## DETERMINATION OF THE ABSTRACT GROUPS OF ORDER $p^{2} q r$;

$p, q, r$ BEING DISTINCT PRIMES*

OLIVER E. GLENN

Since the publication $\dagger$ in 1899 of Professor Miller's "Report on recent progress in the theory of groups of finite order," Western $\ddagger$ has published his determination of the groups of order $r^{3} q$, and Le Vasseur§ has discussed the order $p^{2} q^{2}$. This paper is devoted to the determination of all groups of the order $p^{2} q r$. It thus completes the discussion of the problem of groups whose orders are products of four primes. ||

With the exception of the group of order $2^{2} \cdot 3 \cdot 5$, simply isomorphic with the icosahedron-group, all groups of order $p^{2} q r$ are solvable. The maximal selfconjugate subgroups will therefore serve as the basis of classification. The twelve possible arrangements of the factors of composition are
(1) $p p q r$,
(2) $p p r q$,
(3) $p q p r$,
(4) $p q r p$,
(5) $p r p q$,
(6) $p r q p$,
(7) $q p p r$,
(8) $q p r p$,
(9) $q r p p$,
(10) rqpp,
(11) rppq, (12) rpqp.

If for a given type of group precisely the arrangements $(i),(j),(k), \cdots$, of the factors of composition are possible, then we symbolize $\mathbb{T}$ the group $(i, j, k, \cdots)$. Two groups having distinct symbols cannot be simply isomorphic.

The group $G$ always contains a maximal invariant subgroup** of order $p^{2} q$, and may contain maximal subgroups $\dagger \dagger$ of order $p^{2} r$ and $p q r$. We shall discuss

[^0]in detail in this paper only two classes of groups: those possessing invariant subgroups of both the types $H_{p^{2} q}$ and $H_{p^{2} r}$, and those possessing maximal invariant subgroups of the type $H_{p^{2} q}$ only. A detailed summary of the results obtained in the other classes is given at the end. We shall thus be concerned principally with the subgroups $H_{p^{2} \sigma}(\sigma=q, r)$ all types of which are given in the following table, in which $\tau$ denotes the number of distinct types, while ( $p$ ) signifies (modulo $p$ ):

| $H_{p^{2} \sigma, i}$ | $S_{3}^{-1} S S_{3}$ | $S_{3}^{-1} S_{1} S_{3}$ | $S_{3}^{-1} S_{2} S_{3}$ | $S_{2}^{-1} S_{1} S_{2}$ | Parameters | $\tau$ |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $i=I$ | $S$ | $\cdot$ | $\cdot$ | $\cdot$ |  | 1 |
| $I I$ | $\cdot$ | $S_{1}$ | $S_{2}$ | $S_{1}$ |  | 1 |
| $I I I$ | $\cdot$ | $S_{1}^{a}$ | $S_{2}$ | $S_{1}$ | $\alpha^{\sigma} \equiv 1(p), p \equiv 1(\sigma)$ | 1 |
| $I V$ | $S^{a}$ | $\cdot$ | $\cdot$ | $\cdot$ | $\alpha^{\sigma} \equiv 1\left(p^{2}\right), p \equiv 1(\sigma)$ | 1 |
| $V$ | $\cdot$ | $S_{1}^{a}$ | $S_{2}^{a n}$ | $S_{1}$ | $\alpha^{\sigma} \equiv 1(p), p \equiv 1(\sigma) 1$ or $\frac{1}{2}[\sigma+1]$ |  |
| $V I$ | $\cdot$ | $S_{2}$ | $S_{1}^{-1} S_{2}^{(p+\iota}$ | $S_{1}$ | $* \iota^{\sigma} \equiv 1(p), p \equiv-1(\sigma)$ | 1 |
|  |  | $\sigma=q, r ; S^{p^{2}}=1, S_{1}^{p}=S_{2}^{p}=1, S_{3}^{\sigma}=1$. |  |  |  |  |

## § 1. Determination of $\rho_{\Omega, h}$.

By Sylow's theorem, $\dagger N_{\sigma}=q r / \sigma, p, p^{2}, p q r / \sigma, p^{2} q r / \sigma$ or 1. If $N_{\sigma}^{\prime}=1$ then $\rho_{\Omega, \sigma}=1, \Omega$ being any operator of prime order in $G$. When $N_{\sigma}>1$, the result of transforming the single conjugate set of $N_{\sigma}$ subgroups

$$
g_{1}, g_{2}, g_{3}, \cdots, g_{N_{\sigma}}
$$

by $\Omega$ is to permute them among themselves. Hence

$$
\Omega^{-1}\left(g_{1}, g_{2}, \cdots, g_{N_{\sigma}}\right) \Omega=\binom{g_{1}, g_{2}, \cdots, g_{N_{\sigma}}}{g_{i_{1}}, g_{i_{2}}, \cdots, g_{i_{N_{\sigma}}}}=J_{\Omega, \sigma}
$$

It follows that $J_{\Omega, \sigma}^{\omega}=1$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{. \sigma}-\rho_{\Omega, \sigma} \equiv 0(\bmod \omega) ; \rho_{\Omega, \sigma} \geqq 1 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next let $\omega=\sigma$. Then $N_{p}=\left(p^{2}-1\right) /(p-1)=p+1$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
p+1-\rho_{\mathbf{a}, p} \equiv 0(\bmod \sigma) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence either $\rho_{\mathrm{a}, p}=0$ or else $\rho_{\mathrm{a}, p} \geqq 2(\omega=q, r)$. Now if the subgroup $Y_{p^{2}}$ of $H_{p^{2} \sigma, i}$ is cyclical the order of its group of isomorphisms is

$$
I=\phi\left(p^{2}\right)=p(p-1)
$$

[^1]If $I_{p^{2}}$ is of type $[1,1]$ its group of isomorphisms is simply isomorphic with the congruence group $\left\{S_{1}, S_{2} \cdots\right\}$ of order $I=p(p-1)^{2}(p+1)$, where $S_{1}$ is

$$
y_{1} \equiv a_{11} x_{1}+a_{12} x_{2}, \quad y_{2} \equiv a_{21} x_{1}+a_{22} x_{2}(\bmod p)
$$

$$
S_{1}=\left(a_{11} x_{1}+a_{12} x_{2}, a_{21} x_{1}+a_{22} x_{2}\right) .
$$

Since $\Omega$ corresponds to an isomorphism of $G,\{\Omega\}$ corresponds to a subgroup of the group of isomorphisms of $G$ and $\omega$ divides $I$. Hence when $I_{p^{2}}$ is cyclical, or when $I_{p^{2}}=[1,1]$ and $p \equiv 1(\sigma), \rho_{\mathrm{\Omega}, p} \geqq 2$. But when $p \equiv-1(\sigma)$ and $p$ is odd, $\rho_{\mathrm{a}, p}=0$. Also since $\rho_{\mathrm{a}, \sigma} \geqq 1, J_{Q, \sigma}$ and $J_{R, \sigma}$ may be permutable. If

$$
S_{2}=\left(b_{11} x_{1}+b_{12} x_{2}, b_{21} x_{1}+b_{22} x_{2}\right)
$$

the necessary and sufficient conditions that $S_{1} S_{2}=S_{2} S_{1}$ are
(3) $\delta_{12}=\left|\begin{array}{cc}a_{12} & b_{12} \\ a_{11}-a_{22} & b_{11}-b_{22}\end{array}\right| \equiv 0, * \quad \delta_{12}^{\prime}=\left|\begin{array}{cc}a_{21} & b_{21} \\ a_{11}-a_{22} & b_{11}-b_{22}\end{array}\right| \equiv 0$,

$$
d_{12}=\left|\begin{array}{ll}
a_{12} & a_{21} \\
b_{12} & b_{21}
\end{array}\right| \equiv 0
$$

$$
\text { § 2. Class }(9,10), p>q>r .
$$

We now consider the groups whose symbol is $(9,10)$, having the maximal subgroups $H_{p^{2} q, i}$ and $H_{p^{2} r, j}(i, j=\mathrm{IV}, \mathrm{V}, \mathrm{VI})$. Since $I_{p^{2}}$ is invariant in $G$ the existence of a subgroup of type IV excludes the possibility of a subgroup of type V or VI, and vice versa. There are thus five cases to consider.
[1] $i=j=\mathrm{IV}$. Here $I_{p^{2}}=\{P\}$ is cyclical and $P$ may be regarded as the. generator of order $p^{2}$ in both $H$-sub-groups. Since $\rho_{Q, r} \geqq 1$, we may choose $\{R\}$ permutable with $Q$ and, since $q>r, Q R=R Q$, so that $G$ is defined by $P^{p^{2}}=Q^{q}=R^{r}=1, \quad Q^{-1} P Q=P^{a}, \quad R^{-1} P R=P^{\beta}, \quad Q R=R G ;$ or for brevity $G=(\alpha: \beta: 1)$, where

$$
\alpha^{q} \equiv 1, \quad \beta^{r} \equiv 1\left(p^{2}\right), \quad p \equiv 1(q r), \quad \tau=1
$$

[2] $i=j=\mathrm{V}$. Let $H_{p^{2} q, i}=\left\{P_{1}^{\prime}, P_{2}^{\prime}, Q\right\}, H_{p^{2}, j}=\left\{P_{1}, P_{2}, R\right\}$, wherein $Q R=R Q$. We may write

$$
\begin{gathered}
R^{-1} P_{1} R=P_{1}^{a}, \quad R^{-1} P_{2} R=P_{2}^{\beta}, \quad a^{r} \equiv 1(p), \quad \beta \equiv \alpha^{\Lambda} . \\
Q^{-1} P_{1} Q=P_{1}^{a_{11}} P_{2}^{a_{21}}, \quad Q^{-1} P_{2} Q=P_{1}^{a_{11}} P_{2}^{a_{2}},
\end{gathered}
$$

and from the permutable isomorphisms of $I_{p^{2}}$

$$
J_{Q}=\binom{P_{1}^{x_{1}} P_{2}^{x_{2}}}{P_{1}^{a_{11} x_{1}+a_{12} x_{2}} P_{2}^{a_{21} x_{1}+a_{22} x_{2}}}, \quad J_{R}=\binom{P_{1}^{x_{1}} P_{2}^{x_{2}}}{P_{1}^{x_{1}} P_{2}^{\beta x_{2}}},
$$

[^2]\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta_{12}=a_{12}(\alpha-\beta) \equiv 0, \quad \delta_{12}^{\prime}=a_{21}(\alpha-\beta) \equiv 0 \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

Reserving for later treatment the ambiguous case $h=1$, we deduce $a_{12} \equiv a_{21} \equiv 0$. Suppose next that

Then

$$
R^{-1} P_{i}^{\prime} R=P_{1}^{b_{1 i}} P_{2}^{b_{2 i}} \quad(i=1,2)
$$

$$
\begin{gather*}
(R Q)^{-1} P_{1}^{\prime}(R Q)=P_{1}^{a_{11} b_{11}} P_{2}^{a_{22} b_{21}}=(Q R)^{-1} P_{1}^{\prime}(Q R)=P_{1}^{\gamma b_{11}} P_{2}^{\gamma_{21} b_{21}} \\
b_{11}\left(a_{11}-\gamma\right) \equiv 0, \quad b_{21}\left(a_{22}-\gamma\right) \equiv 0, \quad \gamma^{q} \equiv 1 \\
b_{12}\left(a_{11}-\delta\right) \equiv 0, \quad b_{22}\left(a_{22}-\delta\right) \equiv 0, \quad \delta \equiv \gamma^{k} \tag{5}
\end{gather*}
$$

Thus when $h \neq 1, k \neq 1$ we have one of the two equivalent results

$$
a_{11} \equiv \gamma, a_{22} \equiv \delta \quad \text { or } \quad a_{11} \equiv \delta, a_{22} \equiv \gamma
$$

In case $h \neq 1, k=1$, the set (5) becomes

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
b_{11}\left(a_{11}-\gamma\right) \equiv 0, & b_{21}\left(a_{22}-\gamma\right) \equiv 0 \\
b_{12}\left(a_{11}-\gamma\right) \equiv 0, & b_{22}\left(a_{22}-\gamma\right) \equiv 0
\end{array}
$$

and there are three possibilities to consider, viz.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{11} \neq \gamma, \quad b_{11} \equiv 0, \quad b_{12} \equiv 0, \quad b_{21} \neq 0, \quad b_{22} \neq \stackrel{i}{0}, \quad a_{22} \equiv \gamma ; \tag{i}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{11} \equiv \gamma, \quad a_{22} \equiv \gamma, \quad b_{21} \equiv b_{22} \equiv 0, \quad b_{11} \neq 0, \quad b_{12} \neq 0 ; \tag{ii}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{11} \equiv \gamma, \quad a_{22} \equiv \gamma \tag{iii}
\end{equation*}
$$

Case (i) implies

$$
\begin{gathered}
R^{-1} P_{1}^{\prime} R=P_{2}^{b_{21}}, \quad R^{-1} P_{2}^{\prime} R=P_{2}^{b_{22}} \\
R^{-1} P_{1}^{\prime b_{22}} R=R^{-1} P_{2}^{\prime b_{21}} R \quad \text { or } \quad P_{1}^{b_{29}}=P_{2}^{b_{21}}
\end{gathered}
$$

contrary to the independence of $P_{1}^{\prime}$ and $P_{2}^{\prime}$. Likewise, case (ii) is excluded. Hence $a_{11} \equiv a_{22} \equiv \gamma$.

In a similar manner, when $h=1, k \neq 1$, we get $a_{11} \equiv a_{22} \equiv \alpha$.
Next let $h=1, k=1$, so that

$$
R^{-1} P_{i} R=P_{i}^{a}, \quad Q^{-1} P_{i} Q=P_{i}^{\gamma} \quad(i=1,2)
$$

One of the operations $P_{1}^{\prime}, P_{2}^{\prime}$ must be independent of $P_{1} . ~ A s \gamma^{q} \equiv 1(\bmod p)$, we may assume that $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}^{\prime}$ are independent. These will generate $I_{p^{2}}$, so that

$$
Q^{-1} P_{1} Q=P_{1}^{a_{11}} P_{2}^{\prime a_{21}}, \quad R^{-1} P_{2}^{\prime} R=P_{1}^{b_{12}} P_{2}^{\prime b_{22}}
$$

The abelian conditions from $J_{Q}$ and $J_{R}$ are [Eq. (3)]

$$
\delta_{12}=b_{12}\left(a_{11}-\delta\right) \equiv 0, \quad \delta_{12}^{\prime}=a_{21}\left(b_{22}-\alpha\right) \equiv 0, \quad d_{12}=a_{21} b_{12} \equiv 0
$$

Thus three possibilities arise, viz.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{21} \equiv 0, \quad b_{12} \equiv 0 \quad a_{11} \equiv \delta \tag{i}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{21} \neq 0, \quad b_{12} \equiv 0, \quad b_{22} \equiv \alpha \tag{ii}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{21} \equiv 0, \quad b_{12} \equiv 0 \tag{iii}
\end{equation*}
$$

For (i), let $P_{1}^{\prime}=P_{1}^{x} P_{2}^{\prime y}, P_{2}=P_{1}^{z} P_{2}^{\prime \prime 0}$, whence

$$
\begin{gathered}
Q^{-1} P_{1}^{\prime} Q=P_{1}^{r \gamma} P_{2}^{\prime y \gamma}=P_{1}^{\delta x} P_{2}^{\prime \delta y} \\
R^{-1} P_{2} R=P_{1}^{z \beta} P_{2}^{w, \beta}=P_{1}^{a z+b_{12} v} P_{2}^{b_{22} w} \\
(\gamma-\delta) x \equiv 0, \quad(\gamma-\delta) y \equiv 0 \\
w\left(b_{22}-\beta\right) \equiv 0, \quad z(\alpha-\beta)+b_{12} w \equiv 0
\end{gathered}
$$

Hence $\gamma \equiv \delta$ and $k=1$; but as $P_{1}, P_{2}$ are independent, $w \neq 0, b_{22} \equiv \beta$, $\alpha \neq \beta$ and $h \neq 1$, contrary to hypothesis. Since (ii) is likewise exclüded, we have $a_{21} \equiv b_{12} \equiv 0$,

$$
\begin{gathered}
Q^{-1} P_{1} Q=P_{1}^{a_{11}}, \quad R^{-1} P_{2}^{\prime} R=P_{2}^{h_{22}} \\
x\left(a_{11}-\gamma\right) \equiv 0, \\
: z(\beta-\alpha) \equiv 0, \quad y(\delta-\gamma) \equiv 0 \\
w\left(b_{22}-\beta\right) \equiv 0
\end{gathered}
$$

where $x \neq 0, w \neq 0$. Hence when $\alpha \equiv \beta, \delta \equiv \gamma$ there results $a_{11} \equiv \gamma$, $b_{22} \equiv \alpha$. We are thus led to a single set of defining relations:

$$
\begin{gathered}
P_{1}^{p}=P_{2}^{p}=Q^{q}=R^{r}=1, \quad P_{1} P_{2}=P_{2} P_{1}, \quad Q^{-1} P_{1} Q=P_{1}^{\gamma} \\
Q^{-1} P_{2} Q=P_{\gamma^{k}}^{P^{k}}, \quad R^{-1} P_{1} R=P_{1}^{2}, \quad R^{-1} P_{2} R=P_{2}^{a^{h}}, \quad R Q=Q R \\
\alpha^{r} \equiv 1(p), \quad \gamma^{\eta} \equiv 1(p) \quad(h=1,2, \cdots, r-1 ; k=1,2, \cdots, q-1)
\end{gathered}
$$

or, briefly, say $G=\left(1: \gamma 0: 0 \gamma^{h}: \alpha 0: 0 \alpha^{h}: 1\right)$. Proceeding to the determination of $\tau$ we observe that there are, by hypothesis, two subgroups, $\left\{P_{1}\right\},\left\{P_{2}\right\}$, both permutable with $Q$ and $R$. In any isomorphism of $G$ with itself either $\left\{P_{1}\right\} \sim\left\{P_{2}\right\},\left\{P_{2}\right\} \sim\left\{P_{1}\right\}$ or else $\left\{P_{1}\right\} \sim\left\{P_{1}\right\},\left\{P_{2}\right\} \sim\left\{P_{2}\right\}$. Hence there are two choices of generators of order $p$. Every element of $G$ is of the form $\Omega=R^{x} Q^{y} P_{1}^{u} P_{2}^{v}$. Hence $\Omega^{s}=R^{s x} Q^{v y} P_{1}^{u_{s}} P_{2}^{c_{c}}$, so that $\Omega$ is of order $r$ only when $y \equiv 0(\bmod q)$ and of order $q$ when $x \equiv 0(\bmod r)$. Thus the most general operator of order $q$ is $Q_{0}^{\prime}=Q^{y} P_{1}^{n} P_{2}^{v}$, which transforms $G$ in the same manner as $Q_{0}=Q^{y}$. Similarly $R_{0}=R^{x}$. Employing the new generators $R_{0}, Q_{0}$, $P_{1_{0}}=P_{1}, P_{2_{0}}=P_{2}$, we get

$$
\left(1: \gamma 0: 0 \gamma^{k}: \alpha 0: 0 \alpha^{h}: 1\right) \sim\left(1: \gamma^{y} 0: 0 \gamma^{k y}: \alpha^{x} 0: 0 \alpha^{k x}: 1\right)
$$

Hence any set of relations involving arbitrary primitive roots ( $\alpha^{n}, \gamma^{\prime \prime}$ ) can be transformed into the original set. Next let $P_{10}=P_{2}, P_{20}=P_{1}$. Then

$$
\left(1: \gamma 0: 0 \gamma^{k}: \alpha 0: 0 \alpha^{h}: 1\right) \sim\left(1: \gamma^{k y} 0: 0 \gamma^{y}: \alpha^{h x} 0: 0 \alpha^{x}: 1\right)
$$

if

$$
\begin{equation*}
k y \equiv 1(\bmod q), \quad h x \equiv 1(\bmod r) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The group characterized by [ $h, k$ ] is thus isomorphic with [ $x, y$ ] when (6) is satisfied. Further $\tau$ equals the number of distinct solutions of (6), e. g., when $r=2, \tau=\frac{1}{2}(q+1)$, and when $r$ is odd, $\tau=\frac{1}{4}(q r+q+r+1)$.
[3] $i=\mathrm{VI}, j=\mathrm{V}$. When $h \neq 1$ we have $Q^{-1} P_{j} Q=P_{j}^{a_{j}}(j=1,2)$. Assuming that

$$
R^{-1} P_{1}^{\prime} R=P_{1}^{x} P_{2}^{y}, \quad R^{-1} P_{2}^{\prime} R=P_{1}^{z} P_{2}^{10}
$$

we derive

$$
\begin{aligned}
a_{11} x-z \equiv 0, & x-\left(\iota^{p}+\iota-a_{11}\right) z \equiv 0 \\
a_{22} y-w \equiv 0, & y-\left(\iota^{p}+\iota-a_{22}\right) w \equiv 0
\end{aligned}
$$

The elimination of $x, y, z, w$ gives

$$
a_{j j}^{2}-\left(\iota^{p}+\iota\right) a_{j j}+1 \equiv 0 \quad(j=1,2)
$$

whence $a_{j j}=\iota^{p}$ or $\iota$. Hence $a_{11}, a_{22}$ are galoisian imaginaries* and $G$, for $i=\mathrm{VI}, j=\mathrm{V}$, does not exist.

Before considering the ambiguous case $h=1$ a few general results must be 'established.

Let $S$ and $T$ be any set of generators of $I_{p^{2}}$, so that $G=\{S, T, Q, R\}$. We may write

$$
\begin{gathered}
P_{1}^{\prime}=S^{x} T^{y}, \quad P_{2}^{\prime}=S^{z} T^{\iota 0} \\
Q^{-1} S Q=S^{a_{11}} T^{a_{21}}, \quad Q^{-1} T Q=S^{a_{12}} T^{a_{22}} \\
Q^{-1} P_{1}^{\prime} Q=P_{2}^{\prime}=S^{z} T^{w}=S^{a_{11} x+a_{12} y} T^{a_{21} x+a_{22} y} \\
Q^{-1} P_{2}^{\prime} Q=P_{1}^{\prime-1} P_{2}^{\prime \iota+\iota}=S^{-x+(\iota p+\iota) z} T^{-y+(\iota p+\iota) w}=S^{a_{11} z+a_{12} w} T^{a_{21} z+a_{22} w}
\end{gathered}
$$

Hence
whence results the eliminant

$$
D=\left|\begin{array}{cccc}
x & y & z & w \\
a_{11} & a_{12} & -1 & 0 \\
a_{21} & a_{22} & 0 & -1 \\
1 & 0 & a_{11}-t & a_{12} \\
0 & 1 & a_{21} & a_{22}-t
\end{array}\right| \equiv 0(\bmod p)
$$

where $t=\iota^{p}+\iota$. Its expansion gives

$$
D_{12}^{2}-t\left(a_{11}+a_{22}-t\right) D_{12}+a_{22}^{2}-a_{11}^{2}+t\left(a_{11}-a_{22}\right)+2 a_{12} a_{21}+1 \equiv 0
$$

Now assume $S=P_{1}$. Then, since $p \equiv-1(\bmod q), \rho_{Q, p}=0$ and we may take $Q^{-1} P_{1} Q \equiv U$ as $T$. Then

[^3]\[

$$
\begin{gathered}
J_{Q}=\binom{P_{1}^{x_{1}} U^{x_{2}}}{P_{1}^{a_{11} x_{2}} U^{x_{1}+a_{22} x_{2}}}, J_{Q}^{q}=1 \\
D_{12}^{q}=\left|\begin{array}{cc}
0 & a_{12} \\
1 & a_{22}
\end{array}\right|^{q} \equiv\left(-a_{12}\right)^{q} \equiv 1(\bmod p)
\end{gathered}
$$
\]

Now - $a_{12}$ cannot be a primitive root of this congruence; for, if so $p \equiv 1(\bmod q), \quad$ whereas $p \equiv-1(\bmod q)$ and $q>r$. It follows that $a_{12} \equiv-1(\bmod p)$ and

$$
D \equiv\left(a_{22}-t\right)^{2} \equiv 0, a_{22} \equiv t \equiv \iota^{p}+\iota
$$

This gives $I_{p^{2}}=\left\{P_{1} U\right\}$ and

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
Q^{-1} P_{1} Q=U, & Q^{-1} U Q=P_{1}^{-1} U^{\iota p+\iota}, \\
R^{-1} P_{1} R=P_{1}^{a}, & R^{-1} U R=P_{1}^{\xi} U^{\eta},  \tag{7}\\
\delta_{12}=\left|\begin{array}{cc}
-1 & \xi \\
-\iota^{p}-\iota & \alpha-\eta
\end{array}\right| \equiv 0, \quad \delta_{12}^{\prime}=\left|\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
-\iota^{p}-\iota & a-\eta
\end{array}\right| \equiv 0,
\end{array}
$$

and thus, when $h=1, \eta \equiv \alpha, \xi \equiv 0(\bmod p)$.
Inversely let $P_{2}=P_{1}^{\xi^{\prime}} U^{\eta^{\prime}}$. Then

$$
R^{-1} P_{2} R=P_{1}^{\xi^{\prime} a^{\Lambda}} U^{\eta^{\prime} a^{\kappa}}=P_{1}^{\xi^{\prime} a} U^{\eta^{\prime} a}
$$

and hence $h=1$. Thus when $h=1$ there exists a group

$$
G=\left\{P_{1}, U, Q, R\right\}=\left(1: 01:-1 \iota^{p}+\iota: \alpha 0: 0 \alpha: 1\right)
$$

where $\alpha^{r} \equiv 1(p), p \equiv 1(r), \tau=1 . \quad$ Also $p \equiv-1(\bmod q)$ and, in the $G F\left[p^{2}\right], \iota^{q} \equiv 1(\bmod p)$.
[4] $i=V, j=V I$. Since $r$ is necessarily an odd prime, the argument of [3] again gives for $G$ a single type, $G=\left(1: \gamma 0: 0 \gamma: 01:-1 \iota^{p}+\iota: 1\right)$, with $\gamma^{q} \equiv 1(p), p \equiv 1(q), \tau=1 . \quad$ Likewise $p \equiv-1(\bmod r) ;$ and $\iota^{r} \equiv 1(\bmod p)$ in the $G F\left[p^{2}\right]$.
[5] $i=\mathrm{VI}, j=\mathrm{VI}$. Employing as in [3] the theory of the determinant $D$ we are led to the same equations (7), viz.,

$$
Q^{-1} P_{1} Q=U, \quad Q^{-1} U Q=P_{1}^{-1} U^{t_{1}^{p}+\iota_{1}}, \quad \iota_{1}^{q} \equiv 1(p)
$$

Let us assume that

Then

$$
R^{-1} P_{1} R=P_{2}=P_{1}^{x} U^{y}, \quad R^{-1} U R=P_{1}^{z} U^{w}
$$

$$
\delta_{12}=\left|\begin{array}{cc}
-1 & z \\
-\iota_{1}^{p}-\iota_{1} & x-w
\end{array}\right| \equiv 0, \quad \delta_{12}^{\prime}=\left|\begin{array}{cc}
1 & y \\
-\iota_{1}^{p}-\iota_{1} & x-w
\end{array}\right| \equiv 0
$$

$$
d_{12}=\left|\begin{array}{cc}
-1 & 1 \\
z & y
\end{array}\right| \equiv 0, \quad D_{12}=\left|\begin{array}{cc}
x & z \\
y & w
\end{array}\right| \not \equiv 0 .
$$

Thus

$$
z \equiv-y, \quad w \equiv x+\left(\iota_{1}^{p}+\iota_{1}\right) y, \quad D_{12} \equiv x^{2}+\left(\iota_{1}^{p}+\iota_{1}\right) x y+y^{2} .
$$

Since

$$
R^{-1} P_{2} R=P_{1}^{-1} P_{2}^{p_{2}^{p}+\iota_{2}}, \quad \iota_{2}^{r} \equiv 1(p),
$$

so that

$$
R^{-1} U^{y} R=P_{1}^{-y^{2}} U^{x y+\left(c_{1}^{p}+\iota\right) y^{2}}=P_{1}^{-\left(x^{2}+1\right)+\left(\iota_{2}^{p}+\iota 2\right) x} U^{-x y+\left(c_{2}^{p}+\iota\right) y} .
$$

Since $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$ are independent, $y \neq 0$; hence

$$
\begin{gather*}
2 x+\left(\iota_{1}^{p}+\iota_{1}\right) y-\left(\iota_{2}^{p}+\iota_{2}\right) \equiv 0  \tag{8}\\
y^{2}-x^{2}+\left(\iota_{2}^{p}+\iota_{2}\right) x-1 \equiv 0 \tag{9}
\end{gather*}
$$

From the latter we at once derive

$$
\begin{gather*}
D_{12}=x^{2}+\left(\iota_{1}^{p}+\iota_{1}\right) x y+y^{2} \equiv 1, \\
\left(\iota_{2}-\iota_{1}^{2} \iota_{2}\right)^{2} x^{2}-\left(1-\iota_{1}^{2}\right)\left(\iota_{2}-\iota_{2}^{3}\right) x+\left(1-\iota_{1}^{2} \iota_{2}^{2}\right)\left(\iota_{2}^{2}-\iota_{1}^{2}\right) \equiv 0,  \tag{10}\\
\left(\iota_{1}-\iota_{1}^{p}\right)^{2} y^{2}-\left(\iota_{2}-\iota_{2}^{p}\right)^{2} \equiv 0 . \tag{11}
\end{gather*}
$$

There always exist integral solutions of (10) and (11), $x=\epsilon_{j}, y=\sigma_{j}(j=1,2)$. Thus

$$
R^{-1} P_{1} R=P_{1}^{\epsilon_{1}+\left(c_{1}^{p}+\iota_{1}\right) \sigma_{j}} U^{-\sigma_{j}}, \quad R^{-1} U R=P_{1}^{\sigma_{j}} U^{\iota_{j}} .
$$

Theorem. The two general types of $G$ characterized by the two distinct sets of solutions of (10) and (11), viz. $\left[\epsilon_{1}, \sigma_{1}\right]$ and $\left[\epsilon_{2}, \sigma_{2}\right]$ are simply isomorphic.

In proof, $\sigma_{2} \equiv-\sigma_{1}$, and congruence (8) gives

$$
2 \epsilon_{2}-\left(\iota_{1}^{p}+\iota_{1}\right) \sigma_{1}-\left(\iota_{2}^{p}+\iota_{2}\right) \equiv 0, \quad \epsilon_{2} \equiv \epsilon_{1}+\left(\iota_{1}^{p}+\iota_{1}\right) \sigma_{1} .
$$

Hence the two types of $G$ are characterized by

$$
R^{-1} P_{1} R=P_{1}^{\varepsilon_{1}+\left(\rho_{1}^{p}+\iota_{1}\right) \sigma_{1}} U^{-\sigma_{1}}, \quad R^{-1} U R=P_{1}^{\sigma_{1}} U^{\epsilon_{1}}
$$

and

$$
R^{-1} P_{1} R=P_{1}^{\mathrm{e}_{1}} U^{\sigma_{1}}, \quad R^{-1} U R=P_{1}^{-\sigma_{1}} U^{\mathbb{e}_{1}+\left(c_{1}^{+}+\iota_{1}\right) \sigma_{1}}
$$

Let us select a new operation of order $q$ from $\{Q\}$, e. g. $Q^{\prime}=Q^{-1}$. Then $Q^{\prime} R=R Q^{\prime}, Q^{\prime-1} U Q^{\prime}=P_{1}$,

$$
Q^{\prime-1} P_{1} Q^{\prime}=U^{r_{1}} P_{1}^{r_{2}}=U^{-1} P_{1}^{1_{1}^{p}+\iota_{1}}, \quad r_{j}=\frac{\iota_{1}^{(q-j) p}-\iota_{1}^{q-j}}{\iota_{1}^{p}-\iota_{1}}
$$

The result of selecting $Q^{\prime}$ and $\left(\epsilon_{2}, \sigma_{2}\right)$ is thus to interchange $P_{1}$ and $U$ and to reproduce the relations given by $Q$ and $\left(\epsilon_{1}, \sigma_{1}\right)$. Hence $\left[\epsilon_{2}, \sigma_{2}\right] \sim\left[\epsilon_{1}, \sigma_{1}\right]$.

The quantities $t_{1}$ and $t_{2}$ are marks of the $G F\left[p^{2}\right]$ and in that field appertain
respectively to the exponents $q$ and $r$. Let $\rho$ be any primitive root in the $G F\left[p^{2}\right]$. It is easy to show that $\tau=1$ and hence we may select*
thus

$$
\iota_{1} \equiv \rho^{\left(p^{p}-1\right) / q}, \quad \iota_{2} \equiv \rho^{\left(p^{2}-1\right) / r},
$$

,

$$
G=\left(1: 01:-1, \iota_{1}^{p}+\iota_{1}: \epsilon+\left(\iota_{1}^{p}+\iota_{1}\right) \sigma,-\sigma: \sigma \epsilon: 1\right),
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
\iota_{1} \equiv \rho^{\left(p^{2-1}\right) / q}, \iota_{2} \equiv \rho^{\left(p^{2}-1\right) / r}, \rho^{p^{2-1}} \equiv 1 ; \quad p \equiv-1(\bmod q r), \tau=1, \\
\left(\iota_{1}-\iota_{1}^{p}\right)^{2} \sigma^{2}-\left(\iota_{2}-\iota_{2}^{p}\right)^{2} \equiv 0, \quad 2 \epsilon+\left(\iota_{1}^{p}+\iota_{1}\right) \sigma-\left(\iota_{2}^{p}+\iota_{2}\right) \equiv 0 .
\end{gathered}
$$

§ 3. The generating function $[k]$.
Consider the relation $R^{-s} P_{1} R^{z}=P_{1}^{u_{s}} U^{v_{0}} \quad$ From it

$$
\begin{gathered}
u_{x+1}-\left(2 x+t_{1} y\right) u_{s}+\left(x^{2}+t_{1} x y+y^{2}\right) u_{x-1} \equiv 0, \\
u_{x+1}-t_{2} u_{s}+u_{x-1} \equiv 0 \quad\left(t_{j}=t_{j}^{p}+y ; j=1,2\right),
\end{gathered}
$$

These recurring formulæ give
where

$$
u_{k} \equiv[k]_{2} x-[k-1]_{2}, \quad v_{k}=[k]_{2} y
$$

$$
[k]_{j} \equiv \frac{l_{j}^{k_{j} p}-l_{i}^{k}}{\iota_{j}^{p}-\iota_{j}} .
$$

Following are some of the properties of the generating function $[k]_{j}$.

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{[k+1]_{j}}{[k]_{j}}=\frac{1}{t_{j}}+\frac{1}{t_{j}}+\frac{1}{t_{j}}+\cdots k \text { terms, }  \tag{12}\\
{[k]_{j}^{2}-[k+1]_{j}[k-1]_{j}-1 \equiv 0,}  \tag{13}\\
{[0]_{j} \equiv 0, \quad[1]_{j} \equiv 1, \quad[-k]_{j} \equiv-[k]_{j},}  \tag{14}\\
{[k+1]_{j} \equiv[2]_{j}[k]_{j}-[k-1]_{j},}  \tag{15}\\
\left\{[k+1]_{j}-[k-1]_{j}-[2]_{j}\right\} c_{j}^{k} \equiv\left(c_{j}^{k+1}-1\right)\left(c_{j}^{k-1}-1\right) . \tag{16}
\end{gather*}
$$

§4. Class (10), $p>q>r$.
We shall consider next groups possessing a single maximal self-conjugate subgroup $H_{p^{2}, i, i}$ of non-abelian type ( $i=\mathrm{III}, \mathrm{IV}, \mathrm{V}, \mathrm{VI}$ ). It is readily shown that class $(10,12)$, with $i=I I I$, must contain an invariant subgroup $H_{p^{2}}$. Class (10) remains to be considered.
[1] $i=$ IV. Here $H_{p^{2} q, \text { IV }}=\{P . Q\}$ and since $\{P\}$ is self-conjugate in $G, R^{-1} P R=P^{\beta}$. Since $\rho_{R, q} \geqq 1$ [Eq. (1)], $R^{-1} Q R=Q^{\gamma}$. Hence

$$
\begin{gathered}
(Q R)^{-1} P(Q R)=P^{a \beta}=\left(R Q^{\gamma}\right)^{-1} P\left(R Q^{\gamma}\right)=P^{\beta a^{\gamma},} \quad \alpha^{q} \equiv 1\left(p^{2}\right), \\
\alpha \beta\left(\alpha^{\gamma-1}-1\right) \equiv 0\left(\bmod p^{2}\right), \quad \gamma \equiv 1(\bmod q) .
\end{gathered}
$$
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Hence $\left\{P_{1}, P_{2}, R\right\}$ is self-conjugate in $\left\{P_{1}, P_{2}, Q, R\right\}=G$, contrary to hypothesis.
[2] $i=\mathrm{V}$. Let $H_{p^{2}, \mathrm{v}}=\left\{P_{1}^{\prime}, P_{2}, Q\right\}$. Assuming that
we deduce

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
R^{-1} P_{1}^{\prime} R=P_{1}^{a_{11}} P_{2}^{a_{21}}, & R^{-1} P_{2} R=P_{1}^{a_{12}} P_{2}^{a_{21}}, \\
a_{11} \alpha\left(\alpha^{\gamma-1}-1\right) \equiv 0, & a_{21}\left(\beta^{\gamma}-\alpha\right) \equiv 0, \\
a_{22} \beta\left(\beta^{\gamma-1}-1\right) \equiv 0, & a_{12}\left(\alpha^{\gamma}-\beta\right) \equiv 0,
\end{array}
$$

where $\alpha^{q} \equiv 1(p), \beta \equiv \alpha^{n}$. Now $\gamma \neq 1(\bmod q)$. Hence

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
a_{11} \equiv 0, & a_{22} \equiv 0, & \alpha^{\gamma h} \equiv \alpha, & \alpha^{\gamma} \equiv \alpha^{h}(\bmod p) \\
\gamma \equiv h(\bmod q), & \alpha^{2} \equiv \alpha(\bmod p), & \gamma^{2} \equiv 1(\bmod q)
\end{array}
$$

But $\gamma$ appertains to the exponent $r$ modulo $q$, and therefore $r=2$ and $\gamma \equiv-1(\bmod q)$. Thus

$$
R^{-1} P_{1}^{\prime} R=P_{2}^{a_{11}}, \quad R^{-1} P_{2} R=P_{1}^{a_{11}}, \quad a_{12} a_{21} \equiv 1(\bmod p) .
$$

Then $P_{1}=P_{1}^{\prime a}, P_{2}, Q, R$, generate a group of order $2 p^{2} q$, viz., $G=\left(1: \alpha 0: 0 \alpha^{q-1}: 01: 10:-1\right)$. Also $p \equiv 1(q), \tau=1$.
[3] $i=\mathrm{VI} . ~ I t ~ h a s ~ b e e n ~ s h o w n ~[§ 1], ~ t h a t ~ p \equiv \pm 1(\bmod r)$.
(a) First let $p \equiv 1(r)$. Then $\rho_{R, p} \geqq 2$ and two subgroups $\left\{P_{1}\right\},\left\{P_{3}\right\}$ may be selected which are permutable with $R$. If

$$
Q^{-1} P_{1} Q=P_{2}, \quad Q^{-1} P_{2} Q=P_{1}^{-1} P_{2}^{1, p+\iota},
$$

then

$$
R^{-1} P_{1} R=P_{1}^{\beta}, \quad R^{-1} Q R=Q^{\gamma}, \quad \gamma \neq 1(\bmod q) .
$$

Since $I_{p^{2}}$ is invariant in $G$ we may assume that

$$
P_{3}=P_{1}^{z} P_{2}^{w}, \quad R^{-1} P_{2} R=P_{1}^{x} P_{2}^{y},
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{gathered}
(Q R)^{-1} P_{1}(Q R)=P_{1}^{x} P_{2}^{y}=\left(R Q^{\gamma}\right)^{-1} R_{1}\left(R Q^{\gamma}\right)=P_{1}^{-\beta[\gamma-1]} P_{2}^{\beta[\gamma]}, \\
(Q R)^{-1} P_{2}(Q R)=P_{1}^{-\beta+[2] x} P_{2}^{[2] y}=\left(R Q^{\gamma}\right)^{-1} P_{2}\left(R Q^{\gamma}\right)=P_{1}^{-[\gamma-1] x-[\gamma] y} P_{2}^{[\gamma] x+[\gamma+1] y}, \\
x \equiv-[\gamma-1] \beta, \quad y \equiv[\gamma] \beta, \\
{[\gamma]^{2} \equiv[\gamma-1]^{2}+[2][\gamma-1]+1,} \\
{[\gamma]\{[\gamma+1]-[\gamma-1]-[2]\} \equiv 0 .}
\end{gathered}
$$

Now $[\gamma]$ 丰 $0(\bmod q)$. Since $[-k] \equiv-[k]$ and

$$
[\gamma+1]-[\gamma-1]-[2] \equiv\left(\iota^{\gamma+1}-1\right)\left(\iota^{\gamma-1}-1\right) \equiv 0[\text { Eq. }(16)]
$$

there results $\gamma \equiv-1(\bmod q), \gamma^{r} \equiv(-1)^{r} \equiv+1(\bmod q)$, whence $r=2$. If $R^{-1} P_{3} R=P_{3}^{a}$, then $\alpha \equiv \pm 1(\bmod p)$,

$$
\begin{gathered}
w(y \mp 1) \equiv 0, \quad x w+z(\beta \mp 1) \equiv 0 \\
w(-\beta \mp 1) \equiv 0, \quad[2] \beta w+z(\beta \mp 1) \equiv 0
\end{gathered}
$$

First let the upper sign hold. If $\beta \equiv 1$, then $w \equiv 0$ which is impossible, since $P_{1}, P_{3}$ are independent. Hence $\beta \equiv-1, x \equiv-[2], y \equiv+[1] \equiv+1$. Likewise if we use the lower sign, $\beta \equiv+1, x \equiv+[2], y \equiv-[1] \equiv-1$. We thus obtain the two sets of defining relations:

$$
\left(1: 01:-1 \iota^{p}+\iota: \mp 10: \iota^{* p}+\iota^{\mp 1}, \pm 1:-1\right) .
$$

To determine $\tau$, let $Q_{0}=Q^{x}, R_{0}=R, P_{1_{0}}=P_{1}, P_{2_{0}}=P_{1}^{-[x-1]} P_{2}^{[x]}$; there results
$\left\{P_{1_{0}}, P_{2_{0}}, Q_{0}, R_{9}\right\}=\left(1: 01:-1 i^{x p}+i^{x}: \mp 10: \pm[x-1] \mp[2][x], \pm[x]:-1\right)$.
But

$$
\pm[x-1] \mp[2][x] \equiv \mp[x+1] \equiv \mp\left(\iota^{x p}+\iota^{x}\right) \mp[x-1]
$$

[Eq. (15)]. Hence
$\left\{P_{1_{0}}, P_{2_{0}}, Q_{0}, R_{0}\right\}=\left(1: 01:-1 \iota^{x p}+\iota^{x}: \mp 10: \mp\left(\iota^{x p}+\iota^{x}\right), \pm 1:-1\right) \sim G$.
Thus the same defining relations are reproduced with $\iota$ replaced by $\iota^{x}$, and so $\tau=1$.

It will now be proved that these two types are simply isomorphic. Select new operators as follows:

$$
q_{1}=Q, r_{1}=R, p_{1}=P_{1}^{a} P_{2}^{b}, p_{2}=P_{1}^{-b} P_{2}^{a+[2] b}=q_{1}^{-1} p_{1} q_{1}
$$

Then using the first set of defining relations we will have
if

$$
\begin{gathered}
q_{1}^{-1} p_{2} q_{1}=p_{1}^{-1} p_{2}^{\iota p+\iota}, r_{1}^{-1} p_{1} r_{1}=p_{1}, r_{1}^{-1} p_{2} r_{1}=p_{1}^{\iota p+\iota} p_{2}^{-1}, r_{1}^{-1} q_{1} r_{1}=q_{1}^{-1} \\
2 a+[2] b \equiv 0(\bmod p)
\end{gathered}
$$

Hence when a new operator $p_{1}=P_{1}^{a} P_{2}^{b}$ is selected, where $a$ and $b$ are solutions of $2 a+\left(\iota^{\nu}+\iota\right) b \equiv 0(\bmod p)$, the first type is transformed into the second. They are therefore isomorphic.
(b) When $p \equiv-1(r), r$ odd, $\rho_{R, p}=0$. As before, we deduce

$$
\begin{array}{rll}
Q^{-1} P_{1} Q=P_{2}, & Q^{-1} P_{\Sigma} Q=P_{1}^{-1} P_{2}^{2_{1}^{p}+\iota_{1}}, & \iota_{1}^{q} \equiv 1(p) \\
R^{-1} P_{1} R=P_{3}, & R^{-1} P_{3} R=P_{1}^{-1} P_{3}^{l_{2}^{p}+\iota_{2}}, & \iota_{2}^{r} \equiv 1(p)
\end{array}
$$

Let $P_{3}=P_{1}^{x} P_{2}^{y}$ and $R^{-1} P_{2} R=P_{4}=P_{1}^{x} P_{2}^{x}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
R^{-1} P_{2}^{y} R=P_{1}^{-\left(x^{2}+1\right)+[2]_{2} x} P_{2}^{y[2]_{2}-y x}=P_{1}^{-[y-1]_{1} x y-[y]_{1} y^{2}} P^{[y]_{1} x y+[y+1]_{1} y^{2}} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

In addition to the latter, but not independent of them, we have the congruences derived from

$$
\begin{equation*}
(Q R)^{-1} P_{2}^{y}(Q R)=\left(R Q^{\prime}\right)^{-1} P_{2}^{y}\left(R Q^{\prime}\right) \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

The equations (17) and (18) give us the dialytic eliminant

$$
\Delta_{12}=\left\{\iota_{2}^{p}+\iota_{2}\right\}\left\{[\gamma]_{1}^{2}-\left(c_{2}^{2 p}+\iota_{2}^{2}\right)[\gamma]_{1}+1\right\}\left\{\left(c_{1}^{\gamma+1}-1\right)\left(c_{1}^{\gamma-1}-1\right)\right\}^{2} \equiv 0 .
$$

Now $[\gamma]_{1}$ is an integer, and since $r \neq 2$, and $\gamma \neq 1$, it follows that $\gamma \equiv 1(\bmod q)$, contrary to hypothesis. Hence when $p \equiv-1(\bmod r)$ and $r$ is odd, no corresponding group $G$ exists.

The results of this section may be summarized in the following
Theorem. A group $G_{p^{2} q r}(p>q>r)$ always contains a maximal selfconjugate subgroup $H$ of order $p^{2} q$. If $H$ is the only maximal invariant subgroup of $G$ and if $r$ is odd, then $N_{q}=1$ and $H$ is necessarily abelian. If $r$ is even $(r=2)$ and $p \equiv 1(\bmod q)$ there exists one type whose subgroup $H_{p^{\prime} q}$ is non-abelian, and if $r$ is even and $p \equiv-1(\bmod q)$ there exists a second type possessing a non-abelian $H_{p^{\prime} q}$. These two types of $G$ contain respectively $q$ and $p q$ operators (and subgroups) of order 2, and in each type $N_{q}=p^{2}$. Moreover, with exception of the two types just described, every group of order $p^{2} q r(p>q>r)$, in which $N_{r}=0(\bmod q)$, possesses an abelian maximal self-conjugate subgroup $H_{p^{1} q}$.

A general summary of all the existent types of $G$ follows. Except for $\iota$ and $\rho$, every parameter occurring in the tables is an integer ; while $\iota$ and $\rho$ are marks of the $G \boldsymbol{F}\left[\boldsymbol{p}^{2}\right]$. See footnote on the second page of the paper.

Case (b). $\quad R^{-1} Q R=\boldsymbol{Q}^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}} ; \boldsymbol{r}^{\prime} \equiv \mathbf{1}(q)$.

| Clmas. | $Q^{-1} P_{1} Q$ | $Q^{-1} P_{2} \mathcal{L}$ |  | $E^{-1} P_{8} R$ | Parameters. | Arith. rel. | $\tau$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| [258101112] | $P_{1}$ | $P_{2}$ | $P_{1}$ | $P_{2}$ | $h=1$ | $q=$ | 1 |
| " | $P_{1}$ |  | $P_{1}$ |  | $h=1$ | $q=1(r)$ | 1 |
| [56101112] | $P_{1}$ | $\boldsymbol{P}_{2}$ | $P_{\text {a }}$ | $P_{3}$ | $\begin{gathered} h=1,2 \ldots r-1 \\ \alpha^{r}=1(p) \end{gathered}$ | $p \equiv q \equiv 1(r)$ | $r-1$ |
| [101112] | $\boldsymbol{P}_{1}$ |  | $P_{1}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} h=1,2 \cdots r-1 \\ a^{r} \equiv 1\left(p^{2}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $p \equiv q \equiv 1(r)$ | $r-1$ |
| " | $\boldsymbol{P}_{1}$ | $P_{3}$ | $P_{1}$ | $\boldsymbol{P}_{2}{ }^{\boldsymbol{k}}$ | $\begin{gathered} h, k=1,2 \cdots r-1 \\ \alpha^{r} \equiv 1(p) \end{gathered}$ | $p \equiv q \equiv 1(r)$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} 1 \text { or } \\ \frac{1}{2}\left(r^{2}-1\right) \end{gathered}\right.$ |
| " | $\boldsymbol{P}_{1}$ | $\boldsymbol{P}_{2}$ | $\boldsymbol{P}_{2}$ | ${ }_{1}^{-1} P_{2}^{p+c}$ | $\begin{gathered} h=1,2 \cdots r-1 \\ i^{r}=1(p) \end{gathered}$ | $p \equiv-q \equiv-1(r)$ | $r-1$ |
| [10] | $P_{1}$ | $P_{2}{ }^{\text {ar-1 }}$ | $\boldsymbol{P}_{2}$ | $P_{1}$ | $\begin{gathered} h=1, y=-1 \\ a^{q}=1(p) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} r=2 \\ p=1(q) \end{gathered}$ | 1 |
| " | $\boldsymbol{P}_{2}$ | $\boldsymbol{P}_{1}^{-1} \boldsymbol{P}_{2}^{\text {ap+ }}$ | $P_{1}^{-1}$ | $\boldsymbol{P}_{1}^{\text {co+ }}{ }^{-1} \boldsymbol{P}_{2}$ | $\begin{gathered} h=1, \gamma \equiv-1 \\ c^{q} \equiv 1(p) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} r=2 \\ p \equiv-1(q) \end{gathered}$ | 1 |

Table 2. $q>p>r$.
$I_{2}$ non-cyclical ; $P_{i}^{p}=Q^{q}=R^{r}=1(i=1,2), P_{1} P_{2}=P_{2} P_{1}, R P_{2}=P_{2} R$, $I_{r^{2}}$ cyclical ; $P_{1}^{p_{1}^{2}}=Q^{c}=R^{r}=1, R P_{1}=P_{1} R$.

| Clase. | $P_{1}^{-1} Q_{1}$ | $P_{2}^{-1} Q_{2}$ | $R^{-1} Q^{2}$ | $E^{-1} P_{1} R$ | Parameters. | Arith. Rel. | ז |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| [1234561112] | $Q^{*}$ |  | $Q$ | $P_{1}$ | $a^{p} \equiv 1(q)$ | $q \equiv 1(p)$ | 1 |
| [12511] | $Q^{*}$ | - | $\boldsymbol{Q}$ | $P_{1}$ | $a^{p}{ }^{2}=1(q)$ | $q=1\left(p^{2}\right)$ | 1 |
| [1112] | $Q^{*}$ | - | $Q^{\prime \prime}$ | $P_{1}$ | $\alpha^{p}{ }^{\text {\# }} \gamma^{\prime}=1(q)$ | $q \equiv 1(p r)$ | 1 |
| [11] | $Q^{*}$ |  | $Q^{\prime}$ | $P_{1}$ |  | $q \equiv 1\left(p^{3} r\right)$ | 1 |
| [1251112] | $Q$ | $Q^{-}$ | $Q$ | $P_{1}$ | $a^{p} \equiv 1(q)$ | $q \equiv 1(p)$ | 1 |
| [2511 12] | $Q$ | $Q^{*}$ | $Q^{*}$ | $P_{1}$ | $\gamma^{p} \equiv \alpha^{\prime}=1(q)$ | $q=1(p r)$ | 1 |
| [4561112] | $Q$ | $Q^{*}$ | $Q$ | $P_{1}^{\text {s }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & a^{p} \equiv 1(q) \\ & \delta^{r} \equiv 1(p) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & q \equiv 1(p) \\ & p \equiv 1(r) \end{aligned}$ | 1 |
| [581112] | $Q$ | $Q^{*}$ | $Q^{*}$ | $P_{1}^{8}$ | $\begin{gathered} \alpha^{p} \equiv \gamma^{\gamma} \equiv 1(q) \\ \delta^{\prime} \equiv 1(p) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} q \equiv 1(p r) \\ p \equiv 1(r) \end{gathered}$ | $r-1$ |

Table 3. $q>r>p$.
Case (a).
$I_{p^{2}}$ non-cyclical ; $P_{i}^{p}=Q^{q}=R^{r}=1(i=1,2), P_{1} P_{2}=P_{2} P_{1}, \quad R Q=Q R$, $I_{p^{2}}$ cyclical $; \quad P_{1}^{p^{2}}=Q^{q}=R^{r}=1, \quad Q R=R Q$.


Case (b). The simple group $G_{551}, p=2, q=5, r=3$.

$$
Q^{5}=1, \quad P^{2}=1, \quad(Q P)^{3}=1, \quad[R=Q P] .
$$
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