NEW PROPERTIES OF ALL REAL FUNCTIONS *

BY

HENRY BLUMBERG

INTRODUCTION

In a former paper† the author communicated a number of properties of every real function $f(x)$; these were stated in terms of the successive saltus functions associated with a given function. The present paper makes no use of the saltus functions, and the new properties are direct qualifications of $f(x)$. Since $f(x)$ is entirely unrestricted,—except, of course, that it is defined ‡ and therefore finite for every real x —these qualifications are consequences of nothing else than that $f(x)$ is a function. A new light is thus thrown upon the nature of a function.

The new properties are of two types, descriptive and metric; the former are concerned with density, and the latter with measure (Lebesgue).

For the sake of greater concreteness of exposition, we shall discuss, for the most part, planar sets and real functions of two real variables.

1. DESCRIPTIVE PROPERTIES

We shall say that a planar set S is an *I-region* (= open set) if every point of S is an inner point of S ; i.e., no point of S is the limit of a sequence of points not in S .

We shall deal with binary relations $\Re$ between *I-regions* and points. $I\Re P$ shall mean that the *I-region* I has the relation $\Re$ to the point P . The relation $\Re$ is said to be *closed*, if the relationships $I\Re P_n$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P_n = P$ imply $I\Re P$. By a *neighborhood* of a point P , we understand an *I-region* containing P ; by a *partial neighborhood* of P , an *I-region* of which P is an inner or boundary point. A neighborhood of P is, therefore, also a partial neighborhood of P . We have the following

LEMMA I. *If $\Re$ is a closed relation, then the points for which (a) $N\Re P$ for every neighborhood N of P , and (b) a partial neighborhood $N_<$ exists such that $N_<\Re P$ (i.e., $N_<\Re P$ is false) constitute a non-dense (i.e., nowhere dense) set M .*

* Presented to the Society March 29, 1919, and December 30, 1920.

† *Certain general properties of functions*, *Annals of Mathematics*, vol. 18 (1917), p. 147.

‡ Even this restriction may be partially dispensed with; cf. Section 2.

Proof: Since $\mathfrak{R}$ is closed and $\overline{N_{<} \mathfrak{R} P}$, it follows that no sequence of points P_n exists such that $\lim P_n = P$ and $N_{<} \mathfrak{R} P_n$ for every n . Hence a neighborhood N of P exists such that $\overline{N_{<} \mathfrak{R} A}$ for every point A of N . In particular $\overline{N_{<} \mathfrak{R} B}$ for every point B of $NN_{<}$, the set of points common to N and $N_{<}$. Since $N_{<}$ is a neighborhood of B , it follows that no B belongs to M . Every neighborhood of a point P of M thus contains a subset, which is an I -region, every point of which is outside of M .

Now let $z = f(x, y)$ be any given real function of the two real variables x and y , defined for every point of the plane Π , which we take to be the XY plane of a cartesian system of coördinates. We shall write also $z = f(P)$, where $P = (x, y)$ ranges over Π . For every pair of real numbers (x, y) , there is a single number $f(x, y)$; otherwise f is unrestricted. We define as follows the relation $\mathfrak{R}_{r_1 r_2}$, where r_1 and r_2 are two real numbers and $r_1 < r_2$: *If P is a point and I an I -region of Π , then $I\mathfrak{R}_{r_1 r_2} P$, if and only if an infinite sequence of points P_n of I exists, such that*

$$\lim P_n = P, \quad \lim f(P_n) \text{ exists,}$$

and

$$r_1 \leq \lim f(P_n) \leq r_2.$$

It follows that $\mathfrak{R}_{r_1 r_2}$ is closed. For suppose that $\lim P^{(n)} = P$ and $I\mathfrak{R}_{r_1 r_2} P^{(n)}$ for every n . Then, for every n , there exists a sequence

$$\{P_m^{(n)}\}, \quad m = 1, 2, \dots,$$

of points of I such that $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} P_m^{(n)} = P^{(n)}$ and $r_1 \leq \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} f(P_m^{(n)}) \leq r_2$. From the $P_m^{(n)}$, we may, in view of the preceding relations, select a sequence of points $\{Q_n\}$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$, such that

$$\lim Q_n = P \quad \text{and} \quad r_1 \leq \liminf f(Q_n) \leq \limsup f(Q_n) \leq r_2;$$

and from the sequence $\{Q_n\}$ a subsequence $\{P_n\}$ such that $\lim f(P_n)$ exists. We then have

$$\lim P_n = P \quad \text{and} \quad r_1 \leq \lim f(P_n) \leq r_2.$$

$\mathfrak{R}_{r_1 r_2}$ is therefore closed.

By the use of Lemma I, we may thus conclude that for every function $f(x, y)$ and every pair of numbers $r_1 < r_2$, the points P of the XY plane for which (a) $N\mathfrak{R}_{r_1 r_2} P$ for every neighborhood N of P and (b) $\overline{N_{<} \mathfrak{R}_{r_1 r_2} P}$ for some partial neighborhood $N_{<}$ of P constitute a non-dense set $T_{r_1 r_2}$. Let T be the sum of all the sets $T_{r_1 r_2}$, r_1 and r_2 ($r_1 < r_2$) ranging independently over all the rational numbers. T , being the sum of a denumerable number of non-dense sets, is exhaustible* (i.e., of first category according to Baire).

* For the terminology cf. Denjoy, *Journal de Mathématiques*, ser. 7, vol. 1 (1915), pp. 122–125.

We shall now use this property of T to obtain a property of all functions. For this purpose, we introduce the notion of *dense approach*. The function $f(x, y)$ is said to be *densely approached at the point* (ξ, η) , or in other words, the point (ξ, η, ζ) , $\zeta = f(\xi, \eta)$, of the "surface" $z = f(x, y)$ is said to be densely approached, if for every positive ϵ there exists a planar neighborhood N of (ξ, η) , such that the points (x, y) of N for which $|f(x, y) - f(\xi, \eta)| < \epsilon$ form a dense set in N .

We have the following

THEOREM I. *For every real function $f(x, y)$ whatsoever, the points of the surface $z = f(x, y)$ that are densely approached form a residual set (= complement of an exhaustible set). Conversely, given any residual set R whatsoever, a function $f(x, y)$ exists that is densely approached at and only at the points of R .*

*Proof.** The points of Π' —i.e., of the surface $z = f(x, y)$ —are either isolated or not. The isolated points of Π' form a denumerable set; therefore the points of Π whose corresponding points of Π' are isolated form a denumerable and therefore an exhaustible set. It is consequently sufficient to prove that the points P of Π , for which P' is a limit point of Π' but is not densely approached by Π' , form an exhaustible set. Since P' is not densely approached, there exists an ϵ such that for every neighborhood N of P , the points Q of N for which $|f(Q) - f(P)| < \epsilon$ are not dense in N ; i.e., an I -region exists in every neighborhood N of P such that $|f(Q) - f(P)| \geq \epsilon$ for every point Q of the I -region. Hence there exists a partial neighborhood $N_<$ of P such that the inequality $|f(P) - f(Q)| \geq \epsilon$ holds for every point Q of $N_<$. Let now r_1 be a rational number between $f(P) - \epsilon$ and $f(P)$; and r_2 a rational number between $f(P)$ and $f(P) + \epsilon$. Then it follows from the inequality $|f(Q) - f(P)| \geq \epsilon$ for all points Q of $N_<$ that the set $\{Q'\}_{Q \in N_<}$ —i.e., the set of points of Π' corresponding to the points Q of $N_<$ —has no point (ξ, η, z) as a limit with $r_1 \leq z \leq r_2$, where $(\xi, \eta) = P$. Hence $N_< \not\subset \mathfrak{R}_{r_1, r_2} P$. On the other hand, P' is a limit point of Π' and hence of every N' where N is a neighborhood of P ; hence N' has (ξ, η, ζ) , with $r_1 < \zeta = f(\xi, \eta) < r_2$, as a limit point, and P is therefore a point of the non-dense set T_{r_1, r_2} associated with the closed relation $\mathfrak{R}_{r_1, r_2}$, and hence of the exhaustible set T which is the sum of all the T_{r_1, r_2} , r_1 and r_2 ranging independently over all the rational numbers. The points of Π' that are not densely approached thus constitute an exhaustible set.

The proof of the converse is immediate. For let E be the exhaustible set complementary to the given residual set R . We may write

$$E = E_1 + E_2 + \cdots E_n + \cdots$$

* If S is any subset of Π , we shall understand by S' the set of points of the surface $z = f(x, y)$ corresponding to the points of S . Thus Π' represents the totality of surface points. Analogously, P' will represent the surface point corresponding to P .

where the E_n 's are non-dense in Π and no pair of E_n 's have common points. Let

$$f(P) = \frac{1}{n}, \quad \text{if } P \text{ is in } E_n,$$

and

$$f(P) = 2, \quad \text{if } P \text{ is in } R.$$

It is then clear that $f(P)$ is densely approached, if and only if P is in R .

Theorem I shows what a remarkable degree of "regularity" every function possesses. This may be better realized, perhaps, by using the following equivalent definition of dense approach. The function $f(x, y)$ is said to be densely approached at P , if for every partial neighborhood $N_<$ of P , $N'_<$ has P' as a limit. We thus get the following equivalent theorem—we omit a restatement of the converse—which shows a kind of "microscopic symmetry" in the structure of the surface $z = f(x, y)$ for unconditioned f .

THEOREM I'. *With every function $f(x, y)$ whatsoever, there is associated a residual set R —dependent on f —of the XY plane such that if $P = (\xi, \eta)$ is a point of R , and $N_<$ a partial neighborhood of P , the set $N'_<$, which consists of the points of the surface $z = f(x, y)$ that correspond to the points of $N_<$, has $(\xi, \eta, f(\xi, \eta))$ as a limit point.*

We pass now to further discriminations in the manner of "approach." The function f is said to be *inexhaustibly approached* at the point P of Π , or in other words, P' is *inexhaustibly approached* by Π' , if every neighborhood of P contains, for every $\epsilon > 0$, an inexhaustible set of points—i.e., a set that is not exhaustible,—at which f differs from $f(P)$ by less than ϵ .

The function f is said to be *exhaustibly approached*—we then say also that the point $P' = (x, y, f(x, y))$ is exhaustibly approached by Π' —at the point $P = (x, y)$, if it is not *inexhaustibly approached* at the point; in other words, if a neighborhood N of (x, y) and a number $\epsilon > 0$ exist, such that the points of N where f differs from $f(x, y)$ by less than ϵ form an exhaustible set. If M is any planar set, we shall use, in connection with approach, the expression "via M " to indicate that (x, y) is restricted to range in M . Thus " f is *inexhaustibly approached* at P via M " means that for every neighborhood N of P and every $\epsilon > 0$, the set MN , which is the aggregate of points common to M and to N , contains an *inexhaustible* set of points at which f differs from $f(P)$ by less than ϵ . The following definition for *exhaustible approach* is equivalent to the one above: The point P' of Π' is *exhaustibly approached*, if a sphere S exists with P' as center such that the points of Π corresponding to the points of $\Pi'S$ form an *exhaustible* set.

We have the following

THEOREM II. *For every function $f(x, y)$, there exists in the XY plane a*

*residual set R , dependent on f , such that if P is a point of R , and $N_<$ a partial neighborhood of P , the function f is inexhaustibly, and therefore densely, approached * at P via $RN_<$.*

Proof. We first note that the points of Π where f is exhaustibly approached form an exhaustible set E_1 . For space contains a denumerable dense set

$$A = \{A_1, A_2, \dots, A_m, \dots\}.$$

Associate with each A_m a sequence of spheres S_{mn} , $n = 1, 2, \dots$, with A_m as center and $1/n$ as radius. Blacken every sphere S_{mn} —the interior as well as the boundary—which is such that the totality of points of Π' contained in it corresponds to an exhaustible subset of Π . Let E_1 be the subset of Π constituted by the points which correspond to points of Π' that lie in one or more black spheres. Since the number of black spheres is at most denumerable and each black sphere contributes an exhaustible set to E_1 , it follows that E_1 is exhaustible. If P is a point at which f is exhaustibly approached, P is in at least one black sphere; hence P belongs to E_1 .

Now suppose we remove from Π the set E_1 of points at which f is exhaustibly approached, thus obtaining the set $R_1 = \Pi - E_1$ of points where f is inexhaustibly approached. Since the values of f in an exhaustible set cannot affect the property of inexhaustible approach, every point of R_1 is inexhaustibly approached via R_1 . Furthermore the points of R_1 , at which f is densely approached via R_1 , form a residual set of Π . For assume for the present that f is bounded,—we shall later drop this restriction. Let k be any number greater than the least upper bound of f . Let the function $g(x, y)$ be equal to k at the points of E_1 and equal to $f(x, y)$ at the points of R_1 . Since the set of points at which $g(x, y)$ is densely approached forms a residual set R_g of which only an exhaustible subset can lie in E_1 , there must be a residual set of Π in R_1 at the points of which g is densely approached. This dense approach is clearly valid via R_1 and for the function f . Let E_2 be the exhaustible subset of points of R_1 at which f is not densely approached via R_1 . It will now be seen that at every point of the set $R = \Pi - E_1 - E_2$, f is densely and inexhaustibly approached via R , and furthermore that f is inexhaustibly approached at every point P of R via $N_< R$, the common part of $N_<$ and R , where $N_<$ is any partial neighborhood of P . Every point of R is inexhaustibly approached via R_1 and hence via R , since an exhaustible set has no effect upon inexhaustible approach. Moreover every point P of R is densely approached via R_1 ; i.e., if $N_<$ is a partial neighborhood of P , and ϵ a positive number, there exists a point Q of $N_< R_1$ at which f differs from $f(P)$ by less than ϵ . Since, however, f is inexhaustibly approached at Q via R , and since R

* f is densely approached at P via M , which implicitly has P as limit, if for every partial neighborhood $N_<$ of P such that $N_< M$ has P as limit, the set $(N_< M)'$, i.e., the set of surface points corresponding to the points of $N_< M$, has P' as a limit.

differs from R_1 by an exhaustible set, it follows that Q' is a limit point of R' , and therefore $N_{<}$ contains a point of R at which f differs from $f(P)$ by less than ϵ . P is thus densely and inexhaustibly approached via $N_{<} R$.

If f is unbounded, we use the transformation

$$\bar{f}(x, y) = \frac{f(x, y)}{1 + |f(x, y)|}.$$

The new function $\bar{f}$ is bounded, but the properties of dense and of exhaustible and of inexhaustible approach are preserved by this transformation. We may therefore drop the restriction made above in reference to boundedness.

THEOREM III. *With every function $f(x, y)$ there is associated (not uniquely, however) a dense set D of the XY plane such that $f(x, y)$ is continuous, if (x, y) ranges over D .*

Proof. We shall say that a set of points is " ϵ -spaced" (in the plane Π) if every circle of radius ϵ contains at least one point of the set. Let $\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \epsilon_3, \dots$ be a decreasing sequence of positive numbers with $\lim \epsilon_n = 0$. Let K_1 be any set that is everywhere dense in Π and at the points of which $f(x, y)$ is densely approached via K_1 ; such a set exists according to Theorem II. Since K_1 is everywhere dense in Π , we may select in K_1 a subset

$$D_1 = \{P_{11}, P_{12}, \dots, P_{1n}, \dots\},$$

which is isolated (i.e., no point of D is a limit point of D) and ϵ_1 -spaced. We enclose each P_{1n} in a circle C_{1n} in such a way that (a) no two C_{1n} 's overlap—for this purpose it is sufficient to make the radius of C_{1n} less than one half the greatest lower bound of the distances from P_{1n} to the other points of D_1 ; and that (b) C_{1n} contains a dense subset of K_1 at every point of which the value of f differs from $f(P_{1n})$ by less than ϵ_1 —the existence of such a subset for a sufficiently small radius of C_{1n} is guaranteed by the fact that f is densely approached at P_{1n} via K_1 . Denote by J_{1n} the set of all the points in K_1 that are in the interior of C_{1n} and at which f differs from $f(P_{1n})$ by less than ϵ_1 , and by K_2 the subset of K_1 constituted by all the points of all the J_{1n} and the points of K_1 that lie in the interior of $\Pi - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} C_{1n}$. At every point Q of K_2 f is densely approached via K_2 . For if Q is an interior point of $\Pi - \sum C_{1n}$, this property is obvious. If, however, Q is in C_{1n} , let $|f(Q) - f(P_{1n})| = \epsilon_1 - \delta$, $\delta > 0$. f is densely approached at Q via points of K_1 at which f differs from $f(Q)$ by less than δ ; that is, via points where f differs from $f(P_{1n})$ by less than ϵ_1 ; hence via K_2 . Since K_2 is everywhere dense and f is densely approached via K_2 at every one of its points, we may treat it as we did K_1 . We select an isolated, ϵ_2 -spaced subset $D_2 = \{P_{21}, P_{22}, \dots, P_{2n}, \dots\}$ of K_2 such that D_2 contains every point of D_1 . We now enclose each P_{2n} in a circle C_{2n} in such a way that (a) C_{2n} contains a dense subset of points of K_2

where the value of f differs from $f(P_{2n})$ by less than ϵ_2 ; (b) no two C_{2n} overlap; and (c) C_{2n} lies either entirely within a C_{1n} or entirely outside of all C_{1n} . Denote by J_{2n} the set of all the points of C_{2n} at which f differs from $f(P_{2n})$ by less than ϵ_2 , and by K_3 the subset of K_2 constituted by all the points of all the J_{2n} and the points of K_2 , lying neither in the interior nor on the boundary of any C_{2n} . The set K_3 , like K_2 , is everywhere dense and such that at every point of it f is densely approached via K_3 , and the process may therefore be continued. The set

$$D_m = \{P_{m1}, P_{m2}, \dots, P_{mn}, \dots\}$$

is an ϵ_m -spaced, isolated subset of K_m and a superset of D_{m-1} . The circle C_{mn} enclosing P_{mn} (a) contains a dense subset of points of K_m where f differs from $f(P_{mn})$ by less than ϵ_m , (b) has no points in common with C_{mp} for $p \neq n$ and (c) lies entirely outside of all C_{pq} , $p < m$, if P_{mn} is outside of all C_{pq} for $p < m$, and entirely inside every C_{pq} , $p < m$, containing P_{mn} .

We now define the set D of the theorem to be the sum of the D_m 's ($m = 1, 2, \dots$). That D is dense follows from the fact that D_m is ϵ_m -spaced. If P_{mn} is a point of D , it lies in every circle of a sequence of circles $C_{mn}, C_{m+1, n^{(1)}}, \dots$, such that at the points of $C_{m+p, n^{(p)}}$ that belong to D the function f differs from $f(P_{mn})$ by less than ϵ_{m+p} . This proves the asserted continuity.

2. GENERALIZATIONS

So far we have dealt only with real functions of two real variables. It is apparent that the considerations apply equally well to functions of a single variable and to functions of n real variables. The results are, however, essentially of a still more general character. Without entering upon extreme refinements of generalization we may note that the arguments in the preceding section are substantially valid for every function $f(P)$, defined in a set $\mathfrak{S}$ satisfying the following conditions:

(1) $\mathfrak{S}$ is a metric;* that is to say, with every pair of elements P and Q of $\mathfrak{S}$ there is associated a non-negative, real number $\overline{PQ}$ (Fréchet's *écart*) in such a way that if P, Q , and R are any three elements of $\mathfrak{S}$, then

$$(a) \overline{PQ} = \overline{QP};$$

$$(b) \overline{PQ} = 0, \text{ when and only when } P = Q; \text{ and}$$

$$(c) \overline{PQ} + \overline{QR} \geq \overline{PR}.$$

(2) $\mathfrak{S}$ is a complete space (vollständiger Raum);† that is to say, if $\{P_1, P_2,$

* Cf., for example, Fréchet, *Sur quelques points du calcul fonctionnel*, *Rendiconti del Circolo Matematico di Palermo*, vol. 22 (1907), p. 1, and Hausdorff, *Grundzüge der Mengenlehre*, 1914, p. 211.

† Hausdorff, loc. cit., p. 315.

$\dots, P_n, \dots\}$ is a "regular" sequence of elements of $\mathfrak{S}$, in other words, if for every $\epsilon > 0$ there exists an integer n_ϵ such that $\overline{P_\lambda P_\mu} < \epsilon$ for $\lambda > n_\epsilon$ and $\mu > n_\epsilon$, there exists a limit element P (i.e., an element P with the property $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \overline{P_n P} = 0$).

(3) $\mathfrak{S}$ contains a denumerable subset that is dense in $\mathfrak{S}$.

(4) $\mathfrak{S}$ has no isolated points.

The reader will have no difficulty in introducing in Section 2 the slight modifications that are requisite for making the definitions and the reasoning applicable to a metric, complete space $\mathfrak{S}$ with a dense, denumerable subset and without isolated points. By way of illustration, we show* that the distinction between exhaustible and residual sets may always be made for such a space. For suppose $M_1, M_2, \dots, M_n, \dots$ are all non-dense subsets of $\mathfrak{S}$. Let $\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \epsilon_3, \dots$ be a sequence of positive numbers with $\lim \epsilon_n = 0$. Since M_1 is non-dense in $\mathfrak{S}$, its complement $\overline{M}_1 = \mathfrak{S} - M_1$ contains a point A_1 which is in the interior of $\overline{M}_1$ (i.e., which is not a limit point of M_1). Let C_1 be a "sphere"† with center A_1 and radius $< \epsilon_1$ containing only points of $\overline{M}_1$. C_1 contains in turn an interior point A_2 of $\overline{M}_2 = \mathfrak{S} - M_2$. Let C_2 be a sphere with center A_2 and radius $< \epsilon_2$ lying entirely in C_1 and containing only points of $\overline{M}_2$. We thus define the sequence of spheres C_n ($n = 1, 2, \dots$). The sequence of points $A_1, A_2, \dots$ is evidently a regular sequence, since $A_\lambda, \lambda > n$, lies in the sphere C_n , hence its distance from A_n is less than ϵ_n , and therefore according to property (1) of $\mathfrak{S}$ the distance between A_λ and A_μ ($\lambda > n, \mu > n$) is less than 2ϵ . Therefore, according to property (2) of $\mathfrak{S}$ there exists a point A of $\mathfrak{S}$ which is the limit of A_n .‡ A lies in every C_n , hence outside of every M_n . Therefore $\mathfrak{S}$ cannot be represented as the sum of a denumerable set of non-dense sets; that is, $\mathfrak{S}$ is not exhaustible. Since the sum of two exhaustible sets is exhaustible, it follows that a residual set, which is the complement in $\mathfrak{S}$ of an exhaustible set, is not itself exhaustible.

Further details of the extension of the ideas of the preceding section to $\mathfrak{S}$ we leave to the reader. We may state the following

THEOREM IV. *Let $\mathfrak{S}$ be any complete, metric space without isolated points and containing a dense, denumerable subset; and $f(P)$ any real function defined for the elements P of $\mathfrak{S}$.§ Then there exists a residual set R such that if P is a point*

* Cf., for example, Hausdorff, loc. cit., chap. VIII, Section 9, especially Theorem VI and p. 328.

† A "sphere" of $\mathfrak{S}$ with center P and radius r is the set of points of $\mathfrak{S}$ whose écart from P is $\leq r$.

‡ It is to be observed that if the radius of C_n does not approach 0, no conclusion with reference to the existence of a limit point of $\{A_n\}$ can be made. For this sequence would then not necessarily form a regular sequence; there may therefore be no limit point unless $\mathfrak{S}$ were assumed to be compact. In this case, however, function space would not be an instance for our results, since a "sphere" of function space, while possessing the properties demanded of $\mathfrak{S}$, is not compact.

§ In the terminology of E. H. Moore, f is a function on $\mathfrak{S}$ to A , where A is the set of real numbers.

of R , and $N_<$ a partial neighborhood of P , the function f is inexhaustibly, and therefore densely, approached at P via $RN_<$. Also there exists a dense subset D of $\mathfrak{S}$ such that $f(P)$ is continuous if P ranges over D .

As particular examples of a complete metric space with a dense denumerable subset and without isolated points, we mention:

(a) Euclidean n -space where the écart between two points is the euclidean distance between them.

(b) A perfect subset of a euclidean space.

(c) Hilbert space, that is, the ensemble $\mathfrak{S}$ of sequences $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, \dots)$ of real numbers with convergent $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n^2$. The écart between two "points" $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, \dots)$ and $(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n, \dots)$ is defined to be

$$\sqrt{(x_1 - y_1)^2 + (x_2 - y_2)^2 + \dots}.$$

The denumerable set consisting of all the sequences $(r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n, 0, 0, 0, \dots)$, where the r 's are rational numbers, is dense in $\mathfrak{S}$. Furthermore, $\mathfrak{S}$ is evidently complete. For if $P', P'', \dots, P^{(n)}, \dots$ is a regular sequence of points of $\mathfrak{S}$, and $P^{(n)} = (x_1^{(n)}, x_2^{(n)}, \dots)$, then for $\epsilon > 0$ an integer i exists such that

$$\sqrt{\sum_{n=1}^{n=\infty} (x_n^{(p)} - x_n^{(q)})^2} < \epsilon$$

for $p > i$ and $q > i$. Hence $x_n^{(p)}$ converges to x_n , say; therefore, $P^{(n)}$ to $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, \dots)$, which, as may be seen, again belongs to Hilbert space. $\mathfrak{S}$, of course, has no isolated points.

(d) Function space: $\mathfrak{S}$ consists of all real continuous functions $f(x)$ defined for $0 \leq x \leq 1$. The écart between $f_1(x)$ and $f_2(x)$ is defined to be $\max |f_1(x) - f_2(x)|$; the postulates for écart are then satisfied. That there is a dense, denumerable subset follows from the theorem of Weierstrass that every continuous function is the limit of a uniformly convergent sequence of polynomials, which may be assumed to have rational coefficients and are thus denumerable. Moreover, since the limit of a uniformly convergent sequence of continuous functions is continuous, it follows that a regular sequence has a limit, and therefore $\mathfrak{S}$ is complete. $\mathfrak{S}$ obviously has no isolated points.

The assumption that f is single-valued may also be dropped without invalidating Theorems I and II of the last section; Theorem III, of course, implies single-valuedness by its very nature. We thus get the following results:

THEOREM I^(a). Let $f(x, y)$ be any real function defined for the entire XY plane and taking at every point at least one value; the number of values may change, however, from point to point and vary from 1 to c , the cardinal number of the continuum. Then the points (x, y) such that every surface point (x, y) ,

$f(x, y)$) is densely approached by the surface $z = f(x, y)$ constitute an exhaustible set.

THEOREM II^(a). If $f(x, y)$ is any real, single- or many-valued function defined for the entire XY plane, there exists in the XY plane a residual set R , such that if (x, y) is any point of R , and $N_<$ a partial neighborhood of (x, y) , then every point $(x, y, f(x, y))$ is inexhaustibly approached via $RN_<$.

The proof for these more general results is essentially the same as that for Theorems I and II and is left to the reader.

Theorems I^(a) and II^(a) hold also for any complete, metric space with a dense, denumerable subset and without isolated points.

3. METRIC PROPERTIES

As in the case of the descriptive properties of Section 1, we shall confine the discussion in this section to planar sets and to one-valued functions of two variables.

Let S be any planar set; P , a point of S ; C_r , a circle (interior and boundary) with P as center and r as radius; $m(C_r)$, the area of C_r , and $m_e(SC_r)$, the exterior Lebesgue measure of the portion of S in C_r . Then if

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{m_e(SC_r)}{m(C_r)}$$

exists and is equal to l , we shall say that the exterior metric density of S at the point P is l . We have the following

THEOREM V.* Let S be any planar set. Then the points of S at which the exterior metric density of S is $\neq 1$, i.e., the points where the exterior metric density either does not exist or does exist and is < 1 , constitute a set of zero measure (Lebesgue).

Proof. If P is a point at which the exterior metric density of S does not exist or exists and $\neq 1$, the

$$\liminf_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{m_e(SC_r)}{m(C_r)}$$

must be < 1 . Let T_k be the totality of points of S where

$$\liminf_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{m_e(SC_r)}{m(C_r)} < k < 1.$$

The set whose measure we are to prove equal to zero is the sum of $T_{1/2}$, $T_{3/4}$, $\dots$, $T_{(n-1)/n}$, $\dots$; and since the sum of $\aleph_0$ sets each of zero measure is again

* For the case where only measurable sets are admitted, cf. Lebesgue, *Leçons sur l'Intégration*, 1904, pp. 124–125; Denjoy, *Journal de Mathématiques*, ser. 7, vol. 1 (1915), p. 132; Lusin and Sierpinski, *Rendiconti del Circolo Matematico di Palermo*, vol. 42 (1917), p. 167; de la Vallée Poussin, *Cours d'Analyse*, vol. 2, 1912, p. 114. For the linear case of general (not necessarily measurable) sets, cf. Blumberg, *Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 25 (1919), p. 350.

of zero measure, it is sufficient to prove that T_k is of zero measure. Let m be the exterior measure of T_k so that T_k may be enclosed, in such a way that every point of T_k is an interior point of at least one C_n , in a sequence of circles $C_n, n = 1, 2, \dots$, with the sum of their areas $< m + \epsilon$, where ϵ is arbitrarily small. Associate with every point P of T_k a circle C_P lying in the interior of one of the circles C_n , and such that

$$\frac{m_e(C_P S)}{m(C_P)} < k$$

and, a fortiori,

$$\frac{m_e(C_P T_k)}{m(C_P)} < k,$$

where

$$m(C_P) = \text{area of } C_P;$$

this is possible because of the assumed property of the points of T_k . From the circles C_P a denumerable number may be extracted having the same totality of interior points, and from this denumerable number, a finite number $C', C'', \dots, C^{(h)}$, that cover T_k except for a set of exterior measure $< \epsilon$. We now select from the $C^{(n)}$ a subset of non-overlapping circles as follows. Let D' be a $C^{(n)}$ having the maximum area attained by the $C^{(n)}$; remove from the set $\{C^{(n)}\}$ the circles which intersect D' , and let D'' be one of the circles left, and having the maximum area for these circles; remove now all circles intersecting D'' , and let D''' be one of the circles now remaining and having the maximum area for all circles intersected neither by D' nor by D'' . In this way we obtain a set of non-overlapping circles $D^{(n)}$. Let a_1 represent the area of the portion of the plane covered by one or more $C^{(n)}$, and a_2 the portion of the plane covered by the $D^{(n)}$; then it follows from the manner in which the $D^{(n)}$ were chosen that

$$a_2 > \frac{1}{9} a_1.$$

Since every $D^{(n)}$ is a $C^{(n)}$ we have

$$\frac{m_e(D^{(n)} T_k)}{m(D^{(n)})} < k;$$

and since the $D^{(n)}$ do not overlap, we may cover the subset of T_k that lies in the $D^{(n)}$ by means of circles having a total area $< ka_2$. We may thus cover T_k by means of circles having as sum of their areas a number less than $ka_2 + (a_1 - a_2) + \epsilon$, the first term representing a sufficient amount for the portion of T_k in the $D^{(n)}$, the second term, for the portion of T_k in the $C^{(n)}$ and outside of the $D^{(n)}$, and the third term, for the portion of T_k outside of the $C^{(n)}$. Therefore,

$$m < ka_2 + (a_1 - a_2) + \epsilon = a_1 - (1 - k)a_2 + \epsilon;$$

and in virtue of the inequalities

$$m - \epsilon < a_1 < m + \epsilon, \quad a_2 > \frac{1}{9}a_1,$$

we have

$$m < m + \epsilon - \frac{1}{9}(1 - k)(m - \epsilon) + \epsilon,$$

whence

$$m < \frac{(19 - k)}{1 - k} \epsilon,$$

and therefore $m = 0$.

Definition. $N_<$ is said to be a *non-vanishing* partial neighborhood of P , if the exterior metric density of $N_<$ at P is $\neq 0$.

We have the following lemma which corresponds to Lemma I in the case of the descriptive properties.

LEMMA II. *Let $\mathfrak{R}$ be a closed relation as in Lemma I. The points P for which (a) $N\mathfrak{R}P$ for every neighborhood of P and (b) a non-vanishing partial neighborhood $N_<$ exists such that $\overline{N_<\mathfrak{R}P}$ (i.e., $N_<\mathfrak{R}P$ is false) constitute a set of zero measure.*

Proof. Let T be the totality of points P having the properties (a) and (b). We may then show as in the proof of Lemma I that $\overline{N_<\mathfrak{R}B}$ for every point B of $NN_<$, which, if $N_<$ is a non-vanishing neighborhood of P , is also a non-vanishing neighborhood of P . Every point P of T thus has a non-vanishing neighborhood every point of which does not belong to T ; therefore at no point of T is the exterior metric density of T equal to 1; T is therefore of zero measure.

Let $z = f(x, y)$ be a given surface; $P = (x, y)$, a point of the XY plane; and the relation $\mathfrak{R}_{r_1 r_2}$, $r_1 < r_2$ (as in the case of Section 1), such that $I\mathfrak{R}_{r_1 r_2} P$ when and only when there is a point (x, y, ζ) , $r_1 \leq \zeta \leq r_2$, which is a limit of I' . It follows as before that $\mathfrak{R}_{r_1 r_2}$ is closed. Therefore, by means of Lemma II, we may conclude that the points P for which (a) $N\mathfrak{R}_{r_1 r_2} P$ for every neighborhood N of P , and (b) $\overline{N\mathfrak{R}_{r_1 r_2} P}$ for some non-vanishing partial neighborhood of P constitute a set $T_{r_1 r_2}$ of zero measure. Let T be the sum of all the sets $T_{r_1 r_2}$, as r_1 and r_2 , $r_1 < r_2$, vary over all the rational numbers; T , being the sum of $\aleph_0$ sets of measure zero, is itself of measure zero. Now a point of the surface $z = f(x, y)$ is either isolated (and then (x, y) belongs to a denumerable set of points and hence to a certain set of measure zero) or else it is a limit point of the surface, and then $N\mathfrak{R}_{r_1 r_2} P$ for every neighborhood N of P , if $r_1 < z < r_2$. We therefore have the following

THEOREM VI. *Let $f(x, y)$ be any real, one-valued function defined in the entire plane. Then there exists in the XY plane a set Z , dependent on f , of measure zero, such that if (a) (x, y) is any point of the XY plane not belonging*

to Z ; (b) $N_<$, any non-vanishing partial neighborhood of (x, y) ; and (c) S , any sphere with $(x, y, f(x, y))$ as center; then there is at least one point of the surface $z = f(x, y)$ lying in the sphere S and having as projection upon the XY plane a point in $N_<$.

That the theorem becomes false if we omit the restriction that the partial neighborhood $N_<$ shall be non-vanishing, is seen from the following example. Let A be a planar non-dense perfect set of positive measure, and B its complement. Let $f(x, y) = 0$ in A and 1 in B . Since A is non-dense and closed, B is an everywhere-dense I -region and therefore every point (x, y) of A has as one of its partial neighborhoods a set $N_<$, consisting exclusively of points of B . For such an $f(x, y)$, (x, y) and $N_<$, however, the assertion of the theorem is false, although, since A is not of zero measure, there are points of A not belonging to the alleged set Z of the theorem. Of course, in virtue of Theorem V, the points of A , with the exception of those belonging to a set of measure zero, have none but vanishing partial neighborhoods that consist entirely of points of B .

It is obvious, as, indeed, the last example shows, that the points at which a function is not densely approached need not be of zero measure. If in passing, however, we alter the meaning of "densely approached" in a rather natural way, we may conclude, according to Theorem VI, that f is densely approached everywhere except at the points of a set of measure zero. The new definition of dense approach is as follows: f is said to be densely approached at P if for every $\epsilon > 0$ and for every non-vanishing partial neighborhood $N_<$ of P , there is in $N_<$ a point different from P where f differs from $f(P)$ by less than ϵ .

Let now the relation $\mathfrak{R}_{r_1 r_2}$, $r_1 < r_2$, be such that $I\mathfrak{R}_{r_1 r_2} P$ when and only when there is a point (x, y, ζ) , $r_1 \leq \zeta \leq r_2$, inexhaustibly approached via I ; that is to say, for every $\epsilon > 0$ and for every circle C of the XY plane with P as center, there is an inexhaustible set of points in CI at which f differs from ζ by less than ϵ . Then it follows that $\mathfrak{R}_{r_1 r_2}$ is closed. If f is inexhaustibly approached at P and a non-vanishing partial neighborhood $N_<$ of P exists via which f is not inexhaustibly approached at P , then there exist two rational numbers r_1 and r_2 , $r_1 < f(P) < r_2$, such that $N\mathfrak{R}_{r_1 r_2} P$ for every neighborhood N of P and $\overline{N_< \mathfrak{R}_{r_1 r_2} P}$. Therefore according to Lemma II, P belongs to a certain set $Z_{r_1 r_2}$ of measure zero associated with the closed relation $\mathfrak{R}_{r_1 r_2}$. The sum of the $Z_{r_1 r_2}$ for all possible rational numbers $r_1 < r_2$ is also of measure zero; we thus have

THEOREM VII. *The set of points P of the XY plane, at which f is inexhaustibly approached and for which a non-vanishing partial neighborhood exists via which f is exhaustibly approached at P , constitute a set of measure zero.*

It is, of course, not true that the points where f is exhaustibly approached form a set of measure zero. Because of this fact the result for inexhaustible

approach is not as pleasing as that for the case we shall consider next. We shall say that f is *neglectably approached at the point P* , if a sphere S exists with P' as center such that the projection upon the XY plane of the points of the surface that lie in S constitutes a set of zero measure; f is said to be *neglectably approached at the point P via $N_<$* if a sphere S exists with P' as center such that the projection upon the XY plane of $N'_< S$ has in common with $N_<$ a set of measure zero. We have the following

THEOREM VIII. *Let $z = f(x, y)$ be any real, single-valued function defined in the entire XY plane. Then the points P of the XY plane that possess a non-vanishing partial neighborhood via which f is neglectably approached at P constitute a set of zero measure.*

The proof of this theorem, which is a generalization of Theorem VI, is analogous to that of the latter. A few indications will therefore suffice. We note first that the set of points where f is neglectably approached is of measure zero; the proof is similar to that of the exhaustibility of the set of points at which f is exhaustibly approached.* We then define $I\mathfrak{R}_{r_1 r_2} P$, $P \equiv (x, y)$, as follows: there is a point (x, y, ζ) , $r_1 \leq \zeta \leq r_2$, such that if S is any sphere with (x, y, ζ) as center, and the surface points in S are projected upon the XY plane, the portion falling in I forms a set of positive exterior measure; i.e., (x, y, ζ) is not neglectably approached via I . It will be seen that $\mathfrak{R}_{r_1 r_2}$ is closed. The rest of the argument is left to the reader.

Theorem VIII asserts that certain sets are of positive exterior measure. We shall go a little further by considering the question of exterior metric density. Let P be any point and $M_{P\epsilon}$ the set of points Q for which

$$|f(Q) - f(P)| < \epsilon.$$

We shall say that f is *quasi-continuous*† at P if for every ϵ the set $M_{P\epsilon}$ has 1 as exterior metric density at P . We have the following theorem, which generalizes Theorem VIII:

THEOREM IX. *f is quasi-continuous except at the points of a set of measure zero.*

Proof. Let $S_{r_1 r_2}$, $r_1 < r_2$, be the set of points (x, y) for which

$$r_1 < f(x, y) < r_2,$$

and $T_{r_1 r_2}$ be the set of points where the exterior metric density of $S_{r_1 r_2}$ is not 1; $T_{r_1 r_2}$ is therefore of measure zero according to Theorem V. If r_1 and r_2 are rational, we have in all $\aleph_0$ sets $T_{r_1 r_2}$, and thus the points in all the $T_{r_1 r_2}$ constitute a set Z of zero measure. If P is a point at which f is not quasi-continuous, then, according to definition, there exists a positive number ϵ such that

* See Section 1.

† Cf. Denjoy, Bulletin de la Société Mathématique de France, vol. 43 (1915), p. 165.

$M_{P\epsilon}$ is not of exterior metric density 1 at P . Let r_1 and r_2 be two rational numbers such that

$$f(P) - \epsilon < r_1 < f(P) < r_2 < f(P) + \epsilon.$$

It follows that P belongs to $T_{r_1 r_2}$ and therefore to Z .

Suppose now that $N_<$ is a partial neighborhood of P such that if C_r is a circle with P as center and r as radius then

$$\liminf_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{m(C_r N_<)}{m(C_r)} = k > 0;$$

the symbol m denotes Lebesgue measure, which $N_<$, as an I -region, possesses. We shall then say that $N_<$ is a "properly non-vanishing partial neighborhood" of P . Suppose further that a positive ϵ exists such that

$$\liminf_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{m_e(C_r N_< M_{P\epsilon})}{m(C_r N_<)} = l < 1.$$

We shall then say that the exterior metric density of $M_{P\epsilon}$ is $\neq 1$ at P via $N_<$. It follows then that the surface $z = f(x, y)$ is not quasi-continuous at P . For if δ is any positive number, there exists a circle C_r with arbitrarily small radius and with P as center such that

$$m(C_r N_<) > (k - \delta)m(C_r),$$

and

$$m_e(C_r N_< M_{P\epsilon}) < (l + \delta)m(C_r N_<).$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} m_e(C_r M_{P\epsilon}) &= m_e(C_r N_< M_{P\epsilon}) + m_e(C_r \{C_r - N_<\} M_{P\epsilon}) \\ &< [(l + \delta)(k - \delta) + 1 - (k - \delta)]m(C_r) \\ &= [1 - (1 - l - \delta)(k - \delta)]m(C_r) \end{aligned}$$

for sufficiently small δ ; $C_r - N_<$ means here the portion of C_r not in $N_<$. Since $l < 1$, $k > 0$, and δ and r may be made as small as we please, we conclude that the relative exterior measure of $M_{P\epsilon}$ is not 1 at P ; that is, that f is not quasi-continuous at P . The totality of such points P is therefore of measure zero. We thus have

THEOREM X. *If P is a point not belonging to a certain set of measure zero, then for every positive ϵ the exterior metric density of $M_{P\epsilon}$ is unity at P via any properly non-vanishing partial neighborhood of P .*

Since an angular region at P , i.e., the portion of the XY plane bounded by two half lines radiating from P , is a properly non-vanishing partial neighborhood of P , it follows as a corollary of Theorem X that, if we neglect a set of measure zero, the exterior metric density of $M_{P\epsilon}$ is, for every $\epsilon > 0$, equal to unity at P via every angular region with P as vertex.

CONCLUDING REMARKS

As in the case of the descriptive properties, it may be seen that the metric theorems may be extended to many-valued functions. Theorem VIII, for example, when thus generalized, would read as follows: *Let $z = f(x, y)$ be any real, single- or many-valued function defined in the entire XY plane. Then the points (x, y) of the XY plane for which a surface point $(x, y, f(x, y))$ and a non-vanishing partial neighborhood $N_<$ exist such that $(x, y, f(x, y))$ is neglectably approached via $N_<$ constitute a set of zero measure.*

It is evident that the metric properties hold for functions of a single variable and, in general, for functions of n variables. Extension to $\aleph_0$ -space and to function space would require a satisfactory definition of measure for such spaces;* it is not our purpose to enter upon such questions here. Likewise we shall not attempt to define, by means of postulates, a general space for which our metric results are to hold.

It may be remarked that instead of projecting the surface points of $z = f(x, y)$ upon the XY plane we may project them upon the X -axis and thus obtain other properties. For example, let us define the relationship $\mathfrak{R}_{r_1 r_2 r_3 r_4}$ (between I -regions and points of the X -axis) as follows: $I \mathfrak{R}_{r_1 r_2 r_3 r_4} \xi$ if the surface points having x -coordinates in I have a limit point in the rectangle $x = \xi, r_1 \leq y \leq r_2, r_3 \leq z \leq r_4$. $\mathfrak{R}_{r_1 r_2 r_3 r_4}$ is evidently closed. If the r 's are taken to be rational, the number of possible relations $\mathfrak{R}_{r_1 r_2 r_3 r_4}$ is $\aleph_0$, and we may, by the aid of Lemma II and an argument repeatedly employed in this paper, obtain the following result: *Let $z = f(x, y)$ be any single- or many-valued function defined in the entire XY plane. Let ξ be a point of the X -axis of the following character: a surface point (ξ, η, ζ) and a partial non-vanishing (linear) neighborhood $N_<$ of ξ exist such that (ξ, η, ζ) is not a limit point of surface points having x -coordinates in $N_<$. The totality of points ξ is of measure zero.*

Similar results may be obtained for other metric properties and also in the case of the descriptive properties. In the case of a function of n variables, we may project upon an $(n - 1)$ -space, an $(n - 2)$ -space, etc.

* In this connection cf. Gâteaux, Bulletin de la Société Mathématique de France, vol. 47 (1919), p. 47.