

**CORRECTIONS AND CLARIFICATIONS OF “INTRODUCTION  
TO ALGEBRAIC GEOMETRY”**

STEVEN DALE CUTKOSKY

page 52, lines 1 - 2: Corollary 2.87 should be: Suppose that  $X$  is an affine variety. If  $p, q \in X$  are distinct points, then  $\mathcal{O}_{X,p} \neq \mathcal{O}_{X,q}$ .

page 57, line 18: Suppose that  $U$  is an open subset of an affine variety  $X$ .

page 82, lines 3 -4: Proposition 3.36 should be: Suppose that  $W$  is a projective variety. Then distinct points of  $W$  have distinct local rings.

page 105, line -7: “ $S(X)$ ” should be “ $S(X \times W)$ ”.

page 124, line -5: “ $\mathbb{P}^3$ ” should be “ $\mathbb{P}^2$ ”.

page 149, after line 5, insert: To see this, observe that there exist affine neighborhoods  $V$  of  $p$  and  $W$  of  $q$  such that  $\phi(V) \subset W$  and  $V \cap \phi^{-1}(q) = p$ . Thus by the Nullstellensatz, the ideal  $I_V(V \cap \phi^{-1}(q))$  in  $k[V]$  is the maximal ideal  $I_V(p)$ . This is just the radical of  $I_W(q)k[V]$ . Localizing at  $I_V(p)$ , we have that the radical of  $m_q \mathcal{O}_{X,p}$  is  $m_p \mathcal{O}_{X,p}$ .

page 166, line 5:  $k(X) \rightarrow k(Z)$

page 188, line 7: Should be  $x(p) = x_i(p)$ .

page 199, line -13: “Let  $U$  be an open subset of the projective variety  $X$ ” should be “Let  $X$  be a projective variety”.

page 199, line -7, Insert “for every  $q \in V$ ” after “ $s(q) = \frac{a}{f} \in N_{(I(q))}$ ”.

page 204, lines -12 and -11:  $\mathcal{F}$  is a coherent sheaf on  $X$  and  $\mathcal{G}$  is a quasi coherent sheaf on  $X$ .

page 210, line 4: “ $x_n$ ” should be “ $x_r$ ”.

page 210, line 5: “ $0 \leq i \leq n$ ” should be “ $0 \leq i \leq r$ ”.

page 210, lines 13 - 16: Replace ‘Letting  $S = \dots$  with localization.’ with ‘Letting  $S = S(X)$ , we have by Lemma 11.44, natural isomorphisms of  $S_{(x_i)}$ -modules

$$\Gamma(X_{x_i}, \mathcal{F} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{O}_X(n)) = N_{(x_i)} \otimes_{S_{(x_i)}} S(n)_{(x_i)} \cong N(n)_{(x_i)} = \Gamma(X_{x_i}, \widetilde{N(n)}).$$

Now formula (11.20) follows from the sheaf axioms.”

page 213, insert after line 8: The  $S$ -module structure on  $M$  is defined as follows. We have a natural homomorphism of quasi-coherent sheaves

$$\mathcal{F}(n) \oplus \mathcal{O}_X(m) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(n) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{O}_X(m) \cong \mathcal{F}(m+n),$$

giving a homomorphism

$$\Gamma(X, \mathcal{F}(n)) \oplus \Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X(m)) \rightarrow \Gamma(X, \mathcal{F}(m+n)).$$

We then define the graded  $S$ -module structure on  $M$  from the natural maps  $S_m \rightarrow \Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X(m))$ .

page 214, line 4:  $\Gamma(X_{x_j}, \mathcal{F}(d))$ .

page 251, line 5:  $Z(F_j) = D_j$ .

page 251, line 6:  $Z(G_j) = E_j$ .

page 266, line 8: remove “such that  $\mathcal{O}_X(D)$  is invertible”

page 271, line 8:  $\Gamma(V, \mathcal{L})$ .

page 295: Theorem 15.14 should be: Suppose that  $X$  is an abstract variety . Then for  $p, q \in X$ , the stalks  $\mathcal{O}_{X,p} \subset k(X)$  and  $\mathcal{O}_{X,q} \subset k(X)$  are equal if and only if  $p = q$ .

page 295, lines -13 and -12: A quasi projective variety is an abstract variety by Proposition 5.8.

page 348, line 2:  $\mathcal{O}_R = \mathcal{O}_X/\mathcal{O}_X(-R)$

page 355: Insert after line 9: Suppose that  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  are elliptic curves and  $\sigma : X_1 \rightarrow X_2$  is an isomorphism. Let  $\phi = \phi_{|2\mathbb{P}_2|} : X_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$  be ramified over  $\{0, 1, \lambda, \infty\}$ . Then  $\phi\sigma = \phi_{|2\mathbb{P}_1|} : X_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$  (where  $p_1 = \sigma^{-1}(p_2)$ ) is ramified over  $\{0, 1, \lambda, \infty\}$ . Thus  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  have the same  $j$ -invariant  $j(\lambda)$ .