ON THE ORDER OF GROUPS OF AUTOMORPHISMS*

BY

GARRETT BIRKHOFF AND PHILIP HALL

1. **Introduction.** Consider the following problem. Let G be any group of finite order g , and let A denote the group of the automorphisms of G . What can one infer about the order a of A , simply from a knowledge of g : in other words, to what extent is a a numerical function of g ?

The main known result relating to this problem is due to Frobenius.† It limits the orders of the individual elements of A in terms of g , and hence tells which primes can be divisors of a .

The present paper is independent of the work of Frobenius, and presupposes only the theorems of Lagrange and Sylow. Its main result is the following

THEOREM 1. *Let G be any group of finite order g . Let $\theta(g)$ denote the order of the group of the automorphisms of the elementary Abelian group of order g , and let r denote the number of distinct prime factors of g . Then the order a of the group A of the automorphisms of G is a divisor of $g^{r-1}\theta(g)$.*

The function $\theta(g)$ is computed numerically from g as follows. Write g as the product $p_1^{n_1}p_2^{n_2} \cdots p_r^{n_r}$ of powers $p_k^{n_k}$ of distinct primes. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\theta(p_k^{n_k}) &= (p_k^{n_k} - 1)(p_k^{n_k} - p) \cdots (p_k^{n_k} - p_k^{n_k-1}) \\ &= p_k^{n_k(n_k-1)/2} \cdot (p_k - 1)(p_k^2 - 1) \cdots (p_k^{n_k} - 1)\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\theta(g) = \theta(p_1^{n_1})\theta(p_2^{n_2}) \cdots \theta(p_r^{n_r}).$$

For example, $\theta(12) = \theta(3)\theta(4) = 2 \cdot (3 \cdot 2) = 12$.

One can strengthen Theorem 1 in special cases, by

THEOREM 2. *If G is solvable, then a is a divisor of $g\theta(g)$.*

THEOREM 3. *If G is "hypercentral," that is, the direct product of its Sylow subgroups, then a is a divisor of $\theta(g)$.*

2. **Preliminary lemmas.** The following two statements are immediate corollaries of Lagrange's and Sylow's Theorems, respectively:

* Presented to the Society, December 26, 1933; received by the editors August 20, 1935.

† *Über auflösbare Gruppen*, II, Berliner Sitzungsberichte, 1895, p. 1030. Cf. Burnside's *Theory of Groups*, 1st edition, pp. 250-252.

LEMMA 1. *Let H be any group whose elements induce automorphisms homomorphically (i.e., many-one isomorphically) on a second group G . Then the index in H of the subgroup "centralizing" G (i.e., leaving every element of G invariant) divides the order of the group of the automorphisms of G .*

LEMMA 2. *Let G be any group, and r any positive integer. If the order of every prime-power subgroup of G divides r , then the order of G divides r .*

As a further preliminary step, it is well to verify the somewhat less obvious

LEMMA 3. *Let P be any group of prime-power order p^n , inducing substitutions homomorphically on $r = p^\alpha q$ letters $x_1, \dots, x_r$ [p^α the highest power of p dividing r]. Then there is a letter x_k such that, if S denotes the subgroup of substitutions of P which omit x_k , the index of S in P divides r .*

Let S_i denote that subgroup of P whose substitutions omit the letter x_i ; by Lagrange's Theorem, the index of S_i in P is a power $p^{\beta(i)}$ of p . Hence the transitive system including x_i contains exactly $p^{\beta(i)}$ letters. But the sum of the numbers of letters in the different transitive systems is not a multiple of $p^{\alpha+1}$; hence $\beta(i) \leq \alpha$ for some $i = i_0$. Setting $S_i = S_{i_0}$, we have Lemma 3.

LEMMA 4.† *Let G be any group of prime-power order p^n . Then the order a of the group A of the automorphisms of G divides $\theta(p^n) = (p^n - 1)(p^n - p) \cdots (p^n - p^{n-1})$.*

By Lemma 2, it is sufficient to prove the result for every subgroup Q of A of prime-power order q^m . But given Q , one can define $Q_1 > Q_2 > Q_3 > \cdots > Q_r = 1$ and $S_1 < S_2 < S_3 < \cdots < S_r = G$ recursively as follows:

- (1) Q_1 is the group Q .
- (2) Given Q_k , S_k is the subgroup of the elements of G "centralized" by Q_k (i.e., invariant under every automorphism of Q_k).
- (3) Given Q_k and S_k , Q_{k+1} is a proper subgroup of Q_k whose index in Q_k divides the number of elements in $G - S_k$.

The only questionable point in the existence of these subgroups concerns the possibility of (3); this is ensured by Lemma 3.

Moreover multiplying together on one side the indices of the Q_{k+1} in the Q_k , and on the other their multiples, the degrees of the $G - S_k$, one sees that q^m divides the product of those factors $(p^n - p^i)$ corresponding to the orders

† A more delicate result implying this, but presupposing a study of the structure of groups of prime-power order, is given by P. Hall in *A contribution to the theory of groups of prime-power order*, Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society, vol. 36 (1933), p. 37.

of complexes $G-S_k$. Hence a fortiori q^m divides $\theta(p^n)$, and the lemma is proved.

3. **Proof of principal theorem.** We are now in a position to prove Theorem 1.

Accordingly, let G be any group of finite order g , let $g = p_1^{n_1} \cdots p_r^{n_r}$, let $\theta(g)$ denote the order of the group of the automorphisms of the elementary Abelian group of order g , and let A (of order a) denote the group of the automorphisms of G .

By Sylow's Theorem, G contains subgroups S_j^i of orders $p_i^{n_i}$ [$i=1, \cdots, r$; $j=1, \cdots, s_i$]. By Sylow's Theorem also,† s_i is the index in G of the "normalizer" of any S_j^i (i.e., the set of elements $a \in G$ such that $aS_j^i = S_j^i a$); hence, by Lagrange's Theorem and the fact that S_j^i is contained in its own normalizer, s_i divides $g/p_i^{n_i}$.

Again, the automorphisms of G obviously permute the S_j^i of given order $p_i^{n_i}$ homomorphically. Therefore, by iterated use of Lemma 3, any subgroup Q of A of prime-power order q^m contains a subgroup Q_1 whose index in Q divides the product $\prod_{i=1}^r (g/p_i^{n_i}) = g^{r-1}$, and which normalizes at least one $S_{j(i)}^i$ of each order $p_i^{n_i}$. But by Lemma 1 and iterated use of Lemma 4, Q_1 has a subgroup Q^* whose index in Q_1 divides $\theta(g)$, and which "centralizes" $S_{j(1)}^1, \cdots, S_{j(r)}^r$ [i.e., leaves every element of these subgroups of G invariant]. But the $S_{j(i)}^i$ generate G ; hence Q^* contains only the identity, and q^m divides $g^{r-1}\theta(g)$.

Theorem 1 now follows from Lemma 2 and the fact that Q was permitted to be an arbitrary group of prime-power order.

4. **Special cases of solvable and hypercentral groups.** The proofs of Theorems 2-3 are now immediate.

In fact, Theorem 3 is really a corollary of Lemma 4. For the Sylow subgroups of a hypercentral group are characteristic. Denoting them by $S_1, \cdots, S_r$, one sees immediately that the group of the automorphisms of G is the direct product of the groups of the automorphisms of the S_k , making the theorem obvious.

To prove Theorem 2, suppose that G is solvable, and use the stronger known result,‡ analogous to Sylow's Theorem, that G contains subgroups of every index $p_k^{n_k}$. Now in the proof of Theorem 1 presented in §3, if q does not divide g , it is numerically evident that q^m divides $\theta(g)$. Hence, by Lemma 2, it is sufficient to show that if q divides g , then q^m divides $g\theta(g)$.

† More particularly, the part that states that the inner automorphisms of G are transitive on the Sylow subgroups of any fixed order.

‡ Cf. P. Hall, *A note on soluble groups*, Journal of the London Mathematical Society, vol. 3 (1928), p. 99.

But to say that q divides g is evidently to say that $q = p_k$ for suitable k ; without loss of generality, we can assume $k = 1$. In this case Q normalizes some Sylow subgroup S of G of order $p_1^{n_1}$; this follows from Lemma 3 and the fact that the number of Sylow subgroups of order $p_1^{n_1}$, being a divisor of $p_2^{n_2} \cdots p_r^{n_r}$, is not divisible by q . Moreover Q has a subgroup Q_1 whose index in Q divides q^{n_1} [and hence g] which "normalizes" (i.e., leaves invariant) a subgroup H of order $p_2^{n_2} \cdots p_r^{n_r}$ (and index $p_1^{n_1}$) in G ; this follows from Lemma 3 and the fact that by Hall's Theorem cited above, the number of such subgroups H is a divisor of $p_1^{n_1}$.

Finally, by Lemmas 1 and 4, the index in Q_1 of the subgroup Q_2 "centralizing" S divides $\theta(q^{n_1})$. And by induction on g , the index in Q_2 of the subgroup Q^* "centralizing" H divides $(p_2^{n_2} \cdots p_r^{n_r}) \cdot \theta(p_2^{n_2} \cdots p_r^{n_r})$, or, since it is by Lagrange's Theorem a power of $q = p_1$ and relatively prime to $p_2^{n_2} \cdots p_r^{n_r}$, it divides $\theta(p_2^{n_2} \cdots p_r^{n_r})$. But S and H , if only by Lagrange's Theorem, generate G ; hence $Q^* = 1$. Combining, one sees that if q divides g , then q^m divides $g\theta(p_1^{n_1})\theta(p_2^{n_2} \cdots p_r^{n_r})$, that is, $g\theta(g)$. But this is just what we wished to prove.

5. Possible improvement of results. It is natural to ask what likelihood there is of improving the results expressed in Theorems 1-3.

It is well known that the least upper bound to the possible values of a for fixed g is at least $\theta(g)$; this is shown by the elementary Abelian group of order g . Consequently Theorem 3 is a best possible result. Moreover in general $\theta(g)$ is not a common multiple for the possible values of a , as is shown by the dihedral group of order six and many other groups of similar structure.

On the other hand, there is no known example of a group for which a fails to divide $g\theta(g)$; this suggests the possibility of replacing $g^{r-1}\theta(g)$ in Theorem 1 by $g\theta(g)$, and omitting Theorem 2 altogether.

This leaves the determination of lower bounds and common divisors of a in terms of g unattempted. The cyclic groups of order g should throw considerable light on this more trivial question.

Also, the case in which G is simple would probably repay study.

HARVARD UNIVERSITY,
CAMBRIDGE, MASS.

KING'S COLLEGE,
CAMBRIDGE, ENGLAND.