MODELLING LOG GAUSSIAN COX PROCESSES WITH A GIVEN RELIABILITY AND ACCURACY

UDC 519.21

YU. V. KOZACHENKO AND O. O. POGORILYAK

ABSTRACT. We consider stochastic Cox processes governed by a random intensity. Namely we consider the case where the logarithm of intensity is a separable stationary Gaussian stochastic process. We construct models approximating log Gaussian Cox processes with a given reliability and accuracy.

1. INTRODUCTION

The paper is devoted to the construction of models for the so-called doubly stochastic Poisson processes or, in other words, Cox processes governed by a random intensity. In particular, we consider the case where the intensity is a log Gaussian stochastic process. Log Gaussian Cox processes and their models are studied in the papers [1, 2] for the case where the intensity is a random field. In contrast to the papers [1, 2], we propose an approach to model stochastic processes with a given reliability and accuracy.

Below we consider necessary definitions and the setting of the modelling problem. Section 2 is devoted to the problem of choosing a partition used in the model. The model itself is constructed in Section 3. Sufficient conditions for the approximation with a given reliability and accuracy are also given in Section 3.

Let $\{\Omega, \mathfrak{F}, \mathbf{P}\}$ be a standard probability space, $\mathfrak{B}$ the σ -algebra of Borel sets in

$$\mathbf{T} = [0, T], \quad T \in \mathbf{R},$$

$\{Y(t), t \in \mathbf{T}\}$ a stationary mean square continuous Gaussian stochastic process such that $\mathbf{E} Y(t) = 0$ and $\mathbf{E} Y(t)Y(s) = B(t - s)$.

Definition 1.1. We say that $\{\nu(B), B \in \mathfrak{B}\}$ is a log Gaussian Cox process or a Cox process governed by a log Gaussian process $\exp\{Y(t)\}$ if

- 1) the random variables $\nu(B_1)$ and $\nu(B_2)$ are independent for all $B_1, B_2 \in \mathfrak{B}$ such that $B_1 \cap B_2 = \emptyset$;
- 2) $\mathbf{P}\{\nu(B) = k / Y(t), t \in \mathbf{T}\} = \exp\{-\mu(B)\} (\mu(B))^k / k!, k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, where

$$\mu(B) = \int_B \exp\{Y(t, \cdot)\} dt,$$

and $Y(t, \cdot), t \in \mathbf{T}$, is a realization of the process $\{Y(t), t \in \mathbf{T}\}$.

A model for the log Gaussian Cox process is constructed in the following way: consider a partition of the interval $\mathbf{T} = [0, T]$ substituted by k subintervals of length $d = T/k$. Let $0 = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_k = T$ and $t_{i+1} - t_i = d$ for all $i = 0, \dots, k - 1$. Put $B_i = [t_i, t_{i+1}]$

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 68U20; Secondary 60G10.

Key words and phrases. Log Gaussian Cox processes, random intensity, modelling, accuracy, reliability.

and denote by $\tilde{Y}(t)$ a model of the process $Y(t)$. Let $\tilde{\mu}(B_i) = \int_{B_i} \exp\{\tilde{Y}(t)\} dt$. For every $i = 0, \dots, k-1$, we construct a model of the log Gaussian Cox process $\tilde{\nu}(B_i)$, that is, a model for a Poisson random variable with the expectation $\tilde{\mu}(B_i)$.

Since $\tilde{\nu}(B_i)$ means the number of points belonging to the set B_i , we choose these points in the interval B_i in an arbitrary way. However if $\tilde{\nu}(B_i) = 1$, then we choose the middle point of the interval.

We treat a model as acceptable if the conditional probabilities

$$p_{kY}(B_i) = \mathbf{P}\{\nu(B_i) = k / Y(t), t \in \mathbf{T}\}$$

and

$$\tilde{p}_{kY}(B_i) = \mathbf{P}\{\tilde{\nu}(B_i) = k / \tilde{Y}(t), t \in \mathbf{T}\}$$

are close to each other and the probability of the event that the number of points $\nu(B_i)$ (as well as $\tilde{\nu}(B_i)$) exceeds 1 is small.

Thus the problem of modelling for a log Gaussian Cox process consists of two steps. Namely, a partition of the interval $\mathbf{T}$ is chosen at the first step, while a model for the process $Y(t)$ is constructed at the second step.

2. THE PARTITION OF THE DOMAIN $\mathbf{T}$

A partition of the domain $\mathbf{T}$ (in other words, a number d or, equivalently, a number k) should be such that

$$(1) \quad \mathbf{P}\{\nu(B_i) > 1\} < \delta,$$

where δ is a given number (say, $\delta = 0.01$).

Theorem 2.1. *The inequality $\mathbf{P}\{\nu(B_i) > 1\} < \delta$ holds if the number $d = T/k$ is chosen such that*

$$(2) \quad d \leq [2\delta \exp\{-2B(0)\}]^{1/2}.$$

Proof. Since

$$\mathbf{P}\{\nu(B_i) > 1\} = \mathbf{E}(1 - \exp\{-\mu(B_i)\} - \mu(B_i) \exp\{-\mu(B_i)\}),$$

a partition should be such that

$$\mathbf{E}(1 - \exp\{-\mu(B_i)\} - \mu(B_i) \exp\{-\mu(B_i)\}) < \delta.$$

Using the inequality $1 - \exp\{-x\}(1+x) \leq x^2/2$ for all $x > 0$, the latter bound follows from

$$(3) \quad \mathbf{E}[\mu(B_i)]^2 < 2\delta.$$

We know for Gaussian random variables $\xi \simeq N(0, \sigma^2)$ that

$$\mathbf{E} \exp\{\lambda \xi\} = \exp\left\{\frac{\lambda^2 \sigma^2}{2}\right\},$$

whence

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbb{E}[\mu(B_i)]^2 &= \mathbb{E} \left[\int_{B_i} \exp\{Y(t)\} dt \right]^2 = \mathbb{E} \int_{B_i} \exp\{Y(t)\} dt \int_{B_i} \exp\{Y(s)\} ds \\
 &= \iint_{B_i \times B_i} \mathbb{E} \exp\{Y(t) + Y(s)\} dt ds \\
 &= \iint_{B_i \times B_i} \exp \left\{ \frac{\mathbb{E}(Y(t) + Y(s))^2}{2} \right\} dt ds \\
 &= \iint_{B_i \times B_i} \exp \left\{ \frac{\mathbb{E}(Y(t))^2}{2} + \mathbb{E} Y(t) Y(s) + \frac{\mathbb{E}(Y(s))^2}{2} \right\} dt ds \\
 &= \iint_{B_i \times B_i} \exp\{B(0) + B(t-s)\} dt ds \\
 &= \exp\{B(0)\} \iint_{B_i \times B_i} \exp\{B(t-s)\} dt ds \\
 &\leq d^2 \exp\{2B(0)\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore inequality (3) follows from assumption (2). Thus inequality (1) also follows from (2). $\square$

3. CONSTRUCTION OF A MODEL FOR THE PROCESS $Y(t)$

Recall that our aim is to construct a model for the process $Y(t)$ such that the conditional probabilities

$$\begin{aligned}
 p_{kY}(B_i) &= \mathbb{P}\{\nu(B_i) = k / Y(t), t \in \mathbf{T}\} \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{p}_{kY}(B_i) = \mathbb{P}\{\tilde{\nu}(B_i) = k / \tilde{Y}(t), t \in \mathbf{T}\}, \\
 &\quad i = 0, \dots, k-1,
 \end{aligned}$$

are close to each other with probability approaching one. The following definition is natural for our setting.

Definition 3.1. We say that a model $\tilde{\nu}(B_i)$ of a log Gaussian Cox stochastic process $\{\tilde{\nu}(B), B \in \mathfrak{B}\}$ approximates it with an accuracy α , $0 < \alpha < 1$, and reliability $1 - \gamma$, $0 < \gamma < 1$, if

$$\max_{B_i \in \mathfrak{B}, i=0, \dots, k-1} \mathbb{P}\{|p_{kY}(B_i) - \tilde{p}_{kY}(B_i)| > \alpha\} < \gamma.$$

Now we estimate the difference $|p_{kY}(B_i) - \tilde{p}_{kY}(B_i)|$ by using the mean value theorem for derivatives:

$$\begin{aligned}
 |p_{kY}(B_i) - \tilde{p}_{kY}(B_i)| &= \left| \frac{\exp\{-\mu(B_i)\} (\mu(B_i))^k}{k!} - \frac{\exp\{-\tilde{\mu}(B_i)\} (\tilde{\mu}(B_i))^k}{k!} \right| \\
 &= |\mu(B_i) - \tilde{\mu}(B_i)| \frac{1}{k!} \exp\{-\hat{\mu}(B_i)\} (\hat{\mu}(B_i))^{k-1} |k - \hat{\mu}(B_i)| \\
 &= \begin{cases} |\mu(B_i) - \tilde{\mu}(B_i)| \frac{1}{(k-1)!} \exp\{-\hat{\mu}(B_i)\} (\hat{\mu}(B_i))^{k-1} & k \geq \hat{\mu}(B_i), \\ \leq |\mu(B_i) - \tilde{\mu}(B_i)|, & \\ |\mu(B_i) - \tilde{\mu}(B_i)| \frac{1}{k!} \exp\{-\hat{\mu}(B_i)\} (\hat{\mu}(B_i))^k & k < \hat{\mu}(B_i). \\ \leq |\mu(B_i) - \tilde{\mu}(B_i)|, & \end{cases}
 \end{aligned}$$

If $k = 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
 |p_{0Y}(B_i) - \tilde{p}_{0Y}(B_i)| &= |\exp\{-\mu(B_i)\} - \exp\{-\tilde{\mu}(B_i)\}| \\
 &\leq |\mu(B_i) - \tilde{\mu}(B_i)| \exp\{-\hat{\mu}(B_i)\} \leq |\mu(B_i) - \tilde{\mu}(B_i)|.
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore the difference of probabilities $|p_{kY}(B_i) - \tilde{p}_{kY}(B_i)|$ is estimated in terms of $|\mu(B_i) - \tilde{\mu}(B_i)|$, whence we obtain

$$(4) \quad \mathbf{P}\{|p_{kY}(B_i) - \tilde{p}_{kY}(B_i)| > \alpha\} \leq \mathbf{P}\{|\mu(B_i) - \tilde{\mu}(B_i)| > \alpha\}, \quad i = 0, \dots, k-1.$$

It is easy to check that

$$\begin{aligned} & \max_{B_i \in \mathfrak{B}, i=0, \dots, k-1} \mathbf{P}\{|\mu(B_i) - \tilde{\mu}(B_i)| > \alpha\} \\ &= \max_{B_i \in \mathfrak{B}, i=0, \dots, k-1} \mathbf{P}\left\{\left|\int_{B_i} \exp\{Y(t)\} dt - \int_{B_i} \exp\{\tilde{Y}(t)\} dt\right| > \alpha\right\} \\ (5) \quad & \leq \max_{B_i \in \mathfrak{B}, i=0, \dots, k-1} \mathbf{P}\left\{\int_{B_i} \sup_{t \in B_i} |\exp\{Y(t)\} - \exp\{\tilde{Y}(t)\}| dt > \alpha\right\} \\ &= \max_{B_i \in \mathfrak{B}, i=0, \dots, k-1} \mathbf{P}\left\{\int_{B_i} dt \cdot \sup_{t \in B_i} |\exp\{Y(t)\} - \exp\{\tilde{Y}(t)\}| > \alpha\right\} \\ &= \mathbf{P}\left\{\sup_{t \in B_i} |\exp\{Y(t)\} - \exp\{\tilde{Y}(t)\}| > \frac{\alpha}{d}\right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus the problem of estimating $|\mu(B_i) - \tilde{\mu}(B_i)|$ is reduced to that for

$$\sup_{t \in B_i} |\exp\{Y(t)\} - \exp\{\tilde{Y}(t)\}|.$$

In what follows we use the following result.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $X = \{X(t), t \in \mathbf{T}\}$ be a stochastic process in the space $L_p(\Omega)$ such that $\sup_{t \in \mathbf{T}} \|X(t)\|_{L_p} < +\infty$. Assume that the space $(\mathbf{T}, \rho_X)$ as well as the pseudometric $\rho_X(t, s)$ generated by the process $X(t)$ and the process itself are separable. Let*

$$\int_0^\infty N^{1/p}(\varepsilon) d\varepsilon < +\infty,$$

where $N(\varepsilon)$ is the metric capacity of the set $\mathbf{T}$ and $\varepsilon_0 = \sup_{t, s \in \mathbf{T}} \rho_X(t, s)$. Then

$$\left(\mathbf{E} \left[\sup_{t \in \mathbf{T}} |X(t)| \right]^p\right)^{1/p} \leq V_p.$$

Moreover

$$\mathbf{P}\left\{\sup_{t \in \mathbf{T}} |X(t)| \geq x\right\} \leq \frac{V_p^p}{x^p}$$

for all $x > 0$, where

$$V_p = \inf_{t \in \mathbf{T}} (\mathbf{E} |X(t)|^p)^{1/p} + \inf_{0 < \theta < 1} \frac{1}{\theta(1-\theta)} \int_0^{\theta \varepsilon_0} N^{1/p}(\varepsilon) d\varepsilon.$$

Let $\mathbf{T} = [0, T]$ and $\sup_{t \in \mathbf{T}} \|X(t + \varepsilon) - X(t)\|_{L_p} \leq \varphi(\varepsilon)$, where $\varphi(\varepsilon)$, $\varepsilon > 0$, is a nondecreasing function such that $\varphi(0) = 0$. Then

$$N(\varepsilon) \leq \frac{T}{2\varphi^{(-1)}(\varepsilon)} + 1 \leq \frac{T}{\varphi^{(-1)}(\varepsilon)}.$$

The proof of the latter theorem and the above inequality can be found in [3].

We consider a centered mean square continuous and wide sense stationary stochastic process. The covariance function $B(\tau)$ of such a process can be represented as follows:

$$B(\tau) = \int_0^\infty \cos \lambda \tau dF(\lambda),$$

where $F(\lambda)$, $\lambda \in [0, +\infty]$, is a nondecreasing left continuous function such that $F(0) = 0$ and $F(+\infty) = B(0)$. By the Karhunen theorem the centered stationary process $Y(t)$ can be represented in the following form:

$$Y(t) = \int_0^\infty \cos \lambda t d\xi(\lambda) + \int_0^\infty \sin \lambda t d\eta(\lambda),$$

where $\xi(\lambda)$ and $\eta(\lambda)$ are centered stochastic processes with uncorrelated increments and such that

$$\mathbb{E}(\xi(\lambda_2) - \xi(\lambda_1))^2 = \mathbb{E}(\eta(\lambda_2) - \eta(\lambda_1))^2 = F(\lambda_2) - F(\lambda_1)$$

for all $\lambda_1 < \lambda_2$. Here $F(\lambda)$ is the spectral function defined in the representation of the covariance function.

We say that a finite sum

$$(6) \quad \tilde{Y}(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} (\cos \lambda_k t \Delta_k \xi(\lambda) + \sin \lambda_k t \Delta_k \eta(\lambda))$$

is a model of the process $\tilde{Y}(t)$, where

$$\Delta_k \xi(\lambda) = \int_{\lambda_k}^{\lambda_{k+1}} d\xi(\lambda), \quad \Delta_k \eta(\lambda) = \int_{\lambda_k}^{\lambda_{k+1}} d\eta(\lambda),$$

and λ_k are the points of the partition D_Λ , that is, $0 = \lambda_0 < \lambda_1 < \dots < \lambda_N = \Lambda$.

Remark 3.1. Since the process $Y(t)$ is Gaussian, the processes $\xi(\lambda)$ and $\eta(\lambda)$ also are Gaussian (this follows from the Karhunen theorem).

Theorem 3.2. *Let $Y(t)$ be a separable centered mean square continuous stationary Gaussian stochastic process with the spectral function $F(\lambda)$. Let the assumptions of Theorem 3.1 hold for the process $Y(t)$ and assume that the spectral moment*

$$\int_0^\infty \lambda^{2b} dF(\lambda)$$

exists for some $0 < b \leq 1$. Consider a partition D_Λ :

$$\lambda_{k-1} - \lambda_k = \Lambda/N, \quad \Lambda \in \mathbf{R}, \quad N \in \mathbf{N}.$$

Then a model $\{\tilde{\nu}(B), B \in \mathfrak{B}\}$ with $\tilde{Y}(t)$ defined by (6) approximates the log Gaussian Cox process with accuracy α and reliability $1 - \gamma$ if

$$(7) \quad \begin{aligned} & \alpha > dM_N \exp \left\{ \frac{Z}{b} \right\}, \\ & \left(\frac{dG}{\alpha} \right)^{\frac{\ln \frac{\alpha}{M_N d}}{Z}} \left(\frac{\ln \frac{\alpha}{M_N d}}{Z} \right)^{\frac{\ln \frac{\alpha}{M_N d}}{2Z}} \exp \left\{ \frac{v_2 B(0) \ln^2 \frac{\alpha}{M_N d}}{2Z^2} \right\} \\ & + D \left(\frac{\ln \frac{\alpha}{M_N d}}{Z} \right)^{\frac{\ln \frac{\alpha}{M_N d}}{Z} + \frac{1}{b}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{\ln^2 \frac{\alpha}{M_N d}}{2Z} \right\} \left(1 - \frac{Z}{b \ln \frac{\alpha}{M_N d}} \right)^{-\frac{\ln \frac{\alpha}{M_N d}}{Z}} < \gamma, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
G &= 2(B(0) - F(\Lambda))^{1/2} (v_1 + 1)^{1/2}, & Z &= r_2(f_1 A_N + f_2 B(0)), \\
M_N &= 2d^b(\max(r_1, s_1, s_2) + 1)K_{N,b}, & D &= b^{1/b} \exp\left\{\frac{1}{b} + \frac{1}{b^2}\right\}, \\
K_{N,b} &= k_{N,b}^{1/2} + (2^{3-2b} \Lambda^{2b} F(\Lambda) A_N)^{1/2}, \\
A_N &= B(0) - F(\Lambda) + 2^{2-2b} d^{2b} \left(\frac{\Lambda}{N}\right)^{2b} F(\Lambda), \\
k_{N,b} &= 2^{5-4b} \left(\left(\frac{\Lambda}{N}\right)^b + \left(\frac{\Lambda}{N}\right)^a \left(\frac{d}{2}\right)^a (2\Lambda)^b \right)^2 F(\Lambda) + 2^{3-2b} C_b, \\
C_b &= \int_{\Lambda}^{\infty} \lambda^{2b} dF(\lambda), & 0 &< a \leq 1, \quad 0 < b \leq 1.
\end{aligned}$$

Here the numbers $v_1, v_2, f_1, f_2, s_1, s_2, s_3, r_1$, and r_2 are such that

$$\frac{1}{v_1} + \frac{1}{v_2} = 1, \quad \frac{1}{f_1} + \frac{1}{f_2} = 1, \quad \frac{1}{s_1} + \frac{1}{s_2} + \frac{1}{s_3} = 1, \quad \frac{1}{r_1} + \frac{1}{r_2} = 1, \quad r_2 = s_3$$

and $B(t)$ is the covariance function of the process $Y(t)$.

Proof. To estimate the moment of the difference $(\mathbb{E}|\exp\{Y(t)\} - \exp\{\tilde{Y}(t)\}|^p)^{1/p}$ we use the inequality $|\exp\{x\} - \exp\{y\}| \leq |x - y| \exp\{\max(x, y)\}$ and then the Hölder inequality. As a result we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left(\mathbb{E} \left| \exp\{Y(t)\} - \exp\{\tilde{Y}(t)\} \right|^p \right)^{1/p} \\
(8) \quad & \leq \left(\mathbb{E} \left| Y(t) - \tilde{Y}(t) \right|^p \exp \left\{ p \max(Y(t), \tilde{Y}(t)) \right\} \right)^{1/p} \\
& \leq \left(\mathbb{E} \left| Y(t) - \tilde{Y}(t) \right|^{pv_1} \right)^{1/(pv_1)} \left(\exp \left\{ pv_2 \max(Y(t), \tilde{Y}(t)) \right\} \right)^{1/(pv_2)},
\end{aligned}$$

where v_1 and v_2 are real numbers such that $v_1^{-1} + v_2^{-1} = 1$.

If a random variable ξ is Gaussian with parameters 0 and σ^2 , then its moments are such that

$$(9) \quad \mathbb{E} |\xi|^p = c_p (\sigma^2)^{p/2}$$

for $c_p = 2^{p/2} \pi^{-1/2} \Gamma((p+1)/2)$. Using Stirling's formula we get

$$\begin{aligned}
(10) \quad c_p & \leq \frac{2^{p/2}}{\sqrt{\pi}} \sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{\frac{p+1}{2}}} \left(\frac{p+1}{2e} \right)^{(p+1)/2} \exp \left\{ \frac{1}{12 \frac{p+1}{2}} \right\} \\
& \leq 2^{(p+1)/2} \left(\frac{p+1}{2} \right)^{p/2} \frac{\exp\{1/12\}}{\exp\{p/2\}} \leq \sqrt{2} (p+1)^{p/2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Considering equality (9) we obtain

$$\mathbb{E} \left| Y(t) - \tilde{Y}(t) \right|^{pv_1} = \left(\mathbb{E} \left| Y(t) - \tilde{Y}(t) \right|^2 \right)^{pv_1/2} c_{pv_1}.$$

Since $\mathbb{E}(\xi(t))^2 = B(0)$ and $\mathbb{E}(\tilde{\xi}(t))^2 = F(\Lambda)$ for centered stationary Gaussian stochastic processes, we have

$$\mathbb{E} \left| Y(t) - \tilde{Y}(t) \right|^2 = B(0) + F(\Lambda) - 2 \mathbb{E} Y(t) \tilde{Y}(t).$$

Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E} Y(t) \tilde{Y}(t) &= \mathbb{E} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \int_{\lambda_k}^{\lambda_{k+1}} \cos \lambda t d\xi(\lambda) + \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \int_{\lambda_k}^{\lambda_{k+1}} \sin \lambda t d\eta(\lambda) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \int_{\Lambda}^{\infty} \cos \lambda t d\xi(\lambda) + \int_{\Lambda}^{\infty} \sin \lambda t d\eta(\lambda) \right) \\
&\quad \times \left(\sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \int_{\lambda_k}^{\lambda_{k+1}} \cos \lambda_k t d\xi(\lambda) + \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \int_{\lambda_k}^{\lambda_{k+1}} \sin \lambda_k t d\eta(\lambda) \right) \\
&= \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \int_{\lambda_k}^{\lambda_{k+1}} \cos \lambda t \cos \lambda_k t dF(\lambda) + \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \int_{\lambda_k}^{\lambda_{k+1}} \sin \lambda t \sin \lambda_k t dF(\lambda) \\
&= \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \int_{\lambda_k}^{\lambda_{k+1}} \cos t(\lambda - \lambda_k) dF(\lambda), \\
\mathbb{E} \left| Y(t) - \tilde{Y}(t) \right|^2 &= B(0) - F(\Lambda) + 2F(\Lambda) - 2 \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \int_{\lambda_k}^{\lambda_{k+1}} \cos t(\lambda - \lambda_k) dF(\lambda) \\
&= B(0) - F(\Lambda) + 2 \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \int_{\lambda_k}^{\lambda_{k+1}} 2 \sin^2 \left(\frac{t(\lambda - \lambda_k)}{2} \right) dF(\lambda) \\
&\leq B(0) - F(\Lambda) + 4 \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \int_{\lambda_k}^{\lambda_{k+1}} \frac{t^{2b} (\lambda - \lambda_k)^{2b}}{2^{2b}} dF(\lambda).
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\lambda - \lambda_k \leq \lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_k = \Lambda/N$ for $k = 0, \dots, N-1$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
(11) \quad &\mathbb{E} \left| Y(t) - \tilde{Y}(t) \right|^2 \leq A_N, \\
&A_N = B(0) - F(\Lambda) + 2^{2-2b} d^{2b} \left(\frac{\Lambda}{N} \right)^{2b} F(\Lambda).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$(12) \quad \left(\mathbb{E} \left| Y(t) - \tilde{Y}(t) \right|^{pv_1} \right)^{1/(pv_1)} \leq A_N^{1/2} c_{pv_1}^{1/(pv_1)}.$$

Now we estimate the expectation of the exponent $\exp\{pv_2 \max(Y(t), \tilde{Y}(t))\}$. Note that

$$\mathbb{E} \exp\{\lambda \xi\} = \exp\{\lambda^2 \sigma^2 / 2\}$$

for a random variable $\xi \simeq N(0, \sigma^2)$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E} \exp \left\{ pv_2 \max(Y(t), \tilde{Y}(t)) \right\} &\leq \mathbb{E} \exp \{pv_2 Y(t)\} + \mathbb{E} \exp \{pv_2 \tilde{Y}(t)\} \\
&= \exp \left\{ \frac{(pv_2)^2}{2} B(0) \right\} + \exp \left\{ \frac{(pv_2)^2}{2} F(\Lambda) \right\} \\
&\leq 2 \exp \left\{ \frac{(pv_2)^2}{2} B(0) \right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

The latter inequality and (12) imply that

$$\begin{aligned}
 (13) \quad & \left(\mathbb{E} \left| \exp\{Y(t)\} - \exp\{\tilde{Y}(t)\} \right|^p \right)^{1/p} \\
 & \leq A_N^{1/2} c_{pv_1}^{1/(pv_1)} 2^{1/(pv_2)} \exp\left\{ \frac{pv_2}{2} B(0) \right\} \\
 & = A_N^{1/2} \left(\sqrt{2} (pv_1 + 1)^{pv_1/2} \right)^{1/(pv_1)} 2^{1/(pv_2)} \exp\left\{ \frac{pv_2}{2} B(0) \right\} \\
 & \leq 2^{1/p} A_N^{1/2} (pv_1 + 1)^{1/2} \exp\left\{ \frac{pv_2}{2} B(0) \right\}
 \end{aligned}$$

by bound (8).

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left(\mathbb{E} \left| \exp\{Y(t+h)\} - \exp\{\tilde{Y}(t+h)\} - \left(\exp\{Y(t)\} - \exp\{\tilde{Y}(t)\} \right) \right|^p \right)^{1/p} \\
 & = \left(\mathbb{E} \left| \left(e^{Y(t+h)-\tilde{Y}(t+h)} - e^{Y(t)-\tilde{Y}(t)} \right) e^{\tilde{Y}(t+h)} \right. \right. \\
 & \quad \left. \left. + \left(e^{\tilde{Y}(t+h)} - e^{\tilde{Y}(t)} \right) \left(e^{Y(t)-\tilde{Y}(t)} - 1 \right) \right|^p \right)^{1/p} \\
 & \leq \left(\mathbb{E} \left| \left(e^{Y(t+h)-\tilde{Y}(t+h)} - e^{Y(t)-\tilde{Y}(t)} \right) e^{\tilde{Y}(t+h)} \right|^p \right)^{1/p} \\
 & \quad + \left(\mathbb{E} \left| \left(e^{\tilde{Y}(t+h)} - e^{\tilde{Y}(t)} \right) \left(e^{Y(t)-\tilde{Y}(t)} - 1 \right) \right|^p \right)^{1/p} \\
 & \leq (\mathbb{E} |\Delta_1(Y) V_1|^p)^{1/p} + (\mathbb{E} |\Delta_2(Y) \Delta_3(Y) V_2|^p)^{1/p},
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Delta_1(Y) &= \left| Y(t+h) - \tilde{Y}(t+h) - Y(t) + \tilde{Y}(t) \right|, \\
 V_1 &= \exp \left\{ \max \left(Y(t+h) - \tilde{Y}(t+h), Y(t) - \tilde{Y}(t) \right) \right\} \exp \left\{ \tilde{Y}(t+h) \right\}, \\
 \Delta_2(Y) &= \left| \tilde{Y}(t+h) - \tilde{Y}(t) \right|, \\
 \Delta_3(Y) &= \left| Y(t) - \tilde{Y}(t) \right|, \\
 V_2 &= \exp \left\{ \max \left(\tilde{Y}(t+h), \tilde{Y}(t) \right) \right\} \exp \left\{ \left| Y(t) - \tilde{Y}(t) \right| \right\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$r_1^{-1} + r_2^{-1} = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad s_1^{-1} + s_2^{-1} + s_3^{-1} = 1.$$

An application of the Hölder inequality yields

$$\begin{aligned}
 (14) \quad & \mathbb{E} |\Delta_1(Y) V_1|^p \leq (\mathbb{E} |\Delta_1(Y)|^{pr_1})^{1/r_1} (\mathbb{E} |V_1|^{pr_2})^{1/r_2}, \\
 & \mathbb{E} |\Delta_2(Y) \Delta_3(Y) V_2|^p \leq (\mathbb{E} |\Delta_2(Y)|^{ps_1})^{1/s_1} (\mathbb{E} |\Delta_3(Y)|^{ps_2})^{1/s_2} (\mathbb{E} |V_2|^{ps_3})^{1/s_3}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$(\mathbb{E} |\Delta_1(Y)|^{pr_1}) = (\sigma^2)^{pr_1/2} c_{pr_1}$$

by (9), where $\sigma^2 = \mathbb{E} |\Delta_1(Y)|^2$.

Now we estimate σ^2 :

$$\begin{aligned}
\sigma^2 &= \mathbb{E} \left| Y(t+h) - \tilde{Y}(t+h) - Y(t) + \tilde{Y}(t) \right|^2 \\
&= \mathbb{E} \left| \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \int_{\lambda_k}^{\lambda_{k+1}} (\cos \lambda(t+h) - \cos \lambda_k(t+h) - \cos \lambda t + \cos \lambda_k t) d\xi(\lambda) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \int_{\lambda_k}^{\lambda_{k+1}} (\sin \lambda(t+h) - \sin \lambda_k(t+h) - \sin \lambda t + \sin \lambda_k t) d\eta(\lambda) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \int_{\Lambda}^{\infty} (\cos \lambda(t+h) - \cos \lambda t) d\xi(\lambda) + \int_{\Lambda}^{\infty} (\sin \lambda(t+h) - \sin \lambda t) d\eta(\lambda) \right|^2 \\
&= \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \int_{\lambda_k}^{\lambda_{k+1}} (\cos \lambda(t+h) - \cos \lambda_k(t+h) - \cos \lambda t + \cos \lambda_k t)^2 dF(\lambda) \\
&\quad + \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \int_{\lambda_k}^{\lambda_{k+1}} (\sin \lambda(t+h) - \sin \lambda_k(t+h) - \sin \lambda t + \sin \lambda_k t)^2 dF(\lambda) \\
&\quad + \int_{\Lambda}^{\infty} (\cos \lambda(t+h) - \cos \lambda t)^2 dF(\lambda) + \int_{\Lambda}^{\infty} (\sin \lambda(t+h) - \sin \lambda t)^2 dF(\lambda) \\
&= \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \int_{\lambda_k}^{\lambda_{k+1}} 4 \left| \sin \frac{(t+h)(\lambda + \lambda_k)}{2} \sin \frac{(t+h)(\lambda - \lambda_k)}{2} \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \sin \frac{(\lambda + \lambda_k)t}{2} \sin \frac{(\lambda - \lambda_k)t}{2} \right|^2 dF(\lambda) \\
&\quad + \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \int_{\lambda_k}^{\lambda_{k+1}} 4 \left| \cos \frac{(t+h)(\lambda + \lambda_k)}{2} \sin \frac{(t+h)(\lambda - \lambda_k)}{2} \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \cos \frac{(\lambda + \lambda_k)t}{2} \sin \frac{(\lambda - \lambda_k)t}{2} \right|^2 dF(\lambda) \\
&\quad + \int_{\Lambda}^{\infty} 4 \left| \sin \frac{\lambda(2t+h)}{2} \right|^2 \left| \sin \frac{\lambda h}{2} \right|^2 dF(\lambda) + \int_{\Lambda}^{\infty} 4 \left| \cos \frac{\lambda(2t+h)}{2} \right|^2 \left| \sin \frac{\lambda h}{2} \right|^2 dF(\lambda) \\
&\leq \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \int_{\lambda_k}^{\lambda_{k+1}} 4 \left(\left| \sin \frac{(t+h)(\lambda + \lambda_k)}{2} \right| \left| \sin \frac{(t+h)(\lambda - \lambda_k)}{2} - \sin \frac{(\lambda - \lambda_k)t}{2} \right| \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \left| \sin \frac{(\lambda - \lambda_k)t}{2} \right| \left| \sin \frac{(t+h)(\lambda + \lambda_k)}{2} - \sin \frac{(\lambda + \lambda_k)t}{2} \right| \right)^2 dF(\lambda) \\
&\quad + \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \int_{\lambda_k}^{\lambda_{k+1}} 4 \left(\left| \sin \frac{(t+h)(\lambda - \lambda_k)}{2} \right| \left| \cos \frac{(t+h)(\lambda + \lambda_k)}{2} - \cos \frac{(\lambda + \lambda_k)t}{2} \right| \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \left| \cos \frac{(\lambda + \lambda_k)t}{2} \right| \left| \sin \frac{(t+h)(\lambda - \lambda_k)}{2} - \sin \frac{(\lambda - \lambda_k)t}{2} \right| \right)^2 dF(\lambda) \\
&\quad + 8 \int_{\Lambda}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\lambda h}{2} \right)^{2b} dF(\lambda)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \int_{\lambda_k}^{\lambda_{k+1}} 4 \left(\left| 2 \cos \frac{(2t+h)(\lambda-\lambda_k)}{4} \sin \frac{(\lambda-\lambda_k)h}{4} \right| \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \left| \sin \frac{(\lambda-\lambda_k)t}{2} \right| \left| 2 \cos \frac{(2t+h)(\lambda+\lambda_k)}{4} \sin \frac{(\lambda+\lambda_k)h}{4} \right| \right)^2 dF(\lambda) \\
&\quad + \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \int_{\lambda_k}^{\lambda_{k+1}} \left(\left| \sin \frac{(t+h)(\lambda-\lambda_k)}{2} \right| \left| 2 \sin \frac{(2t+h)(\lambda+\lambda_k)}{4} \sin \frac{(\lambda+\lambda_k)h}{4} \right| \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \left| 2 \cos \frac{(2t+h)(\lambda-\lambda_k)}{4} \sin \frac{(\lambda-\lambda_k)h}{4} \right| \right)^2 dF(\lambda) \\
&\quad + h^{2b} 2^{3-2b} \int_{\Lambda}^{\infty} \lambda^{2b} dF(\lambda) \\
&\leq \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \int_{\lambda_k}^{\lambda_{k+1}} 16 \left(\frac{(\lambda-\lambda_k)^b h^b}{4^b} + \frac{(\lambda-\lambda_k)^a t^a (\lambda+\lambda_k)^b h^b}{2^a 4^b} \right)^2 dF(\lambda) \\
&\quad + \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \int_{\lambda_k}^{\lambda_{k+1}} 16 \left(\frac{(t+h)^a (\lambda-\lambda_k)^a (\lambda+\lambda_k)^b h^b}{2^a 4^b} + \frac{(\lambda-\lambda_k)^b h^b}{4^b} \right)^2 dF(\lambda) \\
&\quad + h^{2b} 2^{3-2b} C_b \\
&= 32 \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \int_{\lambda_k}^{\lambda_{k+1}} \left(\left(\frac{\Lambda}{N} \right)^b \frac{h^b}{4^b} + \left(\frac{\Lambda}{N} \right)^a \frac{d^a}{2^a} (2\Lambda)^b \frac{h^b}{4^b} \right)^2 dF(\lambda) + h^{2b} 2^{3-2b} C_b \\
&= h^{2b} \left(2^{5-4b} \left(\frac{\Lambda}{N} \right)^b + \left(\frac{\Lambda}{N} \right)^a \frac{d^a}{2^a} (2\Lambda)^b \right)^2 F(\Lambda) + h^{2b} 2^{3-2b} C_b,
\end{aligned}$$

where $C_b = \int_{\Lambda}^{\infty} \lambda^{2b} dF(\lambda)$, $0 < a \leq 1$, and $0 < b \leq 1$. Thus

$$\mathbb{E} |\Delta_1(Y)|^2 \leq k_{N,b} h^{2b}$$

for

$$k_{N,b} = \left(2^{5-4b} \left(\frac{\Lambda}{N} \right)^b + \left(\frac{\Lambda}{N} \right)^a \frac{d^a}{2^a} (2\Lambda)^b \right)^2 F(\Lambda) + 2^{3-2b} C_b.$$

Therefore

$$(15) \quad \mathbb{E} |\Delta_1(Y)|^{pr_1} \leq h^{pr_1 b} k_{N,b}^{pr_1/2} c_{pr_1}.$$

Now we estimate $\mathbb{E} |V_1|^{pr_2}$. Let $f_1^{-1} + f_2^{-1} = 1$. It follows from the Hölder inequality that

$$\begin{aligned}
(16) \quad \mathbb{E} |V_1|^{pr_2} &= \mathbb{E} \left| \exp \left\{ \max \left(Y(t+h) - \tilde{Y}(t+h), Y(t) - \tilde{Y}(t) \right) \right\} \exp \left\{ \tilde{Y}(t+h) \right\} \right|^{pr_2} \\
&\leq \left(\mathbb{E} \exp \left\{ pr_2 f_1 \max \left(Y(t+h) - \tilde{Y}(t+h), Y(t) - \tilde{Y}(t) \right) \right\} \right)^{1/f_1} \\
&\quad \times \left(\mathbb{E} \exp \left\{ pr_2 f_2 \tilde{Y}(t+h) \right\} \right)^{1/f_2}.
\end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E} \exp \left\{ pr_2 f_1 \max \left(Y(t+h) - \tilde{Y}(t+h), Y(t) - \tilde{Y}(t) \right) \right\} \\
&\leq \exp \left\{ \frac{(pr_2 f_1)^2}{2} \mathbb{E} \left| Y(t+h) - \tilde{Y}(t+h) \right|^2 \right\} + \exp \left\{ \frac{(pr_2 f_1)^2}{2} \mathbb{E} \left| Y(t) - \tilde{Y}(t) \right|^2 \right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Applying the same argument as that used in the proof of formula (11) we easily see that $\mathbb{E}|Y(t+h) - \tilde{Y}(t+h)|^2 \leq A_N$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\mathbb{E} \exp \left\{ pr_2 f_1 \max \left(Y(t+h) - \tilde{Y}(t+h), Y(t) - \tilde{Y}(t) \right) \right\} \right)^{1/f_1} \\ & \leq 2^{1/f_1} \exp \left\{ \frac{(pr_2)^2 f_1}{2} A_N \right\}, \\ & \left(\mathbb{E} \exp \left\{ pr_2 f_2 \tilde{Y}(t+h) \right\} \right)^{1/f_2} \leq \left(\exp \left\{ \frac{(pr_2 f_2)^2}{2} F(\lambda) \right\} \right)^{1/f_2} = \exp \left\{ \frac{(pr_2)^2 f_2}{2} F(\lambda) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Combining the two preceding inequalities we derive from (16) that

$$(17) \quad \mathbb{E}|V_1|^{pr_2} \leq 2^{1/f_1} \exp \left\{ \frac{(pr_2)^2}{2} (f_1 A_N + f_2 B(0)) \right\}.$$

Now we estimate $\mathbb{E}|\Delta_2(Y)|^{ps_1}$. By equality (9),

$$|\Delta_2(Y)|^{ps_1} = \left(\mathbb{E}|\Delta_2(Y)|^2 \right)^{ps_1/2} c_{ps_1}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}|\Delta_2(Y)|^2 &= \mathbb{E} \left| \tilde{Y}(t+h) - \tilde{Y}(t) \right|^2 \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \int_{\lambda_k}^{\lambda_{k+1}} \cos \lambda_k(t+h) d\xi(\lambda) + \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \int_{\lambda_k}^{\lambda_{k+1}} \sin \lambda_k(t+h) d\eta(\lambda) \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \int_{\lambda_k}^{\lambda_{k+1}} \cos \lambda_k t d\xi(\lambda) - \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \int_{\lambda_k}^{\lambda_{k+1}} \sin \lambda_k t d\eta(\lambda) \right)^2 \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \int_{\lambda_k}^{\lambda_{k+1}} -2 \sin \frac{\lambda_k(2t+h)}{2} \sin \frac{\lambda_k h}{2} d\xi(\lambda) \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \int_{\lambda_k}^{\lambda_{k+1}} 2 \sin \frac{\lambda_k h}{2} \cos \frac{\lambda_k(2t+h)}{2} d\eta(\lambda) \right)^2 \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \int_{\lambda_k}^{\lambda_{k+1}} 4 \sin^2 \frac{\lambda_k(2t+h)}{2} \sin^2 \frac{\lambda_k h}{2} dF(\lambda) \\ & \quad + \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \int_{\lambda_k}^{\lambda_{k+1}} 4 \sin^2 \frac{\lambda_k h}{2} \cos^2 \frac{\lambda_k(2t+h)}{2} dF(\lambda) \\ &\leq 8 \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \int_{\lambda_k}^{\lambda_{k+1}} \sin^2 \frac{\lambda_k h}{2} dF(\lambda) \leq 8 \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \int_{\lambda_k}^{\lambda_{k+1}} \left(\frac{\lambda_k h}{2} \right)^{2b} dF(\lambda) \\ &\leq 2^{3-2b} \Lambda^{2b} h^{2b} F(\Lambda), \quad 0 < b \leq 1. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$(18) \quad \mathbb{E}|\Delta_2(Y)|^{ps_1} \leq \left(2^{3-2b} \Lambda^{2b} h^{2b} F(\Lambda) \right)^{ps_1/2} c_{ps_1}.$$

Since

$$\mathbb{E}|\Delta_3(Y)|^{ps_2} = \left(\mathbb{E}|\Delta_3(Y)|^2 \right)^{ps_2/2} c_{ps_2},$$

$$\mathbb{E}|\Delta_3(Y)|^2 = \mathbb{E} \left| Y(t) - \tilde{Y}(t) \right|^2 \leq A_N,$$

we have

$$(19) \quad \mathbb{E} |\Delta_3(Y)|^{ps_2} = A_N^{ps_2/2} c_{ps_2}.$$

To estimate $\mathbb{E} |V_2|^{ps_3}$, let $e_1^{-1} + e_2^{-1} = 1$. We use the Hölder inequality again and find that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} |V_2|^{ps_3} &= \mathbb{E} \left| \exp \left\{ \max \left(\tilde{Y}(t+h), \tilde{Y}(t) \right) \right\} \exp \left\{ |Y(t) - \tilde{Y}(t)| \right\} \right|^{ps_3} \\ &\leq \left(\mathbb{E} \exp \left\{ ps_3 e_1 \max \left(\tilde{Y}(t+h), \tilde{Y}(t) \right) \right\} \right)^{1/e_1} \\ &\quad \times \left(\mathbb{E} \exp \left\{ ps_3 e_2 |Y(t) - \tilde{Y}(t)| \right\} \right)^{1/e_2}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\mathbb{E} \exp \left\{ ps_3 e_1 \max \left(\tilde{Y}(t+h), \tilde{Y}(t) \right) \right\} \leq 2 \exp \left\{ \frac{(ps_3 e_1)^2}{2} F(\Lambda) \right\}.$$

It is known for a Gaussian random variable ξ with parameters 0 and σ^2 that

$$\mathbb{E} \exp \{b|\xi|\} \leq \mathbb{E} \exp \{b\xi\} + \mathbb{E} \exp \{-b\xi\} = 2 \exp \left\{ \frac{b^2 \sigma^2}{2} \right\},$$

whence

$$\mathbb{E} \exp \left\{ ps_3 e_2 |Y(t) - \tilde{Y}(t)| \right\} \leq 2 \exp \left\{ \frac{(ps_3 e_2)^2}{2} A_N \right\}.$$

Therefore

$$(20) \quad \mathbb{E} |V_2|^{ps_3} \leq 2 \exp \left\{ \frac{(ps_3)^2}{2} (e_2 A_N + e_1 B(0)) \right\}.$$

Applying (15), (17)–(20), and (10), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\left(\mathbb{E} \left| \exp \{Y(t+h)\} - \exp \{\tilde{Y}(t+h)\} - \left(\exp \{Y(t)\} - \exp \{\tilde{Y}(t)\} \right) \right|^p \right)^{1/p} \\ &\leq (\mathbb{E} |\Delta_1(Y)|^{pr_1})^{1/(pr_1)} (\mathbb{E} |V_1|^{pr_2})^{1/(pr_2)} \\ &\quad + (\mathbb{E} |\Delta_2(Y)|^{ps_1})^{1/(ps_1)} (\mathbb{E} |\Delta_3(Y)|^{ps_2})^{1/(ps_2)} (\mathbb{E} |V_2|^{ps_3})^{1/(ps_3)} \\ &\leq h^b k_{N,b}^{1/2} c_{pr_1}^{1/(pr_1)} 2^{1/(pr_2 f_1)} \exp \left\{ \frac{pr_2}{2} (f_1 A_N + f_2 B(0)) \right\} \\ &\quad + (2^{3-2b} \Lambda^{2b} h^{2b} F(\Lambda))^{1/2} c_{ps_1}^{1/(ps_1)} A_N^{1/2} c_{ps_2}^{1/(ps_2)} 2^{1/(ps_3)} \exp \left\{ \frac{ps_3}{2} (e_2 A_N + e_1 B(0)) \right\} \\ &= h^b \left[k_{N,b}^{1/2} 2^{1/(2pr_1)} (pr_1 + 1)^{1/2} 2^{1/(pr_2 f_1)} \exp \left\{ \frac{pr_2}{2} (f_1 A_N + f_2 B(0)) \right\} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + (2^{3-2b} \Lambda^{2b} F(\Lambda) A_N)^{1/2} 2^{1/(2ps_1)} (ps_1 + 1)^{1/2} 2^{1/(2ps_2)} (ps_2 + 1)^{1/2} 2^{1/(ps_3)} \right. \\ &\quad \left. \times \exp \left\{ \frac{ps_3}{2} (e_2 A_N + e_1 B(0)) \right\} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Put $f_1 = e_2$, $f_2 = e_1$, and $r_2 = s_3$. Taking into account the following bounds,

$$2^{1/(2pr_1)} 2^{1/(pr_2 f_1)} \leq 2^{1/p}, \quad 2^{1/(2ps_1)} 2^{1/(2ps_2)} 2^{1/(ps_3 e_1)} \leq 2^{1/p},$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left(\mathbb{E} \left| \exp \{Y(t+h)\} - \exp \{ \tilde{Y}(t+h) \} - \left(\exp \{Y(t)\} - \exp \{ \tilde{Y}(t) \} \right)^p \right|^p \right)^{1/p} \\
& \leq h^b \left[k_{N,b}^{1/2} 2^{1/p} p^{1/2} (r_1 + 1)^{1/2} \exp \left\{ \frac{pr_2}{2} (f_1 A_N + f_2 B(0)) \right\} \right. \\
& \quad \left. + (2^{3-2b} \Lambda^{2b} F(\Lambda) A_N)^{1/2} 2^{1/p} p (s_1 + 1)^{1/2} (s_2 + 1)^{1/2} \right. \\
& \quad \left. \times \exp \left\{ \frac{pr_2}{2} (f_1 A_N + f_2 B(0)) \right\} \right] \\
& \leq h^b K_{N,b,p},
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
(21) \quad K_{N,b,p} &= 2^{1/p} (\max(r_1, s_1, s_2) + 1) p \exp \left\{ \frac{pr_2}{2} (f_1 A_N + f_2 B(0)) \right\} K_{N,b}, \\
K_{N,b} &= k_{N,b}^{1/2} + (2^{3-2b} \Lambda^{2b} F(\Lambda) A_N)^{1/2}.
\end{aligned}$$

We evaluate the integral used in the definition of the constant V_p in Theorem 3.1:

$$\int_0^{\theta_{\varepsilon_0}} \left(\frac{d}{\varphi^{(-1)}(h)} \right)^{1/p} dh = \int_0^{\theta_{\varepsilon_0}} \frac{d^{1/p} K_{N,b,p}^{1/(pb)}}{h^{1/(pb)}} dh = \frac{d^b K_{N,b,p} \theta^{1-1/(pb)}}{1 - \frac{1}{pb}}, \quad 1 - \frac{1}{pb} > 0.$$

The function

$$f(\theta) = \frac{\theta^{1-1/(pb)}}{\theta(1-\theta)}$$

attains its minimal value

$$f\left(\frac{1}{1+pb}\right) = \frac{(1+pb)^{1+1/(pb)}}{pb}$$

at the point $\theta_0 = (1+pb)^{-1}$. One can easily derive from inequality (13) that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \inf_{t \in B_i} \left(\mathbb{E} \left| \exp \{Y(t)\} - \exp \{ \tilde{Y}(t) \} \right|^p \right)^{1/p} \\
& \leq (B(0) - F(\Lambda))^{1/2} 2^{1/p} p^{1/2} (v_1 + 1)^{1/2} \exp \left\{ \frac{pv_2}{2} B(0) \right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Using the notation of Theorem 3.1 we get

$$\frac{V_p^p}{x^p} \leq \frac{\left[(B(0) - F(\Lambda))^{1/2} 2^{1/p} p^{1/2} (v_1 + 1)^{1/2} \exp \left\{ \frac{pv_2}{2} B(0) \right\} + d^b K_{N,b,p} \frac{(1+pb)^{1+1/(pb)}}{pb-1} \right]^p}{x^p}.$$

Applying the elementary inequality $(a+b)^p \leq 2^{p-1} (a^p + b^p)$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
(22) \quad \frac{V_p^p}{x^p} & \leq \frac{2^p (B(0) - F(\Lambda))^{p/2} p^{p/2} (v_1 + 1)^{p/2} \exp \left\{ \frac{p^2 v_2}{2} B(0) \right\}}{x^p} \\
& \quad + \frac{2^{p-1} d^{pb} K_{N,b,p}^p \frac{(1+pb)^{1+1/(pb)}}{pb-1}}{x^p}.
\end{aligned}$$

Now we use the inequality

$$\frac{(1+pb)^{p+1/b}}{(pb-1)^p} \leq \frac{p^{1/b} b^{1/b} \exp \left\{ \frac{1}{b} + \frac{1}{b^2} \right\}}{\left(1 - \frac{1}{pb}\right)^p}$$

TABLE 1

α	$1 - \gamma$	c_1	c_2	k	d	N
0.01	0.99	1	6	12	0.0591766	114504
0.01	0.99	1	4	20	0.0554157	59898
0.01	0.99	1	1	30	0.0519309	16433

TABLE 2

α	$1 - \gamma$	c	k	d	N
0.01	0.99	2	4	0.0659933	13851
0.01	0.99	4	8	0.064061	8912
0.01	0.99	10	20	0.0593889	1353

and definitions (21) to estimate from above the second term of the expression on the right hand side of (22):

$$(23) \quad \frac{2^{p-1} d^{pb} K_{N,b,p}^p \frac{(1+pb)^{1+1/(pb)}}{pb-1}}{x^p} \leq \frac{DM_N^p p^{p+1/p} \exp \left\{ \frac{p^2 r_2}{2} (f_1 A_N + f_2 B(0)) \right\}}{x^p \left(1 - \frac{1}{pb}\right)^p},$$

where

$$D = b^{1/b} \exp \left\{ \frac{1}{b} + \frac{1}{b^2} \right\},$$

$$M_N = 2d^b (\max(r_1, s_1, s_2) + 1) K_{N,b}.$$

Let $r_2(f_1 A_N + f_2 B(0)) = Z$ and $2(B(0) - F(\Lambda))^{1/2}(v_1 + 1)^{1/2} = G$. Taking into account (23) we evaluate the right hand side of (22) at the point

$$p_0 = \frac{\ln \frac{x}{M_N}}{Z},$$

which is close to the point of minimum of the function

$$\frac{DM_N^p p^{p+1/p} \exp \left\{ \frac{p^2}{2} Z \right\}}{x^p \left(1 - \frac{1}{pb}\right)^p}.$$

Since $1 - (p_0 b)^{-1} > 0$, we apply Theorem 3.1 to obtain bounds (7), whence Theorem 3.2 follows. $\square$

Example 3.1. Let a stochastic process $Y(t)$ satisfy the assumptions of Theorem 3.2 and let its spectral density be $f(\lambda) = c_1/(1 + c_2 \lambda^k)$. Table 1 contains the values of d and N for this process, given the accuracy α and reliability $1 - \gamma$.

Table 2 contains the values of d and N evaluated for a stochastic process with the spectral density $f(\lambda) = \exp\{-c\lambda^k\}$.

Remark 3.2. One can use the following condition,

$$\mathbf{P} \left\{ \max_{B_i \in \mathfrak{B}, i=0, \dots, k-1} |p_{kY}(B_i) - \tilde{p}_{kY}(B_i)| > \alpha \right\} < \gamma,$$

in Definition 3.1 instead of

$$\max_{B_i \in \mathfrak{B}, i=0, \dots, k-1} \mathbf{P} \{ |p_{kY}(B_i) - \tilde{p}_{kY}(B_i)| > \alpha \} < \gamma.$$

Then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P} \left\{ \max_{B_i \in \mathfrak{B}, i=0, \dots, k-1} |\mu(B_i) - \tilde{\mu}(B_i)| > \alpha \right\} &\leq \sum_{i=1}^k \mathbb{P} \{ |\mu(B_i) - \tilde{\mu}(B_i)| > \alpha \} \\ &\leq k \cdot \max_{B_i \in \mathfrak{B}, i=0, \dots, k-1} \mathbb{P} \{ |\mu(B_i) - \tilde{\mu}(B_i)| > \alpha \} \\ &\leq k \cdot \mathbb{P} \left\{ \sup_{t \in B_i} \left| \exp\{Y(t)\} - \exp\{\tilde{Y}(t)\} \right| > \frac{\alpha}{d} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

using estimates (4) and (5). This result is analogous to Theorem 3.2, where γ/k is substituted for γ in formula (7).

The latter setting is more involved, since the model approximates the process only for sufficiently large N .

4. CONCLUDING REMARKS

A method for modelling a log Gaussian Cox stochastic process with a given accuracy and reliability is presented in this paper for the case where the logarithm of the intensity is a separable stationary Gaussian stochastic process. The main result of the paper, Theorem 3.2, contains sufficient conditions that a log Gaussian Cox stochastic process is approximated by its model.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. A. Brix and J. Møller, *Space-time multi-type log Gaussian Cox processes with a view to modelling weeds*, Scand. J. Statist. **28** (2001), no. 3, 471–488. MR1858412 (2002g:60072)
2. J. Møller, A. R. Syversveen, and R. P. Waagepetersen, *Log Gaussian Cox processes*, Scand. J. Statist. **25** (1998), no. 3, 451–482. MR1650019 (2000k:62156)
3. V. V. Buldygin and Yu. V. Kozachenko, *Metric Characterization of Random Variables and Random Processes*, American Mathematical Society, Providence, Rhode Island, 2000. MR1743716 (2001g:60089)
4. Yu. V. Kozachenko and A. O. Pashko, *Modelling Stochastic Processes*, “Kyiv University”, Kyiv, 1999. (Ukrainian)

DEPARTMENT OF PROBABILITY THEORY AND MATHEMATICAL STATISTICS, FACULTY FOR MECHANICS AND MATHEMATICS, NATIONAL TARAS SHEVCHENKO UNIVERSITY, ACADEMICIAN GLUSHKOV AVENUE 6, KYIV 03127, UKRAINE

E-mail address: yvk@univ.kiev.ua

DEPARTMENT OF PROBABILITY THEORY AND MATHEMATICAL STATISTICS, FACULTY FOR MECHANICS AND MATHEMATICS, NATIONAL TARAS SHEVCHENKO UNIVERSITY, ACADEMICIAN GLUSHKOV AVENUE 6, KYIV 03127, UKRAINE

E-mail address: alex.pogorilyak@ukr.net

Received 23/FEB/2006

Translated by N. SEMENOV