## AUTOMORPHISMS OF THE PROJECTIVE UNIMODULAR GROUP

L. K. HUA AND I. REINER

**Notation.** Let  $\mathfrak{M}_n$  denote the group of  $n \times n$  integral matrices of determinant  $\pm 1$  (the unimodular group). By  $\mathfrak{M}_n^+$  we denote that subset of  $\mathfrak{M}_n$  where the determinant is +1;  $\mathfrak{M}_n^-$  is correspondingly defined. Let  $\mathfrak{P}_{2n}$  be obtained from  $\mathfrak{M}_{2n}$  by identifying +X and -X,  $X \in \mathfrak{M}_{2n}$ . (This is the same as considering the factor group of  $\mathfrak{M}_{2n}$  by its centrum.) We correspondingly obtain  $\mathfrak{P}_{2n}^+$  and  $\mathfrak{P}_{2n}^-$  from  $\mathfrak{M}_{2n}^+$  and  $\mathfrak{M}_{2n}^-$ . Let  $I^{(n)}$  (or briefly I) be the identity matrix in  $\mathfrak{M}_n$ , and let X' denote the transpose of X. The direct sum of A and B is represented by  $A \dotplus B$ , while

$$A \stackrel{\circ}{=} B$$

means that A is similar to B.

In this paper we shall find explicitly the generators of the group  $\mathfrak{B}_{2n}$  of all automorphisms of  $\mathfrak{P}_{2n}$ , thereby obtaining a complete description of these automorphisms. This generalizes the result due to Schreier(1) for the case n=1.

We shall frequently refer to results of an earlier paper: Automorphisms of the unimodular group, L. K. Hua and I. Reiner, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. vol. 71 (1951) pp. 331-348. We designate this paper by AUT.

1. The commutator subgroup of  $\mathfrak{P}_{2n}$ . The following useful result is an immediate consequence of the corresponding theorem for  $\mathfrak{M}_{2n}$  (AUT, Theorem 1).

THEOREM 1. Let  $\mathfrak{S}_{2n}$  be the commutator subgroup of  $\mathfrak{P}_{2n}$ . Then clearly  $\mathfrak{S}_{2n} \subset \mathfrak{P}_{2n}^+$ . For n=1,  $\mathfrak{S}_{2n}$  is of index 2 in  $\mathfrak{P}_{2n}^+$ , while for n>1,  $\mathfrak{S}_{2n}=\mathfrak{P}_{2n}^+$ .

THEOREM 2. In any automorphism of  $\mathfrak{P}_{2n}$ , always  $\mathfrak{P}_{2n}^+$  goes into itself.

**Proof.** This is a corollary to Theorem 1 when n>1, since the commutator subgroup goes into itself under any automorphism. For n=1, suppose that  $\pm S \rightarrow \pm S_1$  and  $\pm T \rightarrow \pm T_1$ , where

(1) 
$$S = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since S and T generate  $\mathfrak{M}_{2}^{+}$ , it follows that  $\pm S$  and  $\pm T$  generate  $\mathfrak{P}_{2}^{+}$ ,

Received by the editors May 18, 1951.

<sup>(1)</sup> Abh. Math. Sem. Hamburgischen Univ. vol. 3 (1924) p. 167.

and hence so must  $\pm S_1$  and  $\pm T_1$ . It is therefore sufficient to prove that det  $S_1 = \det T_1 = +1$ . From  $(ST)^3 = I$  we deduce  $S_1T_1 = \pm T_1^{-1}S_1^{-1}T_1^{-1}S_1^{-1}$ , so that det  $S_1T_1 = 1$ . Hence either  $S_1$  and  $T_1$  are both in  $\mathfrak{P}_2^+$  or both in  $\mathfrak{P}_2^-$ ; we shall show that the latter alternative is impossible.

Suppose that det  $S_1 = \det T_1 = -1$ . From  $S^2 = I$  we deduce  $S_1^2 = \pm I$ ; if  $S_1^2 = -I$ , then  $S_1^2 + I = 0$  and the characteristic equation of  $S_1$  is  $\lambda^2 + 1 = 0$ , from which it follows that det  $S_1 = 1$ ; this contradicts our assumption that det  $S_1 = -1$ , so of necessity  $S_1^2 = I$ . But if this is the case, then it is easy to show that there exists a matrix  $A \in \mathfrak{M}_2$  such that  $A S_1 A^{-1}$  takes one of the two canonical forms

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$
 and  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$ .

By considering instead of the original automorphism  $\tau$ , a new automorphism  $\tau'$  defined by:  $X^{\tau'} = AX^{\tau}A^{-1}$ , we may hereafter assume that

$$S_1 = \pm \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$
 or  $\pm \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$ .

Let

$$T_1 = \pm \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix};$$

then ad - bc = -1.

Now we observe that  $J=(1)\dotplus(-1)$  is distinct from  $\pm I$  and  $\pm S$ , that it commutes with S, and that JT is an involution. Hence there exists a matrix  $M \in \mathfrak{P}_2$  distinct from  $\pm I$  and  $\pm S_1$ , such that M commutes with  $S_1$ , and  $MT_1$  is an involution.

Case 1.

$$S_1 = \pm \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since  $(S_1T_1)^3 = \pm I$ , we find that  $a-d=\pm 1$ . The only matrices commuting with  $S_1$  which are distinct from  $\pm I$  and  $\pm S_1$  are

$$\pm \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
 and  $\pm \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ .

If M is either of the first two matrices, then the condition that  $MT_1$  be an involution yields b+c=0. Thus  $a=d\pm 1$ , b=-c, and ad-bc=-1. Combining these, we obtain  $d(d\pm 1)+c^2=-1$ , which is impossible. The other two choices for M imply b=c, and therefore  $d(d\pm 1)-c^2=-1$ . Hence  $1-4(1-c^2)$  is a perfect square; but  $4c^2-3=f^2$  implies (2c+f)(2c-f)=1, whence  $c=\pm 1$ .

But then ad = 0; from  $a - d = \pm 1$  we deduce that  $a^2 - d^2 = \pm 1$ , whence  $(S_1 T_1^2)^3 = \pm I$ , which is impossible.

Case 2.

$$S_1 = \pm \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

From  $(S_1T_1)^3 = \pm I$  we obtain  $a-d+b=\pm 1$ . For M there are the four possibilities

$$\pm \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -2 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$
 and  $\pm \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -2 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$ .

Since  $MT_1$  is an involution, in the first two cases we have a-2c-d=0, whence

$$ad - bc = \{(a + d)^2 + (a - d \pm 1)^2 - 1\}/4 \neq -1.$$

In the second two cases we find that a-2c+b-d=0, so that  $2c=a+b-d=\pm 1$ , which is again a contradiction. This completes the proof of Theorem 2.

2. Automorphisms of  $\mathfrak{P}_2^+$ . Let us now determine all automorphisms of  $\mathfrak{P}_2$ . Since every such automorphism takes  $\mathfrak{P}_2^+$  into itself, we begin by considering all automorphisms of  $\mathfrak{P}_2^+$ .

THEOREM 3. Every automorphism of  $\mathfrak{P}_2^+$  is of the form  $X \in \mathfrak{P}_2^+ \to AXA^{-1}$  for some  $A \in \mathfrak{M}_2$ ; that is, all automorphisms of  $\mathfrak{P}_2^+$  are "inner" (with  $A \in \mathfrak{M}_2$  rather than  $A \in \mathfrak{P}_2^+$ .)

**Proof.** Let  $\tau$  be any automorphism of  $\mathfrak{P}_2^+$ , and define S and T as before; let  $S_0 \in \mathfrak{M}_2$  be a fixed representative of  $\pm S^7$ . By Theorem 2,  $S_0 \in \mathfrak{M}_2^+$ , and therefore  $S_0^2 = -I$ . Let  $T_0$  be that representative of  $\pm T^*$  for which  $(S_0T_0)^3 = I$  is valid. Then  $S \to S_0$ ,  $T \to T_0$  induces a mapping from  $\mathfrak{M}_2^+$  onto itself. The mapping is one-to-one, for although an element of  $\mathfrak{M}_2^+$  can be expressed in many different ways as a product of powers of S and T, these expressions can be gotten from one another by use of  $S^2 = -I$ ,  $(ST)^3 = I$ ; since  $S_0$  and  $T_0$  satisfy these same relations, the mapping is one-to-one. It is an automorphism because  $\tau$  is one. Therefore (AUT, Theorem 2) there exists an  $A \in \mathfrak{M}_2$  such that  $S_0 = \pm ASA^{-1}$ ,  $T_0 = \pm ATA^{-1}$ . This proves the result.

COROLLARY. Every automorphism of  $\mathfrak{P}_2$  is of the form  $X \in \mathfrak{P}_2 \to AXA^{-1}$  for some  $A \in \mathfrak{M}_2$ .

(This corollary is a simple consequence of Theorem 3, as is shown in AUT by the remarks following the statement of Theorem 4.)

3. The generators of  $\mathfrak{B}_{2n}$ . Our main result may be stated as follows:

Theorem 4. The generators of  $\mathfrak{B}_{2n}$  are

(i) The set of all inner automorphisms:

$$\pm X \in \mathfrak{P}_{2n} \to \pm AXA^{-1} \qquad (A \in \mathfrak{M}_{2n}),$$

and

(ii) The automorphism  $\pm X \in \mathfrak{P}_{2n} \to \pm X'^{-1}$ .

REMARK. For n=1, the automorphism (ii) is a special case of (i).

In the proof of Theorem 4 by induction on n, the following lemma (which has already been established for n=1) will be basic:

LEMMA 1. Let  $J_1 = (-1) \dotplus I^{(2n-1)}$ . In any automorphism  $\tau$  of  $\mathfrak{P}_{2n}$ ,  $J_1^{\tau} = \pm A J_1 A^{-1}$  for some  $A \in \mathfrak{M}_{2n}$ .

**Proof.** The result is already known for n=1. Hereafter let  $n \ge 2$ . Certainly  $(J_1^r)^2 = \pm I$  and det  $J_1^r = -1$ . If  $(J_1^r)^2 = -I$ , then the minimum function of  $J_1^r$  is  $\lambda^2 + 1$ , and its characteristic function must be some power of  $\lambda^2 + 1$ , whence det  $J_1^r = 1$ . Therefore  $(J_1^r)^2 = I$  is valid in  $\mathfrak{M}_{2n}$ . After a suitable inner automorphism, we may assume that

$$J_1^{\tau} = W(x, y, z) = L \dotplus \cdots \dotplus L \dotplus (-I)^{(y)} \dotplus I^{(z)},$$

where

$$L = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

occurs x times, 2x+y+z=2n, and x+y is odd. (This follows from AUT, Lemma 1.)

Let  $\mathfrak{G}_1$  be the group consisting of all elements of  $\mathfrak{F}_{2n}$  which commute with  $J_1$ , and  $\mathfrak{G}_2$  the corresponding group for  $J_1$ . The lemma will be proved if we can show that  $\mathfrak{G}_1$  is not isomorphic to  $\mathfrak{G}_2$  unless  $J_1 = \pm J_1$ . The group  $\mathfrak{G}_1$  consists of the matrices  $\pm (1 \dotplus X_1) \in \mathfrak{F}_{2n}$ , so that  $\mathfrak{G}_1 \cong \mathfrak{M}_{2n-1}$ . The number of nonsimilar involutions in  $\mathfrak{G}_1$  is therefore n(n+1) (see AUT, §4). We shall prove that  $\mathfrak{G}_2$  contains more than n(n+1) involutions which are nonsimilar in  $\mathfrak{G}_2$ , except when x=0, y=1, z=2n-1 or x=0, y=2n-1, z=1.

Those elements  $\pm C \in \mathfrak{P}_{2n}$  which commute with W must satisfy one of the two equations: CW = WC or CW = -WC. The solutions of the first of these equations form a subgroup of  $\mathfrak{G}_2$ , and this subgroup is known (see AUT, proof of Lemma 2) to be isomorphic to  $\mathfrak{G}_0 = \mathfrak{G}_0(x, y, z)$  consisting of all matrices in  $\mathfrak{P}_{2n}$  of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} S_1 & 2R_1 \\ Q_1 & T_1 \end{pmatrix} \dotplus \begin{pmatrix} S_2 & Q_2 \\ 2R_2 & T_2 \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $S_1$ ,  $S_2$ ,  $T_1$ , and  $T_2$  are square matrices of dimensions x, x, z, and y respectively, and where  $S_1 \equiv S_2 \pmod{2}$ , 2x+y+z=2n, and x+y and x+z are both odd.

Next we prove that  $\overline{C}W = -W\overline{C}$  is solvable only when y = z. The space

 $\mathfrak U$  of vectors  $\mathfrak u$  such that  $W\mathfrak u=\mathfrak u$  is of dimension x+z, while the space  $\mathfrak V$  of vectors  $\mathfrak v$  for which  $W\mathfrak v=-\mathfrak v$  has dimension x+y. But if  $\overline CW=-W\overline C$ , then  $W\overline C\mathfrak u=-\overline C\mathfrak u$  and  $W\overline C^{-1}\mathfrak v=\overline C^{-1}\mathfrak v$ , so the dimensions of  $\mathfrak U$  and  $\mathfrak V$  must be the same, whence y=z. Hence if  $y\neq z$ , there are no solutions of  $\overline CW=-W\overline C$ ,  $\overline C\in \mathfrak M_{2n}$ .

We may now proceed to find a lower bound for the number of nonsimilar matrices in  $\mathfrak{G}_0(x, y, z)$ . We briefly denote the elements of  $\mathfrak{G}_0$  by  $A \dotplus B$ , where

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} S_1 & 2R_1 \\ O_1 & T_1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad B = \begin{pmatrix} S_2 & Q_2 \\ 2R_2 & T_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

If  $A_1 \dotplus B_1$  and  $A_2 \dotplus B_2$  are two distinct involutions in  $\mathfrak{G}_0$ , where either

$$A_1 \neq A_2$$
 in  $M_{x+z}$  or  $B_1 \neq B_2$  in  $M_{x+y}$ 

then certainly

$$A_1 \dotplus B_1 \not\stackrel{S}{\neq} A_2 \dotplus B_2$$
 in  $\mathfrak{G}_0$ .

Now let

$$A = I^{(a_1)} \dotplus (-I)^{(b_1)} \dotplus L \dotplus \cdots \dotplus L,$$
  

$$B = I^{(a_2)} \dotplus (-I)^{(b_2)} \dotplus L \dotplus \cdots \dotplus L,$$

where L occurs  $c_1$  times in A and  $c_2$  times in B; the various elements A + B gotten by taking different sets of values of  $(a_1, b_1, c_1, a_2, b_2, c_2)$ , if they lie in  $\mathfrak{G}_0$ , are certainly nonsimilar in  $\mathfrak{G}_0$ , except that A + B and (-A) + (-B) are the same element of  $\mathfrak{G}_0$ . Hence the number N of nonsimilar involutions of  $\mathfrak{G}_0$  is at least half of the number  $N_1$  of solutions of

$$a_1 + b_1 + 2c_1 = x + z,$$
  
 $a_2 + b_2 + 2c_2 = x + y,$ 

where if  $x \neq 0$  we impose the restrictions that  $c_1 \leq (z+1)/2$ ,  $c_2 \leq (y+1)/2$ , and that in B instead of L we use L'. (These conditions insure that  $A + B \in \mathfrak{G}_0$ .) As in the previous paper, one readily shows that N > n(n+1) unless  $J_1 = \pm J_1$ . We omit the details.

This leaves only the case where y=z. If  $\overline{C}W=-W\overline{C}$ , then  $\overline{C}^kW=(-1)^kW\overline{C}^k$ ; therefore no odd power of  $\overline{C}$  can be  $\pm I$ . Let p be a prime such that n . Since <math>x+y=n, certainly n is odd, and  $p \ge n+2$ . Now  $\mathfrak{G}_1$  (being isomorphic to  $\mathfrak{M}_{2n-1}$ ) contains infinitely many elements of order p. However,  $\mathfrak{G}_2$  contains only two such elements, since  $\overline{C}^p \ne \pm I$  by the above argument, while if  $C \in \mathfrak{G}_0$  and  $C^p = \pm I$ , then setting  $C = A^{(n)} + B^{(n)}$  shows that  $A^p = \pm I$  and  $B^p = \pm I$ . However,  $A \in \mathfrak{M}_n$ , and if  $A^p = \pm I$ , then the minimum function of A must divide  $\lambda^p \mp 1$ . But the degree of the minimum function is at most n, and therefore is less than p-1, whereas  $\lambda^p \mp 1$  is the

product of a linear factor  $\lambda \mp 1$  and an irreducible factor of degree p-1; thence the minimum function of A is  $\lambda \mp 1$ , so  $A=\pm I$ . In the same way  $B=\pm I$ . Hence the only solutions are  $C=I^{(n)}\dotplus I^{(n)}$  and  $C=-I^{(n)}\dotplus I^{(n)}$ . This completes the proof of the lemma. We remark that the use of the existence of the prime p could have been avoided, but the proof is much quicker this way.

4. **Proof of the main theorem.** We are now ready to prove Theorem 4 by induction on n. Hereafter, let  $n \ge 2$  and assume that Theorem 4 holds for n-1. Let  $\tau$  be any automorphism of  $\mathfrak{P}_{2n}$ ; then by Lemma 1,  $J_1^{\tau} = \pm A J_1 A^{-1}$  for some  $A \in \mathfrak{M}_{2n}$ . If we change  $\tau$  by a suitable inner automorphism, we may assume that  $J_1^{\tau} = \pm J_1$ .

Therefore, every  $M \in \mathfrak{P}_{2n}$  which commutes with  $J_1$  goes into another such element, that is,

$$\pm \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \mathfrak{n}' \\ \mathfrak{n} & X \end{bmatrix}^{\mathsf{r}} = \pm \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \mathfrak{n}' \\ \mathfrak{n} & Y \end{bmatrix},$$

where n denotes a column vector all of whose components are zero, and  $X \in \mathfrak{M}_{2n-1}$ . Thus,  $\tau$  induces an automorphism on  $\mathfrak{M}_{2n-1}$ . Consequently (AUT, Theorem 4) there exists a matrix  $A \in \mathfrak{M}_{2n-1}$  such that  $Y = AX^*A^{-1}$  for all  $X \in \mathfrak{M}_{2n-1}$ , where either  $X^* = X$  for all  $X \in \mathfrak{M}_{2n-1}$  or  $X^* = X'^{-1}$  for all  $X \in \mathfrak{M}_{2n-1}$ . After a further inner automorphism by a factor of  $(1) \dotplus A^{-1}$ , we may assume that  $J_1^r = \pm J_1$  and also that  $X^\tau = Y = X^*$  for all  $X \in \mathfrak{M}_{2n-1}$ .

Let  $J_{\nu}$  be obtained from  $I^{(2n)}$  by replacing the  $\nu$ th diagonal element by -1. Then

$$(J_{1}J_{2n})^{r} = \pm \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}^{r} = \pm \begin{bmatrix} 1 & n' \\ -1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}^{*} \\ = \pm J_{1}J_{2n},$$

so that  $\pm J_{2n}$  is invariant. Similarly, all of the matrices  $\pm J_{\nu}$  ( $\nu = 1, \dots, 2n$ ) are invariant. Therefore for any  $X \in \mathfrak{M}_{2n-1}$  we have

$$\pm \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \mathfrak{n}' \\ \mathfrak{n} & X \end{pmatrix}^{\mathsf{r}} = \pm \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \mathfrak{n}' \\ \mathfrak{n} & A_1 X^* A_1^{-1} \end{pmatrix}, \cdots, \pm \begin{pmatrix} X & \mathfrak{n} \\ \mathfrak{n}' & 1 \end{pmatrix}^{\mathsf{r}} = \pm \begin{pmatrix} A_{2n} X^* A_{2n}^{-1} & \mathfrak{n} \\ \mathfrak{n}' & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

with  $A_{\nu} \in \mathfrak{M}_{2n-1}$ , and in fact  $A_1 = I$ .

Now suppose that  $Z \in \mathfrak{M}_{2n-2}$ , and consider  $\pm (Z \dotplus I^{(2)})$ ; since it commutes with  $J_{2n-1}$  and  $J_{2n}$ , so does its image. But therefore

$$A_{2n}\begin{pmatrix} Z & \mathfrak{n} \\ \mathfrak{n}' & 1 \end{pmatrix} A_{2n}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \overline{Z} & \mathfrak{n} \\ \mathfrak{n}' & 1 \end{pmatrix} ,$$

where  $\overline{Z}$  denotes some matrix in  $\mathfrak{M}_{2n-2}$ . From this one easily deduces that  $A_{2n}$  must be of the form  $B\dotplus(1)$ , with  $B\in\mathfrak{M}_{2n-2}$ . By considering the matrices commuting with  $J_{\nu}$  and  $J_{2n}$  for  $\nu=1,\cdots,2n-2$  we see that  $A_{2n}$  must be diagonal. Furthermore, it is clear that all of the  $A_{\nu}$  ( $\nu=1,\cdots,2n$ ) must be diagonal, and all are sections of one diagonal matrix  $D^{(2n)}$ . Using the further inner automorphism factor  $D^{-1}$ , we find that  $\pm X^{\tau}=\pm X^{*}$  for every decomposable matrix  $\pm X \in \mathfrak{P}_{2n}$ . Since  $\mathfrak{P}_{2n}$  is generated by the set of its decomposable matrices, the theorem is proved.

TSING HUA UNIVERSITY,
PEKING, CHINA.
UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS,
URBANA, ILL.