

# ON THE DIMENSIONS OF THE IRREDUCIBLE MODULES OF LIE ALGEBRAS OF CLASSICAL TYPE<sup>(1)</sup>

BY

CHARLES W. CURTIS

**Introduction.** In this and another paper [4], partial answers are given to two of the questions raised in §II.5 of [3]. Because the results in [4] hold for a more extensive class of Lie algebras of classical type than those considered in this paper, the two questions have been treated separately. Let  $\mathfrak{L}$  be a Lie algebra over an algebraically closed field  $\Omega$  of characteristic  $p > 7$  whose Killing form is nondegenerate, and let  $M$  be an irreducible restricted right  $\mathfrak{L}$ -module. We shall give a computable sufficient condition on  $M$  in order for Weyl's formula [9, p. 359], suitably interpreted, to give the dimension of  $M$  over  $\Omega$ . The problem of calculating the dimension of  $M$  in all cases remains unsolved. In the last section we obtain an upper bound for the dimension of any irreducible restricted right  $\mathfrak{L}$ -module, and from this result it follows that Weyl's formula as we have interpreted it does not always give the dimension of  $M$ .

**1. Definitions and preliminary results.** Familiarity with the papers [1; 2] and [3] is assumed. First we list some notations.

$\Omega$  algebraically closed field of characteristic  $p > 7$ ;

$\mathfrak{L}$  Lie algebra over  $\Omega$  whose Killing form is nondegenerate;

$M$  irreducible restricted right  $\mathfrak{L}$ -module;

$\lambda^*$  maximal weight of  $M$ ;

$\Delta = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_l\}$  a maximal simple system of roots of  $\mathfrak{L}$  with respect to a fixed Cartan subalgebra  $\mathfrak{H}$  (see [2, p. 97]);

$h_i$  the unique element in  $[\mathfrak{L}_{-\alpha_i}, \mathfrak{L}_{\alpha_i}]$  such that  $\alpha_i(h_i) = 2$ .

By Corollary 1, p. 107, of [2],  $\Delta$  is a linearly independent set, and it follows that  $h_1, \dots, h_l$  is a basis of  $\mathfrak{H}$ , so that  $\lambda^*$  is uniquely determined by the vector  $(\lambda^*(h_1), \dots, \lambda^*(h_l))$  with coefficients in the prime field  $\Omega_0$  of  $\Omega$ . By the main theorem of [2, p. 104], there exists a semi-simple Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{L}'$  over the complex field with the following properties. Let  $\mathfrak{H}'$  be a Cartan subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{L}'$ . Then there exists a one-to-one mapping  $\alpha \rightarrow \alpha'$  of the set of roots of  $\mathfrak{L}$  with respect to  $\mathfrak{H}$  onto the set of roots of  $\mathfrak{L}'$  with respect to  $\mathfrak{H}'$  which is compatible with the operations of addition and subtraction defined on the system of roots. If  $\Delta' = \{\alpha'_1, \dots, \alpha'_l\}$  then  $\Delta'$  is a maximal simple system of roots of  $\mathfrak{L}'$ . For each root  $\alpha'$  of  $\mathfrak{L}'$ , let  $H_{\alpha'}$  be the unique element in  $[\mathfrak{L}'_{-\alpha'}, \mathfrak{L}'_{\alpha'}]$  such that  $\alpha'(H_{\alpha'}) = 2$ , and let  $H_i = H_{\alpha'_i}$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq l$ . We can choose

Received by the editors August 26, 1959.

<sup>(1)</sup> This research was supported in part by the Office of Naval Research.

$E_{\alpha'} \in \mathfrak{L}'_{\alpha'}$  such that  $[E_{-\alpha'}, E_{\alpha'}] = H_{\alpha'}$  for all  $\alpha'$ , and the elements  $E_{\alpha'}$  together with the  $H_{i, 1 \leq i \leq l}$ , form a basis  $(X_i)$  of  $\mathfrak{L}'$  such that all the constants of structure of this basis belong to the ring  $Z_p$  of  $p$ -adic integers (see [2, pp. 102–104]). Let  $\mathfrak{L}'_0$  be the Lie ring  $\sum Z_p X_i$ ; then  $\mathfrak{L}'_0/p\mathfrak{L}'_0$  is a Lie algebra over  $\Omega_0$  which becomes isomorphic to  $\mathfrak{L}$  upon extension of the base field from  $\Omega_0$  to  $\Omega$ .

Let  $\mathfrak{F}$  be the set of *integral linear functions* on  $\mathfrak{L}'$  i.e.  $\mathfrak{F}$  consists of those linear functions  $\lambda$  such that  $\lambda(H_i)$  is a rational integer for  $1 \leq i \leq l$ . The dominant integral functions are those such that  $\lambda(H_i) \geq 0$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq l$ . The elements of  $\mathfrak{F}$  span a vector space over the field of rational numbers, and this vector space carries a scalar product whose value at the pair  $\lambda, \mu$  in  $\mathfrak{F}$  is given as follows. Let  $B(X, Y)$  be the Killing form on  $\mathfrak{L}'$ , and for each  $\lambda \in \mathfrak{F}$  let  $J_\lambda$  be the unique element in  $\mathfrak{L}'$  such that  $\lambda(H) = B(J_\lambda, H)$  for all  $H$  in  $\mathfrak{L}'$ . The value of the scalar product of  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$  is given by  $\langle \lambda, \mu \rangle = B(J_\lambda, J_\mu)$ , and it is known that  $\langle \lambda, \mu \rangle$  is a rational number for  $\lambda, \mu$  in  $\mathfrak{F}$ . Because in this case the Killing form is nondegenerate modulo  $p$  we shall prove that we have

$$(1) \quad \langle \lambda, \mu \rangle \in Z_p, \quad \lambda, \mu \in \mathfrak{F}.$$

Let  $\lambda \in \mathfrak{F}$ ; then  $\lambda = \sum_{i=1}^l q_i \alpha'_i$  where the  $q_i$  are rational numbers. Consider the system of equations

$$\lambda(H_j) = \sum_{i=1}^l q_i \alpha'_i(H_j), \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, l.$$

The numbers  $\lambda(H_j)$  belong to  $Z_p$ , and the  $\alpha'_i(H_j)$  are elements of  $Z_p$  such that  $\det(\alpha'_i(H_j)) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$  (see [1, p. 164]). It follows that the  $q_i \in Z_p$  for all  $i$ , and since  $\langle \alpha'_i, \alpha'_j \rangle \in Z_p^{(2)}$ ,  $1 \leq i, j \leq l$ , we have proved (1).

Now let  $\{H_i\}$  and  $\{K_i\}$  be dual bases of  $\mathfrak{L}'$  with respect to the Killing form, and choose elements  $F_{\alpha'} \in \mathfrak{L}'$  such that  $[F_{-\alpha'}, F_{\alpha'}] = J_{\alpha'}$  for each root  $\alpha' \neq 0$ . We then form the *Casimir element*

$$\Gamma = \sum_{\alpha' \neq 0} F_{-\alpha'} F_{\alpha'} + \sum_{i=1}^l H_i K_i,$$

which is known to belong to the center of the universal associative algebra  $U(\mathfrak{L}')$  of  $\mathfrak{L}'$ . For each irreducible finite dimensional right  $\mathfrak{L}'$ -module  $V$  with maximal weight  $\Lambda$ , we have

$$v\Gamma = \gamma(\Lambda)v \quad v \in V,$$

where  $\gamma(\Lambda)$  is a fixed nonnegative rational number which is given explicitly by

$$(2) \quad \gamma(\Lambda) = \langle \Lambda, \Lambda \rangle + \sum_{\alpha' > 0} \langle \Lambda, \alpha' \rangle = \langle \Lambda, \Lambda + 2\rho \rangle$$

---

(2) To prove this assertion, we begin with the known result that  $2\langle \alpha'_i, \alpha'_j \rangle \langle \alpha'_j, \alpha'_i \rangle^{-1}$  is a rational integer for all  $i$  and  $j$ . Then by the argument in the proof of Proposition 6, p. 104 of [2], we know that  $\langle \alpha'_i, \alpha'_j \rangle = B(J_{\alpha'_i}, J_{\alpha'_j})$  is a unit in  $Z_p$ , and hence  $\langle \alpha'_i, \alpha'_j \rangle \in Z_p$  as required.

where  $\rho$  is one half the sum of the positive roots of  $\mathfrak{L}'$  with respect to  $\mathfrak{S}'$ . The number  $\gamma(\lambda)$  is defined by (2) for every dominant integral function  $\lambda$ , and is by (1) an element of  $Z_p$ . In particular if  $\lambda$  is a dominant integral function which is a weight of  $V$ , then it is known [5, p. 373] that

$$(3) \quad \gamma(\lambda) < \gamma(\Lambda), \quad \lambda \neq \Lambda.$$

Any  $\mathfrak{L}'$ -module  $V$  is also a completely reducible module for the three dimensional simple subalgebra  $\mathfrak{u}_{\alpha'}$  of  $\mathfrak{L}'$  with basis  $E_{\alpha'}$ ,  $E_{-\alpha'}$ ,  $H_{\alpha'}$ , where  $\alpha'$  is any nonzero root. This fact together with the explicit determination of the irreducible  $\mathfrak{u}_{\alpha'}$ -modules will be used frequently throughout the paper to obtain information about the linear transformations on  $V$  induced by  $E_{\alpha'}$  and  $E_{\alpha'}E_{-\alpha'}$ . For any  $\alpha' \neq 0$ , the irreducible modules of  $\mathfrak{u}_{\alpha'}$  are described as follows. There exists one and only one module of dimension  $k$  for each  $k=1, 2, \dots$ , and if  $[v_0, v_1, \dots, v_{k-1}]$  is a basis for this module, the action of  $\mathfrak{u}_{\alpha'}$  is given by

$$(4) \quad \begin{aligned} v_i E_{-\alpha'} &= v_{i+1}, & 0 \leq i \leq k-2; & & v_{k-1} E_{-\alpha'} &= 0; \\ v_i E_{\alpha'} &= i(k-i)v_{i-1}, & & & 0 \leq i \leq k-1; \\ v_i H_{\alpha'} &= (k-1-2i)v_i, & & & 0 \leq i \leq k-1. \end{aligned}$$

From these formulas it is immediate that  $VE_{\alpha'}^m = 0$  for all roots  $\alpha' \neq 0$  if and only if

$$l(V) = \max_{\alpha', \lambda} |\lambda(H_{\alpha'})| < m,$$

where the maximum is taken over all roots  $\alpha' \neq 0$  and all weight  $\lambda$  of  $V$  (see [6, p. 306]).

All these preparations are brought to bear on the irreducible  $\mathfrak{L}$ -module  $M$  by means of the following definition.

**DEFINITION.** Let  $\Lambda$  be the dominant integral function such that  $\Lambda(H_i)$  is the integer,  $0 \leq \Lambda(H_i) < p$ , whose residue modulo  $p$  is equal to  $\lambda^*(h_i)$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq l$ . Let  $V$  be the irreducible  $\mathfrak{L}'$ -module whose maximal weight is  $\Lambda$ . Then  $V$  is called the *associated module* of  $M$ .

Now we can state the principal result of the paper.

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $M$  be an irreducible restricted  $\mathfrak{L}$ -module whose associated module  $V$  satisfies the following conditions: (i)  $l(V) < p$ ; and (ii) for any dominant weight  $\lambda$  different from the maximal weight  $\Lambda$  of  $V$ ,  $\gamma(\lambda) \not\equiv \gamma(\Lambda) \pmod{p}$ . Then we have  $\dim M = \dim V$ , and this dimension is given by Weyl's formula*

$$(5) \quad \dim M = \dim V = \prod_{\alpha' > 0} \frac{(\Lambda + \rho)(H_{\alpha'})}{\rho(H_{\alpha'})}.$$

**2. Proof of Theorem 1.** We remark first that in view of (3) the hypothesis (ii) amounts to the assumption that the inequalities (3) cannot be replaced

by congruence modulo  $p$ . We point out also that it is sufficient to prove that  $\dim M = \dim V$ , since the formula (5) is known to give the dimension of  $V$ .

We begin with an observation about modules over  $Z_p$ . Let  $Q$  be a free  $Z_p$ -module with basis  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ . A set of vectors  $y_1, \dots, y_m$  is called a  $p$ -independent set provided that  $\sum a_i y_i \in pQ$  implies  $a_i \in pZ_p$  for any set of  $a_i$  from  $Z_p$ . We shall prove that a set  $y_1, \dots, y_n$  of vectors in  $Q$  is a  $Z_p$ -basis if the  $y_i$  are  $p$ -independent. Let  $y_i = \sum a_{ij} x_j$ ,  $a_{ij} \in Z_p$ . Because the  $y_i$ 's are  $p$ -independent, we have  $\det (a_{ij}) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ . Since an element of  $Z_p$  not divisible by  $p$  is a unit, the matrix  $(a_{ij})$  is invertible in the set of  $n$  by  $n$  matrices with coefficients in  $Z_p$ . From this it follows that  $y_1, \dots, y_n$  is a  $Z_p$ -basis of  $Q$ .

Now let  $V$  be the associated module of  $M$ , and let  $v$  be a maximal vector in  $V$ . Let  $V_0$  be the smallest  $Z_p$ -submodule of  $V$  containing  $v$  and invariant relative to  $\mathfrak{L}'_0$ . Then  $V_0$  is a finitely generated free  $Z_p$ -module which spans  $V$  over the complex field, and by the argument on p. 170 of [1],  $V_0$  is generated by vectors of the form  $vE_{\gamma'_1} \cdots E_{\gamma'_s}$ ,  $s \geq 0$ , where the  $\gamma'_i$  are negative roots. Because these vectors are all weight vectors it follows that

$$(6) \quad V_0 = \sum_{\lambda} \oplus (V_0 \cap V_{\lambda})$$

where the sum is taken over the weights  $\lambda$  of  $V$ . From (6) it is clear that  $V_0$  has a  $Z_p$ -basis consisting of weight vectors.

**LEMMA 1.** Suppose that  $l(V) < p$ , and let  $\alpha_i \in \Delta$ . Then  $V_0$  has a  $Z_p$ -basis  $(v_j^{(i)})$   $1 \leq j \leq \dim V$  of weight vectors  $v_j^{(i)}$  which are proper vectors of  $E_{\alpha'_i}, E_{-\alpha'_i}$ , with proper values which are either zero or units in  $Z_p$ .

**Proof.** We begin with some known facts about representation of the three dimensional simple Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{u}_{\alpha'_i}$ , which are more or less immediate consequences of the formulas (4). Let  $v \in V_{\lambda}$  be a weight vector such that  $vE_{\alpha'_i} = 0$ . Then the vectors  $\{vE_{-\alpha'_i}^k\}$ ,  $k \geq 0$ , generate an irreducible  $\mathfrak{u}_{\alpha'_i}$ -submodule of  $V$ . Because  $0 \leq \lambda(H_i) \leq l(V) < p$ ,  $vE_{-\alpha'_i}^p = 0$  and the nonzero vectors  $vE_{-\alpha'_i}^k$  are weight vectors, and are proper vectors of  $E_{\alpha'_i}, E_{-\alpha'_i}$ , whose proper values are either zero or units in  $Z_p$ . Now let  $N^i$  be the null space of  $E_{\alpha'_i}$  in  $V$ , and let  $N_0^i = N^i \cap V_0$ . We prove first that

$$(7) \quad N_0^i = \sum_{\lambda} \oplus (N^i \cap V_{\lambda} \cap V_0).$$

It is sufficient to prove that  $N_0^i$  is contained in the sum on the right hand side. Let  $v \in N_0^i$ ; then by (6),  $v = \sum v_{\lambda}$  where the  $v_{\lambda} \in V_{\lambda} \cap V_0$ , and the  $\lambda$ 's are distinct weights. Applying  $E_{\alpha'_i}$  we have

$$0 = vE_{\alpha'_i} = \sum_{\lambda} v_{\lambda} E_{\alpha'_i},$$

and since the  $v_{\lambda} E_{\alpha'_i}$  belong to the distinct weights  $\lambda + \alpha'_i$ , we have  $v_{\lambda} E_{\alpha'_i} = 0$  for all  $\lambda$ , and the  $v_{\lambda} \in N^i \cap V_{\lambda} \cap V_0$  as required.

Because of (7) we can find a  $Z_p$ -basis of  $N^i$  consisting of weight vectors  $w_j$ ; then the  $w_j$  span  $N^i$  over the complex field. From the formulas (4) and the fact that  $V$  is a completely reducible  $\mathfrak{U}_{-\alpha'_i}$ -module, it follows that the nonzero vectors  $w_j E_{-\alpha'_i}^k$  are linearly independent and span  $V$  over the complex field. These vectors satisfy all the requirements of the lemma if we can prove that they are  $p$ -independent, for then by the remark preceding Lemma 1, they will form a  $Z_p$ -basis of  $V_0$ . Let

$$w = \sum a_{jk} w_j E_{-\alpha'_i}^k \in pV_0, \quad a_{jk} \in Z_p.$$

Write  $w$  in the form

$$w = \sum a_{jN} w_j E_{-\alpha'_i}^N + \sum a_{j,N-1} w_j E_{-\alpha'_i}^{N-1} + \cdots;$$

then from the formulas (4) we have

$$w E_{\alpha'_i}^N = \sum a_{jN} \zeta_{jN} w_j \in pV_0,$$

where the  $\zeta_{jN}$  are units in  $Z_p$ . Because the  $w_j$  are  $p$ -independent we have  $a_{jN} \in pZ_p$ , and the terms  $\sum a_{jN} w_j E_{-\alpha'_i}^N$  can be dropped from the expression for  $w$ . Continuing in this way we see that the  $w_j E_{-\alpha'_i}^k$  are  $p$ -independent, and Lemma 1 is proved.

**LEMMA 2.** *Let  $M$  be an irreducible restricted  $\mathfrak{L}$ -module with maximal weight  $\lambda^*$ , and let  $V$  be the associated module  $M$ . Then  $\bar{V} = (V_0/pV_0)^\Omega$  is a (not necessarily restricted)  $\mathfrak{L}$ -module, and  $\bar{V}$  has a restricted homomorphic image  $R$  which is  $\mathfrak{L}$ -isomorphic to  $M^{(*)}$ .*

**Proof.** We introduce first some notation which will be used here and later in the proof of the theorem. Let  $X \rightarrow X^*$  be the natural mapping of  $\mathfrak{L}'_0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{L}'_0/p\mathfrak{L}'_0$ . Because  $(\mathfrak{L}'_0/p\mathfrak{L}'_0)^\Omega = \mathfrak{L}$ , we may regard the  $X^*$  as elements of  $\mathfrak{L}$  which span  $\mathfrak{L}$  over  $\Omega$ . For any  $\eta \in Z_p$  we let  $\eta^*$  be the image of  $\eta$  under the natural map of  $Z_p \rightarrow \Omega_0$ . The elements  $H_i^*$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq l$  form a basis of  $\mathfrak{H}$ , and we may assume that  $\mathfrak{L}_\alpha = \Omega E_\alpha^*$  for all roots  $\alpha \neq 0$ . We shall denote the image of  $v$  in  $V_0$  under the natural map of  $V_0 \rightarrow V_0/pV_0$  by  $[v]$ .  $\bar{V}$  becomes a right  $\mathfrak{L}$ -module if we define

$$a^*[v] = [av], \quad a \in Z_p, \quad v \in V_0,$$

and

$$[v]X^* = [vX], \quad v \in V_0, \quad X \in \mathfrak{L}'_0.$$

If  $\Lambda$  is the maximal weight of  $V$  and  $v_0$  the maximal vector in  $V_0$  which generates  $V_0$ , then  $[v_0]$  is a maximal vector in  $\bar{V}$  of weight  $\lambda^*$ . Let  $S$  be a maximal  $\mathfrak{L}$ -submodule of  $\bar{V}$  which does not contain  $[v_0]$ ; then  $R = \bar{V}/S$  is an irreducible

(\*) This lemma is an improvement of Theorem 6 of [1].

$\mathfrak{L}$ -module with maximal weight  $\lambda^*$ . If we can show that  $R$  is a restricted  $\mathfrak{L}$ -module, then  $R \cong M$  by Theorem 1 of [3]. It is sufficient to prove that  $R(E_{\alpha'}^*)^p = 0$  for all roots  $\alpha'$  and  $R[(H_i^*)^p - H_i^*] = 0$ . In any case  $(E_{\alpha'}^*)^p$  belongs to the centralizer of  $R$ , so that by Schur's Lemma  $(E_{\alpha'}^*)^p = \xi \cdot 1$  for some  $\xi \in \Omega$ . On the other hand,  $E_{\alpha'}$  is nilpotent on  $V$ , hence  $E_{\alpha'}^*$  is nilpotent on  $R$ , and we have  $\xi = 0$ . In order to discuss  $(H_i^*)^p - H_i^*$ , we recall that  $\bar{V}$  is spanned by the elements  $\bar{v} = [v_0]E_{\gamma_1}^*, \dots, E_{\gamma_s}^*$ , and for such an element we have

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{v}H_i^* &= [v_0]E_{\gamma_1}^*, \dots, E_{\gamma_s}^*, H_i^* = [v_0E_{\gamma_1}, \dots, E_{\gamma_s}, H_i] \\ &= [\mu(H_i)v_0] = \mu(H_i)^*v_0\end{aligned}$$

where  $\mu = \Lambda + \gamma_1' + \dots + \gamma_s'$  and  $\Lambda$  is the maximal weight of  $V$ . Since  $\mu(H_i)^* \in \Omega_0$ ,  $\bar{v}(H_i^*)^p - \bar{v}H_i^* = 0$ , and we have proved that  $R$  is restricted. This completes the proof of Lemma 2.

Now consider the Casimir element  $\Gamma$ . Since we can express  $F_{\alpha'} = 2^{-1}\langle \alpha', \alpha' \rangle E_{\alpha'}, \alpha' > 0$ ,  $F_{-\alpha'} = E_{-\alpha'}, \alpha' > 0$ , and solve the equations  $K_i = \sum b_{ij}H_j$  for  $b_{ij} \in Z_p$  because  $B(K_i, H_j) = \delta_{ij}, \dots$ , we can assume that the elements  $F_{\alpha'}, H_i$ , and  $K_i$  all belong to  $\mathfrak{L}_0'$ . Therefore  $\Gamma^* = \sum F_{-\alpha'}^* F_{\alpha'}^* + \sum H_i^* K_i^*$  is an element of the center of the universal associative algebra  $U(\mathfrak{L})$  of  $\mathfrak{L}$ .

**LEMMA 3.** *Let  $Q$  be a restricted right  $\mathfrak{L}$ -module and let  $N$  be an irreducible composition factor of  $Q$ . Suppose that  $\Gamma^* = \delta \cdot 1$  on  $Q$  for some  $\delta \in \Omega$ . Then  $\delta = \gamma(\mu)^*$ , where  $\mu$  is the maximal weight of the associated module  $W$  of  $N$ .*

**Proof.** On  $W$  we have  $\Gamma = \gamma(\mu) \cdot 1$ . Therefore on  $\bar{W} = (W_0/pW_0)^\Omega$  we have  $\Gamma^* = \gamma(\mu)^* \cdot 1$ . By Lemma 2,  $\bar{W}$  has a homomorphic image isomorphic to  $N$ , and it follows at once from this that  $\delta = \gamma(\mu)^*$ .

Finally we come to the proof of the theorem. Because  $l(V) < p$ , we have  $V_0(E_{\alpha'}^*)^p = 0$  for all roots  $\alpha'$ , and it follows that  $\bar{V}$  is a restricted  $\mathfrak{L}$ -module. We suppose the theorem is false; then  $\bar{V}$  contains a proper submodule  $T$ . The enveloping algebra of the transformations  $E_{\alpha'}^*, \alpha' > 0$ , on  $T$  is a nilpotent algebra, so that  $T$  contains a maximal vector  $[w] \neq 0$ . We may assume that  $[w]$  is a weight vector. Then we can express  $w = A + B + \dots$ , where  $A \in V_0 \cap V_{\lambda_A}, B \in V_0 \cap V_{\lambda_B}, \dots$ , and where  $\lambda_A(H_i) \equiv \lambda_B(H_i) \pmod{p}$  for  $i = 1, \dots, l$ . We may assume also that none of the components  $A, B, \dots$  of  $w$  lie in  $pV_0$ . For  $\alpha_i \in \Delta$ , let  $\{v_j^{(i)}\}$  be the  $Z_p$ -basis of  $V_0$  chosen according to Lemma 1, and let  $A = \sum a_j v_j^{(i)}$ , for example, where the  $v_j^{(i)}$  all have weight  $\lambda_A$ . Because  $[w]$  is a maximal vector in  $\bar{V}$ , we have  $[w]E_{\alpha_i}^* = [wE_{\alpha_i}] = 0$ , and hence  $AE_{\alpha_i}, E_{-\alpha_i} = \sum a_j \mu_j v_j^{(i)} \in pV_0$ , where the  $\mu_j$  are either zero or units in  $Z_p$ . Therefore  $a_j \mu_j \in pZ_p$ , and if  $\mu_j \neq 0$ , then  $a_j \in pZ_p$ . Since  $A \notin pV_0$ , at least one  $\mu_j = 0$ . By Lemma 1 and the formulas (4), the basis vectors  $v_j^{(i)}$  for which  $v_j^{(i)} E_{\alpha_i}, E_{-\alpha_i} = 0$  are among those for which  $v_j^{(i)} H_i = \lambda v_j^{(i)}$  for a non-negative  $\lambda$ , and it follows that  $\lambda_A(H_i) \geq 0$ . Similarly  $\lambda_B(H_i) \geq 0$ , etc. and the weights  $\lambda_A, \lambda_B$  are dominant weights of  $V$ . Because  $l(V) < p$ , no two dominant weights are con-

gruent modulo  $p$ , and it follows that we may assume that  $w = A \in V_{\lambda_A}$ , where  $\lambda_A$  is a dominant weight different from  $\Lambda$ . If  $\lambda'$  is the weight of  $[w]$  then  $T$  has a restricted irreducible composition factor  $N$  whose maximal weight is  $\lambda'$ . Then  $\lambda_A$  is the maximal weight of the associated module of  $N$ . On  $\bar{V}$  we have  $\Gamma^* = \gamma(\Lambda)^* \cdot 1$ , and applying Lemma 3 we obtain  $\gamma(\Lambda)^* = \gamma(\lambda_A)^*$ . This contradicts the hypothesis (ii) of the theorem. Therefore  $\bar{V}$  is irreducible, restricted, and is isomorphic to  $M$  by Theorem 1 of [3]. Thus  $\dim M = \dim V$  and Theorem 1 is proved.

**3. Remarks and examples.** We begin with the following estimate of  $\dim M$  for any irreducible module  $M$ .

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $M$  be an irreducible restricted  $\mathfrak{L}$ -module and let  $x_0$  be a maximal vector of  $M$ . For each positive root  $\alpha$ , let  $m_\alpha$  be the integer such that  $x_0 e_{-\alpha}^{m_\alpha} \neq 0$ ,  $x_0 e_{-\alpha}^{m_\alpha+1} = 0$ . Then*

$$(8) \quad \dim M \leq \prod_{\alpha > 0} (m_\alpha + 1).$$

**Proof.** Let  $A$  be the universal associative algebra of  $\mathfrak{L}$  regarded as an ordinary Lie algebra (i.e. not taking account of the  $p$ -power operation). Then  $M$  is an irreducible  $A$ -module, and the argument given by Harish-Chandra [7, p. 52] can be applied almost verbatim to prove (8).

Now let  $V$  be the associated module of  $M$ , and let  $\Lambda$  be the maximal weight of  $V$ . For each  $\alpha' > 0$ , let  $m_{\alpha'}$  be the non-negative integer  $< p$  such that  $\Lambda(H_{\alpha'}) \equiv m_{\alpha'} \pmod{p}$ . Then it is easily proved that  $m_\alpha = m_{\alpha'}$ .

Now let  $\mathfrak{L}'$  be the simple Lie algebra of type  $A_2$  defined over the complex field, and let  $H_{\alpha'_1}, H_{\alpha'_2}$  be the basis of the Cartan subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{L}'$  corresponding to the roots  $\alpha'_1, \alpha'_2$  in a maximal simple system of roots, where  $\alpha'_1(H_{\alpha'_1}) = \alpha'_2(H_{\alpha'_2}) = 2$ . Then  $H_{\alpha'_1+\alpha'_2} = H_{\alpha'_1} + H_{\alpha'_2}$ , and it follows that if  $\Lambda$  is a dominant integral function such that  $\Lambda(H_{\alpha'_i}) = a_i$ ,  $i=1, 2$ , then the dimension of the irreducible  $\mathfrak{L}'$ -module  $V$  whose maximal weight is  $\Lambda$  is given by

$$(9) \quad \dim V = \prod_{\alpha' > 0} \frac{(\Lambda + \rho)(H_{\alpha'})}{\rho(H_{\alpha'})} = \frac{1}{2} (a_1 + 1)(a_2 + 1)(a_1 + a_2 + 2),$$

where  $\rho = (\sum_{\alpha' > 0} \alpha')/2$  (see also [9, p. 289]).

If  $p \neq 3$ , then the Killing form of  $\mathfrak{L}'$  is nondegenerate modulo  $p$ , and it is easily shown by means of (8) and (9) that for any  $p > 7$  (so that the general theory is applicable) there exist irreducible restricted  $\mathfrak{L}$ -modules  $M$  with associated modules  $V$  such that  $\dim M \neq \dim V$ . In fact, it is enough to choose  $\Lambda$  such that  $a_1 + a_2 = p$ ,  $a_i > 0$ ,  $i=1, 2$ . Let  $V$  be the irreducible module whose maximal weight is  $\Lambda$ , and  $M$  the irreducible restricted  $(\mathfrak{L}'_0/p\mathfrak{L}'_0)^{\mathfrak{n}}$  module whose maximal weight is  $\lambda^*$ , where  $\lambda^*(H_i^*) = a_i^*$ ,  $i=1, 2$ . Then since  $H_{\alpha'_1+\alpha'_2}^* = H_{\alpha'_1}^* + H_{\alpha'_2}^*$ , we have  $\lambda^*(H_{\alpha'_1+\alpha'_2}^*) = a_1^* + a_2^* = 0$ , and by (8)

$$\dim M \leq (a_1 + 1)(a_2 + 1) < \dim V.$$

It is also worthwhile pointing out where our argument in the proof of Theorem 1 fails to apply to arbitrary Lie algebras of classical type in the sense of [8]. For the simple Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  of classical type of class  $A_n$  with  $p \mid n+1$ , the Killing form of  $\mathfrak{g}$  is degenerate. In this case  $\mathfrak{g}$  is not a modular Lie algebra coming from a complex simple Lie algebra of the same type. Therefore the apparatus of the associated modules and the Casimir element  $\Gamma^*$  as we have used it does not seem to be available.

## REFERENCES

1. C. W. Curtis, *Modular Lie algebra I*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. vol. 82 (1956) pp. 160–179.
2. ———, *Modular Lie algebra II*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. vol. 86 (1957) pp. 91–108.
3. ———, *Representations of Lie algebras of classical type*, J. Math. Mech. vol. 9 (1960) pp. 307–326.
4. ———, *On projective representations of certain finite groups*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., to appear.
5. H. Freudenthal, *Zur Berechnung der Charaktere der halbeinfachen Lieschen Gruppen I*, Nederl. Akad. Wetensch. Proc. Ser. A. vol. 57 (1954) pp. 369–376.
6. Harish-Chandra, *Lie algebras and the Tannaka duality theorem*, Ann. of Math. vol. 51 (1950) pp. 299–330.
7. ———, *On some applications of the universal enveloping algebra of a semi-simple Lie algebra*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. vol. 70 (1951) pp. 28–96.
8. W. H. Mills and G. B. Seligman, *Lie algebras of classical type*, J. Math. Mech. vol. 6 (1957) pp. 519–548.
9. H. Weyl, *Selecta*, Basel, 1956.

UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN,  
MADISON, WISCONSIN  
CORNELL UNIVERSITY,  
ITHACA, NEW YORK