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#### Abstract

In this short paper, we consider gradient estimates for positive solutions to the following nonlinear elliptic equation on a complete Riemannian manifold: $$
\Delta u+c u^{\alpha}=0
$$ where $c, \alpha$ are two real constants and $c \neq 0$.


## 1. Introduction

It is well known that for complete noncompact Riemannian manifolds with nonnegative Ricci curvature, Yau [11 has proved that any positive or bounded solution to the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta u=0 \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

must be constant. In 11, Brighton studied $f$-harmonic functions on a mooth metric measure space. That is, he considered positive solutions to the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{f} u=0 \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and obtained some similar results to Yau's under the Bakry-Émery Ricci curvature condition.

It is easy to see that equation (1.1) can be seen as a special case of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta u+c u^{\alpha}=0 \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $c, \alpha$ being two real constants. In particular, if $c=0$ in (1.3), then the equation (1.3) becomes (1.1). If $c<0$ and $\alpha<0$, equation (1.3) on a bounded smooth domain in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ is known as the thin film equation, which describes a steady state of the thin film (see [3]). For $c$ a function, equation (1.3) is studied by Gidas and Spruck in [2] with $1 \leq \alpha \leq \frac{n+2}{n-2}$ when $n>2$ and later it is studied by Li in [7] to achieve gradient estimates and Liouville type results with $1<\alpha<\frac{n}{n-2}$ when $n>3$. In particular, Li achieved a gradient estimate for positive solutions of (1.3) when $c$ is a positive constant and $1<\alpha<\frac{n}{n-2}$.

Therefore, it is natural to try to achieve gradient estimates for positive solutions to the nonlinear elliptic equation (1.3) with other $c \neq 0$ and $\alpha$. In this direction Yang in [10] proved the following result.

[^0]Theorem 1.1 (Yang). Let $M$ be a complete noncompact Riemannian manifold of dimension $n$ without boundary. Let $B_{p}(2 R)$ be a geodesic ball of radius $2 R$ around $p \in M$. We denote $-K(2 R)$ with $K(2 R) \geq 0$ such that $\operatorname{Ric}_{i j}\left(B_{p}(2 R)\right) \geq-K g_{i j}$. Suppose that $u(x)$ is a positive smooth solution to equation (1.3) with $\alpha<0$. Then we have
(i) If $c>0$, then $u(x)$ satisfies the estimate

$$
\frac{|\nabla u|^{2}}{u^{2}}+c u^{\alpha-1} \leq C(n, \alpha)\left(K+\frac{1}{R^{2}}(1+\sqrt{K} R \operatorname{coth}(\sqrt{K} R))\right)
$$

on $B_{p}(R)$ and $C(n, \alpha)$ is a positive constant which depends on $n, \alpha$.
(ii) If $c<0$, then $u(x)$ satisfies the estimate

$$
\frac{|\nabla u|^{2}}{u^{2}}+c u^{\alpha-1} \leq C(n, \alpha)\left(|c|\left(\inf _{B_{p}(2 R)} u\right)^{\alpha-1}+K+\frac{1}{R^{2}}(1+\sqrt{K} R \operatorname{coth}(\sqrt{K} R))\right)
$$

on $B_{p}(R)$ and $C(n, \alpha)$ is a positive constant which depends on $n, \alpha$.
After studying Yang's argument carefully, we find in the case of $c>0$ that the gradient estimate in (i) actually holds whenever $\alpha \leq 1$, that is, we have the following.

Theorem 1.2. Let $M$ be a complete noncompact Riemannian manifold of dimension $n$ without boundary. Let $B_{p}(2 R)$ be a geodesic ball of radius $2 R$ around $p \in M$. We denote $-K(2 R)$ with $K(2 R) \geq 0$ such that $\operatorname{Ric}_{i j}\left(B_{p}(2 R)\right) \geq-K g_{i j}$. Suppose that $u(x)$ is a positive smooth solution to equation (1.3) with $\alpha \leq 1$ and $c>0$. Then we have

$$
\frac{|\nabla u|^{2}}{u^{2}}+c u^{\alpha-1} \leq C(n, \alpha)\left(K+\frac{1}{R^{2}}(1+\sqrt{K} R \operatorname{coth}(\sqrt{K} R))\right)
$$

on $B_{p}(R)$ and $C(n, \alpha)$ is a positive constant which depends on $n, \alpha$.
The proof of the above theorem is the same as Yang's proof of Theorem 1.1] and we will only give a sketch of it in the appendix. As a corollary of the above theorem we have the following Liouville-type result.
Corollary 1.3. Let $M$ be a complete noncompact Riemannian manifold of dimension $n$ without boundary. Suppose that the Ricci curvature of $M$ is nonnegative. Then there does not exist a positive solution to equation (1.3) with $\alpha \leq 1$ and $c>0$.

Suppose that $u(x)$ is a positive solution to equation (1.3). Following Brighton's argument in [1] by choosing a test function $u^{\epsilon}(\epsilon \neq 0)$, we can also get the following gradient estimate to $u(x)$.
Theorem 1.4. Let $(M, g)$ be an n-dimensional complete Riemannian manifold with $R_{i j}\left(B_{p}(2 R)\right) \geq-K g_{i j}$, where $K \geq 0$ is a constant. If $u$ is a positive solution to (1.3) on $B_{p}(2 R)$ with $c$ and $\alpha$ satisfying one of the following two cases:
(1) $c<0$ and $\alpha>0$,
(2) $c>0$ and $\frac{n+2}{2(n-1)}<\alpha<\frac{2 n^{2}+9 n+6}{2 n(n+2)}$ with $n \geq 3$,
then we have for any $x \in B_{p}(R)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\nabla u(x)| \leq C(n, \alpha) M \sqrt{K+\frac{1}{R^{2}}(1+\sqrt{K} R \operatorname{coth}(\sqrt{K} R))} \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $M=\sup _{x \in B_{p}(2 R)} u(x)$ and the positive constant $C(n, \alpha)$ depends only on $n, \alpha$.

Remark 1.1. In case (2), compared with Li's gradient estimate in 7 our right range for $\alpha$ is bigger than $\frac{n}{n-2}$ when $n \geq 13$.

Letting $R \rightarrow \infty$ in (1.4), we obtain the following gradient estimates on complete noncompact Riemannian manifolds.

Corollary 1.5. Let $\left(M^{n}, g\right)$ be an $n$-dimensional complete noncompact Riemannian manifold with $R_{i j} \geq-K g_{i j}$, where $K \geq 0$ is a constant. Suppose that $u$ is a positive solution to (1.3) such that $c, \alpha$ satisfy one of the two cases given in Theorem 1.4. Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\nabla u| \leq C(n, \alpha) M \sqrt{K}, \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $M=\sup _{x \in M} u(x)$.
Remark 1.2. Recently, using the ideas of Brighton in [1, some Liouville type results have been achieved to positive solutions of the nonlinear elliptic equation

$$
\Delta u+a u \log u=0
$$

in [4] (for more developments, see [6] [8), and for porous medium and fast diffusion equations in 5].

## 2. Proof of Theorem 1.4

Let $h=u^{\epsilon}$, where $\epsilon \neq 0$ is a constant to be determined. Then we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\Delta h & =\epsilon(\epsilon-1) u^{\epsilon-2}|\nabla u|^{2}+\epsilon u^{\epsilon-1} \Delta u \\
& =\epsilon(\epsilon-1) u^{\epsilon-2}|\nabla u|^{2}-c \epsilon u^{\alpha+\epsilon-1}  \tag{2.1}\\
& =\frac{\epsilon-1}{\epsilon} \frac{|\nabla h|^{2}}{h}-c \epsilon h^{\frac{\alpha+\epsilon-1}{\epsilon}},
\end{align*}
$$

where in the second equality of (2.1), we used (1.3). Hence, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\nabla h \nabla \Delta h & =\nabla h \nabla\left(\frac{\epsilon-1}{\epsilon} \frac{|\nabla h|^{2}}{h}-c \epsilon h^{\frac{\alpha+\epsilon-1}{\epsilon}}\right) \\
& =\frac{\epsilon-1}{\epsilon} \nabla h \nabla \frac{|\nabla h|^{2}}{h}-c(\alpha+\epsilon-1) h^{\frac{\alpha+\epsilon-1}{\epsilon}} \frac{|\nabla h|^{2}}{h}  \tag{2.2}\\
& =\frac{\epsilon-1}{\epsilon h} \nabla h \nabla\left(|\nabla h|^{2}\right)-\frac{\epsilon-1}{\epsilon} \frac{|\nabla h|^{4}}{h^{2}}-c(\alpha+\epsilon-1) h^{\frac{\alpha+\epsilon-1}{\epsilon}} \frac{|\nabla h|^{2}}{h} .
\end{align*}
$$

Applying (2.1) and (2.2) into the well-known Bochner formula for $h$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{2} \Delta|\nabla h|^{2}= & \left|\nabla^{2} h\right|^{2}+\nabla h \nabla \Delta h+\operatorname{Ric}(\nabla h, \nabla h) \\
\geq & \frac{1}{n}(\Delta h)^{2}+\nabla h \nabla \Delta h-K|\nabla h|^{2} \\
= & \frac{1}{n}\left(\frac{\epsilon-1}{\epsilon} \frac{|\nabla h|^{2}}{h}-c \epsilon h^{\frac{\alpha+\epsilon-1}{\epsilon}}\right)^{2}+\frac{\epsilon-1}{\epsilon} \frac{\nabla h}{h} \nabla\left(|\nabla h|^{2}\right) \\
& -\frac{\epsilon-1}{\epsilon} \frac{|\nabla h|^{4}}{h^{2}}-c(\alpha+\epsilon-1) h^{\frac{\alpha+\epsilon-1}{\epsilon}} \frac{|\nabla h|^{2}}{h}-K|\nabla h|^{2}  \tag{2.3}\\
= & \left(\frac{(\epsilon-1)^{2}}{n \epsilon^{2}}-\frac{\epsilon-1}{\epsilon}\right) \frac{|\nabla h|^{4}}{h^{2}}-c\left[\frac{n+2}{n}(\epsilon-1)+\alpha\right] h^{\frac{\alpha+\epsilon-1}{\epsilon}} \frac{|\nabla h|^{2}}{h} \\
& +\frac{c^{2} \epsilon^{2}}{n} h^{\frac{2(\alpha+\epsilon-1)}{\epsilon}}+\frac{\epsilon-1}{\epsilon} \frac{\nabla h}{h} \nabla\left(|\nabla h|^{2}\right)-K|\nabla h|^{2} .
\end{align*}
$$

By analyzing (2.3) we have the following lemmas.
Lemma 2.1. Let $u$ be a positive solution to (1.3) and let $R_{i j} \geq-K g_{i j}$ for some nonnegative constant $K$. Denote $h=u^{\epsilon}$ with $\epsilon \neq 0$. If $c<0$ and $\alpha>0$, then there exists $\epsilon \in(0,1)$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{2} \Delta|\nabla h|^{2} \geq & \left(\frac{(\epsilon-1)^{2}}{n \epsilon^{2}}-\frac{\epsilon-1}{\epsilon}\right) \frac{|\nabla h|^{4}}{h^{2}}  \tag{2.4}\\
& +\frac{\epsilon-1}{\epsilon} \frac{\nabla h}{h} \nabla\left(|\nabla h|^{2}\right)-K|\nabla h|^{2}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. In (2.3), if $c<0$ and $\alpha>0$, we can choose $\epsilon \in(0,1)$ close enough to 1 such that

$$
-c\left[\frac{n+2}{n}(\epsilon-1)+\alpha\right] \geq 0
$$

and then (2.4) follows directly.

Lemma 2.2. Let $u$ be a positive solution to (1.3) and let $R_{i j} \geq-K g_{i j}$ for some nonnegative constant $K$. Denote $h=u^{\epsilon}$ with $\epsilon \neq 0$. If $c>0$ and for a fixed $\alpha$, there exist two positive constants $\epsilon, \delta$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
c\left[\frac{n+2}{n}(\epsilon-1)+\alpha\right]>0 \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{c^{2} \epsilon^{2}}{n}-\frac{c}{\delta}\left(\frac{n+2}{n}(\epsilon-1)+\alpha\right)>0 \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{2} \Delta|\nabla h|^{2} \geq & {\left[\frac{(\epsilon-1)^{2}}{n \epsilon^{2}}-\frac{\epsilon-1}{\epsilon}-\delta c\left(\frac{n+2}{n}(\epsilon-1)+\alpha\right)\right] \frac{|\nabla h|^{4}}{h^{2}} }  \tag{2.7}\\
& +\frac{\epsilon-1}{\epsilon} \frac{\nabla h}{h} \nabla\left(|\nabla h|^{2}\right)-K|\nabla h|^{2} .
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. For a fixed point $p$, if there exists a positive constant $\delta$ such that $h^{\frac{\alpha+\epsilon-1}{\epsilon}} \leq$ $\delta \frac{|\nabla h|^{2}}{h}$, according to (2.5), then (2.3) becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{2} \Delta|\nabla h|^{2} \geq & {\left[\frac{(\epsilon-1)^{2}}{n \epsilon^{2}}-\frac{\epsilon-1}{\epsilon}-\delta c\left(\frac{n+2}{n}(\epsilon-1)+\alpha\right)\right] \frac{|\nabla h|^{4}}{h^{2}} } \\
& +\frac{c^{2} \epsilon^{2}}{n} h^{\frac{2(\alpha+\epsilon-1)}{\epsilon}}+\frac{\epsilon-1}{\epsilon} \frac{\nabla h}{h} \nabla\left(|\nabla h|^{2}\right)-K|\nabla h|^{2}  \tag{2.8}\\
\geq & {\left[\frac{(\epsilon-1)^{2}}{n \epsilon^{2}}-\frac{\epsilon-1}{\epsilon}-\delta c\left(\frac{n+2}{n}(\epsilon-1)+\alpha\right)\right] \frac{|\nabla h|^{4}}{h^{2}} } \\
& +\frac{\epsilon-1}{\epsilon} \frac{\nabla h}{h} \nabla\left(|\nabla h|^{2}\right)-K|\nabla h|^{2} .
\end{align*}
$$

On the contrary, at the point $p$, if $h^{\frac{\alpha+\epsilon-1}{\epsilon}} \geq \delta \frac{|\nabla h|^{2}}{h}$, then (2.3) becomes (2.9)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2} \Delta|\nabla h|^{2} \geq & \left(\frac{(\epsilon-1)^{2}}{n \epsilon^{2}}-\frac{\epsilon-1}{\epsilon}\right) \frac{|\nabla h|^{4}}{h^{2}}+\left[\frac{c^{2} \epsilon^{2}}{n}-\frac{c}{\delta}\left(\frac{n+2}{n}(\epsilon-1)+\alpha\right)\right] \frac{2(\alpha+\epsilon-1)}{\epsilon} \\
& +\frac{\epsilon-1}{\epsilon} \frac{\nabla h}{h} \nabla\left(|\nabla h|^{2}\right)-K|\nabla h|^{2} \\
\geq & \left\{\left(\frac{(\epsilon-1)^{2}}{n \epsilon^{2}}-\frac{\epsilon-1}{\epsilon}\right)+\delta^{2}\left[\frac{c^{2} \epsilon^{2}}{n}-\frac{c}{\delta}\left(\frac{n+2}{n}(\epsilon-1)+\alpha\right)\right]\right\} \frac{|\nabla h|^{4}}{h^{2}} \\
& +\frac{\epsilon-1}{\epsilon} \frac{\nabla h}{h} \nabla\left(|\nabla h|^{2}\right)-K|\nabla h|^{2} \\
\geq & {\left[\frac{(\epsilon-1)^{2}}{n \epsilon^{2}}-\frac{\epsilon-1}{\epsilon}-\delta c\left(\frac{n+2}{n}(\epsilon-1)+\alpha\right)\right] \frac{|\nabla h|^{4}}{h^{2}} } \\
& +\frac{\epsilon-1}{\epsilon} \frac{\nabla h}{h} \nabla\left(|\nabla h|^{2}\right)-K|\nabla h|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

as long as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{c^{2} \epsilon^{2}}{n}-\frac{c}{\delta}\left(\frac{n+2}{n}(\epsilon-1)+\alpha\right)>0 \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

In both cases, (2.7) always holds. We complete the proof of Lemma 2.2.
In order to obtain the upper bound of $|\nabla h|$ by using the maximum principle, it is sufficient to choose the coefficients of $\frac{|\nabla h|^{4}}{h^{2}}$ in (2.4) and (2.7) such that they are positive. In case of Lemma [2.2, we need to choose appropriate $\epsilon, \delta$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{(\epsilon-1)^{2}}{n \epsilon^{2}}-\frac{\epsilon-1}{\epsilon}-\delta c\left(\frac{n+2}{n}(\epsilon-1)+\alpha\right)>0 . \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Under the assumption of (2.5), the inequality (2.6) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta>\frac{n c}{c^{2} \epsilon^{2}}\left(\frac{n+2}{n}(\epsilon-1)+\alpha\right) \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

and (2.11) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta<\frac{\frac{(\epsilon-1)^{2}}{n^{2}}-\frac{\epsilon-1}{\epsilon}}{c\left(\frac{n+2}{n}(\epsilon-1)+\alpha\right)} . \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order to ensure we can choose a positive $\delta$, from (2.12) and (2.13), we need to choose an $\epsilon$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{n c}{c^{2} \epsilon^{2}}\left(\frac{n+2}{n}(\epsilon-1)+\alpha\right)<\frac{\frac{(\epsilon-1)^{2}}{n \epsilon^{2}}-\frac{\epsilon-1}{\epsilon}}{c\left(\frac{n+2}{n}(\epsilon-1)+\alpha\right)} . \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, (2.14) can be written as

$$
\begin{align*}
n^{2}\left(\frac{n+2}{n}(\epsilon-1)+\alpha\right)^{2} & <n \epsilon^{2}\left(\frac{(\epsilon-1)^{2}}{n \epsilon^{2}}-\frac{\epsilon-1}{\epsilon}\right)  \tag{2.15}\\
& =(\epsilon-1)^{2}-n \epsilon(\epsilon-1),
\end{align*}
$$

which is equivalent to

$$
\begin{align*}
{\left[n^{2}+5 n+3\right] \epsilon^{2} } & +\left[2(\alpha-1)\left(n^{2}+2 n\right)-(5 n+6)\right] \epsilon \\
& +(\alpha-1)^{2} n^{2}-4(\alpha-1) n+3<0 . \tag{2.16}
\end{align*}
$$

By a direct calculation, under the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{-(n-4)-\sqrt{n^{2}+5 n+3}}{2(n-1)}<\alpha-1<\frac{-(n-4)+\sqrt{n^{2}+5 n+3}}{2(n-1)} \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[2(\alpha-1)\left(n^{2}+2 n\right)-(5 n+6)\right]^{2}-4\left[n^{2}+5 n+3\right]\left[(\alpha-1)^{2} n^{2}-4(\alpha-1) n+3\right] }  \tag{2.18}\\
= & 4(\alpha-1)^{2}\left[\left(n^{2}+2 n\right)^{2}-n^{2}\left(n^{2}+5 n+3\right)\right]+4(\alpha-1)\left[4 n\left(n^{2}+5 n+3\right)\right. \\
& \left.-\left(n^{2}+2 n\right)(5 n+6)\right]+(5 n+6)^{2}-12\left(n^{2}+5 n+3\right) \\
= & 4(\alpha-1)^{2}\left[-n^{3}+n^{2}\right]+4(\alpha-1)\left[-n^{3}+4 n^{2}\right]+13 n^{2} \\
= & n^{2}\left\{-4(n-1)(\alpha-1)^{2}-4(n-4)(\alpha-1)+13\right\} \\
> & 0
\end{align*}
$$

which shows that the quadratic inequality (2.16) with respect to $\epsilon$ has two real roots.

Now we are ready to prove the following proposition.
Proposition 2.3. Let $u$ be a positive solution to (1.3) and let $R_{i j} \geq-K g_{i j}$ for some nonnegative constant $K$. If we choose $c$ and $\alpha$ satisfying one of the following two cases:
(1) $c<0$ and $\alpha>0$,
(2) $c>0$ and $\frac{n+2}{2(n-1)}<\alpha<\frac{2 n^{2}+9 n+6}{2 n(n+2)}$ with $n \geq 3$,
then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2} \Delta|\nabla h|^{2} \geq C_{1}(n, \alpha) \frac{|\nabla h|^{4}}{h^{2}}-C_{2}(n, \alpha) \frac{\nabla h}{h} \nabla\left(|\nabla h|^{2}\right)-K|\nabla h|^{2}, \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C_{1}(n, \alpha)$ and $C_{2}(n, \alpha)$ are positive constants.
Proof. We prove this proposition case by case.
(i) The case of $c<0$ and $\alpha>0$. In the proof of Lemma 2.1 we see that by choosing an $\epsilon=\epsilon(n, \alpha) \in(0,1)$ such that $\frac{n+2}{n}(\epsilon-1)+\alpha \geq 0$, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{2} \Delta|\nabla h|^{2} \geq & \left(\frac{(\epsilon-1)^{2}}{n \epsilon^{2}}-\frac{\epsilon-1}{\epsilon}\right) \frac{|\nabla h|^{4}}{h^{2}}  \tag{2.20}\\
& +\frac{\epsilon-1}{\epsilon} \frac{\nabla h}{h} \nabla\left(|\nabla h|^{2}\right)-K|\nabla h|^{2}
\end{align*}
$$

Then we see that $C_{1}(n, \alpha)=\frac{(\epsilon-1)^{2}}{n \epsilon^{2}}-\frac{\epsilon-1}{\epsilon}>0$ and $C_{2}(n, \alpha)=\frac{1-\epsilon}{\epsilon}>0$.
(ii) The case of $c>0$ and $\frac{n+2}{2(n-1)}<\alpha<\frac{2 n^{2}+9 n+6}{2 n(n+2)}$ when $n \geq 3$. In this case, (2.5) is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\epsilon>1-\frac{n \alpha}{n+2} \tag{2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

We can check

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{5 n+6}{2\left(n^{2}+2 n\right)}<\frac{-(n-4)+\sqrt{n^{2}+5 n+3}}{2(n-1)} . \tag{2.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, when $n \geq 3$, for any $\alpha$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\frac{n-4}{2(n-1)}<\alpha-1<\frac{5 n+6}{2\left(n^{2}+2 n\right)}, \tag{2.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{n+2}{2(n-1)}<\alpha<\frac{2 n^{2}+9 n+6}{2 n(n+2)} \tag{2.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

then (2.21) is satisfied by choosing

$$
\begin{equation*}
\epsilon:=\tilde{\epsilon}=\frac{(5 n+6)-2(\alpha-1)\left(n^{2}+2 n\right)}{2\left(n^{2}+5 n+3\right)}, \tag{2.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

and it is easy to check that $\epsilon \in(0,1)$.
In particular, we let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta=\tilde{\delta}:=\frac{1}{2}\left[\frac{n c}{c^{2} \tilde{\epsilon}^{2}}\left(\frac{n+2}{n}(\tilde{\epsilon}-1)+\alpha\right)+\frac{\frac{(\tilde{\epsilon}-1)^{2}}{n \tilde{\epsilon}^{2}}-\frac{\tilde{\epsilon}-1}{\tilde{\epsilon}}}{c\left(\frac{n+2}{n}(\tilde{\epsilon}-1)+\alpha\right)}\right] . \tag{2.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then (2.10) and (2.11) are satisfied and (2.7) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2} \Delta|\nabla h|^{2} \geq \tilde{C}_{1}(n, \alpha) \frac{|\nabla h|^{4}}{h^{2}}-\tilde{C}_{2}(n, \alpha) \frac{\nabla h}{h} \nabla\left(|\nabla h|^{2}\right)-K|\nabla h|^{2}, \tag{2.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

where positive constants $\tilde{C}_{1}(n, \alpha)$ and $\tilde{C}_{2}(n, \alpha)$ are given by

$$
\begin{gathered}
\tilde{C}_{1}(n, \alpha)=\frac{1}{2}\left[\left(\frac{(\tilde{\epsilon}-1)^{2}}{n \tilde{\epsilon}^{2}}-\frac{\tilde{\epsilon}-1}{\tilde{\epsilon}}\right)-\frac{n}{\tilde{\epsilon}^{2}}\left(\frac{n+2}{n}(\tilde{\epsilon}-1)+\alpha\right)^{2}\right], \\
\tilde{C}_{2}(n, \alpha)=\frac{4(\alpha-1) n(n+2)+n(2 n+5)}{(5 n+6)-4(\alpha-1) n(n+2)}
\end{gathered}
$$

respectively.
We conclude the proof of Proposition 2.3.

Now we are in a position to prove our Theorem 1.4. Denote by $B_{p}(R)$ the geodesic ball centered at $p$ with radius $R$. Let $\phi$ be a cut-off function (see [9) satisfying $\operatorname{supp}(\phi) \subset B_{p}(2 R),\left.\phi\right|_{B_{p}(R)}=1$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{|\nabla \phi|^{2}}{\phi} \leq \frac{C}{R^{2}} \tag{2.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Delta \phi \leq \frac{C}{R^{2}}(1+\sqrt{K} R \operatorname{coth}(\sqrt{K} R)) \tag{2.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C$ is a constant depending only on $n$. We define $G=\phi|\nabla h|^{2}$ and will apply the maximum principle to $G$ on $B_{p}(2 R)$. Moreover, we assume $G$ attains its maximum at the point $x_{0} \in B_{p}(2 R)$ and assume $G\left(x_{0}\right)>0$ (otherwise the proof is trivial). Then at the point $x_{0}$, it holds that

$$
\Delta G \leq 0, \quad \nabla\left(|\nabla h|^{2}\right)=-\frac{|\nabla h|^{2}}{\phi} \nabla \phi
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
0 & \geq \Delta G \\
= & \phi \Delta\left(|\nabla h|^{2}\right)+|\nabla h|^{2} \Delta \phi+2 \nabla \phi \nabla|\nabla h|^{2} \\
= & \phi \Delta\left(|\nabla h|^{2}\right)+\frac{\Delta \phi}{\phi} G-2 \frac{|\nabla \phi|^{2}}{\phi^{2}} G \\
\geq & 2 \phi\left[C_{1}(n, \alpha) \frac{|\nabla h|^{4}}{h^{2}}-C_{2}(n, \alpha) \frac{\nabla h}{h} \nabla\left(|\nabla h|^{2}\right)-K|\nabla h|^{2}\right]  \tag{2.30}\\
& +\frac{\Delta \phi}{\phi} G-2 \frac{|\nabla \phi|^{2}}{\phi^{2}} G \\
= & 2 C_{1}(n, \alpha) \frac{G^{2}}{\phi h^{2}}+2 C_{2}(n, \alpha) \frac{G}{\phi} \nabla \phi \frac{\nabla h}{h}-2 K G+\frac{\Delta \phi}{\phi} G-2 \frac{|\nabla \phi|^{2}}{\phi^{2}} G,
\end{align*}
$$

where, in the second inequality, the estimate (2.27) is used. Multiplying both sides of (2.30) by $\frac{\phi}{G}$ yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 C_{1}(n, \alpha) \frac{G}{h^{2}} \leq-2 C_{2}(n, \alpha) \nabla \phi \frac{\nabla h}{h}+2 \phi K-\Delta \phi+2 \frac{|\nabla \phi|^{2}}{\phi} . \tag{2.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Inserting the Cauchy inequality

$$
\begin{aligned}
-2 C_{2}(n, \alpha) \nabla \phi \frac{\nabla h}{h} & \leq 2 C_{2}(n, \alpha)|\nabla \phi| \frac{|\nabla h|}{h} \\
& \leq \frac{C_{2}^{2}(n, \alpha)}{C_{1}(n, \alpha)} \frac{|\nabla \phi|^{2}}{\phi}+C_{1}(n, \alpha) \frac{G}{h^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

into (2.31) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{1}(n, \alpha) \frac{G}{h^{2}} \leq 2 \phi K-\Delta \phi+\left(2+\frac{C_{2}^{2}(n, \alpha)}{C_{1}(n, \alpha)}\right) \frac{|\nabla \phi|^{2}}{\phi} \tag{2.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, for $x \in B_{p}(R)$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
C_{1}(n, \alpha) G(x) & \leq C_{1}(n, \alpha) G\left(x_{0}\right) \\
& \leq h^{2}\left(x_{0}\right)\left[2 K+\frac{C(n, \alpha)}{R^{2}}(1+\sqrt{K} R \operatorname{coth}(\sqrt{K} R))\right] . \tag{2.33}
\end{align*}
$$

It shows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\nabla u|^{2}(x) \leq C(n, \alpha) M^{2}\left[K+\frac{1}{R^{2}}(1+\sqrt{K} R \operatorname{coth}(\sqrt{K} R))\right] \tag{2.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

and, hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\nabla u(x)| \leq C(n, \alpha) M \sqrt{K+\frac{1}{R^{2}}(1+\sqrt{K} R \operatorname{coth}(\sqrt{K} R))} . \tag{2.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

We complete the proof of Theorem (1.4.

## 3. Appendix

Here we give a sketch of the proof of Theorem 1.2. The interested readers can consult Yang's paper [10] for details. Assume that $u(x)$ is a positive solution to (1.3) with $c>0$ and $\alpha \leq 1$. Let $f=\log u$. Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta f=-|\nabla f|^{2}-c u^{\alpha-1} . \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $F=|\nabla f|^{2}+c u^{\alpha-1}$. Then we have $\Delta f=-F$, and by the well-known Weitzen-bock-Bochner formula we have

$$
\Delta|\nabla f|^{2}=2 \nabla f \nabla \Delta f+2\left|\nabla^{2} f\right|^{2}+2 \operatorname{Ric}(\nabla f, \nabla f),
$$

where $\nabla^{2} f$ is the Hessian of $f$. Since $c>0$ and $\alpha \leq 1$, we obtain by the above two inequalities

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta F= & \Delta|\nabla f|^{2}+c \Delta u^{\alpha-1} \\
= & -2 \nabla f \nabla F+2\left|\nabla^{2} f\right|^{2}+2 \operatorname{Ric}(\nabla f, \nabla f) \\
& +c(1-\alpha) u^{\alpha-1} F+c(1-\alpha)^{2} u^{\alpha-1}|\nabla f|^{2} \\
\geq & -2 \nabla f \nabla F+\frac{2}{n} F^{2}-2 K F
\end{aligned}
$$

on $B_{p}(2 R)$, where we used the fact that $\left|\nabla^{2} f\right|^{2} \geq \frac{1}{n}(\Delta f)^{2}$. Then following Yang's proof line by line we finish the proof of Theorem 1.2.
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