

Errata for *The Classification of the Finite Simple Groups*, A.M.S. Surveys and Monographs 40

Daniel Gorenstein, Richard Lyons, and Ronald Solomon

Last update: June 5, 2013

The authors are grateful to the many people who have found errors, including Professors J. L. Alperin, P. Flavell, N. Gill, R. Guralnick, the late C.-Y. Ho, J. E. Humphreys, M. W. Jacobsen, I. Korchagina, C. Ku, C. Parker, G. Seitz, P. Sin, and J. G. Thompson.

ERRATA FOR NUMBER 1

These corrections to Number 1 have been included in the second printing.

Pages 47,140,142: *The correct Background Reference is:*

[Ca1] R. W. Carter, *Simple Groups of Lie Type*, Wiley and Sons, London, 1972.

Page 142: *The correct Expository Reference is:*

[Ca2] R. W. Carter, *Finite Groups of Lie Type: Conjugacy Classes and Complex Characters*, Wiley-Interscience, London, 1985.

Pages 100, 102: *In Definitions 12.1 and 13.1, the group $G_2(8)$ should be removed from the set \mathcal{C}_3 and placed in \mathcal{T}_3 .*

ERRATA FOR NUMBER 2

Page 115, Line 6: ~~$-J \cong SL_n(r^m), r \text{ odd}$~~ $J \cong SL_n(r^m), n \text{ and } r \text{ odd}$

Page 117, Line -3: ~~$-A \leq C_{P^g}(u)$~~ $R_1 \leq C_{P^g}(u)$

Page 117, Line -2: ~~$-1 \neq R_1 \leq A \leq P \cap P^g \cap Y$~~ $1 \neq R_1 \leq P \cap P^g \cap Y$

Page 122, Line 19: ~~*In Definition 21.1 p' -subgroups*~~ *A-invariant p' -subgroups*

Page 172, Line -17: *In Lemma 29.5, a hypothesis needs to be added. The following is adequate, following the first sentence: Assume that there is a mapping $\phi : E \rightarrow D$ such that $\phi(i) \geq i$ for all $i \in E$, and whenever $i, j \in E$ with $i \leq j$, then $\phi(i) \leq \phi(j)$.*

ERRATA FOR NUMBER 3

Page 18, Line 3: ~~$-h_\alpha(t) = n_\alpha(t)n_\alpha(1)^{-1}$~~ $h_\alpha(t) = n_\alpha(1)^{-1}n_\alpha(t)$

Page 18, Line -3: ~~$-h_{r_\beta(\alpha)}(c_{\alpha,\beta}t)$~~ $h_{r_\beta(\alpha)}(t)$

Page 36, Line -11: $-q(\sigma, \overline{K})$ $q(\overline{K}, \sigma)$

Page 37, Line 6: $-{}^2G_2(2^{a+\frac{1}{2}})$ ${}^2G_2(3^{a+\frac{1}{2}})$

Page 55, Line -11: *In the statement of Lemma 2.5.7:*

$$-C_{\text{Aut}_1(K)}(K) = \langle \sigma \rangle \quad C_{\text{Aut}_1(\overline{K})}(K, \sigma) = \langle \sigma \rangle$$

Page 57, Line 18: *At the end of Definition 2.5.10, add:*

(f) $\text{Aut}_0(K) = \text{image of } C_{\text{Aut}_0(\overline{K})}(\sigma) \text{ in } \text{Aut}(K).$

Page 58, Line -10: $-\text{If } K \cong A_m(q), D_{2m+1}(q)$ $\text{If } K \cong A_m(q) (m > 1), D_{2m+1}(q)$

Page 70, Line 19: $-\text{We may identify}$ $\text{Except for the case } D_2^+(2), \text{ we may identify}$

Page 70, Line -11: *At the end of this paragraph, add:*

In the exceptional case $D_2^+(2)$, the group $O_4^+(2)$ is an extension of E_{32} by D_8 , and the index of its commutator subgroup is 4. We define $\Omega_4^+(2)$ to be the kernel of the Dickson invariant in this case. Then $\Omega_4^+(2)$ is the direct product of two root $A_1(2)$ -subgroups.

Page 181, Line -5: For $G = E_7(q)$, the entry in row t'_4 and column $C_C(L)$ of Table 4.5.2 should be $(4, q+1)$

Page 237, Line -3: $-P = P_T(P \cap K)$ $P_0 = P_T(P_0 \cap K)$

Page 237, Line -2: $-P$ P_0

Page 237, Line -1: $-b = \sum_{pm_0|i} n_i$ $b = \sum_{i=p^c m_0, c>0} n_i$

Page 261, Line -11: $-Fi'_{24}$ Fi_{23}

Page 275, Line 5 of “SMALL REPRESENTATIONS”: $-F_{52}$ F_{32}

Page 279, Line -7: $-L_2(25)$ $L_2(25)\#2$

Page 288, Line -11: $-|M\#|$ $|O_2(M)\#|$

Page 290, Line 1: $-E(C(2A))$ $E(C(2B))$

Page 297, Line -18: $-K = C\sigma_1$ $K = C\sigma_0$

Page 299, Line 16: $-\text{lower bound for } P \times Q_8 \text{ is } 30$ $\text{lower bound for a faithful complex representation of } P \times Q_8 \text{ in which the involution of } Z(Q_8) \text{ acts as } -I \text{ is } 30$

Page 302, Line 12: $-E(C_K(z))$ $E(C_K(z_A))$

Page 302, Line 16: $-\text{becuase}$ because

Page 302, Line 19: $-|H|_3$ $|\overline{H}|_3$

Page 304, Line -13: $-I \text{ is a homogeneous } I\text{-module}$ $V_0 \text{ is a homogeneous } I\text{-module}$

Page 304, Line -8: $-z \in Q'_0$ $Z(J) \leq Q'_0$

Page 308, Line -17: $-B/Z(B) \cong {}^2E_6(2)$ $BZ(B) \cong {}^2E_6(2)//$

Page 309, Line -6: $-K \in \mathcal{K}$ $K \in \mathcal{K} \text{ and } K \text{ is simple}$

Page 309, Line -1: $-\text{or } K \cong J_1$ $\text{or } K \cong {}^2G_2(3^{\frac{n}{2}}), n \text{ odd}, n > 1, \text{ or } K \cong J_1$

Page 314, Line 13: $-\dim(W_1)$ $\dim(W_i)$

Page 316, Line -2: $-V_q\alpha + V_q\beta$ $F_q\alpha + F_q\beta$

Page 316, Line -2: $-V_q\alpha$ $F_q\alpha$

Page 317, Line 11: ~~$C_{(2 \times 2)D_4(2)}(x)$~~ $C_{(2 \times 2)D_4(2)}(x_1)$

Page 317, Line 16: ~~$|Sp_6(2)|$~~ $|Sp_6(2)|_2$

Page 319, Line 12: ~~Q is abelian~~ \hat{Q} is abelian

Page 319, Line 13: ~~Q~~ \hat{Q} (twice)

Page 319, Line 14: ~~Q is abelian~~ \hat{Q} is abelian

Page 319, Line 15: ~~Q~~ \hat{Q} (four times)

Page 329, Line 12: ~~$(r^{2a} + \epsilon r^a + 1)/3$~~ $(r^{2a} + \epsilon r^a + 1)/d$

Page 332, Line -3: *Theorem 6.5.5a misstates the structure of Borel subgroups of ${}^2G_2(3^{\frac{n}{2}})$, $n > 1$. The assertion should be:*

- (a) Borel subgroups of K , of order $q^3(q-1)$. If $B = UH$ is such a Borel subgroup, with $|U| = q^3$ and $|H| = q-1$, and if t is the involution of H , then $|C_U(t)| = q$, and the groups $O^2(B)$, $Z(U)H$ and $B/Z_2(U)$ are all Frobenius groups.

Page 333, Line -9: ~~$Z_2 \times L_2(q^2)$~~ $Z_2 \times L_2(q)$

Page 338, Line 1: *Replace this line by:* We proceed in a sequence of lemmas.

Page 338, Line 10: *Replace this line by:* We set $Y = K_1X$, so that $X \leq O_{r'}(Y)$, and next prove:

Page 345, Line 11: ~~$\Gamma_{E_2, *-1}(K)$~~ $\Gamma'_{E_2, *-1}(K)$

Page 345, Line 12: ~~$\Gamma_{E_2, *-1}(U) \leq \Gamma_{E_2, *-1}(K)$~~ $\Gamma'_{E_2, *-1}(U) \leq \Gamma'_{E_2, *-1}(K)$

Page 345, Line 13: ~~$\Gamma_{E_2, *-1}(K)$~~ $\Gamma'_{E_2, *-1}(K)$

Page 354, Line 14: *In the proof of Theorem 7.3.3, we omitted here a reduction to the case that $m_p(E) = 2$. This reduction is needed to justify the assertion in line 15 that $\Gamma = \Gamma_{E, *-1}(K)$. Thus, the following paragraph should be inserted before "We set":*

We first reduce the proof to the case $m_p(E) = 2$. Indeed, if the theorem holds in that case, then to complete the proof we must argue that if a noncyclic elementary abelian p -group E acts faithfully on K in such a way that one of the conclusions of 7.3.3 is satisfied by each $F \in \mathcal{E}_2(E)$, then E itself satisfies that same conclusion. This is accomplished by a few observations in the various cases. In case 7.3.3c, $\text{Out}(K)$ has order 3 by 2.5.12, so $m_2(\text{Aut}(K)) = m_2(K) = 3$ and the desired conclusion is obvious. In cases 7.3.3ehijkl, as well as the case $K = {}^2A_2(2)$ of 7.3.3a, it is immediate from 4.10.3 and 2.5.12 that $m_p(\text{Aut}(K)) = 2$, with $\text{Out}(K)$ a p' -group in case (e) and $m_p(K) = 1$ in cases (h) and (i). Thus the desired conclusions hold in these cases as well. In the remaining cases, it suffices to assume that $m_p(E) = 3$ and derive a contradiction. In cases 7.3.3df, $\text{Out}(K)$ is a p' -group by 2.5.12, and 4.10.3ae implies that $m_p(K) = 3$ and that every element of K of order p lies in a conjugate of E . But in these cases of 7.3.3 it is stipulated that certain conjugacy classes of K of order p do not meet E , contradiction. In cases 7.3.3bg, we consider the character of E on the natural K -module, which (since $p \neq r$) lifts to a complex character χ . The conditions of cases (b) and (g) force $\chi(x) = -1$ for each $x \in E^\#$. As $(\chi, 1_E)$ is an integer, $\chi(1) \equiv -1 \pmod{p^3}$. However, $\chi(1) = 5$ or 8 , with $p = 2$ or 3 , respectively, a contradiction. Finally, the only remaining case is that 7.3.3a holds and E acts on $K \cong L_p^\epsilon(q)$ like a subgroup $E^* \leq GL_p^\epsilon(q)$, and the preimage F^* in E^* of any $F \in \mathcal{E}_2(E)$ satisfies $(F^*)' = \Omega_1(Z(K))$. But then $(E^*)' = \Omega_1(Z(K))$, and so $C_{E^*}(x)$ is a maximal

subgroup of E^* for all $x \in E^* - Z(E^*)$. Choosing such an element x and using $m_p(E) = 3$, we find $y \in E^*$ such that $\langle x, y \rangle$ is abelian and has a noncyclic image in E , a final contradiction accomplishing our reduction.

Page 354, Line 22: ~~p' -subgroup~~ p' -subgroup

Page 357, Line -8: ~~its Lie components.~~ its Lie components. (See also Definitions 4.2.2 and 4.9.3, and Proposition 4.9.4.)

Page 358, Line -2: ~~${}^2F_4(2^{\frac{1}{2}})$~~ ${}^2F_4(2^{\frac{1}{2}})'$

Page 364, Line -15: ~~Then~~ Then if we define $\Gamma'_{\hat{E}, *-1}(\hat{K})$ to be the subgroup of \hat{K} generated by all r -elements centralizing some subgroup of \hat{E} of index 2, we have

Page 365, Line -16: ~~$t_2^{(3)}$ and $t_2^{(4)}$~~ t_2'' and t_2'''

Page 381, Line -4: ~~Ψ_{ij}~~ Ω_{ij}

Page 381, Line -3: ~~$\Psi_{ij} \cup \Omega_0$~~ $\Omega_{ij} \cup \Omega_0$

Page 382, Line 3: ~~$\Gamma_{E, *-r}(K)$~~ $\Gamma'_{E, *-r}(K)$

Page 382, Line 5: ~~$A_{\Psi_{ij}}$~~ $A_{\Phi_{ij}}$

Page 382, Line 8: ~~then $\Psi_{ij} = \Phi_{ij}$,~~ then

Page 382, Line 9: ~~$4 = |\Phi_{ij}| = |\Psi_{ij}| = |\Omega_{ij}| + |\Omega_0|$~~ $4 = |\Phi_{ij}| = |\Omega_{ij}| + |\Omega_0|$

Page 382, Line 15: ~~$O^2(A_{\Psi_{ij}})$~~ $O^2(A_{\Phi_{ij}})$

Page 384, Line 1: ~~$SL_2(5) = 2A_1(4)$,~~ $SL_2(5) = 2A_1(4), (2)^2B_2(2^{\frac{3}{2}})$,

Page 396, Line -11: ~~K locally k -balanced~~ K is locally k -balanced

Page 399, Line -15: ~~irreducibly on P~~ irreducibly on $\Omega_1(P)$

Page 402, Line -4: ~~Theorem 7.8.1~~ Proposition 7.8.1

ERRATA FOR NUMBER 4

ERRATA FOR NUMBER 5

Page 3, Line -14: In the definition of $\mathcal{K}^{(7)*}$, second line
 ~~$\{A_4^\epsilon(q) \mid \epsilon = 1 \text{ or } q \text{ odd}\}$~~ $\{A_4^\epsilon(q) \mid \epsilon = 1 \text{ or } q \notin \{2, 4\}\}$.

Page 11, Line 7: ~~if and only if~~ provided that
 The converse is true under the extra assumption $x \in Z(Q)$.

Page 11, Line 15: ~~The converse is trivial.~~

ERRATA FOR NUMBER 6

Page 464, Line 16: ~~$\Phi(P)$~~ $\Phi(Z)$