}_p : \mathcal{R}_f \to L^p(\mathbb{R}^+)$ defined by

(2.2)
$$\widetilde{\nu}_p(A) := I_{\nu_p}(\chi_A), \qquad A \in \mathcal{R}_f,$$

agrees with ν_p on $\mathcal{R} \subseteq \mathcal{R}_f$. The following fact is recorded in [14, Remark 3.3]; we include a proof for the sake of completeness.

Proposition 2.1. For each $1 , the set function <math>\tilde{\nu}_p : \mathcal{R}_f \to L^p(\mathbb{R}^+)$ is σ -additive.

Proof. Let $\{A(n)\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subseteq \mathcal{R}_f$ be pairwise disjoint sets with $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A(n) =: A \in \mathcal{R}_f$. Then $\chi_{A \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^n A(i)} \downarrow 0 \ \nu_p$ -a.e. Since $L^1(\nu_p)$ has o.c.-norm, [12, Section 2], it follows that $\chi_{\bigcup_{i=1}^n A(i)} \to \chi_A$ in $L^1(\nu_p)$ for $n \to \infty$. By continuity of the integration operator $I_{\nu_p} : L^1(\nu_p) \to L^p(\mathbb{R}^+)$, [12, p. 434], the limit

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \widetilde{\nu}_p(A(k)) = \lim_{n \to \infty} I_{\nu_p} \left(\chi_{\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} A(i)} \right) = I_{\nu_p}(\chi_A) = \widetilde{\nu}_p(A),$$

exists in the norm of $L^p(\mathbb{R}^+)$ and equals $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \widetilde{\nu}_p(A(k))$.

Another natural δ -ring to consider is $\mathcal{R}_b := \{A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^+) : A \text{ is bounded} \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^+\}$. Note that $\mathcal{R} \not\subseteq \mathcal{R}_b$ and $\mathcal{R}_b \not\subseteq \mathcal{R}$. Given any δ -ring $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^+)$, let \mathcal{S}^{loc} denote the σ -algebra of all sets $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^+)$ such that $A \cap B \in \mathcal{S}$ for every $B \in \mathcal{S}$. Observe that $\mathcal{R}^{loc} = (\mathcal{R}_b)^{loc} = (\mathcal{R}_f)^{loc} = \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^+)$, even though $\mathcal{R} \subsetneq \mathcal{R}_f$ and $\mathcal{R}_b \gneqq \mathcal{R}_f$. Since $\mathcal{R} \subseteq \mathcal{R}_f$ and $\mathcal{R}^{loc} = (\mathcal{R}_f)^{loc}$, it is clear from [12, p. 433] that the variation measure $|\langle \nu_p, g \rangle|$ (resp., $|\langle \tilde{\nu}_p, g \rangle|$) of each scalar measure $\langle \nu_p, g \rangle : A \mapsto \langle \nu_p(A), g \rangle$,

 $A \in \mathcal{R}$ (resp., $\langle \tilde{\nu}_p, g \rangle : A \mapsto \langle \tilde{\nu}_p(A), g \rangle$, $A \in \mathcal{R}_f$), for each g in the dual Banach space $(L^p(\mathbb{R}^+))^* = L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^+)$, satisfies

(2.3)
$$|\langle \nu_p, g \rangle|(A) \le |\langle \widetilde{\nu}_p, g \rangle|(A), \qquad A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^+).$$

Recall that

$$L^1_w(\nu_p) := \left\{ f \in L^0(\mathbb{R}^+) : \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} |f| \ d|\langle \nu_p, g \rangle| < \infty \ \forall g \in L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^+) \right\},$$

with $L^1_w(\tilde{\nu}_p)$ defined similarly, [12, p. 434]. Moreover, for $f \in L^1_w(\nu_p)$ (resp., $f \in L^1_w(\tilde{\nu}_p)$) its norm is defined by

(2.4)
$$\|f\|_{L^1_w(\nu_p)} := \sup \Big\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} |f| \ d|\langle \nu_p, g\rangle| : \|g\|_{L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^+)} \le 1 \Big\},$$

respectively, by

(2.5)
$$||f||_{L^1_w(\widetilde{\nu}_p)} := \sup \Big\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} |f| \ d|\langle \widetilde{\nu}_p, g \rangle| : ||g||_{L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^+)} \le 1 \Big\}.$$

Since the reflexive Banach space $L^p(\mathbb{R}^+)$ contains no isomorphic copy of c_0 , it is known that $L^1(\nu_p) = L^1_w(\nu_p)$ and that $L^1(\widetilde{\nu}_p) = L^1_w(\widetilde{\nu}_p)$, with the norms in $L^1(\nu_p)$ and $L^1(\widetilde{\nu}_p)$ given by (2.4) and (2.5), respectively, [25, Theorem 5.1]. In view of (2.3) it is then clear that $L^1(\widetilde{\nu}_p) \subseteq L^1(\nu_p)$ with $\|f\|_{L^1(\nu_p)} \leq \|f\|_{L^1(\widetilde{\nu}_p)}$, for $f \in L^1(\widetilde{\nu}_p)$.

Recall if $\varphi = \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i \chi_{A(i)}$ is an \mathcal{R} -simple function (resp., \mathcal{R}_f -simple function), then $\varphi \in L^1(\nu_p)$ (resp., $\varphi \in L^1(\tilde{\nu}_p)$) with $\int_A \varphi \, d\nu_p := \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i \nu_p (A \cap A(i))$ (resp., $\int_A \varphi \, d\tilde{\nu}_p := \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i \tilde{\nu}_p (A \cap A(i))$), for each $A \in \mathcal{R}^{loc} = \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^+)$ (resp., each $A \in (\mathcal{R}_f)^{loc} = \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^+)$), [12, p. 434]. In particular, if φ is \mathcal{R} -simple, then it is also \mathcal{R}_f simple and $\int_A \varphi \, d\nu_p = \int_A \varphi \, d\tilde{\nu}_p$, for $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^+)$. Now, let $f \in L^1(\nu_p)$. According to [12, Proposition 2.3] there is a sequence $\{\varphi_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ of \mathcal{R} -simple functions such that $\varphi_n \to f$ (μ -a.e.) on \mathbb{R}^+ and $\{\int_A \varphi_n \, d\nu_p\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ converges in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^+)$, for each $A \in \mathcal{R}^{loc}$. Then $\{\varphi_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is also a sequence of \mathcal{R}_f -simple functions and, via (2.2) and the previous comments, satisfies $\int_A \varphi_n \, d\tilde{\nu}_p \, d\tilde{\nu}_p$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and all $A \in \mathcal{R}^{loc} = (\mathcal{R}_f)^{loc}$. In particular, $\{\int_A \varphi_n \, d\tilde{\nu}_p\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ converges in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^+)$, for each $A \in (\mathcal{R}_f)^{loc}$. Applying Proposition 2.3 of [12] to $\tilde{\nu}_p : \mathcal{R}_f \to L^p(\mathbb{R}^+)$ it follows that $f \in L^1(\tilde{\nu}_p)$. Hence, $L^1(\nu_p) \subseteq L^1(\tilde{\nu}_p)$. So, we have established the following fact which shows that no extra $\tilde{\nu}_p$ -integrable functions are generated. Of course, $\tilde{\nu}_p$ is just ν_p but considered as being defined on the *larger* δ -ring $\mathcal{R}_f \supseteq \mathcal{R}$.

Proposition 2.2. For each 1 , we have

$$L^1(\nu_p) = L^1(\widetilde{\nu}_p) = [C_p, L^p(\mathbb{R}^+)].$$

Let us return to the δ -ring \mathcal{R}_b . Since $\mathcal{R}_b \subseteq \mathcal{R}_f$, Proposition 2.1 implies that $\hat{\nu}_p : \mathcal{R}_b \to L^p(\mathbb{R}^+)$ defined by

$$\widehat{\nu}_p(A) := I_{\nu_p}(\chi_A), \qquad A \in \mathcal{R}_b,$$

is σ -additive and agrees with $\tilde{\nu}_p$ restricted to $\mathcal{R}_b \subseteq \mathcal{R}_f$. This also follows from Proposition 3.1(a) of [13] because the (non-negative) Hardy kernel $K(x,y) := \frac{1}{x}\chi_{(0,x]}(y)$ on $\mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^+$ is admissible in the sense of [13, Section 3], i.e., $\int_A K(x,y) \, dy$ $< \infty$ for each $x \in (0,\infty)$ and $A \in \mathcal{R}_b$. As already noted, $(\mathcal{R}_b)^{loc} = (\mathcal{R}_f)^{loc}$ even though $\mathcal{R}_b \subsetneq \mathcal{R}_f$. The same argument used to prove Proposition 2.2 can also be applied to the pair of vector measures $\hat{\nu}_p : \mathcal{R}_b \to L^p(\mathbb{R}^+)$ and $\tilde{\nu}_p : \mathcal{R}_f \to L^p(\mathbb{R}^+)$ (in place of the pair $\nu_p : \mathcal{R} \to L^p(\mathbb{R}^+)$ and $\tilde{\nu}_p : \mathcal{R}_f \to L^p(\mathbb{R}^+)$) to establish the following result.

Proposition 2.3. For each 1 , we have

$$L^1(\widehat{\nu}_p) = L^1(\widetilde{\nu}_p) = [C_p, L^p(\mathbb{R}^+)].$$

It is immediate from Propositions 2.2 and 2.3 that

(2.6)
$$L^1(\nu_p) = L^1(\widehat{\nu}_p) = L^1(\widetilde{\nu}_p) = [C_p, L^p(\mathbb{R}^+)], \quad 1$$

A pleasant consequence of (2.6) is that the "same" vector measure $A \mapsto C_p \chi_A$, when interpreted to be defined on any one of the three distinct δ -rings $\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{R}_b, \mathcal{R}_f$, generates in each case the same optimal domain space $[C_p, L^p(\mathbb{R}^+)]$ and the same integral representation of C_p . It is also worth noting that $\tilde{\nu}_p$ cannot be extended to an $L^p(\mathbb{R}^+)$ -valued vector measure defined on the σ -algebra $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^+)$. Indeed, since $0 \leq \chi_{[0,a]} \leq C_p \chi_{[0,a]}$ we have

$$\|\tilde{\nu}_p([0,a])\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^+)} = \|C_p\chi_{[0,a]}\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^+)} \ge \|\chi_{[0,a]}\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^+)} = a^{1/p} \quad \forall a > 0$$

Hence, the range $\tilde{\nu}_p(\mathcal{R}_f)$ of $\tilde{\nu}_p$ is an unbounded subset of $L^p(\mathbb{R}^+)$. Since the range of every σ -additive, Banach-space-valued vector measure defined on a σ -algebra is a bounded set, [15, p. 14 Corollary 7], it follows that $\tilde{\nu}_p$ does not have a σ -additive extension to $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^+)$.

3. Optimal extension of the Hardy operator $C_{[p]}$

With the choice of the B.f.s. $L^p(\mathbb{R}^+) \subseteq L^0(\mathbb{R}^+)$ as the target space for $C : L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^+) \to L^0(\mathbb{R}^+)$ it is not possible to exhibit an integral representation for $C_p : L^p(\mathbb{R}^+) \to L^p(\mathbb{R}^+)$ via an $L^p(\mathbb{R}^+)$ -valued vector measure based on the σ -algebra $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^+) = \mathcal{R}^{loc} = (\mathcal{R}_f)^{loc}$. However, if the F.f.s. $L^p_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^+)$, $1 , is taken as the target space for <math>C : L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^+) \to L^0(\mathbb{R}^+)$, then this phenomenon disappears. In order to investigate this situation we summarize some relevant aspects from the theory of integration with respect to Fréchet-space-valued vector measures defined on σ -algebras.

Let X be a (complex) locally convex Fréchet space with $\{q_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ a fundamental (i.e., $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} q_n^{-1}(\{0\}) = \{0\}$), increasing sequence of seminorms generating the locally convex topology of X. The *topological dual space* of X, consisting of all continuous linear functionals on X, is denoted by X^* . Let $m : \Sigma \to X$ be a vector measure defined on a σ -algebra of subsets Σ of a non-empty set Ω ; this will always mean that m is σ -additive on Σ . For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the q_n -semivariation of m is the subadditive set function $q_n(m) : \Sigma \to [0, \infty)$ defined by

$$q_n(m)(A) := \sup_{x^* \in U_{q_n}^{\circ}} |\langle m, x^* \rangle|(A), \qquad A \in \Sigma,$$

where $|\langle m, x^* \rangle|$ is the variation measure of the complex measure $\langle m, x^* \rangle : A \mapsto \langle m(A), x^* \rangle$, $A \in \Sigma$, for each $x^* \in X^*$, and $U_{q_n}^{\circ} := \{x^* \in X^* : |\langle x, x^* \rangle| \leq 1 \text{ for all } x \in U_q\}$ is the polar set of $U_{q_n} := q_n^{-1}([0,1])$. A set $A \in \Sigma$ is called *m*-null if $q_n(m)(A) = 0$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

A \mathbb{C} -valued, Σ -measurable function f on Ω is called *m*-integrable if (I-1) $\int_{\Omega} |f| d|\langle m, x^* \rangle| < \infty$, for all $x^* \in X^*$, and

4698

(I-2) for each $A \in \Sigma$ there exists a vector $\int_A f \, dm \in X$ satisfying

$$\left\langle \int_A f \ dm, x^* \right\rangle = \int_A f \ d\langle m, x^* \rangle, \qquad x^* \in X^*;$$

see [20], [24]. If f only satisfies (I-1), then it is called *scalarly m-integrable*. Two Σ -measurable functions are identified if they are equal *m*-a.e. The space $L_w^1(m)$ of all (classes of) scalarly *m*-integrable functions becomes an F.f.s. when equipped with the sequence of Riesz seminorms

$$\widetilde{q}_n(f) := \sup_{x^* \in U_{q_n}^\circ} \int_{\Omega} |f| \ d|\langle m, x^* \rangle|, \qquad f \in L^1_w(m) \quad \forall \, n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

It turns out that the space $L^1(m)$ consisting of all *m*-integrable functions is a closed subspace of $L^1_w(m)$, is itself an F.f.s. and contains the space sim Σ of all Σ -simple functions as a dense subspace. As standard references we refer to [10], [20], when Xis a real Fréchet space (with all Σ -measurable functions in $L^0(\Sigma)$ being \mathbb{R} -valued) and to [18] for Fréchet spaces over \mathbb{C} . If X does not contain an isomorphic copy of the Banach sequence space c_0 , then necessarily $L^1_w(m) = L^1(m)$, [25, Theorem 5.1]. Moreover, $L^1(m)$ always has a Lebesgue topology; this follows from [18, Proposition 1(iv)]. The integration operator $I_m : L^1(m) \to X$, defined by

$$I_m(f) := \int_{\Omega} f \, dm, \qquad f \in L^1(m),$$

is always linear and continuous. This follows from the inequalities

$$\sup_{A \in \Sigma} q_n \left(\int_A f \, dm \right) \le \widetilde{q}_n(f) \le 4 \sup_{A \in \Sigma} q_n \left(\int_A f \, dm \right), \qquad f \in L^1(m),$$

valid for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, [24].

Let (Ω, Σ, μ) be a positive, σ -finite measure space and let $X(\mu)$ be an F.f.s. over (Ω, Σ, μ) ; for the definition see, for example, [10], [11], when $X(\mu)$ is a real space, and [5, Section 2.3] for $X(\mu)$ a complex space. Given a Fréchet space X, an F.f.s. $X(\mu)$ containing sim Σ , and a continuous linear operator $T: X(\mu) \to X$, the finitely additive set function $m_T: \Sigma \to X$ defined by $m_T(A) := T(\chi_A)$, for $A \in \Sigma$, is called the vector measure associated with T; it is necessarily σ -additive if $X(\mu)$ has a Lebesgue topology. Whenever m_T is σ -additive the operator T is called μ -determined if m_T and μ have the same null sets. The following *Optimal Domain Theorem* occurs in [5, Theorem 3.3.1]. For $X(\mu)$ a B.f.s. over a finite, positive measure space and X a Banach space, this result first occurred in [9]; see also [30, Ch.4].

Proposition 3.1. Let (Ω, Σ, μ) be a positive, σ -finite measure space. Let X be a Fréchet space, $X(\mu)$ be an F.f.s. over (Ω, Σ, μ) with a Lebesgue topology such that $\sin \Sigma \subseteq X(\mu)$ and let $T : X(\mu) \to X$ be a μ -determined, continuous linear operator. Then $L^1(m_T)$ is the largest amongst all F.f.s.' over (Ω, Σ, μ) having a Lebesgue topology into which $X(\mu)$ is continuously included and to which T admits an X-valued, continuous linear extension. Moreover, such an extension of T is unique and is precisely the integration operator $I_{m_T} : L^1(m_T) \to X$, i.e.,

$$I_{m_T}(f) = T(f) = \int_{\Omega} f dm_T, \qquad f \in X(\mu).$$

We will require the above notions and facts for the particular setting of the σ -finite measure space $(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^+), \mu)$ with $X = X(\mu) = L^p_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^+)$, for any fixed $1 , and with <math>T: X(\mu) \to X$ being the Hardy operator $C_{[p]}$. The associated measure m_T is then precisely $m_p : \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^+) \to L^p_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^+)$; see (1.9). Clearly, $\sin \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^+) \subseteq X(\mu)$. Since each B.f.s. $L^p([0, j])$, for $j \in \mathbb{N}$, has o.c.-norm, it is routine to verify that $X(\mu)$ has a Lebesgue topology, [5, Example 2.3.2(iv)]. Consequently, m_p is σ -additive. It only remains to check that m_p and μ have the same null sets. This was already implicitly assumed to be so for ν_p and μ ; it is stated to be the case on p. 126 of [14] but, without a proof. It is clear from (1.1), with $f = \chi_A$, that $\mu(A) = 0$ implies $C\chi_B = 0$ in $L^0(\mathbb{R}^+)$ for every $B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^+)$ with $B \subseteq A$ and hence, that A is both ν_p -null and m_p -null. Conversely, suppose that $A \in \mathcal{R}^{loc} = \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^+)$ is ν_p -null (see [12, p. 433] for the definition) or m_p -null. Then, in both cases, $C\chi_A = 0$ (in $L^0(\mathbb{R}^+)$) which implies, via Lemma 3.2 below, that the continuous function $C\chi_A:(0,\infty)\to [0,\infty)$ is identically 0 on $(0,\infty)$. So, $\int_0^x \chi_A(t) \ dt = 0$ for every x > 0, with $0 \le \chi_A \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^+)$, which implies that $\mu(A) = 0$. Hence, both $C_{[p]}$ and C_p are μ -determined.

Lemma 3.2. Let $f \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^+)$. Then the function $Cf : (0, \infty) \to \mathbb{C}$ defined via (1.1) is continuous.

Proof. As $x \mapsto \frac{1}{x}$ is continuous, it suffices to show that $h(x) := \int_0^x f(t) dt$ is continuous on $(0, \infty)$; see (1.1). Fix x > 0. If $x_n \to x^-$ in [0, x), then

$$|h(x) - h(x_n)| = \left| \int_{x_n}^x f(t) \, dt \right| \le \int_0^x \chi_{[x_n, x]}(t) |f(t)| \, dt.$$

But, $\chi_{[x_n,x]}|f| \to 0$ pointwise μ -a.e. on [0,x] with $\chi_{[x_n,x]}|f| \leq |f|$ and $|f| \in L^1([0,x])$. Then the dominated convergence theorem yields that $\lim_{n\to\infty} h(x_n) = h(x)$, i.e., h is left-continuous at x. A similar argument shows that h is also right-continuous at x.

Fix $1 . Then <math>L^p(\mathbb{R}^+)$ is a dense subspace of $L^p_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^+)$ and the natural inclusion $L^p(\mathbb{R}^+) \subseteq L^p_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^+)$ is continuous with

$$q_j(f) \le ||f||_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^+)}, \qquad f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^+) \qquad \forall j \in \mathbb{N}.$$

As a general reference for (locally convex) Fréchet spaces we refer to [28], for example. Since $\{q_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ (cf. (1.6)) is a fundamental, increasing sequence of seminorms generating the locally convex topology of the Fréchet space $L^p_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^+)$, it follows from [28, Corollary 22.7] that every element of $(L^p_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^+))^*$ has the form

$$f \mapsto \langle f, \xi \rangle := \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} f(t)\xi(t) \, dt, \qquad f \in L^p_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^+),$$

for some unique, compactly supported function $\xi \in L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^+)$, [5, Example 2.3.2(i)]. It follows from (1.1) and this description of $(L^p_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^+))^* \subseteq L^0(\mathbb{R}^+)$ that the dual operator $C^*_{[p]} : (L^p_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^+))^* \to (L^p_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^+))^*$ is given by

(3.1)
$$(C^*_{[p]}\xi)(x) = \int_x^\infty \frac{\xi(t)}{t} dt, \quad x \in (0,\infty), \quad \xi \in (L^p_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^+))^*.$$

4700

Suppose $\operatorname{supp}(\xi) \subseteq [0, j]$ for some $j \in \mathbb{N}$. Clearly, $\operatorname{supp}(C^*_{[p]}\xi) \subseteq [0, j]$; see (3.1). It follows from (3.1) that the complex measure $\langle m_p, \xi \rangle$ is given by

$$\langle m_p, \xi \rangle(A) = \int_{A \cap [0,j]} \left(\int_x^\infty \frac{\xi(t)}{t} dt \right) dx, \qquad A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^+).$$

Hence, $\langle m_p, \xi \rangle$ has its support in [0, j], is absolutely continuous with respect to μ and its variation measure $|\langle m_p, \xi \rangle|$ has Radon-Nikodỳm derivative

$$\frac{d|\langle m_p,\xi\rangle|}{d\mu}(x) = \chi_{_{[0,j]}}(x) \Big| \int_x^\infty \frac{\xi(t)}{t} dt \Big|, \qquad x \in \mathbb{R}^+.$$

In view of Proposition 3.1 and the discussion immediately afterwards, the following *optimality result* for the Hardy operator $C_{[p]} : L^p_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^+) \to L^p_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^+)$ is the main result of this section.

Theorem 3.3. Let 1 . Then (1.11) is valid, that is,

$$L^{1}(m_{p}) = [C_{[p]}, L^{p}_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^{+})].$$

Moreover, the optimal extension (see (1.10)) $I_{m_p} : L^1(m_p) \to L^p_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^+)$ of $C_{[p]}$ is given by (1.12); namely,

$$I_{m_p}(f) = Cf = \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} f dm_p, \qquad f \in L^1(m_p).$$

Proof. We first prove (1.12). If $s = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \alpha_j \chi_{A(j)} \in \sin \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^+)$, then

(3.2)
$$I_{m_p}(s) = \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j I_{m_p}(\chi_{A(j)}) = \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j C \chi_{A(j)} = Cs.$$

Claim. If $0 \leq f \in L^1(m_p)$, then $f \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^+)$ and $I_{m_p}(f) = Cf$.

To establish the Claim, choose $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^+)$ -simple functions $0 \leq s_n \uparrow f$ pointwise on \mathbb{R}^+ . Using the identity (3.2) and the dominated convergence theorem for m_p , [24, Theorem 2.2], we have (in the topology of $L^p_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^+)$) that

$$I_{m_p}(f) = \lim_{n \to \infty} I_{m_p}(s_n) = \lim_{n \to \infty} Cs_n.$$

Choose a subsequence $\{Cs_{n(k)}\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ of $\{Cs_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ such that $Cs_{n(k)} \to I_{m_p}(f)$ pointwise μ -a.e. on \mathbb{R}^+ , for $k \to \infty$. Since $I_{m_p}(f) \in L^p_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^+)$, necessarily $|I_{m_p}(f)(x)| < \infty$ (μ -a.e.). On the other hand, the monotone convergence theorem implies, for each fixed x > 0, that

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} (Cs_{n(k)})(x) = \frac{1}{x} \lim_{k \to \infty} \int_0^x s_{n(k)}(t) \, dt = \frac{1}{x} \int_0^x f(t) \, dt$$

Accordingly, for μ -a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}^+$, we see that $\frac{1}{x} \int_0^x f(t) dt$ is finite as it coincides with $I_{m_p}(f)(x)$. Choose a sequence $x_r \uparrow \infty$ in \mathbb{R}^+ for which $\int_0^{x_r} f(t) dt$ is finite for each $r \in \mathbb{N}$ (and recall that $f \ge 0$) implies that $f \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^+)$ and, from the previous discussion, that $Cf = I_{m_p}(f)$ as elements of $L^p_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^+)$. This establishes the Claim.

Since C is linear on $L^{1}_{loc}(\mathbb{R})$ and I_{m_p} is linear on $L^{1}(m_p)$ and each $f \in L^{1}(m_p)$ has a decomposition as a sum of four non-negative functions from $L^{1}(m_p)$, we can conclude that (1.12) actually holds for all $f \in L^{1}(m_p)$.

Concerning (1.11), let $f \in L^1(m_p)$. Then also $|f| \in L^1(m_p)$ and hence, by the above Claim we conclude that $C|f| = I_{m_p}(|f|) \in L^p_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^+)$ with $|f| \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^+)$. According to (1.8) we see that $f \in [C_{[p]}, L^p_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^+)]$.

Conversely, let $f \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^+)$ satisfy $C|f| \in L^p_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^+)$. Choose a sequence $\{t_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subseteq \sin \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^+)$ with $0 \leq t_n \uparrow |f|$ pointwise on \mathbb{R}^+ . Fix $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^+)$. For each x > 0, it follows from (1.1) that

$$|(C(|f|\chi_A))(x) - (C(t_n\chi_A))(x)| \le \frac{1}{x} \int_0^x (|f|(u) - t_n(u)) \, du.$$

Since $0 \leq t_n \leq |f|$ with $t_n \to |f|$ pointwise on [0, x] and the restriction $|f|_{[0,x]} \in L^1([0, x])$, the dominated convergence theorem yields that $\lim_{n\to\infty} (C(t_n\chi_A))(x) = (C(|f|\chi_A))(x)$. Hence, $C(t_n\chi_A) \to C(|f|\chi_A)$ pointwise on \mathbb{R}^+ as $n \to \infty$. Moreover,

$$\left|C(|f|\chi_A)-C(\chi_A t_n)\right| \leq C(|f|\chi_A)+C(\chi_A t_n) \leq 2C|f|, \qquad n \in \mathbb{N},$$

shows that $|C(|f|\chi_A) - C(\chi_A t_n)|^p \leq 2^p (C|f|)^p$ on \mathbb{R}^+ , for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. But, $C|f| \in L^p_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^+)$ and so $2^p (C|f|^p) \in L^1([0,j])$, for each $j \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $|C(|f|\chi_A) - C(\chi_A t_n)|^p \to 0$ pointwise on \mathbb{R}^+ for $n \to \infty$, the dominated convergence theorem yields

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\int_0^j|C(|f|\chi_A)-C(\chi_At_n)|^p\;d\mu=0,\qquad j\in\mathbb{N},$$

that is, $\lim_{n\to\infty} q_j(C(|f|\chi_A) - C(\chi_A t_n)) = 0$ for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$. This implies (see (3.2)) that $\{I_{m_p}(\chi_A t_n)\}_{n=1}^{\infty} = \{C(\chi_A t_n)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a convergent sequence in $L^p_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^+)$. But, $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^+)$ is arbitrary and so $|f| \in L^1(m_p)$, [24, Theorem 2.4(3)]. Then, also $f \in L^1(m_p)$. Thereby (1.11) is verified. \Box

Corollary 3.4. Let 1 . Then

(3.3)
$$L^p_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^+) \subseteq L^1(m_p) \subseteq L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^+)$$

Proof. The first inclusion in (3.3) follows from $X(\mu) \subseteq L^1(m_T)$; see Proposition 3.1 when applied to the operator $T := C_{[p]}$ with $X(\mu) = X = L^p_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^+)$. The second inclusion in (3.3) follows via the Claim in the proof of Theorem 3.3 (as $f \in L^1(m_p)$) if and only if $0 \leq |f| \in L^1(m_p)$).

Corollary 3.5. Let 1 . Then (1.14) is valid, that is,

$$(3.4) L^1(\nu_p) \subseteq L^1(m_p).$$

Moreover,

(3.5)
$$I_{\nu_p}(f) = I_{m_p}(f) = Cf, \quad f \in L^1(\nu_p),$$

with $I_{m_p}(f) \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^+) \subseteq L^p_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^+).$

Proof. Let $f \in L^1(\nu_p) = [C_p, L^p(\mathbb{R}^+)]$, [14, Example 3.5], in which case $C|f| \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^+)$; see (1.13). Since $L^p(\mathbb{R}^+) \subseteq L^p_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^+)$, we also have $C|f| \in L^p_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^+)$ and so, by (1.11) and (1.12), it follows that $f \in L^1(m_p)$ and $I_{m_p}(f) = Cf$. This establishes (3.4).

It remains to show that $I_{\nu_p}(f) = I_{m_p}(f)$ whenever $f \in L^1(\nu_p)$. According to the discussion immediately prior to Proposition 2.2 there exists a sequence $\{\varphi_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ of \mathcal{R} -simple functions such that $\varphi_n \to f$ pointwise μ -a.e. on \mathbb{R}^+ and $\{\int_A \varphi_n \, d\nu_p\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ converges in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^+)$, for each $A \in \mathcal{R}^{loc} = \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^+)$. But, $L^p(\mathbb{R}^+) \subseteq L^p_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^+)$ continuously and so $\{\int_A \varphi_n \, d\nu_p\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ converges in $L^p_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^+)$, for all $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^+)$. Via

the definition of $\int_A \varphi_n \, d\nu_p$ (see again the discussion immediately prior to Proposition 2.2) it is clear that $\int_A \varphi \, d\nu_p = \int_A \varphi_n \, dm_p$, for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence, $\{\int_A \varphi_n \, dm_p\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ converges in $L^p_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^+)$, for each $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^+)$. It follows that $f \in L^1(m_p)$ and

$$I_{m_p}(f) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} \varphi_n \, dm_p = \lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} \varphi_n \, d\nu_p = I_{\nu_p}(f) \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^+).$$

[24, Theorem 2.4(3)], with both limits existing in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^+) \subseteq L^p_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^+)$. This establishes (3.5).

It is time to analyze (1.7). Fix $1 . Then <math>\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ satisfies $|\lambda - \frac{p'}{2}| < \frac{p'}{2}$ (i.e., $\operatorname{Re}(\frac{1}{\lambda}) > \frac{1}{p'} = \frac{(p-1)}{p}$) precisely when $\lambda \in \sigma_{pt}(C_{[p]})$ with

$$f_{\lambda}(x) := x^{\frac{1}{\lambda}-1}, \qquad x \in \mathbb{R}^+$$

being an eigenfunction of λ (and belonging to $L_{loc}^p(\mathbb{R}^+)$), [1, Section 4]. Suppose now that 1 < q < p, in which case $1 < p' < q' < \infty$. Choose any real number $\alpha \in (p',q')$, i.e., $\frac{1}{q'} < \operatorname{Re}(\frac{1}{\alpha}) < \frac{1}{p'}$. Then (1.7) implies that $\alpha \in \sigma_{pt}(C_{[q]}) \setminus \sigma_{pt}(C_{[p]})$ with $f_{\alpha} \in L_{loc}^q(\mathbb{R}^+) \setminus L_{loc}^p(\mathbb{R}^+)$ satisfying $Cf_{\alpha} = \alpha f_{\alpha}$. Hence, also $Cf_{\alpha} \in L_{loc}^q(\mathbb{R}^+) \setminus L_{loc}^p(\mathbb{R}^+)$. It follows from (1.11) that $f_{\alpha} \in L^1(m_q) \setminus L^1(m_p)$. Moreover, $L^1(m_p) \subseteq L^1(m_q)$ is clear from (1.8), (1.11) and the inclusion $L_{loc}^p(\mathbb{R}^+) \subseteq L_{loc}^q(\mathbb{R}^+)$. Noting that

$$|h||_{L^q([0,j])} \le j^s ||h||_{L^p([0,j])}, \qquad h \in L^p([0,j]) \qquad \forall j \in \mathbb{N},$$

with $s = \frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{p}$, it follows via the definition of the seminorms \tilde{q}_n for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ that the inclusion $L^1(m_p) \subseteq L^1(m_q)$ is continuous. So, we have verified the following result.

Proposition 3.6. Let 1 < q < p. Then, with a continuous inclusion,

$$L^1(m_p) \subsetneqq L^1(m_q).$$

Combining Corollary 3.4 and Proposition 3.6 with a standard fact concerning certain nested sequences of Fréchet spaces (see [29, Lemma 4.15], for example) yields the following fact.

Corollary 3.7. The proper inclusion $\bigcup_{1 holds.$

The function

(3.6)
$$f(x) := (1-x)^{-1/p} \chi_{(0,1)}(x), \qquad x \in \mathbb{R}^+, \qquad 1$$

belongs to $L^1(\nu_p) \setminus L^p(\mathbb{R}^+)$; see the proof of Proposition 2.3 in [14]. Since $\int_0^1 |f|^p d\mu = \infty$, we see that also $f \notin L^p_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^+)$. Combined with Corollary 3.4 and Corollary 3.5 this yields the following result.

Proposition 3.8. For each 1 it is the case that

$$L^p_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^+) \subsetneqq L^1(m_p).$$

The function (3.6) also shows that

$$L^1(\nu_p) \nsubseteq L^p_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^+), \qquad 1$$

On the other hand for each a > 0 the function $\chi_{[a,\infty)} \in L^p_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^+)$. Since $(C\chi_{[a,\infty)})(x) = (1 - \frac{a}{x})\chi_{[a,\infty)}(x)$ for x > 0 does not belong to $L^p(\mathbb{R}^+)$ it follows that $\chi_{[a,\infty)} \notin L^1(\nu_p) = L^1(\tilde{\nu}_p)$; see (1.3) and (1.5). Hence,

$$L^p_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^+) \nsubseteq L^1(\nu_p), \qquad 1$$

So, there is no relationship between the optimal domain of $C_p : L^p(\mathbb{R}^+) \to L^p(\mathbb{R}^+)$ and the domain of definition of $C_{[p]} : L^p_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^+) \to L^p_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^+)$.

In conclusion we wish to clarify a subtle point; namely, whether the formula (1.4) remains valid for all $f \in L^1(\nu_p)$. That this is actually so is stated in the last paragraph on p. 126 of [14], via the formula

(3.7)
$$Sf = \int f \, d\nu_X, \qquad f \in L^1(\nu_X);$$

for our setting here S := C and $X = L^p(\mathbb{R}^+)$, 1 , is the relevant space.The reference given for the formula (3.7) is Proposition 3.1(b) of [13]. However, $in that result the vector measure <math>\nu$ (in our setting $\hat{\nu}_p$) is defined on \mathcal{R}_b and not on \mathcal{R} as in [14] (in our setting ν_p); as seen previously these two δ -rings are not comparable. However, thanks to (2.6) and Corollary 3.5 we see that (1.4) is indeed valid for all $f \in L^1(\nu_p)$ and not just for $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^+)$.

References

- A. A. Albanese, J. Bonet, and W. J. Ricker, On the continuous Cesàro operator in certain function spaces, Positivity 19 (2015), no. 3, 659–679. MR3386133
- S. V. Astashkin and L. Maligranda, Cesàro function spaces fail the fixed point property, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 136 (2008), no. 12, 4289–4294. MR2431042
- [3] S. V. Astashkin and L. Maligranda, Structure of Cesàro function spaces, Indag. Math. (N.S.) 20 (2009), no. 3, 329–379. MR2639977
- [4] S. V. Astashkin and L. Maligranda, Structure of Cesàro function spaces: a survey, Function spaces X, Banach Center Publ., vol. 102, Polish Acad. Sci. Inst. Math., Warsaw, 2014, pp. 13– 40. MR3330604
- [5] B. Blaimer, Optimal Domain and Integral Extension of Operators Acting in Fréchet Function Spaces, Ph.D. thesis, Katholische Universität Eichstätt-Ingolstadt, Logos Verlag, Berlin, 2017. Also available at https://zenodo.org/record/1087454.
- [6] C. Bennett and R. Sharpley, *Interpolation of operators*, Pure and Applied Mathematics, vol. 129, Academic Press, Inc., Boston, MA, 1988. MR928802
- [7] D. W. Boyd, The spectrum of the Cesàro operator, Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged) 29 (1968), 31–34. MR0239441
- [8] A. Brown, P. R. Halmos, and A. L. Shields, *Cesàro operators*, Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged) 26 (1965), 125–137. MR0187085
- G. P. Curbera and W. J. Ricker, Optimal domains for kernel operators via interpolation, Math. Nachr. 244 (2002), 47–63. MR1928916
- [10] R. del Campo and W. J. Ricker, The space of scalarly integrable functions for a Fréchetspace-valued measure, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 354 (2009), no. 2, 641–647. MR2515245
- [11] R. del Campo and W. J. Ricker, Two Fatou completion of a Fréchet function space and applications, J. Aust. Math. Soc. 88 (2010), no. 1, 49–60. MR2770926
- [12] O. Delgado, L^1 -spaces of vector measures defined on δ -rings, Arch. Math. (Basel) 84 (2005), no. 5, 432–443. MR2139546
- [13] O. Delgado, Optimal domains for kernel operators on [0,∞) × [0,∞), Studia Math. 174 (2006), no. 2, 131–145. MR2238458
- [14] O. Delgado and J. Soria, Optimal domain for the Hardy operator, J. Funct. Anal. 244 (2007), no. 1, 119–133. MR2294478
- [15] J. Diestel and J. J. Uhl Jr., Vector measures, American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I., 1977. With a foreword by B. J. Pettis; Mathematical Surveys, No. 15. MR0453964
- [16] J. Duoandikoetxea, Fourier analysis, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, vol. 29, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2001. Translated and revised from the 1995 Spanish original by David Cruz-Uribe. MR1800316
- [17] G. H. Hardy, J. E. Littlewood, and G. Pólya, *Inequalities*, Cambridge Mathematical Library, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1988. Reprint of the 1952 edition. MR944909
- [18] A. Fernández, F. Naranjo, and W. J. Ricker, Completeness of L¹-spaces for measures with values in complex vector spaces, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **223** (1998), no. 1, 76–87. MR1627360

- [19] A. Kamińska and D. Kubiak, On the dual of Cesàro function spaces, Nonlinear Anal. 75 (2012), no. 5, 2760–2773. MR2878472
- [20] I. Kluvánek and G. Knowles, Vector measures and control systems, North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam-Oxford; American Elsevier Publishing Co., Inc., New York, 1976. North-Holland Mathematics Studies, Vol. 20; Notas de Matemática, No. 58. [Notes on Mathematics, No. 58]. MR0499068
- [21] G. M. Leibowitz, Spectra of finite range Cesàro operators, Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged) 35 (1973), 27–29. MR0338822
- [22] K. Leśnik and L. Maligranda, Abstract Cesàro spaces. Duality, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 424 (2015), no. 2, 932–951. MR3292709
- [23] K. Leśnik and L. Maligranda, Abstract Cesàro spaces. Optimal range, Integral Equations Operator Theory 81 (2015), no. 2, 227–235. MR3299837
- [24] D. R. Lewis, Integration with respect to vector measures, Pacific J. Math. 33 (1970), 157–165. MR0259064
- [25] D. R. Lewis, On integrability and summability in vector spaces, Illinois J. Math. 16 (1972), 294–307. MR0291409
- [26] P. R. Masani and H. Niemi, The integration theory of Banach space valued measures and the Tonelli-Fubini theorems. I. Scalar-valued measures on δ-rings, Adv. in Math. 73 (1989), no. 2, 204–241. MR987275
- [27] P. R. Masani and H. Niemi, The integration theory of Banach space valued measures and the Tonelli-Fubini theorems. II. Pettis integration, Adv. Math. 75 (1989), no. 2, 121–167. MR1002206
- [28] R. Meise and D. Vogt, Introduction to functional analysis, Oxford Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 2, The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 1997. Translated from the German by M. S. Ramanujan and revised by the authors. MR1483073
- [29] G. Mockenhaupt, S. Okada, and W. J. Ricker, *Optimal extension of Fourier multiplier oper*ators in $L^p(G)$, Integral Equations Operator Theory **68** (2010), no. 4, 573–599. MR2745480
- [30] S. Okada, W. J. Ricker, and E. A. Sánchez Pérez, Optimal domain and integral extension of operators acting in function spaces, Operator Theory: Advances and Applications, vol. 180, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2008. MR2418751

MATH.-GEOGR. FAKULTÄT, KATHOLISCHE UNIVERSITÄT EICHSTÄTT-INGOLSTADT, D-85072 EICHSTÄTT, GERMANY

Email address: werner.ricker@ku.de