LOCAL CLASS FIELD THEORY

YU. L. ERSHOV

ABSTRACT. New sufficient conditions for the validity of local class field theory for Henselian valued fields are established. An example is presented to show that these conditions are less restrictive than the applicability of the Neukirch abstract class field theory.

§0. INTRODUCTION

Local class field theory is treated in many papers, monographs, and textbooks (see, e.g., the bibliography in [1]). In the present paper, we suggest yet another exposition based on abstract class field theory [2]. (In the author's paper [3], the reader will find a modified presentation of abstract class field theory on the basis of a combination of the approaches by Neukirch and Hasewinkel.)

We recall some definitions and notation. Let F be a field; a subring R of F is called a *valuation ring* of F if for every element $a \in F^\times \Rightarrow F \setminus \{0\}$ we have $a \in R$ or $a^{-1} \in R$. Every valuation ring R is local, i.e., has a unique maximal ideal, which will be denoted by $\mathfrak{m}(R)$; the field $F_R \Rightarrow R/\mathfrak{m}(R)$ is called the *residue field* of R . We denote by U_R the multiplicative group of units (invertible elements) of R , and by Γ_R the factor group $F^\times/U_R$; the group Γ_R admits a natural linear order, which is determined by the cone $R^\times/U_R \subseteq \Gamma_R$. The mapping $v_R : a \mapsto aU_R$, $a \in F^\times$, from $F^\times$ to Γ_R is called the *valuation* of F determined by the valuation ring R . Let U_R^1 be the subgroup of U_R formed by all elements a in U_R such that $a - 1 \in \mathfrak{m}(R)$.

The pair $\mathbb{F} = \langle F, R \rangle$, where F is a field and R is a valuation ring of F , is called a *valued field*. Let $\mathbb{F} = \langle F, R \rangle$ and $\mathbb{F}_0 = \langle F_0, R_0 \rangle$ be valued fields; if F is a subfield of F_0 ($F \leq F_0$) and $R = R_0 \cap F$, then $\mathbb{F}_0$ is an *extension* of $\mathbb{F}$ ($\mathbb{F} \leq \mathbb{F}_0$).

If $\mathbb{F} = \langle F, R \rangle \leq \mathbb{F}_0 = \langle F_0, R_0 \rangle$, then there exist natural embeddings $F_R \rightarrow F_{R_0}$ and $\Gamma_R \rightarrow \Gamma_{R_0}$ of residue fields and valuation groups, respectively. After natural identifications, this allows us to regard F_R as a subfield of F_{R_0} ($F_R \leq F_{R_0}$) and Γ_R as a subgroup of Γ_{R_0} ; then $v_R = v_{R_0} \upharpoonright F^\times$. Let F_0/F be a finite (algebraic) field extension of degree $n = [F_0 : F]$. Then F_{R_0}/F_R is a finite field extension, and $f \Rightarrow [F_{R_0} : F_R]$ is called the *relative degree* of the extension $\mathbb{F} \leq \mathbb{F}_0$ of valued fields. The group Γ_R has a finite index in Γ_{R_0} , and $e \Rightarrow [\Gamma_{R_0} : \Gamma_R]$ is called the *ramification index*. We always have the basic inequality $e \cdot f \leq n$. If $e \cdot f = n$ for every finite separable field extension $\mathbb{F}_0 \geq \mathbb{F}$, then the valued field $\mathbb{F}$ is said to be *algebraically complete*. A valued field $\mathbb{F} = \langle F, R \rangle$ is *Henselian* if, for every finite separable extension $F_0 \geq F$, there is a unique valuation ring R_0 of F_0 such that $\mathbb{F} \leq \langle F_0, R_0 \rangle$. Every algebraically complete valuation is Henselian.

Let $\mathbb{F} = \langle F, R \rangle$ be a valued field such that the residue field F_R is perfect and the absolute Galois group $G(F_R)$ of the residue field is isomorphic to $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}$. Then there is a natural epimorphism $d : G(F) \rightarrow G(F_R) = \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}$ (see [4, Proposition 1.3.3]). If the valuation group

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 11R37.

Key words and phrases. Class field theory, Henselian valued field, z -group.

Γ_R is a z -group (see the definition in §1), then there is a mapping $\hat{v} : F^\times \rightarrow Z_{\Gamma_R} \leq \hat{\mathbb{Z}}$. We say that *local class field theory* is valid for $\mathbb{F}$ if $\hat{v} : F^\times \rightarrow \hat{\mathbb{Z}}$ is a “Henselian” valuation (see the concluding remarks in [3]) and the $G(F)$ -module $F_{\text{sep}}^\times$ (F_{sep} is a separable closure of F) and the mappings $d : G(F) \rightarrow \hat{\mathbb{Z}}$ and $\hat{v} : F^\times \rightarrow \hat{\mathbb{Z}}$ satisfy the axiom of class field theory (Axiom (6.1) in [2]; Proposition 4.1 below contains the statement of that axiom). If local class field theory is valid for $\mathbb{F}$, then, for every finite Galois extension $F_0 \geq F$, we have the reciprocity homomorphism $r_{F_0/F} : G(F_0/F) \rightarrow H^0(G(F_0/F), F_0^\times) = F^\times / N_{F_0/F}(F_0^\times)$, which induces an isomorphism $G(F_0/F)^{\text{ab}} \simeq F^\times / N_{F_0/F}(F_0^\times)$.

The following theorem is the main result of the present paper.

Theorem. *Suppose that a valued field $\mathbb{F} = \langle F, R \rangle$ satisfies the following four conditions:*

- 1) $\mathbb{F}$ is algebraically complete;
- 2) the residue field F_R is perfect and $G(F_R) \simeq \hat{\mathbb{Z}}$;
- 3) the valuation group Γ_R is a z -group;
- 4) the maximal unramified extension $\bar{F}$ of $\mathbb{F}$ has dimension at most 1 ($\dim \bar{F} \leq 1$); see [5].

Then local class field theory is valid for $\mathbb{F}$.

The proof of this theorem is completed at the end of §4.

§1. z -GROUPS

Proposition 1.1. *For a torsion-free Abelian group Γ , the following conditions are equivalent:*

- 1) for all $n > 0$, the group $\Gamma/n\Gamma$ is cyclic;
- 2) for every finite torsion-free Abelian extension $\Gamma_0 \geq \Gamma$, the group Γ_0/Γ is cyclic and $\Gamma = [\Gamma_0 : \Gamma]\Gamma_0$;
- 3) if $\Pi(\Gamma)$ is the completion of Γ , then the group $\Pi(\Gamma)/\Gamma$ is locally cyclic.

Proof. 1) $\implies$ 2). Let $n = [\Gamma_0 : \Gamma]$. Then $n\Gamma \leq n\Gamma_0 \leq \Gamma$, and $\Gamma_0/\Gamma \simeq n\Gamma_0/n\Gamma \leq \Gamma/n\Gamma$ is cyclic. Moreover, since $n = [\Gamma_0 : \Gamma] = [n\Gamma_0 : n\Gamma] \leq [\Gamma : n\Gamma] \leq n$, we have $n\Gamma_0 = \Gamma$.

2) $\implies$ 3). Let $\gamma_0, \dots, \gamma_k \in \Pi(\Gamma)$. Then $\Gamma_0 = \langle \gamma_0, \dots, \gamma_k, \Gamma \rangle \geq \Gamma$ is a finite torsion-free Abelian extension, and $\Gamma_0/\Gamma = \langle \gamma_0 + \Gamma, \dots, \gamma_k + \Gamma \rangle$ is cyclic.

3) $\implies$ 1). Since Γ is torsion-free, we have $\Gamma \simeq n\Gamma$, whence $\Pi(\Gamma) = \Pi(n\Gamma)$ and $\Pi(n\Gamma) \geq n\Gamma$. Consequently, $\Gamma/n\Gamma \leq \Pi(n\Gamma)/n\Gamma$ is a locally cyclic group of a bounded period at most n . Thus, $\Gamma/n\Gamma$ is cyclic (and $[\Gamma : n\Gamma] \mid n$). $\square$

We say that a torsion-free Abelian group Γ is a z -group if $[\Gamma : n\Gamma] = n$ for every positive integer n .

Proposition 1.2. *For a torsion-free Abelian group Γ , the following two conditions are equivalent:*

- 1) Γ is a z -group;
- 2) for every $n > 0$, the group $\Gamma/n\Gamma$ is a cyclic group of order n .

Proof. 1) $\implies$ 2). Assume the contrary. Then there is a positive integer n such that the group $\Gamma/n\Gamma$ is not cyclic (but $|\Gamma/n\Gamma| = n$). Consequently, there exists a prime p such that the group $\Gamma/n\Gamma$ has a factor group isomorphic to $C_p \times C_p$ (here C_p is a cyclic group of order p). Let $\Gamma_0 (\geq n\Gamma)$ be a subgroup of Γ such that $\Gamma/\Gamma_0 \simeq C_p \times C_p$. It follows that $\Gamma_0 \geq p\Gamma$ and $p^2 = |\Gamma/\Gamma_0| \leq |\Gamma/p\Gamma| = p$, a contradiction.

2) $\implies$ 1). This is obvious. $\square$

Let Γ be a z -group. Then there is a natural homomorphism $\pi_\Gamma : \Gamma \rightarrow \varprojlim_{n>0} \Gamma/n\Gamma \simeq \hat{\mathbb{Z}}$. We put $Z_\Gamma = \pi(\Gamma) \leq \hat{\mathbb{Z}}$.

Proposition 1.3. a) $\text{Ker } \pi_\Gamma$ is the maximal divisible subgroup of Γ ;
 b) Z_Γ is a pure subgroup of $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}$ and $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}/Z_\Gamma$ is divisible.

Proof. Since $\text{Ker } \pi_\Gamma = \bigcap_{n>0} n\Gamma$, statement a) follows immediately from the fact that Γ is an Abelian torsion-free group (and, therefore, is uniquely divisible).

Let $m > 0$. Then the natural projection $p_m : \widehat{\mathbb{Z}} = \varprojlim_{n>0} \Gamma/n\Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma/m\Gamma \simeq C_m$ is an epimorphism, so that $\text{Ker } p_m = m\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}$. It follows that $m\widehat{\mathbb{Z}} \cap Z_\Gamma = mZ_\Gamma$ and, therefore, Z_Γ is a pure subgroup of $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}$. If $a \in \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}$ and $m > 0$, then $a + m\widehat{\mathbb{Z}} = b + m\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}$ for an appropriate $b \in Z_\Gamma$ (because $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}/m\widehat{\mathbb{Z}} = Z_\Gamma/m\widehat{\mathbb{Z}} \cap Z_\Gamma$). However, in this case there exists $c \in \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}$ such that $a - b = mc$. Consequently, the group $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}/Z_\Gamma$ is divisible. $\square$

Let $\mathbb{F} = \langle F, R \rangle$ be a valued field such that the valuation group Γ_R is a z -group. Then we have a homomorphism $\hat{v} : F^\times \rightarrow Z_{\Gamma_R} \leq \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}$.

Proposition 1.4. Let $\mathbb{F} = \langle F, R \rangle$ be a valued field satisfying conditions 1) and 3) of the theorem. Then the following relations are valid for every finite extension $\mathbb{F}_0 = \langle F_0, R_0 \rangle \geq \mathbb{F}$:

- 1) $v_R(N_{F_0/F}(F_0^\times)) = f\Gamma_R$;
- 2) $\hat{v}(N_{F_0/F}(F_0^\times)) = fZ_{\Gamma_R}$,

where $f = [F_{R_0} : F_R]$ is the relative degree of the extension $\mathbb{F}_0 \geq \mathbb{F}$.

Proof. If $n = [F_0 : F]$ and $e = [\Gamma_{R_0} : \Gamma_R]$ is the ramification index of the extension $\mathbb{F}_0 \geq \mathbb{F}$, then the algebraic completeness of $\mathbb{F}$ (condition 1)) implies the relation $n = e \cdot f$. Since Γ_R is a z -group, from the relation $[\Gamma_{R_0} : \Gamma_R] = e$ it follows that $\Gamma_R = e\Gamma_{R_0}$ (see Proposition 1.2).

For each $a_0 \in F_0^\times$ we have $v_{R_0}(N_{F_0/F}(a_0)) = nv_{R_0}(a_0)$, whence $v_R(N_{F_0/F}(F_0^\times)) = v_{R_0}(N_{F_0/F}(F_0^\times)) = n\Gamma_{R_0} \leq \Gamma_R$. However, $n\Gamma_{R_0} = (f \cdot e)\Gamma_{R_0} = f(e\Gamma_{R_0}) = f\Gamma_R$. Statement 1) is verified. Statement 2) follows directly from 1). $\square$

Corollary. If a valued field $\mathbb{F}$ satisfies conditions 1), 2), and 3) of the theorem, then the homomorphism

$$\hat{v} : F^\times \rightarrow Z_{\Gamma_R} \leq \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}$$

is a “Henselian” valuation in the sense of abstract class field theory [3].

§2. UNRAMIFIED EXTENSIONS

Proposition 2.1. Let $\mathbb{F} = \langle F, R \rangle \leq \mathbb{F}' = \langle F', R' \rangle$ be a finite extension of Henselian valued fields such that $F_{R'}$ is a separable extension of F_R and $[F' : F] = [F_{R'} : F_R]$. Then $N_{F'/F}(U_{R'}^1) = U_R^1$. If $N_{F_{R'}/F_R}(F_{R'}^\times) = F_R^\times$, then $N_{F'/F}(U_{R'}) = U_R$.

Proof. Suppose $\alpha \in F_{R'}^\times$ and $F_{R'} = F_R(\alpha)$. Let $f \in F_R[x]$ be a minimal polynomial of α over F_R , and let $g = x^n + b_1x^{n-1} + \dots + b_n \in R[x]$ be a unitary polynomial such that its reduction $\bar{g} \in F_R[x]$ coincides with f . By the Hensel lemma, there exists $a \in R'$ such that $g(a) = 0$ and $\bar{a} (= a + \mathfrak{m}(R')) = \alpha$. Then $N_{F'/F}(a) = (-1)^n b_n$ (because g is irreducible over F and $F' = F(a)$). Let $u \in U_R^1$ (i.e., $u \in R$ and $\bar{u} = 1$). Then $\bar{g}_1 = f$, where $g_1 = x^n + b_1x^{n-1} + \dots + b_{n-1}x + ub_n$, and, again by the Hensel lemma, there exists an element $a_1 \in R'$ such that $\bar{a}_1 = \alpha$ and $g_1(a_1) = 0$. As above, we have $N_{F'/F}(a_1) = (-1)^n ub_n$; consequently, $N_{F'/F}(a_1a^{-1}) = N_{F'/F}(a_1)N_{F'/F}(a)^{-1} = u$. Since $\bar{a_1a^{-1}} = \alpha\alpha^{-1} = 1$, we have $a_1a^{-1} \in U_{R'}^1$ and $u = N_{F'/F}(a_1a^{-1}) \in N_{F'/F}(U_{R'}^1)$.

If, moreover, $N_{F_{R'}/F_R}(F_{R'}^\times) = F_R^\times$, then for every $u \in U_R$ there exists $v \in U_{R'}$ such that $u^{-1}N_{F'/F}(v) \in U_R^1$. Indeed, $\bar{u} \in F_R^\times$. Let $\alpha \in F_{R'}^\times$ be such that $N_{F_{R'}/F_R}(\alpha) = \bar{u}$, and let $v \in U_{R'}$ be such that $\bar{v} = \alpha$. Then $N_{F'/F}(v) = N_{F_{R'}/F_R}(\bar{v}) = N_{F_{R'}/F_R}(\alpha) = \bar{u}$.

Thus, $u^{-1}N_{F'/F}(v) \in U_R^1$. As has been proved above, there is $a \in U_{R'}^1$ such that $N_{F'/F}(a) = u^{-1}N_{F'/F}(v)$, and then $u = N_{F'/F}(va^{-1}) \in N_{F'/F}(U_R)$. $\square$

Corollary. *If a valued field $\mathbb{F}$ satisfies conditions 1) and 2) of the theorem, then for every finite unramified extension $\mathbb{F} \leq \mathbb{F}'$ we have $N_{F'/F}(U_{R'}) = U_R$.*

Indeed, since $\mathbb{F}$ is algebraically complete and $\mathbb{F}' \geq \mathbb{F}$ is unramified, $[F' : F] = [F_{R'} : F_R]$. Since F_R is perfect, the conditions of the proposition are fulfilled. Furthermore, using isomorphism $G(F_R) \simeq \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}$ and the fact that F_R is perfect, we conclude that $\dim F_R \leq 1$ (see [5, Chapter II, §3, Proposition 6(b)]); in particular, $N_{F_{R'}/F_R}(F_{R'}^\times) = F_R^\times$.

We now verify the class field theory axiom for unramified extensions.

Proposition 2.2. *Let $\mathbb{F}$ be a valued field satisfying conditions 1)–3) of the theorem. Then for every unramified cyclic extension $\mathbb{F}_0 \geq \mathbb{F}$ we have $H^0(G, F_0^\times) \simeq G$ and $H^{-1}(G, F_0^\times) = 1$, where $G \simeq G(F_0/F)$.*

Proof. The group $H^{-1}(G, F_0^\times)$ is trivial by virtue of Hilbert's Theorem 90 [2].

Consider the exact sequence

$$1 \longrightarrow U_R \longrightarrow F^\times \xrightarrow{v_R} \Gamma_R \longrightarrow 1,$$

which yields the exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &\longrightarrow U_R/N_{F_0/F}(F_0^\times) \cap U_R \longrightarrow F^\times/N_{F_0/F}(F_0^\times) \\ &\longrightarrow \Gamma_R/v_R(N_{F_0/F}(F_0^\times)) \longrightarrow 1. \end{aligned}$$

Since $N_{F_0/F}(F_0^\times) \cap U_R = N_{F_0/F}(U_{R_0})$, we have $U_R = N_{F_0/F}(U_{R_0})$ by Proposition 2.1. Consequently, we arrive at an isomorphism

$$F^\times/N_{F_0/F}(F_0^\times) \simeq \Gamma_R/v_R(N_{F_0/F}(F_0^\times)).$$

Let $n = |G| (= [F_0 : F] = [F_{R_0} : F_R])$. Since $F_0 \geq F$ is an unramified extension, the relative degree f of the extension $F_0 \geq F$ is equal to n . By Proposition 1.4, 1), we have $v_R(N_{F_0/F}(F_0^\times)) = n\Gamma_R$, and since Γ is a z -group, the group $\Gamma/n\Gamma \simeq C_n$ is cyclic of order n . Thus,

$$H^0(G, F_0^\times) = F^\times/N_{F_0/F}(F_0^\times) \simeq \Gamma/n\Gamma \simeq C_n \simeq G. \quad \square$$

Remark. Under the conditions of Proposition 2.2, the axiom U (i.e., $H^0(G, U_{R_0}) = 1$ and $H^{-1}(G, U_{R_0}) = 1$; see [3]) is fulfilled.

Indeed, consider the exact sequence of G -modules

$$1 \longrightarrow U_{R_0} \longrightarrow F_0^\times \xrightarrow{u_{R_0}} \Gamma_{R_0} \longrightarrow 1$$

and the corresponding exact sequence of cohomology groups

$$\begin{aligned} H^0(G, U_{R_0}) &\longrightarrow H^0(G, F_0^\times) \longrightarrow H^0(G, \Gamma_{R_0}) \\ &\longrightarrow H^1(G, U_{R_0}) \longrightarrow H^1(G, F_0^\times). \end{aligned}$$

By the corollary to Proposition 2.1, we have $H^0(G, U_{R_0}) = 1$, and, by Hilbert's Theorem 90, $H^1(G, F_0^\times) = 1$. Therefore, we have the exact sequence

$$1 \longrightarrow H^0(G, F_0^\times) \longrightarrow H^0(G, \Gamma_{R_0}) \longrightarrow H^1(G, U_{R_0}) \longrightarrow 1.$$

Proposition 2.2 implies that $H^0(G, F_0^\times) \simeq G \simeq C_n$. Since G acts trivially on Γ_{R_0} , we obtain $N(\Gamma_{R_0}) = n\Gamma_{R_0}$ (in additive notation), and $H^0(G, \Gamma_{R_0}) \simeq \Gamma_{R_0}/n\Gamma_{R_0} \simeq \Gamma_R/n\Gamma_R \simeq C_n$. Consequently, $H^{-1}(G, U_{R_0}) \simeq H^1(G, U_{R_0}) = 1$; the fact that the $H^0(G, U_{R_0}) = 1$ has already been mentioned.

§3. TOTALLY RAMIFIED CYCLIC EXTENSIONS

Proposition 3.1. *Let $\mathbb{F} = \langle F, R \rangle$ be a Henselian valued field such that Γ_R is a z -group. If $\mathbb{F}_0 = \langle F_0, R_0 \rangle \geq \mathbb{F}$ is a cyclic totally ramified extension of $\mathbb{F}$, then $G \simeq H^{-1}(G, U_{R_0})$, where $G \rightleftharpoons G(F_0/F)$.*

Proof. Let $n \rightleftharpoons [F_0 : F] = [\Gamma_{R_0} : \Gamma_R]$. Then $\Gamma_R = n\Gamma_{R_0}$ and Γ_{R_0}/Γ_R is a cyclic group of order n (i.e., $\Gamma_{R_0}/\Gamma_R \simeq G = G(F_0/F)$). Let $\gamma_0 \in \Gamma_{R_0}$ be an element such that $\gamma_0 > 0$ and $\langle \gamma_0 + \Gamma_R \rangle = \Gamma_{R_0}/\Gamma_R$. We put $\gamma \rightleftharpoons n\gamma_0$, $\gamma \in \Gamma_R$. Let $\pi_0 \in R_0^\times$ be an element such that $v_{R_0}(\pi_0) = \gamma_0$. Then for $\pi \rightleftharpoons N_{F_0/F}(\pi_0) \in R^\times$ we have $v_R(\pi) = v_{R_0}(\pi) = v_{R_0}(N_{F_0/F}(\pi_0)) = n\gamma_0 = \gamma$.

For $\tau \in G$, we put $\chi(\tau) \rightleftharpoons \pi_0^{\tau-1} \cdot V(F_0/F)$, where $V(F_0/F) \rightleftharpoons \langle u^{\sigma-1} \mid u \in U_{R_0}, \sigma \in G \rangle \leq U_{R_0}$. We note that $N_{F_0/F}(\pi_0^{\tau-1}) = N_{F_0/F}(\pi_0)^{\tau-1} = 1$. Consequently, $\chi(\tau) \in {}_N U_{R_0}/V(F_0/F)$ (the inclusion $V(F_0/F) \leq {}_N U_{R_0}$ can also be verified easily). We recall that ${}_N U_{R_0} = \{u \mid u \in U_{R_0}, N_{F_0/F}(u) = 1\}$.

We check that χ is independent of the choice of π_0 . If $v_{R_0}(\pi_1) = \gamma_0 = v_{R_0}(\pi_0)$, then $\pi_1 = \pi_0 u$ for an appropriate $u \in U_{R_0}$, so that we have $\pi_1^{\tau-1} = (\pi_0 u)^{\tau-1} = \pi_0^{\tau-1} u^{\tau-1}$ and $u^{\tau-1} \in V(F_0/F)$.

We prove that χ is a homomorphism from G to ${}_N U_{R_0}/V(F_0/F)$. Let $\tau_0, \tau_1 \in G$. Then $\tau_0 \tau_1 - 1 = \tau_0 \tau_1 - \tau_0 + \tau_0 - 1$ and $\pi_0^{\tau_0 \tau_1 - 1} = (\pi_0^{\tau_0})^{\tau_1 - 1} \pi_0^{\tau_0 - 1}$.

However, $v_{R_0}(\pi_0^{\tau_0}) = v_{R_0}(\pi_0) = \gamma_0$, whence $(\pi_0^{\tau_0})^{\tau_1 - 1} \equiv \pi_0^{\tau_1 - 1} \pmod{V(F_0/F)}$ and $\pi_0^{\tau_0 \tau_1 - 1} V(F_0/F) = \pi_0^{\tau_0 - 1} V(F_0/F) \cdot \pi_0^{\tau_1 - 1} V(F_0/F)$. Thus, $\chi(\tau_0 \tau_1) = \chi(\tau_0) \chi(\tau_1)$.

Now, we prove that χ is an epimorphism. Let $u \in {}_N U_{R_0}$, i.e., $N_{F_0/F}(u) = 1$. Then, by Hilbert's Theorem 90, we have $u = a_0^{\tau_0 - 1}$ for some $\tau_0 \in G$ such that $\langle \tau_0 \rangle = G$ and for an appropriate $a_0 \in F_0^\times$. Let $\gamma_1 \rightleftharpoons v_{R_0}(a_0)$. Then we can find $\gamma' \in \Gamma_R$ and $0 \leq k < n$ such that $\gamma_1 = \gamma' + k\gamma_0$ (because $\Gamma_{R_0}/\Gamma_R = \langle \gamma_0 + \Gamma_R \rangle$). Let $a \in F^\times$ be an element such that $v_R(a) = \gamma'$. Then $v_{R_0}(a_0(a\pi_0^k)^{-1}) = 0$ and $a_0 = va = \pi_0^k$ for $v \rightleftharpoons a_0(a\pi_0^k)^{-1} \in U_{R_0}$. We have $a_0^{\tau_0 - 1} = (va\pi_0^k)^{\tau_0 - 1} = v^{\tau_0 - 1}(\pi_0^k)^{\tau_0 - 1} \equiv (\pi_0^k)^{\tau_0 - 1} \equiv \pi_0^{\tau_0^k - 1} \pmod{V(F_0/F)}$. Consequently, $vV(F_0/F) = a_0^{\tau_0 - 1} V(F_0/F) = \chi(\tau_0^k) \in \chi(G)$ and $\chi(G) = {}_N U_{R_0}/V(F_0/F)$.

We prove that χ is a monomorphism. If $G = \langle \tau_0 \rangle$, then $V(F_0/F) = U_{R_0}^{\tau_0 - 1} = \{u^{\tau_0 - 1} \mid u \in U_{R_0}\}$. Indeed, $u^{\tau_0 - 1} = u^{(\tau_0^{k-1} + \dots + 1)(\tau_0 - 1)} = v^{\tau_0 - 1}$, where $v \rightleftharpoons u^{\tau_0 k}$ and $\tau_0 k \rightleftharpoons \tau_0^{k-1} + \dots + 1$. Let $\pi_0^{\tau_0^k - 1} \in V(F_0/F)$, i.e., $\pi_0^{\tau_0^k - 1} = u^{\tau_0 - 1}$ for an appropriate $u \in U_{R_0}$. Then $\pi_0^{\tau_0^k - 1} = (\pi_0^{\tau_0 k})^{\tau_0 - 1} = u^{\tau_0 - 1}$ and $(\pi_0^{\tau_0 k} u^{-1})^{\tau_0 - 1} = 1$. Consequently, $\pi_0^{\tau_0 k} u^{-1} \in F_0^{\tau_0} = F_0^G = F$ and $v_{R_0}(\pi_0^{\tau_0 k} u^{-1}) = v_{R_0}(\pi_0^{\tau_0 k}) = kv_{R_0}(\pi_0) = k\gamma_0 \in \Gamma_R = n\Gamma_{R_0}$. This shows that n divides k and $\pi_0^{\tau_0 k} \tau_0^k = 1$.

Thus, χ is an isomorphism between G and ${}_N U_{R_0}/V(F_0/F)$. But $V(F_0/F) = I_G U_{R_0} (\rightleftharpoons \langle u^{\sigma-1} \mid u \in U_{R_0}, \sigma \in G \rangle)$, and therefore

$${}_N U_{R_0}/V(F_0/F) = H^{-1}(G, U_{R_0}) (\rightleftharpoons {}_N U_{R_0}/I_G U_{R_0}). \quad \square$$

Proposition 3.2. *Let $\mathbb{F} = \langle F, R \rangle$ be a valued field satisfying conditions 1)–4) of the theorem. If $\mathbb{F}_0 = \langle F_0, R_0 \rangle \geq \mathbb{F}$ is a cyclic totally ramified extension, then $G(F_0/F) \simeq H^0(G, U_{R_0}) = H^0(G, F_0^\times)$.*

Proof. Let $G \rightleftharpoons G(F_0/F)$, $G = \langle \tau \rangle$, and let $n = |G| = [F_0 : F]$. Suppose $\mathbb{F}_1 = \langle F_1, R_1 \rangle$ is an unramified extension of $\mathbb{F}$ of degree m divisible by n , $G_1 \rightleftharpoons G(F_1/F)$, and $G_1 = \langle \psi \rangle$. Let $F_2 \rightleftharpoons F_0 F_1 = F_0 \otimes_F F_1$, and let R_2 be a valuation ring of F_2 dominating R (and R_0 and R_1). Then $G(F_2/F) \simeq G \times G_1 = \langle \tau \rangle \times \langle \psi \rangle$, and $\mathbb{F}_2 \rightleftharpoons \langle F_2, R_2 \rangle$ is totally ramified over $\mathbb{F}_1$ and unramified over $\mathbb{F}_0$. We have $G(F_2/F_1) \simeq G = \langle \tau \rangle$, $G(F_2/F_0) \simeq G_1 = \langle \psi \rangle$, $F_2^\psi = F_0$, and $F_2^\tau = F_1$.

We introduce a group $U_R^{F_2} (\leq U_R)$ and a homomorphism $\rho_\psi : U_R^{F_2} \rightarrow H^{-1}(G, U_{R_2})$ as follows:

$U_R^{F_2} = \{u \mid u \in U_R \text{ is such that there exists } v \in U_{R_2} \text{ with } N_{F_2/F_1}(v) = u\};$
 if $u \in U_R^{F_2}$ and v is an element of U_{R_2} such that $N_{F_2/F_1}(v) = u$, then

$$\rho_\psi(u) = v^{1-\psi} V(F_2/F_1).$$

We prove that ρ_ψ is well defined. If $v' \in U_{R_2}$ and $N_{F_2/F_1}(v') = u$, then $N_{F_2/F_1}(w^{-1}) = uu^{-1} = 1$ for $w = v'v^{-1}$. Consequently, by Hilbert's Theorem 90, $w = w_0^{\tau-1}$ for an appropriate $w_0 \in U_{R_2}$. But in this case we have $v'^{1-\psi} = (vw)^{1-\psi} = v^{1-\psi} w_0^{(\tau-1)(1-\psi)}$ and $w_0^{(\tau-1)(1-\psi)} = (w_0^{(1-\psi)})^{\tau-1} \in V(F_2/F_1)$. Therefore, $v'^{1-\psi} V(F_2/F_1) = v^{1-\psi} V(F_2/F_1)$, and we see that ρ_ψ is well defined.

Now, we prove that ρ_ψ is a homomorphism. If $u_0, u_1 \in U_R^{F_2}$ and $v_0, v_1 \in U_{R_2}$ are such that $N_{F_2/F_1}(v_i) = u_i$ ($i = 0, 1$), then $v_0 v_1 \in U_{R_2}$, $N_{F_2/F_1}(v_0 v_1) = N_{F_2/F_1}(v_0) N_{F_2/F_1}(v_1) = u_0 u_1$, and $(v_0 v_1)^{1-\psi} = v_0^{1-\psi} v_1^{1-\psi}$. Hence, $\rho_\psi(u_0 u_1) = \rho_\psi(u_0) \cdot \rho_\psi(u_1)$, i.e., ρ_ψ is a homomorphism.

Let $\psi' = \psi\tau \in G(F_2/F) = G \times G_1 = \langle \tau \rangle \times \langle \psi \rangle$. Observe that $|\psi'| = |\psi| = m$ because $|\tau| = n$ divides m . We put $F'_0 = F_2^{\psi'}$ and $R'_0 = R_2 \cap F'_0$. It is easy to check that $\mathbb{F}_2$ is unramified over $\mathbb{F}'_0 = \langle F'_0, R'_0 \rangle$.

We define a homomorphism $\rho_{\psi'} : U_R^{F_2} \rightarrow H^{-1}(G, U_{R_2})$ in the same way as ρ_ψ :

for $u \in U_R^{F_2}$, we take $v \in U_{R_2}$ such that $N_{F_2/F_1}(v) = u$ and put

$$\rho_{\psi'}(u) = v^{1-\psi'} V(F_0/F_1).$$

We note that $\rho_{\psi'} = \rho_\psi$. Let $v \in U_{R_2}$ and $u = N_{F_2/F_1}(v) \in U_R$. Then $v^{1-\psi'} = v^{1-\psi\tau} = v^{1-\tau+\tau-\psi\tau} = (v^{1-\tau})(v^\tau)^{1-\psi}$. Since $v^{1-\tau} \in V(F_2/F_1)$ and $N_{F_2/F_1}(v^\tau) = N_{F_2/F_1}(v)^\tau = u^\tau = u$, we have $\rho_{\psi'}(u) = v^{1-\psi'} V(F_2/F_1) = v^{1-\psi} V(F_2/F_1) = \rho_\psi(u)$.

Now, we verify that ρ_ψ is an epimorphism. By Proposition 3.1, the mapping $\chi : G \rightarrow H^{-1}(G_0, U_{R_2})$ defined by $\chi(\tau') = \pi_0^{\tau'-1} V(F_2/F_1)$ for $\tau' \in G_0 = \langle \tau \rangle$ is an isomorphism; here $\pi_0 \in R_0 \leq R_2$ is chosen as in the proof of Proposition 3.1 ($v_{R_0}(\pi_0) = \gamma_0$). It follows that the element $\pi_0^{\tau-1} V(F_2/F_1)$ is a generator of the cyclic group $H^{-1}(G, U_{R_2}) (\simeq G)$.

Let $\pi'_0 \in R'_0$ be such that $v_{R'_0}(\pi'_0) = \gamma_0$. Then for $v = \pi'_0 \pi_0^{-1}$ we have $v_{R_2}(v) = 1$, $v \in U_{R_2}$, and $\pi'_0 = \pi_0 v$. Since $\pi'_0 \in F'_0$, we obtain

$$\pi'_0 = \pi_0^{\psi'} = (\pi_0 v)^{\psi'} = \pi_0^{\psi\tau} v^{\psi'} = \pi_0^\tau v^\psi = \pi_0 v.$$

Consequently, $\pi_0^{\tau-1} = v^{1-\psi'}$, whence $\pi_0^{\tau-1} V(F_2/F_1) = v^{1-\psi'} V(F_2/F_1)$. We note that $u = N_{F_2/F_1}(v) = N_{F_2/F_1}(\pi'_0 \pi_0^{-1}) = N_{F_2/F_1}(\pi'_0) N_{F_2/F_1}(\pi_0)^{-1} \in F$ because $N_{F_2/F_1}(\pi'_0) \in F_1 \cap F'_0 = F$ and $N_{F_2/F_1}(\pi_0) \in F_1 \cap F_0 = F$. It follows that $u \in U_R^{F_2}$ and $\rho_\psi(u) = \rho_{\psi'}(u) = \pi_0^{\tau-1} V(F_0/F_1)$. Thus,

$$\rho_\psi(U_R^{F_2}) = H^{-1}(G, U_{R_2}) (\simeq H^{-1}(G, U_{R_0})).$$

The following lemma is important.

Lemma 1. $N_{F_0/F}(U_{R_0}) \leq U_R^{F_2}$ and $\text{Ker } \rho_\psi = N_{F_0/F}(U_{R_0})$.

This is a special case of [3, Proposition 6].

Corollary. $U_R^{F_2} / N_{F_0/F}(U_{R_0}) \simeq G$.

Lemma 2. $U_R^{F_2} = U_R$.

Proof. If $\mathbb{F}'_1 \geq \mathbb{F}_1$ is also a finite unramified extension of $\mathbb{F}$, $F'_2 \rightleftharpoons F'_1 F_0 = F'_1 \otimes_F F_0$, and $U_R^{F'_2} \rightleftharpoons \{u \mid u \in U_R \text{ is such that there exists } v \in U_{R'_2} \text{ with } N_{F'_2/F'_1}(v) = u\}$, then it can easily be verified that $U_R^{F'_2} \geq U_R^{F_2}$. We have $U_R^{F_2}/N_{F_0/F}(U_{R_0}) \leq U_R^{F'_2}/N_{F_0/F}(U_{R_0})$. Moreover,

$$U_R^{F'_2}/N_{F_0/F}(U_{R_0}) \simeq G, \quad U_R^{F_2}/N_{F_0/F}(U_{R_0}) \simeq G.$$

Since G is finite, it follows that $U_R^{F_2} = U_R^{F'_2}$.

Let $u \in U_R$. Then there exists a finite unramified extension $\mathbb{F}'_1 \geq \mathbb{F}$ such that $\mathbb{F}'_1 \geq \mathbb{F}_1$ and $u \in U_R^{F'_1}$. Indeed, let $\bar{\mathbb{F}}_1$ be the maximal unramified extension of $\mathbb{F}$, and let $\bar{F}_2 \rightleftharpoons \bar{F}_1 F_0$. Then, by condition 4), we have $N_{\bar{F}_2/\bar{F}_1}(\bar{F}_2^\times) = \bar{F}_1^\times$. In particular, there exists a finite subextension $\mathbb{F}'_1 \leq \bar{\mathbb{F}}_1$ such that $u \in N_{\bar{F}_2/\bar{F}_1}(F_1'^\times)$. We can choose $\mathbb{F}'_1$ so that $\mathbb{F}'_1 \geq \mathbb{F}_1$. From the relations $u \in U_R^{F'_2} = U_R^{F_2}$, it follows that $U_R^{F_2} = U_R$. $\square$

Corollary. $H^0(G, U_{R_0}) = U_R/N_{F_0/F}(U_{R_0}) = U_R^{F_2}/N_{F_0/F}(U_{R_0}) \simeq G$.

It remains to prove that $H^0(G, U_{R_0}) \simeq H^0(G, F_0^\times)$.

The exact sequence

$$1 \longrightarrow U_R \longrightarrow F^\times \xrightarrow{v_R} \Gamma_R \longrightarrow 1$$

gives rise to the exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} (*) \quad 1 &\longrightarrow U_R/N_{F_0/F}(F_0^\times) \cap U_R \longrightarrow F^\times/N_{F_0/F}(F_0^\times) \\ &\longrightarrow \Gamma_R/v_R(N_{F_0/F}(F_0^\times)) \longrightarrow 1. \end{aligned}$$

Observe that $N_{F_0/F}(F_0^\times) \cap U_R = N_{F_0/F}(U_{R_0})$. By Proposition 1.4, 1), we obtain $v_R(N_{F_0/F}(F_0^\times)) = f\Gamma_R$, where $f = [F_{R_0} : F_R]$. However, $f = 1$ in the case in question, and we obtain $v_R(N_{F_0/F}(F_0^\times)) = \Gamma_R$. Then the exact sequence $(*)$ yields an isomorphism $H^0(G, U_{R_0}) \simeq H^0(G, F_0^\times)$. $\square$

Corollary. *The class field theory axiom is fulfilled for the cyclic totally ramified extensions $\mathbb{F}_0 \geq \mathbb{F}$ if $\mathbb{F}$ satisfies conditions 1)–4) of the theorem.*

§4. ARBITRARY CYCLIC EXTENSIONS

Proposition 4.1. *Let $\mathbb{F} = \langle F, R \rangle$ be a valued field satisfying conditions 1)–4) of the theorem. Let $\mathbb{F}_0 = \langle F_0, R_0 \rangle \geq \mathbb{F}$ be a cyclic extension, and let $G \rightleftharpoons G(F_0/F) = \langle \sigma \rangle$. Then $H^{-1}(G, F_0^\times) = 1$ and $|H^0(G, F_0^\times)| = |G|$.*

Proof. The fact that the group $H^{-1}(G, F_0^\times)$ is trivial for a cyclic extension $F_0 \geq F$ follows from Hilbert's Theorem 90.

Let $(F \leq) F_1$ be the maximal unramified subextension of F_0 , and let $R_1 \rightleftharpoons R_0 \cap F_0$. Then $\mathbb{F}_1 \geq \mathbb{F}$ is an unramified cyclic extension and $\mathbb{F}_0 \geq \mathbb{F}_1$ is a totally ramified extension. Put $n_0 \rightleftharpoons [F_0 : F_1]$ and $n_1 \rightleftharpoons [F_1 : F]$. Then $n_0 \cdot n_1 = n \rightleftharpoons [F_0 : F] = |G|$ and $G(F_0/F_1) = \langle \sigma^{n_1} \rangle$.

Now, we use the exact sequence $(*)$ (see §3).

Proposition 1.4, 1) shows that $v_R(N_{F_0/F}(F_0^\times)) = f\Gamma_R$, where f is the relative degree of $\mathbb{F}_0 \geq \mathbb{F}$. Then $f = n_1$, $v_R(N_{F_0/F}(F_0^\times)) = n_1\Gamma_R$, and $\Gamma_R/n_1\Gamma_R \simeq C_{n_1}$.

We have $N_{F_0/F}(F_0^\times \cap U_R) = N_{F_0/F}(U_{R_0})$. We prove that

$$U_R/N_{F_0/F}(U_{R_0}) \simeq U_{R_1}/N_{F_0/F_1}(U_{R_0}).$$

By Proposition 2.1, the norm mapping $N_{F_1/F}$ from U_{R_1} to U_R is an epimorphism (because $\mathbb{F}_1$ is unramified over $\mathbb{F}$). Since $N_{F_1/F}(N_{F_0/F_1}(U_{R_0})) = N_{F_0/F}(U_{R_0})$, we see that $N_{F_1/F}$

induces an isomorphism between $U_{R_1}/N_{F_0/F_1}(U_{R_0})$ and $U_R/N_{F_0/F}(U_{R_0})$. Since $\mathbb{F}_0 \geq F_1$ is a totally ramified cyclic extension, Proposition 3.2 implies the relations

$$U_{R_1}/N_{F_0/F_1}(U_{R_0}) = H^0(G(F_0/F_1), U_{R_0}) \simeq G(F_0/F_1).$$

The exact sequence (*) shows that

$$\begin{aligned} |H^0(G, F_0^\times)| &= |F^\times/N_{F_0/F}(F_0^\times)| = |U_R/N_{F_0/F}(U_{R_0})| \cdot |\Gamma_R/n_1\Gamma_R| \\ &= |G(F_0/F_1)| \cdot n_1 = n_0 \cdot n_1 = n = |G|. \end{aligned}$$

The proposition is proved. $\square$

The following theorem (stated in the Introduction) is a consequence of the above proposition:

For a valued field satisfying conditions 1)–4) of the theorem, local class field theory is valid.

Indeed, Proposition 4.1 shows that, in this case, the class field theory axiom is fulfilled for the $G(F)$ -module $F_{\text{sep}}^\times$.

§5. SUFFICIENT CONDITIONS. AN EXAMPLE

Among conditions 1)–4) ensuring the validity of local class field theory, condition 4) is most difficult to verify. By [5, Chapter II, §3, Proposition 5], this condition is equivalent, in particular, to one of the following conditions:

4') $\text{Br}(F_0) = 0$ for every finite separable extension $F_0 \geq \bar{F}$;

4'') for all finite extensions $F_0 \geq F_1 \geq \bar{F}$ of $\bar{F}$, we have $N_{F_0/F_1}(F_0^\times) = F_1^\times$.

It is condition 4'') that was used in §3.

Condition 4') for $\bar{F}$ can be restated as follows: for every finite separable extension $\mathbb{F}_0 \geq \mathbb{F}$, each finite-dimensional skew-field D over F_0 splits over a finite unramified extension $\mathbb{F}_1 \geq \mathbb{F}_0$; moreover, it suffices to check this for the skew-fields such that $[D : F_0] = p^2$ for all prime p .

Proposition 5.1. *Let a Henselian valued field $\mathbb{F} = \langle F, R \rangle$ be such that F_R is perfect and Γ_R is a z -group. Then every skew-field D with center F such that $[D : F] = p^2$ for a prime p splits over an unramified extension $\mathbb{F}$ if one of the following conditions is fulfilled:*

- 1) F_R has characteristic 0;
- 2) F is a maximal valued field (see [4, 6]);
- 3) $\Gamma_R \simeq \mathbb{Z}$, i.e., $\mathbb{F}$ is a discrete valuation field.

Proof. The Henselian valuation v_R of F can be extended uniquely up to a valuation v_D of the skew-field D , and we have $[D : F] = [\bar{D} : F_R] \cdot [\Gamma_D : \Gamma_R]q^k$. Here, $\bar{D}$ is the residue algebra, Γ_D is the value group of v_D , $q = 1$ if the residue field F_R has characteristic 0, $q = \chi(F_R)$ if the characteristic $\chi(F_R)$ of F_R is greater than 0, and $k \geq 0$ is a positive integer [7].

To prove the proposition, it suffices to show that $\bar{D} \neq F_R$. Indeed, let $\alpha \in \bar{D} \setminus F_R$, and let $a \in R_{v_D}$ ($=$ the valuation ring of v_D) be such that $\alpha = \bar{a} = a + \mathfrak{m}(R_{v_D})$. Then $F_0 \Leftarrow F(a) \leq D$ is a subfield of D and a proper extension of F . From the results of [8, Chapter 13] it follows that the degree $[F_0 : F]$ divides p . Then $[F_0 : F] = p$ and F_0 is a maximal subfield of D , and therefore a splitting field of D . The degree $[F_R(\alpha) : F_R]$ divides $[F_{R_0} : F_R]$ ($R_0 \Leftarrow R_{v_D} \cap F_0$), and $[F_{R_0} : F_R]$ divides $[F_0 : F] = p$. Since $F_R(\alpha) \neq F_R$, we have $F_R(\alpha) = F_{R_0}$, $[F_{R_0} : F_R] = p = [F_0 : F]$, and F_0 is an unramified extension of F .

For every $b \in D^\times$, we have $v_D(b) = v_{R_1}(b)$, where $R_1 \Leftarrow R_{v_D} \cap F(b)$, $[F(b) : F]$ divides p , and $[\Gamma_{R_1} : \Gamma_R]$ divides $[F(b) : F]$. Consequently, $pv_{R_1}(b) \in \Gamma_R$. It follows that the

factor group Γ_D/Γ_R has period p . Since Γ_R is a z -group, we see that $[\Gamma_D : \Gamma_R]$ divides p (i.e., $[\Gamma_D : \Gamma_R] = 1$ or p).

If $q^k = 1$, then we obtain the required relation $\bar{D} \neq F_R$. The identity $q^k = 1$ is valid both in case 1) (because $q = 1$ in this case) and in case 2) (by [6, Chapter 2, Theorem 11]).

Suppose condition 3) is fulfilled. We consider the completion $\widehat{\mathbb{F}}$ of $\mathbb{F}$ with respect to the topology defined by v_R ; then $\hat{D} \rightleftharpoons D \otimes_F \widehat{\mathbb{F}}$ remains a skew-field (see [8]), and $\widehat{\mathbb{F}}$ satisfies condition 2) and $\bar{D} = \widehat{\bar{D}}$.

The proposition is proved. $\square$

Since conditions 1)–3) of the proposition are preserved under finite separable extensions, we arrive at the following statement.

Corollary. *Under the conditions of Proposition 5.1, the maximal immediate extension $\overline{F}$ of $\mathbb{F}$ satisfies condition 4').*

The following proposition is yet another consequence of Proposition 5.1.

Proposition 5.2. *Let $\mathbb{F}$ be a Henselian valued field such that Γ_R is a z -group, F_R is perfect, $G(F_R) \simeq \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}$, and one of conditions 1)–3) of Proposition 5.1 is fulfilled. Then local class field theory is valid for $\mathbb{F}$.*

It suffices to observe that one of conditions 1), 2), and 3) of Proposition 5.1 and the fact that $\mathbb{F}$ is Henselian imply that $\mathbb{F}$ is algebraically complete.

In conclusion, we consider an example. Let k be a perfect field such that $G(k) \simeq \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}$ (e.g., k is a finite field or $k = \mathbb{C}\langle\langle t \rangle\rangle$ is the field of formal power series over the field $\mathbb{C}$ of complex numbers). Let $\Gamma \rightleftharpoons \sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}} \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}_p$ be the direct sum of the groups $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}_p \simeq \varprojlim_{n \in \omega} \mathbb{Z}/p^n \mathbb{Z}$ (isomorphic to the additive group of p -adic integers; $\mathcal{P}$ is the set of all prime numbers). There is a natural embedding

$$\Gamma \leq \widehat{\mathbb{Z}} \simeq \prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}} \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}_p.$$

We note that Γ is a z -group, but it does not contain any generators of the group $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}$. Since Γ is a torsion-free Abelian group, the group Γ can be linearly ordered. Viewing Γ as a linearly ordered group, we can define a field $F \rightleftharpoons k\langle t^\Gamma \rangle$ (see [4, Chapter 1, §7], having a valuation $v : F^\times \rightarrow \Gamma$ such that $F_{R_v} = k$ and $\mathbb{F} = \langle F, R_v \rangle$ is a maximal valued field for which local class field theory is valid.

REFERENCES

- [1] I. B. Fesenko and S. V. Vostokov, *Local fields and their extensions. A constructive approach*, Transl. Math. Monogr., vol. 121, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1993. MR1218392 (94d:11095)
- [2] J. Neukirch, *Algebraic number theory*, Grundlehren Math. Wiss., vol. 322, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1999, Chapter IV. MR1697859 (2000m:11104)
- [3] Yu. L. Ershov, *Abstract class field theory (a finitary approach)*, Mat. Sb. **194** (2003), no. 2, 37–60; English transl., Sb. Math. **194** (2003), no. 1–2, 199–223. MR1992148 (2004f:11131)
- [4] ———, *Multi-valued normed fields*, “Nauchn. Kniga,” Novosibirsk, 2000. (Russian)
- [5] J.-P. Serre, *Galois cohomology*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1997. MR1867431 (2002i:12004)
- [6] O. F. G. Schilling, *The theory of valuations*, Math. Surveys, vol. 4, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1950. MR0043776 (13:315b)

- [7] P. Draxl, *Ostrowski's theorem for Henselian valued skew fields*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **354** (1984), 213–218. MR0767581 (86g:12008)
- [8] R. Pierce, *Associative algebras*, Grad. Texts in Math., vol. 88, Springer-Verlag, New York–Berlin, 1982. MR0674652 (84c:16001)

SOBOLEV MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE, AKADEMIK KOPTYUG AVE., NOVOSIBIRSK 630090, RUSSIA
E-mail address: `ershov@math.nsc.ru`

Received 10/OCT/2002

Translated by B. M. BEKKER