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#### Abstract

Let $C$ be a curve over an algebraically closed non-archimedean field with non-trivial valuation. Suppose $C$ has totally split reduction and the skeleton $\Gamma$ is a chain of loops with generic edge lengths. Let $P$ be the rightmost vertex of $\Gamma$ and let $\mathcal{P} \in C$ be a point that specializes to $P$. We prove that any divisor class on $\Gamma$ with imposed ramification at $P$ that is rational over the value group of the base field lifts to a divisor class on $C$ that satisfies the same ramification at $\mathcal{P}$, which extends the result in [Canad. Math. Bull. 58 (2015), 250-262].


## 1. Introduction

A metric graph which is a generic chain of loops (Definition 2.2) plays a crucial role in connecting classic and tropical Brill-Noether theory. Many properties of these graphs, such as Brill-Noether generality, can be transferred to certain curves with minimal skeleton isometric to them. Related approaches can be found in CDPR12, JP14, JP16.

Let $\Gamma$ be a generic chain of loops with or without bridges. Let $K$ be an algebraically closed non-archimedean field with non-trivial value group $G$ and valuation ring $R$. Let $C$ be a smooth projective curve of genus $g$ over $K$ which has totally split reduction (by which we mean $C$ admits a split semistable $R$-model as in [BR14, §5] whose special fiber only has rational components) and the skeleton is isometric to $\Gamma$. The tropicalization map from $\operatorname{Pic}^{d}(C)$ to $\operatorname{Pic}^{d}(\Gamma)$ given by linear expansion of the retraction from $C^{\text {an }}$ to $\Gamma$ maps $W_{d}^{r}(C)^{\text {an }}$ to $W_{d}^{r}(\Gamma)$ Bak08], where $W_{d}^{r}(C)$ (resp. $W_{d}^{r}(\Gamma)$ ) parametrizes divisor classes on $C$ (resp. $\Gamma$ ) with degree $d$ and rank at least $r$. It is then proved in CJP15 that $\operatorname{Trop}\left(W_{d}^{r}(C)\right)=W_{d}^{r}(\Gamma)$ via the classification of divisors in $W_{d}^{r}(\Gamma)$, given in [CDPR12]. In other words, every $G$-rational divisor class on $\Gamma$ of rank $r$ can be lifted to a divisor class on $C$ of the same rank.

On the other hand, let $\alpha=\left(\alpha_{0}, \ldots, \alpha_{r}\right)$ be a Schubert index of type $(r, d)$, which is a non-decreasing sequence of non-negative numbers bounded by $d-r$. For an arbitrary chain of loops $\Gamma$, Pflueger [Pf17 provides a straightforward expression for the locus of divisors on $\Gamma$ with ramification at least $\alpha$ at the rightmost vertex $P$ of $\Gamma$ :

$$
W_{d}^{r, \alpha}(\Gamma, P)=\left\{D \in \operatorname{Pic}^{d}(\Gamma): r\left(D-\left(\alpha_{i}+i\right) P\right) \geq r-i \text { for } i=0,1, \ldots, r\right\},
$$

[^0]which is locally a union of translates of coordinate planes possibly of different dimensions in $\mathbb{R}^{g}$. It follows that $W_{d}^{r, \alpha}(\Gamma, P)$ contains the tropicalization of the corresponding locus on $C$ :
$W_{d}^{r, \alpha}(C, \mathcal{P})=\left\{\mathcal{D} \in \operatorname{Pic}^{d}(C): h^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}_{C}\left(\mathcal{D}-\left(\alpha_{i}+i\right) P\right)\right) \geq r+1-i\right.$ for $\left.i=0,1, \ldots, r\right\}$.
When $\Gamma$ is a generic chain of loops, Pfl17 shows that $W_{d}^{r, \alpha}(\Gamma, P)$ has dimension equal to that of $W_{d}^{r, \alpha}(C, \mathcal{P})$ and both have pure dimensions $\rho(g, r, d)-\sum_{0 \leq i \leq r} \alpha_{i}$ as expected. We prove an analogue of the lifting result of CJP15:

Theorem 1.1. Let $\Gamma$ be a generic chain of loops, possibly with bridges, and let $C$ be a smooth projective curve over $K$ which has totally split reduction and skeleton isometric to $\Gamma$. Let $\mathcal{P}$ be a point on $C$ that tropicalizes to the rightmost vertex $P$ of $\Gamma$. Let $\alpha$ be a Schubert index of type $(r, d)$. Then every $G$-rational divisor class on $\Gamma$ with ramification at least $\alpha$ at $P$ lifts to a divisor class on $C$ with ramification at least $\alpha$ at $\mathcal{P}$.

We now explain the general strategy used in the proof of Theorem 1.1. Let

$$
\alpha^{j}=\left(\alpha_{0}, \ldots, \alpha_{j}, \alpha_{j}, \ldots, \alpha_{j}\right)
$$

be a Schubert index of type $(r, d)$ whose last $r-j+1$ coordinates are all $\alpha_{j} \mathrm{~s}$. Let $W_{j}(C)=W_{d}^{r, \alpha^{j}}(C, \mathcal{P})$ and $W_{j}(\Gamma)=W_{d}^{r, \alpha^{j}}(\Gamma, P)$. Also let

$$
\begin{aligned}
X_{j}(C) & =\left(\alpha_{j}+j\right) \mathcal{P}+W_{d-\alpha_{j}-j}^{r-j}(C) \text { and } Y_{j}(C) \\
X_{j}(\Gamma) & =\left(\alpha_{j}+j+1\right) \mathcal{P}+W_{d-\alpha_{j}-j-1}^{r-j-1}(C) \\
\alpha_{j-\alpha_{j}-j}(\Gamma) \text { and } Y_{j}(\Gamma) & =\left(\alpha_{j}+j+1\right) P+W_{d-\alpha_{j}-j-1}^{r-j-1}(\Gamma) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that $W_{j}(C)=W_{j-1}(C) \cap X_{j}(C)$ and that

$$
W_{j}(\Gamma)=W_{j-1}(\Gamma) \cap X_{j}(\Gamma)=W_{j-1}(\Gamma) \cap \operatorname{Trop}\left(X_{j}(C)\right)
$$

We proceed by induction. At each step, assuming $\operatorname{Trop}\left(W_{j-1}(C)\right)=W_{j-1}(\Gamma)$, it suffices to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Trop}\left(W_{j-1}(C) \cap X_{j}(C)\right)=\operatorname{Trop}\left(W_{j-1}(C)\right) \cap \operatorname{Trop}\left(X_{j}(C)\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that $Y_{j-1}(C)$ is locally isomorphic to a polytopal domain, which is the preimage of an integral $G$-affine polytope in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ of the tropicalization map $\left(\mathbb{G}_{m}^{n}\right)^{\text {an }} \rightarrow$ $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ for some $n$, at points in the relative interior of maximal faces of $Y_{j-1}(\Gamma)=$ $\operatorname{Trop}\left(Y_{j-1}(C)\right)$ (this equality follows from the main theorem of [CJP15]). Since $W_{j-1}(\Gamma)$ and $X_{j}(\Gamma)=\operatorname{Trop}\left(X_{j}(C)\right)$ (again, by CJP15) intersect properly in $Y_{j-1}(\Gamma)$, namely, intersect in expected dimension, the problem boils down to lifting proper tropical intersections within a polytopal domain:

Theorem 1.2. Let $\mathcal{U}_{\Delta} \subset\left(\mathbb{G}_{m}^{n}\right)^{\text {an }}$ be the preimage of an integral $G$-affine polytope $\Delta$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ of dimension $n$ and $\mathcal{X}$ and let $\mathcal{X}^{\prime}$ be two Zariski closed analytic subspaces of $\mathcal{U}_{\Delta}$ of pure dimension. Suppose $\operatorname{Trop}(\mathcal{X})$ and $\operatorname{Trop}\left(\mathcal{X}^{\prime}\right)$ intersect properly in $\Delta$. Then we have

$$
\operatorname{Trop}(\mathcal{X}) \cap \operatorname{Trop}\left(\mathcal{X}^{\prime}\right) \cap \Delta^{\circ}=\operatorname{Trop}\left(\mathcal{X} \cap \mathcal{X}^{\prime}\right) \cap \Delta^{\circ}
$$

See Section 4 for a generalized version of this theorem. See also OP13 for an algebraic counterpart, where the authors proved a lifting theorem for subschemes of an algebraic torus whose tropicalizations intersect properly. See Section 3 for the discussion of local properties of $Y_{j-1}(C)$, which works for all Brill-Noether loci $W_{d^{\prime}}^{r^{\prime}}(C)$ on $C$. The proof of (1) is in Section 5, where we use the notation of ramification imposed by a partition instead of a Schubert index (Section 2).

Conventions. Throughout, $K$ will be an algebraically closed non-archimedean field $K$ with non-trivial value group $G$. For a lattice $N$ we denote by $T_{N}$ the algebraic torus over $K$ whose lattice of characters, denoted by $M$, is dual to $N$. Let $N_{\mathbb{R}}=N \otimes \mathbb{R}$. All polytopes in $N_{\mathbb{R}}$ are assumed integral $G$-affine.

## 2. Preliminaries

In this section we recall some notions and techniques which will be useful for later arguments.
2.1. Special divisors on a generic chain of loops. Let $\Gamma$ be a metric graph that is a chain of $g$ loops with or without bridges, and let $\left\{v_{i}\right\}_{1 \leq i \leq g}$ and $\left\{w_{i}\right\}_{1 \leq i \leq g}$ be vertices of $\Gamma$ as in Figure $\square$ (with the possibility that $w_{i}=v_{i+1}$ ). Let $l_{i}$ (resp. $n_{i}$ ) be the length of the top (resp. bottom) segment of the $i$ th loop connecting the vertices $v_{i}$ and $w_{i}$. The divisors on $\Gamma$ with imposed ramification are classified in Pfl17, we recall some related concepts from Pfl17.


Figure 1. A chain of $g$ loops with bridges.

Definition 2.1. The torsion profile of $\Gamma$ is a sequence $\underline{m}=\left(m_{2}, \ldots, m_{g}\right)$ of $g-1$ integers. If $l_{i} / n_{i}$ is a rational number, then $m_{i}$ is the minimum positive integer such that $m_{i} \cdot l_{i}$ is an integer multiple of $l_{i}+n_{i}$; otherwise $m_{i}=0$.

Note that we omit $m_{1}$ because it is immaterial to the properties of the divisors of interest. The following notion of a generic chain of loops was introduced in [CDPR12] for constructing Brill-Noether general curves:

Definition 2.2. We say that $\Gamma$ is generic if none of the ratios $l_{i} / n_{i}$ are equal to the ratio of two positive integers whose sum does not exceed $2 g-2$ or, equivalently, if for each $i$ either $m_{i}>2 g-2$ or $m_{i}=0$.

Let $\lambda$ be a partition, which is a finite, non-increasing sequence of non-negative integers. As in Pfl17, we will identify partitions with their Young diagrams in French notation.

Definition 2.3. Let $P$ be a point on $\Gamma$. The Brill-Noether locus corresponding to a partition $\lambda$ and the marked graph $(\Gamma, P)$ is

$$
W^{\lambda}(\Gamma, P)=\left\{D \in \operatorname{Pic}^{0}(\Gamma): r\left(D+d^{\prime} P\right) \geq r^{\prime} \text { whenever }\left(g-d^{\prime}+r^{\prime}, r^{\prime}+1\right) \in \lambda\right\} .
$$



Figure 2. The partition as in Pfl17, Figure 1] associated to $g, d, r, \alpha$ where $r=3, d=g-3$, and $\alpha=(0,2,2,3)$.

Let $\alpha=\left(\alpha_{0}, \ldots, \alpha_{r}\right)$ be a Schubert index of type $(r, d)$ and let $\lambda=\left(\lambda_{0}, \ldots, \lambda_{r}\right)$ be the induced partition where $\lambda_{i}=(g-d+r)+\alpha_{r-i}$ (see Figure 2). Then the locus $W^{\lambda}(\Gamma, P)$ is isomorphic to $W_{d}^{r, \alpha}(\Gamma, \mathcal{P})$ under the Abel-Jacobi map with respect to $d P$. In particular if $\lambda$ is an $(r+1) \times(g-d+r)$ diagram, then $W^{\lambda}(\Gamma, P)$ is isomorphic to $W_{d}^{r}(\Gamma)$.

We next describe the Brill-Noether locus of a partition when $P=w_{g}$ is the rightmost vertex of $\Gamma$. As in [Pf17] we identify $\lambda=\left(\lambda_{0}, \ldots, \lambda_{r}\right)$ with the set

$$
\left\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}^{2} \mid 1 \leq x \leq \lambda_{y-1}, 1 \leq y \leq r+1\right\}
$$

Definition 2.4. Let $\lambda$ be a partition, and let $\underline{m}=\left(m_{2}, \ldots, m_{g}\right)$ be a $(g-1)$-tuple of non-negative integers. An $\underline{m}$-displacement tableau on $\lambda$ is a function $t: \lambda \rightarrow$ $\{1,2, \ldots, g\}$ satisfying the following properties:
(1) $t$ is strictly increasing in any given row or column of $\lambda$.
(2) For any two distinct boxes $(x, y)$ and ( $\left.x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right)$ in $\lambda$, if $t(x, y)=t\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right)$, then $x-y \equiv x^{\prime}-y^{\prime}\left(\bmod m_{t(x, y)}\right)$.
We denote $t \vdash_{\underline{m}} \lambda$ if $t$ is an $\underline{m}$-displacement tableau on $\lambda$.
According to Pfl17, Theorem 1.3 and Corollary 3.8] if $\Gamma$ is a generic chain of loops and $\underline{m}$ is its torsion profile, then every $\underline{m}$-displacement tableau on $\lambda$ is injective. In other words, $t$ is a standard Young tableau on $\lambda$.
Definition 2.5. Let $\underline{m}$ be the torsion profile of $\Gamma$. Let $t$ be an $\underline{m}$-displacement tableau on a partition $\bar{\lambda}$. Denote by $\mathbb{T}(t)$ the set of divisor classes on $\Gamma$ of the form

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{g}\left\langle\xi_{i}\right\rangle_{i}-g w_{g},
$$

where $\left\{\xi_{j}\right\}_{j}$ are real numbers such that $\xi_{t(x, y)} \equiv x-y\left(\bmod m_{t(x, y)}\right)$ and the symbol $\langle z\rangle_{i}$ denotes the point on the $i$ th loop that is located $z \cdot l_{i}$ units clockwise from $w_{i}$.

It follows that $\mathbb{T}(t)$ is a real torus of dimension $d_{t}=g-|t(\lambda)|$. Moreover, under the identification $\operatorname{Pic}^{0}(\Gamma)=\prod_{1 \leq i \leq g} \mathbb{R} /\left(n_{i}+l_{i}\right) \mathbb{Z}$ induced by the Abel-Jacobi map [MI08, $\S 6$ ], the torus $\mathbb{T}(t)$ is the image of a translate of a coordinate $d_{t}$-plane in $\mathbb{R}^{g}$. The following is the description of the Brill-Noether locus of $\lambda$ (Pf17), Theorem 1.4]).

Proposition 2.6. We have

$$
W^{\lambda}\left(\Gamma, w_{g}\right)=\bigcup_{t \vdash_{\underline{m}} \lambda} \mathbb{T}(t) .
$$

In particular, if $\Gamma$ is generic, then $W^{\lambda}\left(\Gamma, w_{g}\right)$ is of pure dimension $g-|\lambda|$.
2.2. Curves with special skeletons and their tropicalizations. Let $C$ be a smooth projective curve of genus $g$ over $K$ which has totally split reduction and the skeleton is isometric to $\Gamma$. Let $\tau: C^{\text {an }} \rightarrow \Gamma$ be the retraction map. The Jacobian variety of $C$ is totally degenerate in the sense of [Gub07, §6]. In other words, $\operatorname{Pic}^{0}(C)^{\text {an }}$ is isomorphic to $\left(T_{N}\right)^{\text {an }} / L$ where $N$ is a lattice of rank $g$ and $L$ is a discrete subgroup of $T_{N}(K)$ which maps isomorphically onto a complete lattice of $N_{\mathbb{R}}$ under the tropicalization map. Moreover, the induced tropicalization map on $\operatorname{Pic}^{0}(C)^{\text {an }}$ is compatible with the retraction to its skeleton, which is canonically identified with $\operatorname{Pic}^{0}(\Gamma)([\boxed{\mathrm{BR} 14}, \S 6])$ :

where $\alpha_{\mathcal{P}}$ and $\alpha_{P}$ are the Abel-Jacobi maps associated to $\mathcal{P} \in C$ and $P \in \Gamma$ with $\tau(\mathcal{P})=P$.

Definition 2.7. Let $\mathcal{P}$ be a point in $C$. As in Definition 2.3 the Brill-Noether locus corresponding to a partition $\lambda$ and the marked curve $(C, \mathcal{P})$ is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& W^{\lambda}(C, \mathcal{P})=\left\{\mathcal{D} \in \operatorname{Pic}^{0}(C): h^{0}\left(\mathscr{O}_{C}\left(\mathcal{D}+d^{\prime} \mathcal{P}\right)\right)\right. \geq r^{\prime}+1 \\
&\left.\quad \text { whenever }\left(g-d^{\prime}+r^{\prime}, r^{\prime}+1\right) \in \lambda\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $\alpha=\left(\alpha_{0}, \ldots, \alpha_{r}\right)$ be a Schubert index of type $(r, d)$ and let $\lambda=\left(\lambda_{0}, \ldots, \lambda_{r}\right)$ be the induced partition as above. Then as in the graph case the locus $W^{\lambda}(C, \mathcal{P})$ is isomorphic to $W_{d}^{r, \alpha}(C, \mathcal{P})$ under the Abel-Jacobi map with respect to $d \mathcal{P}$. In particular if $\lambda$ is an $(r+1) \times(g-d+r)$ diagram, then $W^{\lambda}(C, \mathcal{P})$ is isomorphic to $W_{d}^{r}(C)$.

The following theorem is a (partial) summary of [Pf17, Theorems 1.13 and 5.1] and [CJP15, Theorem 1.1].

Theorem 2.8. Let $C$ be as above, let $\Gamma$ be a generic chain of loops, and let $\mathcal{P}$ be a point of $C$ that tropicalizes to $P=w_{g}$. Then $\operatorname{Trop}\left(W^{\lambda}(C, \mathcal{P})\right) \subset W^{\lambda}(\Gamma, P)$ and $W^{\lambda}(C, \mathcal{P})$ is of pure dimension $g-|\lambda|$. Moreover, if $\lambda$ is an $(r+1) \times(g-d+r)$ diagram, then $\operatorname{Trop}\left(W^{\lambda}(C, \mathcal{P})\right)=W^{\lambda}(\Gamma, P)$.

In the theorem above, if $\lambda$ is induced by $\alpha$, then the dimension of $W^{\lambda}(\Gamma, P)$ is equal to the expected dimension of $W^{\lambda}(C, \mathcal{P})$ (or $W_{d}^{r, \alpha}(C, \mathcal{P})$ ). It follows that $W^{\lambda}(C, P)$ is of expected dimension as the tropicalization preserves dimension [Gub07, §6].
2.3. Intersection multiplicities in a polytopal domain. Let $N$ be a lattice of rank $n$. Let $\Delta \subset N_{\mathbb{R}}$ be a polytope. We denote by $\mathcal{U}_{\Delta}$ the preimage of $\Delta$ in $\left(T_{N}\right)^{\text {an }}$ under the tropicalization map. Then $\mathcal{U}_{\Delta}$ is an affinoid domain in $\left(T_{N}\right)^{\text {an }}$ by Gub07] and is called a polytopal domain. Denote by $K\left\langle\mathcal{U}_{\Delta}\right\rangle$ the corresponding affinoid algebra, whose basic properties can be found in Rab12, Proposition 6.9].

Definition 2.9. Let $f_{1}, \ldots, f_{k} \in K\left\langle\mathcal{U}_{\Delta}\right\rangle$. Let $Y$ be a Zariski-closed analytic subspace of $\mathcal{U}_{\Delta}$ of dimension $n-k$. Let $Y_{i}=V\left(f_{i}\right)$ and $Z=Y \cap\left(\bigcap_{1 \leq i \leq k} Y_{i}\right)$. The
intersection multiplicity of $Y$ and $Y_{i}$ at an isolated point $\xi$ of $Z$ is

$$
i\left(\xi, Y \cdot Y_{1} \cdots Y_{k} ; \mathcal{U}_{\Delta}\right)=\operatorname{dim}_{K}\left(\mathcal{O}_{Z, \xi}\right)
$$

If $Z$ is finite we define the intersection number of $Y$ and $Y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{k}$ as

$$
i\left(Y \cdot Y_{1} \cdots Y_{k} ; \mathcal{U}_{\Delta}\right)=\sum_{\xi \in Z} \operatorname{dim}_{K}\left(\mathcal{O}_{Z, \xi}\right)
$$

This definition agrees with Rab12, Definition 11.4] and is also compatible with the intersection multiplicities of algebraic varieties. We refer to [Rab12, §11] about intersection multiplicities of tropical hypersurfaces in $\Delta$ when $\Delta$ is of maximal dimension, which is compatible with the stable intersection of tropical cycles in $N_{\mathbb{R}}$.

Theorem 2.10 (Rab12, Theorem 11.7]). Let $\Delta$ be a polytope in $N_{\mathbb{R}}$ and let $f_{1}, \ldots, f_{n} \in K\left\langle\mathcal{U}_{\Delta}\right\rangle$. Let $Y_{i}=V\left(f_{i}\right)$ for all $i$ and let $w \in \bigcap_{1 \leq i \leq n} \operatorname{Trop}\left(Y_{i}\right)$ be an isolated point contained in the interior of $\Delta$. Let $Z=\bigcap_{1 \leq i \leq n} \bar{Y}_{i}$. Then

$$
\sum_{\xi \in Z, \operatorname{Trop}(\xi)=w} i\left(\xi, Y_{1} \cdots Y_{n} ; \mathcal{U}_{\Delta}\right)=i\left(w, \operatorname{Trop}\left(Y_{1}\right) \cdots \operatorname{Trop}\left(Y_{n}\right) ; \Delta\right)
$$

On the other hand, for two Zariski closed subspaces $\mathcal{X}$ and $\mathcal{X}^{\prime}$ of $\mathcal{U}_{\Delta}$ and an isolated point $\xi$ of $\mathcal{X} \cap \mathcal{X}^{\prime}$, the intersection multiplicity of $\mathcal{X}$ and $\mathcal{X}^{\prime}$ at $\xi$ is defined to be

$$
i\left(\xi, \mathcal{X} \cdot \mathcal{X}^{\prime} ; \mathcal{U}_{\Delta}\right)=\sum_{i \geq 0}(-1)^{i} \operatorname{dim}_{K} \operatorname{Tor}_{i}^{\mathscr{O}_{\Delta}, \xi}\left(\mathscr{O}_{\mathcal{X}, \xi}, \mathscr{O}_{\mathcal{X}}, \xi\right)
$$

in OR11, §5]. If $\mathcal{X} \cap \mathcal{X}^{\prime}$ is finite, the intersection number of $\mathcal{X}$ and $\mathcal{X}^{\prime}$ is

$$
i\left(\mathcal{X} \cdot \mathcal{X}^{\prime} ; \mathcal{U}_{\Delta}\right)=\sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{X} \cap \mathcal{X}^{\prime}} i\left(\xi, \mathcal{X} \cdot \mathcal{X}^{\prime} ; \mathcal{U}_{\Delta}\right)
$$

## 3. Local properties of $W_{d}^{r}(C)^{\text {an }}$

Let $C$ and $\Gamma$ and $T_{N}$ be as in Section 2.2 and suppose $\Gamma$ is generic. We prove in this section that $W_{d}^{r}(C)$ is locally analytically isomorphic to a polytopal domain at a "tropically general" point of $W_{d}^{r}(\Gamma)$. Before we start, we specify the following notation:

Notation 3.1. Let $P$ be the rightmost vertex of $\Gamma$ and fix $\mathcal{P} \in C$ that tropicalizes to $P$. Let $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{g} \in N$ be the standard basis of $N$ and let $e_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, e_{g}^{\prime} \in M$ be the dual basis. For each partition $\lambda$ we write $W^{\lambda}(C)$ (resp. $W^{\lambda}(\Gamma)$ ) instead of $W^{\lambda}(C, \mathcal{P})\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.W^{\lambda}(\Gamma, P)\right)$. For a polytope $\Delta \subset N_{\mathbb{R}}$, denote by $\bar{\Delta}$ the image of $\Delta$ in $\operatorname{Pic}^{0}(\Gamma)$. If $\Delta$ maps isomorphically to $\bar{\Delta}$ we denote by $\overline{\mathcal{U}}_{\Delta}$ the preimage of $\bar{\Delta}$ in $\operatorname{Pic}^{0}(C)^{\text {an }}$ under the tropicalization map. Let $L_{\Delta}$ be the subspace of $N_{\mathbb{R}}$ that is parallel to $\Delta$ and has the same dimension as $\Delta$. Let $N_{\Delta}=N \cap L_{\Delta}$. For a given projection $\pi: N \rightarrow N_{\Delta}$ we denote by $\widetilde{\mathcal{U}}_{\Delta}$ the preimage of $\pi(\Delta)$ in $\left(T_{N_{\Delta}}\right)^{\text {an }}$. For a pure polyhedral complex $\gamma$ in $N_{\mathbb{R}}$ denote by $\operatorname{relint}(\gamma)$ the union of relative interiors of all maximal faces of $\gamma$.

Now let $\lambda$ be the $(r+1) \times(g-d+r)$ diagram. As discussed in Section 2 we have $W^{\lambda}(C)$ isomorphic to $W_{d}^{r}(C)$ and $\operatorname{Trop}\left(W^{\lambda}(C)\right)=W^{\lambda}(\Gamma)$, and $W^{\lambda}(\Gamma)$ is a union of translates of the images of the coordinate $\rho$-planes in $N_{\mathbb{R}}$, where $\rho=\rho(g, r, d)$. We next consider the local properties of $W^{\lambda}(C)$ instead.

Let $\delta \subset N_{\mathbb{R}}$ map isomorphically to a maximal face of $W^{\lambda}(\Gamma)$. Take a polytope $\Lambda$ such that $\Lambda \subset \operatorname{relint}(\delta)$ as in Figure 3, Let $\Delta=\Lambda \times I \subset N_{\mathbb{R}}$ where $I_{\epsilon}=[-\epsilon, \epsilon]^{g-\rho}$ such that $\Delta$ maps isomorphically onto its image $\bar{\Delta}$ in $\operatorname{Pic}^{0}(\Gamma)$. We then have that $\mathcal{U}_{\Delta}$ is isomorphic to $\overline{\mathcal{U}}_{\Delta}$. Hence we may consider $W^{\lambda}(C)^{\text {an }}$ as a Zariski-closed analytic subspace of the polytopal domain $\mathcal{U}_{\Delta}$. We may assume that $L_{\Lambda}$ is generated by $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{\rho}$. The canonical projection from $N$ to $N_{\Lambda}$ gives rise to a projection $\pi_{\Lambda}:\left(T_{N}\right)^{\text {an }} \rightarrow\left(T_{N_{\Lambda}}\right)^{\text {an }}$ which is compatible with the tropicalization map. Let $W_{\Lambda}=$ $W^{\lambda}(C)^{\text {an }} \cap \mathcal{U}_{\Lambda}$. The argument in BPR12, Theorem 4.31] shows that $\pi_{\Lambda}: W_{\Lambda} \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathcal{U}}_{\Lambda}$ is finite and maps every irreducible component of $W_{\Lambda}$ surjectively onto $\widetilde{\mathcal{U}}_{\Lambda}$. We now prove the following proposition, which gives us the desired property of $W_{d}^{r}(C)^{\mathrm{an}}$ :


Figure 3. A local part of the preimage of $W^{\lambda}(\Gamma)$ in $N_{\mathbb{R}}$.

Proposition 3.2. The map $\pi_{\Lambda}: W_{\Lambda} \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathcal{U}}_{\Lambda}$ is an isomorphism.
Proof. We first show that $\pi_{\Lambda}$ is of degree one in the sense of BPR12, §3.27]. Take $\rho$ general translates of theta divisors $\Theta_{\Gamma}^{1}=\operatorname{Trop}\left(\Theta_{C}^{1}\right), \ldots, \Theta_{\Gamma}^{\rho}=\operatorname{Trop}\left(\Theta_{C}^{\rho}\right)$ on $\operatorname{Pic}^{0}(\Gamma)$ such that $\bar{\Lambda} \cap\left(\bigcap_{i} \Theta_{\Gamma}^{i}\right)$ is non-empty and consists of finitely many points, where $\Theta_{C}^{i}$ are theta divisors on $\operatorname{Pic}^{0}(C)$. According to CJP15, §2] we may also assume that $\bigcap_{i} \Theta_{\Gamma}^{i}$ intersects $W^{\lambda}(\Gamma)$ transversally at $m$ points, where

$$
m=g!\prod_{i=0}^{r} \frac{i!}{(g-d+r+i)!}=i\left(W^{\lambda}(C) \cdot \Theta_{C}^{1} \cdots \Theta_{C}^{\rho} ; \operatorname{Pic}^{0}(C)\right)
$$

Since the degree of $\pi_{\Lambda}$ is preserved under flat base change, we may shrink $\Lambda$ so that $\bar{\Lambda} \cap\left(\bigcap_{i} \Theta_{\Gamma}^{i}\right)$ consists of exactly one point. Also, take $\epsilon$ small enough such that $\Theta_{\Gamma}^{i} \cap \bar{\Delta}$ is of the form $\widetilde{\Theta}_{\Gamma}^{i} \times I_{\epsilon}$ where $\widetilde{\Theta}_{\Gamma}^{i}$ is a codimension one polyhedral complex in $\Lambda$. Note that by [Wil09, §6] we know that $K\left\langle\mathcal{U}_{\Delta}\right\rangle$ is a UFD; hence we can take $f_{i} \in K\left\langle\mathcal{U}_{\Delta}\right\rangle$ to be the function that defines $\left(\Theta_{C}^{i}\right)^{\text {an }}$ in $\mathcal{U}_{\Delta}$.

According to [Rab12, §8] there is a Laurent polynomial $f_{i}^{\prime}$ which is a sum of monomials in $f_{i}$ such that $\operatorname{Trop}\left(V\left(f_{i}^{\prime}\right)\right) \cap \Delta=\operatorname{Trop}\left(V\left(f_{i}\right)\right)=\widetilde{\Theta}_{\Gamma}^{i} \times I_{\epsilon}$. Moreover
for all $w \in \Delta$ the monomials in $f_{i}$ that obtain the minimal $w$-weight are the same as those in $f_{i}^{\prime}$. Let $A=\left\{u_{1}, \ldots, u_{k}\right\} \subset M$ be the set of vertices of the Newton complex of $f_{i}^{\prime}$ corresponding to the maximal faces of $\Delta$, whose polyhedral complex structure is induced by $\widetilde{\Theta}_{\Gamma}^{i} \times I_{\epsilon}$. We must have that $A$ is contained in a $\rho$ dimensional plane in $M_{\mathbb{R}}$ that is parallel to the one generated by $e_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, e_{\rho}^{\prime}$. We may assume that $A$ is contained in the sublattice generated by $e_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, e_{\rho}^{\prime}$. Consequently, if $g_{i}=\sum x^{u_{i}}$ and $h_{i}=f_{i}-g_{i}$, then for every $a \in K$ with $\operatorname{val}(a) \geq 0$ we have $\operatorname{Trop}\left(V\left(g_{i}+a h_{i}\right)\right)=\operatorname{Trop}\left(V\left(f_{i}\right)\right)($ with the same multiplicities, which are all ones by [CJP15, Theorem 3.1]). Moreover, $g_{i}$ is contained in $K\left\langle\tilde{\mathcal{U}}_{\Lambda}\right\rangle$.

In Lemma 3.3 below, let $W=W_{\Lambda}$ and $l_{i}=g_{i}+t h_{i}$. It follows that (set $t=0$ )

$$
\begin{aligned}
i\left(W_{\Lambda} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{\rho}\left(\Theta_{C}^{i}\right)^{\mathrm{an}} ; \mathcal{U}_{\Delta}\right) & =i\left(W_{\Lambda} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{\rho} V\left(f_{i}\right) ; \mathcal{U}_{\Delta}\right)=i\left(W_{\Lambda} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{\rho} V\left(g_{i}\right) ; \mathcal{U}_{\Delta}\right) \\
& =m_{\Lambda} \cdot i\left(\prod_{i=1}^{\rho} V\left(g_{i}\right) ; \widetilde{\mathcal{U}}_{\Lambda}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where the last equation is the projection formula in Gub98, Proposition 2.10] and $m_{\Lambda}$ is the degree of $\pi_{\Lambda}$. By Theorem 2.10 we have

$$
i\left(\prod_{i=1}^{\rho} V\left(g_{i}\right) ; \widetilde{\mathcal{U}}_{\Lambda}\right)=i\left(\prod_{i=1}^{\rho} \operatorname{Trop}\left(V\left(g_{i}\right)\right) ; \Lambda\right)=1
$$

therefore $i\left(W_{\Lambda} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{\rho}\left(\Theta_{C}^{i}\right)^{\text {an }} ; \mathcal{U}_{\Delta}\right)=m_{\Lambda}$.
Now for all $w_{j} \in W^{\lambda}(\Gamma) \cap\left(\bigcap_{i} \Theta_{\Gamma}^{i}\right)$ where $1 \leq j \leq m$ we pick a polytope $\Lambda_{j}$ as above and get a degree $m_{\Lambda_{i}}$ of the corresponding projection map, which yields

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{m} m_{\Lambda_{j}}=i\left(W^{\lambda}(C) \cdot \Theta_{C}^{1} \cdots \Theta_{C}^{\rho} ; \operatorname{Pic}^{0}(C)\right)=m
$$

Note that the first equality follows from the fact that the $K$-dimension of the local ring of $W^{\lambda}(C) \cap\left(\bigcap_{i} \Theta_{C}^{i}\right)$ at a point is equal to that of $\left.W^{\lambda}(C)^{\text {an }} \cap\left(\bigcap_{i}\left(\Theta_{C}^{i}\right)^{\text {an }}\right)\right)$. Hence we must have $m_{\Lambda_{j}}=1$ for all $j$. Therefore $\pi_{\Lambda}$ is of degree one.

It follows that $W_{\Lambda}$ is irreducible and generically reduced. However, $W_{\Lambda}$ is CohenMacaulay since $W^{\lambda}(C)$ is, so it is everywhere reduced, hence integral. Now $\pi_{\Lambda}$ induces a finite morphism of degree one between integral domains whose source $K\left\langle\widetilde{\mathcal{U}}_{\Lambda}\right\rangle$ is normal, so it must be an isomorphism.
Lemma 3.3. Let $\mathbb{B}_{K}^{1}$ be the unit ball in $\left(\mathbb{G}_{m}\right)_{K}^{\text {an }}$ with coordinate ring $K\langle t\rangle$. Let $W$ be a Cohen-Macaulay Zariski-closed analytic subspace of $\mathcal{U}_{\Delta}$ of pure codimension $k$. Let $l_{1}, \ldots, l_{k} \in K\left\langle\mathcal{U}_{\Delta}\right\rangle \times K\langle t\rangle$ be global sections on $\mathcal{U}_{\Delta} \times \mathbb{B}_{K}^{1}$. Let $Y_{i}$ be the subspace of $\mathcal{U}_{\Delta} \times \mathbb{B}_{K}^{1}$ defined by $l_{i}$. For $t \in \mathbb{B}_{K}^{1}$ let $Y_{i}(t)=\pi^{-1}(t) \cap Y_{i}$ where $\pi$ is the projection from $\mathcal{U}_{\Delta} \times \mathbb{B}_{K}^{1}$ to $\mathbb{B}_{K}^{1}$. Suppose $\operatorname{Trop}(W) \cap\left(\bigcap_{i} \operatorname{Trop}\left(Y_{i}(t)\right)\right)$ is finite and contained in $\Delta^{\circ}$ for all $i$ and $t \in\left|\mathbb{B}_{K}^{1}\right|$. Then the intersection number $i\left(W \cdot Y_{1}(t) \cdots Y_{k}(t) ; \mathcal{U}_{\Delta}\right)$ is constant on $\left|\mathbb{B}_{K}^{1}\right|$.
Proof. We proceed by showing that the analytic space $\widetilde{W}=\left(W \times \mathbb{B}_{K}^{1}\right) \cap\left(\bigcap_{i} Y_{i}\right)$ is finite and flat over $\mathbb{B}_{K}^{1}$; hence every fiber has the same length.

It is obvious that $\widetilde{W}$ has finite fiber. To show it is proper over $\mathbb{B}_{K}^{1}$ we use the ideas in OR11, §4.9]. Since all analytic space appearing here are affinoid, hence compact Hausdorff, we have that $\pi$ is compact on $\widetilde{W}$ and separated. On the other
hand, let $\pi^{\prime}: \mathcal{U}_{\Delta} \times \mathbb{B}_{K}^{1} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}_{\Delta}$ be the other projection. By [OR11, Lemma 4.14] we have

$$
\widetilde{W} \subset\left(\operatorname{Trop} \circ \pi^{\prime}\right)^{-1}\left(\Delta^{\circ}\right) \subset \operatorname{Int}\left(\mathcal{U}_{\Delta} \times \mathbb{B}_{K}^{1} / \mathbb{B}_{K}^{1}\right)
$$

According to the sequence of morphisms $\widetilde{W} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}_{\Delta} \times \mathbb{B}_{K}^{1} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}_{K}^{1}$ we have

$$
\operatorname{Int}\left(\widetilde{W} / \mathbb{B}_{K}^{1}\right)=\operatorname{Int}\left(\widetilde{W} / \mathcal{U}_{\Delta} \times \mathbb{B}_{K}^{1}\right) \cap \operatorname{Int}\left(\mathcal{U}_{\Delta} \times \mathbb{B}_{K}^{1} / \mathbb{B}_{K}^{1}\right)=\operatorname{Int}\left(\widetilde{W} / \mathcal{U}_{\Delta} \times \mathbb{B}_{K}^{1}\right)=\widetilde{W}
$$

Hence $\pi$ is boundaryless on $\widetilde{W}$. Consequently $\pi$ is proper and hence finite on $\widetilde{W}$.
Now the flatness of $\pi$ follows from Liu02, Exercise 1.2.12] and induction. Note that the finiteness of fibers of $\pi$ and the Cohen-Macaulayness of $W$ ensures that each $l_{i}$ is not a zero divisor on $W \cap Y_{1}(t) \cap \cdots \cap Y_{i-1}(t)$ for all $t$.

Remark 3.4. An algebraic analogue of Proposition 3.2 is that a reduced (or CohenMacaulay) closed subscheme $Z$ of $T_{N}$ is locally analytically isomorphic to a torus at a point that tropicalizes to the relative interior of a maximal face of $\operatorname{Trop}(Z)$ of multiplicity one; see for example He16, Lemma 6.2].

## 4. Lifting tropical intersections in a polyhedral domain

Let $N$ be an arbitrary lattice of rank $n$ as in Theorem 1.2 and let $T_{N}$ be the induced torus. Let $\Delta$ be a polytope of maximal dimension in $N_{\mathbb{R}}$. In this section we use Osserman and Rabinoff's continuity theorem [OR11, §5] of analytic intersection numbers to prove a generalized version of Theorem 1.2, Let $\mathcal{U}_{0} \subset T_{N}^{\mathrm{an}}$ be the preimage of the origin in $N_{\mathbb{R}}$. Then $\mathcal{U}_{0}$ acts on $\mathcal{U}_{\Delta}$. Denote the action by $\mu: \mathcal{U}_{0} \times$ $\mathcal{U}_{\Delta} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}_{\Delta}$ and let $\pi: \mathcal{U}_{0} \times \mathcal{U}_{\Delta} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}_{0}$ be the projection. This gives an isomorphism:

$$
(\pi, \mu): \mathcal{U}_{0} \times \mathcal{U}_{\Delta} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}_{0} \times \mathcal{U}_{\Delta}
$$

The following lemma is a consequence of [OR11, Proposition 5.8]:
Lemma 4.1. Let $\pi$ be as above. Let $\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{Y}^{\prime} \subset \mathcal{U}_{0} \times \mathcal{U}_{\Delta}$ be Zariski-closed subspaces, flat over $\mathcal{U}_{0}$, such that $\mathcal{Y} \cap \mathcal{Y}^{\prime}$ is finite over $\mathcal{U}_{0}$. Then the map

$$
s \mapsto i\left(\mathcal{Y}_{s} \cdot \mathcal{Y}_{s}^{\prime} ; \mathcal{U}_{\Delta}\right) \quad: \quad\left|\mathcal{U}_{0}\right| \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}
$$

is constant on $\mathcal{U}_{0}$, where $\mathcal{Y}_{s}$ and $\mathcal{Y}_{s}^{\prime}$ are fibers of $\pi$.
We refer to Duc11] or OR11, §5] about the notion of flatness for analytic spaces which is preserved under composition and change of base. Any analytic space is flat over $K$. We now prove the following theorem:

Theorem 4.2. Let $\Sigma$ be a polyhedral complex of maximal dimension in $N_{\mathbb{R}}$ consisting of integral $G$-affine polyhedra, and let $\mathcal{U}_{\Sigma}$ be the preimage of $|\Sigma|$ in $\left(\mathbb{G}_{m}^{n}\right)^{\text {an }}$. Let $\mathcal{X}_{1}, \ldots, \mathcal{X}_{m}$ be Zariski-closed subspaces of $\mathcal{U}_{\Sigma}$ of pure dimensions whose tropicalizations intersect properly. Then

$$
\operatorname{Trop}\left(\mathcal{X}_{1}\right) \cap \cdots \cap \operatorname{Trop}\left(\mathcal{X}_{m}\right) \cap|\Sigma|^{\circ}=\operatorname{Trop}\left(\mathcal{X}_{1} \cap \cdots \cap \mathcal{X}_{m}\right) \cap|\Sigma|^{\circ} .
$$

In particular, we have

$$
\operatorname{Trop}\left(\mathcal{X}_{1}\right) \cap \cdots \cap \operatorname{Trop}\left(\mathcal{X}_{m}\right)=\operatorname{Trop}\left(\mathcal{X}_{1} \cap \cdots \cap \mathcal{X}_{m}\right)
$$

if $\mathcal{X}_{1}, \ldots, \mathcal{X}_{m}$ are Zariski-closed analytic subspaces of $T_{N}^{\mathrm{an}}$ with proper tropical intersections.

Proof. As the statement is local, we may assume that $\Sigma=\Delta$ is a polytope. We first prove the equality for $\mathcal{X}_{1}, \ldots, \mathcal{X}_{m}$ such that $\operatorname{codim}\left(\mathcal{X}_{1}\right)+\cdots+\operatorname{codim}\left(\mathcal{X}_{m}\right)=n$. By the argument in OP13, §5.2], it is enough to consider the case $m=2$. Now suppose $\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{X}^{\prime} \subset \mathcal{U}_{\Delta}$ are Zariski closed analytic subspaces such that $\operatorname{codim}(\mathcal{X})+\operatorname{codim}\left(\mathcal{X}^{\prime}\right)=$ $n$; hence $\mathcal{X} \cap \mathcal{X}^{\prime}$ is finite. As the statement is local, we may also assume that $\operatorname{Trop}(\mathcal{X}) \cap \operatorname{Trop}\left(\mathcal{X}^{\prime}\right)$ contains only one point $w$ that lies in $\Delta^{\circ}$. It suffices to show that $\mathcal{X} \cap \mathcal{X}^{\prime}$ is non-empty.

In Lemma 4.1, let $\mathcal{Y}=(\pi, \mu)\left(\mathcal{U}_{0} \times \mathcal{X}\right)$ and $\mathcal{Y}^{\prime}=\mathcal{U}_{0} \times \mathcal{X}^{\prime}$ where $\pi$ and $\mu$ are as above. Then both $\mathcal{Y}$ and $\mathcal{Y}^{\prime}$ are flat over $\mathcal{U}_{0}$. On the other hand, the argument in Lemma 3.3 shows that $\mathcal{Y} \cap \mathcal{Y}^{\prime}$ is finite over $\mathcal{U}_{0}$, as $\operatorname{Trop}\left(\mathcal{Y}_{s}\right) \cap \operatorname{Trop}\left(\mathcal{Y}_{s}^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{Trop}(\mathcal{X}) \cap$ $\operatorname{Trop}\left(\mathcal{X}^{\prime}\right)$ is finite for every $s \in\left|\mathcal{U}_{0}\right|$. Therefore $i\left(\mathcal{Y}_{s} \cdot \mathcal{Y}_{s}^{\prime} ; \mathcal{U}_{\Delta}\right)$ is constant on $\left|\mathcal{U}_{0}\right|$ by Lemma 4.1. Take $\xi \in|\mathcal{X}|$ and $\xi^{\prime} \in\left|\mathcal{X}^{\prime}\right|$ such that $\operatorname{Trop}(\xi)=\operatorname{Trop}\left(\xi^{\prime}\right)=w$. Take also $t \in\left|\mathcal{U}_{0}\right|$ such that $t(\xi)=\xi^{\prime}$. Then $\mathcal{Y}_{t} \cap \mathcal{Y}_{t}^{\prime}=t(\mathcal{X}) \cap \mathcal{X}^{\prime}$ contains $\xi^{\prime}$, hence $i\left(\mathcal{Y}_{t} \cdot \mathcal{Y}_{t}^{\prime} ; \mathcal{U}_{\Delta}\right)>0$. Thus $i\left(\mathcal{Y}_{s} \cdot \mathcal{Y}_{s}^{\prime} ; \mathcal{U}_{\Delta}\right)>0$ for all $s \in\left|\mathcal{U}_{0}\right|$. Taking $s$ to be the identity in $\mathcal{U}_{0}$ implies that $\mathcal{X} \cap \mathcal{X}^{\prime}=\mathcal{Y}_{s} \cap \mathcal{Y}_{s}^{\prime}$ is non-empty. Thus $w \in \operatorname{Trop}(\mathcal{X}) \cap \operatorname{Trop}\left(\mathcal{X}^{\prime}\right)$ and the proof for $\operatorname{codim}\left(\mathcal{X}_{1}\right)+\cdots+\operatorname{codim}\left(\mathcal{X}_{m}\right)=n$ is completed.

Now assume $\operatorname{codim}\left(\mathcal{X}_{1}\right)+\cdots+\operatorname{codim}\left(\mathcal{X}_{m}\right)<n$. Again by OP13, §5.2] we may only consider $m=2$ and take $\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{X}^{\prime} \subset \mathcal{U}_{\Delta}$ such that $\operatorname{Trop}(\mathcal{X}) \cap \operatorname{Trop}\left(\mathcal{X}^{\prime}\right)$ has dimension $l>0$. For any $G$-rational point $v \in \operatorname{Trop}(\mathcal{X}) \cap \operatorname{Trop}\left(\mathcal{X}^{\prime}\right) \cap \Delta^{\circ}$ we can find a Zariski-closed subspace $\mathcal{Z}$ of $\mathcal{U}_{\Delta}$ of codimension $l$ such that $\operatorname{Trop}(\mathcal{Z})$ contains $v$ and intersects properly with $\operatorname{Trop}(\mathcal{X}) \cap \operatorname{Trop}\left(\mathcal{X}^{\prime}\right)$ near $v$. Hence the argument above implies that

$$
v \in \operatorname{Trop}\left(\mathcal{Z} \cap \mathcal{X} \cap \mathcal{X}^{\prime}\right) \subset \operatorname{Trop}\left(\mathcal{X} \cap \mathcal{X}^{\prime}\right)
$$

As $G$-rational points are dense in $\operatorname{Trop}(\mathcal{X}) \cap \operatorname{Trop}\left(\mathcal{X}^{\prime}\right)$ this implies that $\operatorname{Trop}(\mathcal{X}) \cap$ $\operatorname{Trop}\left(\mathcal{X}^{\prime}\right) \cap \Delta^{\circ}=\operatorname{Trop}\left(\mathcal{X} \cap \mathcal{X}^{\prime}\right) \cap \Delta^{\circ}$.

It is necessary to consider only the interior of $\Delta$ in Theorem 1.2 or Theorem 4.2, See the example below.

Example 4.3. Let $\mathcal{X}_{1}$ and $\mathcal{X}_{2}$ be two curves in $\left(K^{*}\right)^{2}$ defined by $x+y+1=0$ and $x+y=0$ respectively. Let $\Delta=[0,1]^{2}$ as in Figure 4 . Then $\operatorname{Trop}\left(\mathcal{X}_{1}\right) \cap \operatorname{Trop}\left(\mathcal{X}_{2}\right) \cap \Delta$ is the origin; hence $\operatorname{Trop}\left(\mathcal{X}_{1}\right)$ and $\operatorname{Trop}\left(\mathcal{X}_{2}\right)$ intersect properly in $\Delta$. But $\mathcal{X}_{1} \cap \mathcal{X}_{2} \cap \mathcal{U}_{\Delta}$ is empty.


Figure 4

## 5. Lifting divisors with imposed Ramification

In this section we prove Theorem 1.1 We will use the notation in Notation 3.1. Let $\alpha=\left(\alpha_{0}, \ldots, \alpha_{r}\right)$ be a Schubert index of type $(d, r)$. Let $\lambda=\left(\lambda_{0}, \ldots, \lambda_{r}\right)$ be the induced partition. Hence $\lambda_{i}=g-d+r+\alpha_{r-i}$. See Figure 5.


Figure 5

After translating every divisor class on $C$ (resp. $\Gamma$ ) of degree $d$ to its image in $\operatorname{Pic}^{0}(C)\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.\operatorname{Pic}^{0}(\Gamma)\right)$ under the Abel-Jacobi map induced by $d \mathcal{P}($ resp $d P$ ) we may assume that the ramification is imposed by $\lambda$ (instead of $\alpha$ ). Now Theorem 1.1 is equivalent to the following:

Theorem 5.1. We have $\operatorname{Trop}\left(W^{\lambda}(C)\right)=W^{\lambda}(\Gamma)$.
The strategy of proof is to write $W^{\lambda}(C)$ as an intersection in $\operatorname{Pic}^{0}(C)$ of $\operatorname{Brill-}$ Noether loci $W^{\lambda_{i}}(C)$, which is isomorphic to some $W_{d_{i}}^{r_{i}}(C)$, such that $W^{\lambda}(\Gamma)$ is equal to the intersection of $\operatorname{Trop}\left(W^{\lambda_{i}}(C)\right)=W^{\lambda_{i}}(\Gamma)$. Then use the commutativity of tropicalization with intersection (Theorem 4.2) to get the equality.

Let $\lambda_{j}$ be the partition corresponding to the $(r+1-j) \times\left(g-d+r+\alpha_{j}\right)$ diagram (see Figure 66). Then $W^{\lambda_{j}}(C)$ is isomorphic to $W_{d-\alpha_{j}-j}^{r-j}(C)$ as well as to $X_{j}(C)$ in Section 1, and $W^{\lambda}(C)=\bigcap_{0 \leq i \leq r} W^{\lambda_{i}}(C)$, while $W^{\lambda_{j}}(\Gamma)$ is isomorphic to $W_{d-\alpha_{j}-j}^{r-j}(\Gamma)$ as well as to $X_{j}(\Gamma)$ in Section 1, and $W^{\lambda}(\Gamma)=\bigcap_{0 \leq i \leq r} W^{\lambda_{i}}(\Gamma)$.

Note that $W^{\lambda_{j}}(C)$ does not satisfy the condition of Theorem 4.2] namely, the intersection of all $W^{\lambda_{j}}(\Gamma)$ is not proper in $\operatorname{Pic}^{0}(\Gamma)$. To resolve this issue, let $\lambda^{j}$ be the union of $\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{j}$ and $W_{j}(C)=\bigcap_{0 \leq i \leq j} W^{\lambda_{i}}(C)=W^{\lambda^{j}}(C)$ and $W_{j}(\Gamma)=$ $\bigcap_{0 \leq i \leq j} W^{\lambda_{i}}(\Gamma)=W^{\lambda^{j}}(\Gamma)$ for $0 \leq j \leq r$. We consider inductively $W_{j}(C)$ and $W^{\lambda_{j+1}}(C)$ as subspaces of a locus $W^{\mu_{j}}(C)$, isomorphic to some $W_{d_{j}^{\prime}}^{r_{j}^{\prime}}(C)$, of suitable dimension. Then the local property in Section 3 and Theorem 4.2 would ensure that their tropicalizations commute with intersection.

Let $\mu_{j}$ be the partition corresponding to the $(r-j) \times\left(g-d+r+\alpha_{j}\right)$ diagram (this is the intersection of $\lambda^{j}$ and $\lambda_{j+1}$ ). As above we have $W^{\mu_{j}}(C)$ isomorphic to $W_{d-\alpha_{j}-j-1}^{r-j-1}(C)$ as well as to $Y_{j}(C)$ in Section 1 , and $W^{\mu_{j}}(\Gamma)$ isomorphic to $W_{d-\alpha_{j}-j-1}^{r-j-1}(\Gamma)$ as well as to $Y_{j}(\Gamma)$ in Section 1. Moreover, we have $W_{j}(C) \subset$ $W^{\mu_{j}}(C)$ and $W^{\lambda_{j+1}}(C) \subset W^{\mu_{j}}(C)$ and $W_{j}(\Gamma) \subset W^{\mu_{j}}(\Gamma)$ and $W^{\lambda_{j+1}}(\Gamma) \subset W^{\mu_{j}}(\Gamma)$.


Figure 6

We first show the following lemma:
Lemma 5.2. $W_{j}(\Gamma)$ and $W^{\lambda_{j+1}}(\Gamma)$ intersect properly in $W^{\mu_{j}}(\Gamma)$, and there is an open dense subset $U_{j}$ of $W_{j}(\Gamma) \cap W^{\lambda_{j+1}}(\Gamma)=W_{j+1}(\Gamma)$ which is contained in $\operatorname{relint}\left(W^{\mu_{j}}(\Gamma)\right)$.

Proof. The properness follows directly from dimension counting (Proposition 2.6), as $\lambda^{j+1}$ is the union of $\lambda^{j}$ and $\lambda_{j+1}$ while $\mu_{j}$ is the intersection of $\lambda^{j}$ and $\lambda_{j+1}$. More precisely, we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\operatorname{dim}\left(W_{j}(\Gamma)\right)=g-\left(\sum_{i=0}^{j-1} g-d+r+\alpha_{i}\right)-(r+1-j)\left(g-d+r+\alpha_{j}\right) \\
\operatorname{dim}\left(W^{\lambda_{j+1}}(\Gamma)\right)=g-(r-j)\left(g-d+r+\alpha_{j+1}\right) \\
\operatorname{dim}\left(W^{\mu_{j}}(\Gamma)\right)=g-(r-j)\left(g-d+r+\alpha_{j}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
\operatorname{dim}\left(W_{j+1}(\Gamma)\right)=g-\left(\sum_{i=0}^{j} g-d+r+\alpha_{i}\right)-(r-j)\left(g-d+r+\alpha_{j+1}\right)
$$

Straightforward calculation shows that

$$
\operatorname{dim}\left(W_{j}(\Gamma)\right)+\operatorname{dim}\left(W^{\lambda_{j+1}}(\Gamma)\right)=\operatorname{dim}\left(W^{\mu_{j}}(\Gamma)\right)+\operatorname{dim}\left(W_{j+1}(\Gamma)\right)
$$

For the second conclusion it suffices to show that every real torus in $W_{j+1}(\Gamma)$ is contained in exactly one torus in $W^{\mu_{j}}(\Gamma)$. Take two tori $\mathbb{T}(t) \subset W_{j+1}(\Gamma)$ and $\mathbb{T}\left(t^{\prime}\right) \subset W^{\mu_{j}}(\Gamma)$ such that $\mathbb{T}(t) \subset \mathbb{T}\left(t^{\prime}\right)$, where $t$ and $t^{\prime}$ are standard Young tableaux on $\lambda^{j+1}$ and $\mu_{j}$ respectively. We claim that $t^{\prime}=\left.t\right|_{\mu_{j}}$.

It is easy to see that $t^{\prime}\left(\mu_{j}\right) \subset t\left(\lambda^{j+1}\right)$. On the other hand, let $S_{k}=\{(x, y) \mid x-y=$ $k\}$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. If $t(x, y)=t^{\prime}\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right)$, then $x-y=x^{\prime}-y^{\prime}$ by the construction of $\mathbb{T}(t)$ and $\mathbb{T}\left(t^{\prime}\right)$. It follows that $t^{\prime}\left(\mu_{j} \cap S_{k}\right) \subset t\left(\lambda^{j+1} \cap S_{k}\right)$ for all $k$. In particular, let $k_{j}=g-d+\alpha_{j}+j$; then $S_{k_{j}}$ is as in Figure 6] and we have

$$
t^{\prime}\left(\mu_{j} \cap S_{k_{j}}\right)=t\left(\lambda^{j+1} \cap S_{k_{j}}\right)
$$

since $\mu_{j} \cap S_{k_{j}}=\lambda^{j+1} \cap S_{k_{j}}$. Therefore $\left.t\right|_{\mu_{j} \cap S_{k_{j}}}=\left.t^{\prime}\right|_{\mu_{j} \cap S_{k_{j}}}$ as both $t$ and $t^{\prime}$ are strictly increasing along rows and columns.

We now prove by induction that $\left.t\right|_{\mu_{j} \cap S_{k}}=\left.t^{\prime}\right|_{\mu_{j} \cap S_{k}}$ for all $k \leq k_{j}$. Suppose this is true for $k=m+1$. We have $t(r-j+m+l, r-j+l) \notin t^{\prime}\left(\mu_{j} \cap S_{m}\right)$ for all $l \geq 1$, since this number is bigger than all numbers in $t\left(\mu_{j} \cap S_{m+1}\right)=t^{\prime}\left(\mu_{j} \cap S_{m+1}\right)$, thus greater than the numbers in $t^{\prime}\left(\mu_{j} \cap S_{m}\right)$. It then follows that $t\left(\mu_{j} \cap S_{m}\right)=t^{\prime}\left(\mu_{j} \cap S_{m}\right)$; therefore $\left.t\right|_{\mu_{j} \cap S_{m}}=\left.t^{\prime}\right|_{\mu_{j} \cap S_{m}}$.

The same argument as above shows that $\left.t\right|_{\mu_{j} \cap S_{k}}=\left.t^{\prime}\right|_{\mu_{j} \cap S_{k}}$ for all $k \geq k_{j}$. Thus $t^{\prime}=\left.t\right|_{\mu_{j}}$.

Proof of Theorem 5.1. We prove by induction that $\operatorname{Trop}\left(W_{k}(C)\right)=W_{k}(\Gamma)$ for all $0 \leq k \leq r$. The $k=0$ case is in Theorem 2.8. Now assume $\operatorname{Trop}\left(W_{j}(C)\right)=W_{j}(\Gamma)$; we need to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Trop}\left(W_{j}(C) \cap W^{\lambda_{j+1}}(C)\right)=\operatorname{Trop}\left(W_{j+1}(C)\right)=W_{j+1}(\Gamma) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $U_{j}$ be as in Lemma 5.2 and fix $w \in U_{j}$. As we only care about the local geometry near $w$, we may assume all Brill-Noether loci corresponding to ( $C, \mathcal{P}$ ) (resp. $(\Gamma, P))$ are contained in a polytopal domain (resp. polytope) in $T_{N}$ (resp. $N_{\mathbb{R}}$ ). We may also assume that $w$ is the origin. Take a polytope $\Lambda \subset \operatorname{relint}\left(W^{\mu_{j}}(\Gamma)\right)$ such that $w \in \operatorname{relint}(\Lambda)$. According to Proposition 3.2 we have the following commutative diagram:

where both vertical arrows are isomorphisms induced by the natural projection from $N$ to $N_{\Lambda}$ as in Proposition 3.2,

Let

$$
W_{\Lambda}^{\lambda_{j+1}}=W^{\lambda_{j+1}}(C)^{\mathrm{an}} \cap \mathcal{U}_{\Lambda} \quad \text { and } \quad W_{\Lambda, j}=W_{j}(C)^{\mathrm{an}} \cap \mathcal{U}_{\Lambda} .
$$

According to Lemma $5.2 \operatorname{Trop}\left(\pi_{\Lambda}\left(W_{\Lambda}^{\lambda_{j+1}}\right)\right)$ and $\operatorname{Trop}\left(\pi_{\Lambda}\left(W_{\Lambda, j}\right)\right)$ intersect properly in $\pi(\Lambda)$, which is a polytope of maximal dimensional in $\left(N_{\Lambda}\right)_{\mathbb{R}}$ that contains $\pi(w)$ as an interior point. Hence Theorem 4.2 implies that

$$
\pi(w) \in \operatorname{Trop}\left(\pi_{\Lambda}\left(W_{\Lambda}^{\lambda_{j+1}}\right) \cap \pi_{\Lambda}\left(W_{\Lambda, j}\right)\right)
$$

and that

$$
w \in \operatorname{Trop}\left(W_{\Lambda}^{\lambda_{j+1}} \cap W_{\Lambda, j}\right) \subset \operatorname{Trop}\left(W_{j}(C) \cap W^{\lambda_{j+1}}(C)\right)
$$

As $U_{j}$ is dense in $W_{j+1}(\Gamma)$ and $U_{j} \subset \operatorname{Trop}\left(W_{j}(C) \cap W^{\lambda_{j+1}}(C)\right)$, we have $W_{j+1}(\Gamma) \subset$ $\operatorname{Trop}\left(W_{j}(C) \cap W^{\lambda_{j+1}}(C)\right)$. This proves (2), as the other direction of containment is trivial.
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