CLOSED IDEALS IN THE GROUP ALGEBRA

$$L^1(G) \cap L^2(G)^{(1)}$$

BY

C. ROBERT WARNER

0. Introduction. In the following, G will denote a locally compact abelian topological group with character group $\hat{G}$. For $1 \leq p < \infty$, $L^p(G)$ is the Banach space of all complex-valued functions whose p th powers are Haar integrable over G . ($L^p(G)$ is often written L^p when the group G is obvious from the context.) The linear space $L^1(G) \cap L^2(G)$ (denoted $L^1 \cap L^2$) is normed in such a way that, under convolution as multiplication, it is a commutative Banach algebra (§2). It is also proved in §2 that it is regular, semi-simple and that its regular maximal ideal space is $\hat{G}$. It is shown (§3) that the abstract Šilov theorem [8, p. 86] holds for $L^1 \cap L^2$. The standard proof of this theorem in $L^1(G)$ seems to depend upon the uniform boundedness of the approximate identity. A novel aspect of the $L^1 \cap L^2$ case is that a similar proof is obtained despite the fact that every approximate identity in $L^1 \cap L^2$ is unbounded.

An important but unsolved problem of harmonic analysis is the classification of the closed ideals in $L^1(G)$. Using the additional structure supplied by $L^1 \cap L^2$ it is to be expected that more precise results can be obtained about the closed ideals in $L^1 \cap L^2$. If G and $\hat{G}$ are both locally compact metric abelian groups, examples of the more precise results that can be obtained are: (a) If I is a closed proper ideal in $L^1 \cap L^2$, then there exists an $x \in I$ such that the hull of x and the hull of I coincide except for a set of measure zero (Theorem 7.2). (b) For every closed invariant proper subspace $N \subset L^2(G)$, $N \cap L^1 = k(h(N \cap L^1))$ (Corollary 2 of Theorem 7.4). This permits a new characterization of the kernel of E for a class of perfect sets $E \subset \hat{G}$. (A. Denjoy terms these sets “épais en lui-même” in *Leçons sur le calcul des coefficients d’une série trigonométrique*, Paris, 1941, 2ième Partie, p. 100.) (c) The set $\mathcal{I}$ of all closed proper ideals in $L^1 \cap L^2$ which are

Presented to the Society, April 18, 1962 under the title *The Banach algebra $L^1(G) \cap L^2(G)$* ; received by the editors August 5, 1963 and, in revised form, April 22, 1965.

(1) The present paper is based upon the author’s doctoral dissertation (University of Rochester, June 1962). Research on that dissertation was supported in part by the National Science Foundation under grants 613987 and 617774.

The author wishes to express his gratitude to Professor William F. Eberlein for his assistance and encouragement during the preparation of this paper. The author is also indebted to Professor Walter Rudin who originated this research, and to Professor George Maltese for substantial assistance in this revision.

not dense in L^2 is characterized as the set of all closed proper ideals I such that the hull of I has positive measure.

In §6 it is shown (still under the assumption that G is locally compact metric abelian) that if $E \subset \hat{G}$ is a closed set, then E is the hull of a principal ideal in $L^1 \cap L^2$ iff E is a G_δ . The theorem holds under rather more general circumstances⁽²⁾; in particular, it holds in $L^1(G)$. It follows (from 6.2 and 6.3) that if G and $\hat{G}$ are both locally compact metric abelian, then a hull E for which spectral synthesis holds (if I is any closed ideal having hull E , then I is the kernel of E), must be a closed G_δ set. Consequently, the only instances of Helson's Theorem⁽³⁾ [4] are given by principal ideals.

1. Preliminaries and notation. The following two theorems are useful in the sequel:

THEOREM 1.1. *If G is a locally compact group, then G is normal, and the family of compact neighborhoods of the identity is a basis for the neighborhood system of G at the identity (Kelley [7, 5Y, 5.32 and 5.17]).*

THEOREM 1.2. *If G is a locally compact abelian group whose character group is $\hat{G}$, then the following are equivalent;*

- (a) G is metrizable;
- (b) The neighborhood system for the identity $e \in G$ has a countable basis;
- (c) $\hat{G}$ is σ -compact.

Proof. That (a) and (b) are equivalent is proved in Kelley [7, p. 186]. That (b) and (c) are equivalent is proved in Hewitt and Ross [5, p. 397] (actually a more general result is proved).

The notations and definitions in this work are, in general, those of Loomis [8]. In particular, $L(E)$ will denote the set of all continuous functions having compact support in E . Also, if A is any set of functions, then A^+ will denote the set of non-negative functions in A .

2. The Banach algebra $L^1 \cap L^2$. Let $L^1 \cap L^2$ denote the linear space $L^1(G) \cap L^2(G)$ and observe that the function defined by the equation $\|x\| = \|x\|_1 + \|x\|_2$ for each $x \in L^1 \cap L^2$ is a norm. If multiplication is defined by convolution, it follows that $L^1 \cap L^2$ is a commutative Banach algebra. The conjugate space of $L^1 \cap L^2$ is also obtained in this section. The ideal, S , of $L^1 \cap L^2$ -functions whose Fourier transforms have compact support is shown to be dense in $L^1 \cap L^2$ and the regular maximal ideal space of $L^1 \cap L^2$ is found to be $\hat{G}$.

(2) What is required is that A should be a commutative regular semi-simple Banach algebra whose regular maximal ideal space is σ -compact.

(3) If I is a closed proper ideal in $L^1(G)$ such that the boundary of the hull of I contains no nonempty perfect subset, then $I = k(h(I))$.

DEFINITIONS 2.1. Let μ denote Haar measure on G . The set $E \subset G$ is locally null if for every compact set $C \subset G$, $\mu(E \cap C) = 0$. If x, y are μ -measurable functions defined on G such that the set $\{s \in G \mid x(s) \neq y(s)\}$ is locally null, then $x = y$ l.a.e. (locally almost everywhere). Let LL^∞ denote the equivalence classes of functions which are l.a.e. equal.

THEOREM 2.2. *The linear space $L^1 \cap L^2$ is a Banach space.*

Proof. We have only to show that $L^1 \cap L^2$ is complete. Suppose $\{x_n\} \subset L^1 \cap L^2$ is an $L^1 \cap L^2$ -Cauchy sequence, so that there exist $x \in L^1$ and $y \in L^2$ for which $\|x_n - x\|_1 \rightarrow 0$ and $\|x_n - y\|_2 \rightarrow 0$. Hence there exists a subsequence $\{y_n\} \subset \{x_n\}$ such that $y_n \rightarrow x$ a.e., and a subsequence of it, $\{z_n\}$, such that $z_n \rightarrow y$ a.e. Thus $z_n \rightarrow x$ a.e., so that $x = y$ a.e.

LEMMA 2.3. *Let T be a linear functional on $L^1 \cap L^2$ defined by the equation $T(x) = \int x(t) \cdot y(t) + z(t) dt$ for each $x \in L^1 \cap L^2$, where $y \in L^2$ and $z \in L^\infty$. Then T is bounded, and $\|T\| \leq \max(\|y\|_2, \|z\|_\infty)$.*

Proof. Let $M = \max(\|y\|_2, \|z\|_\infty)$. Then, by Hölder's Inequality, $|T(x)| \leq \|x\|_2 \|y\|_2 + \|x\|_1 \|z\|_\infty \leq \|x\| M$. Hence $\|T\| = \sup_{\|x\|=1} |T(x)| \leq M$.

THEOREM 2.4. *The conjugate space of $L^1 \cap L^2$ is $(LL^\infty \times L^2)/Z$, where $Z = \{(g, h) \in LL^\infty \times L^2 \mid g + h = 0 \text{ l.a.e.}\}$.*

Proof. Let ρ be defined on $L^1 \times L^2$ by the equation $\rho(x, y) = \|x\|_1 + \|y\|_2$, and r be defined on $LL^\infty \times L^2$ by the equation $r(g, h) = \max(\|g\|_\infty, \|h\|_2)$. If $z \in L^p(G)$ and $w \in L^q(G)$, where $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, and $1/p + 1/q = 1$, let $\langle z, w \rangle = \int z(s) \cdot \overline{w(s)} ds$. If $L^1 \times L^2$ is equipped with the norm ρ , it becomes a Banach space whose conjugate is the Banach space $LL^\infty \times L^2$ equipped with the norm r (Schatten [13]). Identify $L^1 \cap L^2$ with $\Delta = \{(x, x) \mid x \in L^1 \cap L^2\}$, which is a closed linear subspace of $L^1 \times L^2$. Let $f \in (L^1 \cap L^2)^*$ ($=$ Banach space conjugate of $L^1 \cap L^2$), and let ϕ be defined on Δ by the equation $\phi(x, x) = f(x)$. By the Hahn-Banach theorem ϕ may be extended without change of norm from the closed linear subspace Δ to all of $L^1 \times L^2$, i.e. ϕ may be extended to a bounded linear functional $F \in LL^\infty \times L^2$. Let $F = (F_1, F_2)$, and observe that, if $(x, y) \in L^1 \times L^2$, $F(x, y) = \langle x, F_1 \rangle + \langle y, F_2 \rangle$. If $(x, x) \in \Delta$ it follows that $F(x, x) = \langle x, F_1 \rangle + \langle x, F_2 \rangle = \langle x, F_1 + F_2 \rangle$. It is clear that if $F_1 + F_2 = 0$ l.a.e., $F \equiv 0$ on Δ , hence it follows that we must identify elements of Δ^* ($=$ Banach space conjugate of Δ) differing by an element of Z , where $Z = \{(g, h) \in LL^\infty \times L^2 \mid g + h = 0 \text{ l.a.e.}\}$. Let $\{(g_n, h_n)\} \subset Z$, and suppose that $(g_n, h_n) \rightarrow (g, h)$. Then $\|g_n - g\|_\infty \rightarrow 0$ and $\|h_n - h\|_2 \rightarrow 0$, and $g_n + h_n = 0$ l.a.e. for $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$; but, since $\|h_n - h\|_2 \rightarrow 0$, there exists a subsequence $\{(p_n, k_n)\} \subset \{(g_n, h_n)\}$ such that $\|k_n - h\|_\infty \rightarrow 0$, and for each $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$, $p_n + k_n = 0$ l.a.e. Therefore,

$$\|g + h\|_\infty = \|p_n + k_n - g - h\|_\infty \leq \|p_n - g\|_\infty + \|k_n - h\|_\infty \rightarrow 0.$$

Hence $g + h = 0$ l.a.e.; i.e., $(g, h) \in Z$, so that Z is closed. Therefore $(LL^\infty \times L^2)/Z$ is a Banach space, and $\phi \in (LL^\infty \times L^2)/Z$; i.e., $(L^1 \cap L^2)^* \subset (LL^\infty \times L^2)/Z$. Now, let $H \in (LL^\infty \times L^2)/Z$. We can find $F \in LL^\infty \times L^2$ such that F belongs to the coset H of $LL^\infty \times L^2$, and for this F , let $f(x) = F(x, x)$. Then $F(x, x) = \langle x, F_1 \rangle + \langle x, F_2 \rangle$, so that

$$|f(x)| \leq \|x\|_1 \|F_1\|_\infty + \|x\|_2 \|F_2\|_2 \leq \|x\| \|F\|;$$

i.e., $f \in (L^1 \cap L^2)^*$. Therefore $(LL^\infty \times L^2)/Z = (L^1 \cap L^2)^*$.

LEMMA 2.5. Let $x, y \in L^1 \cap L^2$. Then $\|x * y\| \leq \min(\|x\|_1 \|y\|, \|x\| \|y\|_1)$.

COROLLARY 1. $\|x * y\| \leq \|x\| \cdot \|y\|$.

COROLLARY 2. If $x \neq 0$ and $y \neq 0$, $\|x * y\| < \|x\| \cdot \|y\|$.

COROLLARY 3. $L^1 \cap L^2$ is a Commutative Banach Algebra.

DEFINITION 2.6. Let A be a Banach algebra and let P be a directed set. Then the net $\{v_p \in A \mid p \in P\}$ is an approximate identity for A if $\lim_p v_p x = \lim_p x v_p = x$ for each $x \in A$.

Note that it is not required that $\{\|v_p\|\}$ should be bounded. In fact this cannot be required, in general: $L^1 \cap L^r$ with norm $\|\cdot\|_1 + \|\cdot\|_r$ is a Banach algebra if its multiplication is convolution. If G is neither compact nor discrete (of course G is still assumed to be locally compact abelian), $L^1 \cap L^r$ has an approximate identity in the above sense, but it can be shown⁽⁴⁾ that any approximate identity in the above sense must be unbounded if $1 < r \leq 2$.

Let $\mathcal{V}$ denote the family of all precompact (closure is compact) neighborhoods of e , the identity of the group G . Partially order $\mathcal{V}$ by inclusion and designate it by $\{V_p\}$. Then $\{V_p\}$ is a directed set, and we may define a net $\{v_p\}$ of functions on it, by choosing, for each V_p , $v_p \in L^+(V_p)$ such that $\int v_p(s) ds = 1$.

THEOREM 2.7. The net $\{v_p\}$ defined above is an approximate identity for $L^1 \cap L^2$ (cf. Loomis [8, p. 124]).

THEOREM 2.8. Let Σ be a closed subset of $L^1 \cap L^2$. Then Σ is an ideal iff it is a translation-invariant subspace of $L^1 \cap L^2$ (cf. Loomis [8, p. 125]).

LEMMA 2.9. Let $v \in L^{1+}$, $\int v(t) dt = 1$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. Then there exists $q \in (L^1 \cap L^2)^+$ such that $\hat{q} \in L(\hat{G})$, $\int q(t) dt = 1$, and $\|q - v\|_1 < \varepsilon$ (Edwards [2, pp. 165-166]).

THEOREM 2.10. The ideal $S = \{x \in L^1 \cap L^2 \mid x \in L(\hat{G})\}$ is dense in $L^1 \cap L^2$.

Proof. Let $x \in L^1 \cap L^2$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. Assume that $x \neq 0$, since $0 \in S$. Choose v from an approximate identity so that $v \in L^{1+}$, and $\|x * v - x\| < \varepsilon/2$. Then by Lemma 2.9, choose $q \in S$ so that $\|q - v\|_1 < \varepsilon/2 \|x\|$. Hence

(4) By application of the Hausdorff-Young Inequality.

$\|q * x - x\| \leq \|x * (q - v)\| + \|x * v - x\| < \varepsilon$. Thus $\|q * x - x\| \leq \varepsilon$ and $(q * x)^\wedge = \hat{q}\hat{x} \in L(\hat{G})$.

THEOREM 2.11. *Let $K \subset \hat{G}$ be any compact set containing $\hat{e}$, and let U be an open neighborhood of K . Then there exists a function $x \in L^1 \cap L^2$ such that $\hat{x} \equiv 1$ on K , $\hat{x} \equiv 0$ off U , and $0 \leq \hat{x} \leq 1$.*

Proof. Let V be a symmetric compact neighborhood of $\hat{e}$ sufficiently small that $VVK \subset U$. Set $\Sigma = VK$. Then Σ is compact. Let y, z be the characteristic functions of Σ, V respectively. Since V, Σ are both compact, each has finite measure, so that $y, z \in (L^1 \cap L^2)(\hat{G})$ and therefore $y * z \in (L^1 \cap L^2)(\hat{G})$. Let $\tilde{y}, \tilde{z}, (y * z)^\sim$ be the inverse Fourier transforms of y, z and $y * z$ respectively. Then $(y * z)^\sim = \tilde{y} \cdot \tilde{z}$. Let $u = (y * z)^\sim = \tilde{y} \cdot \tilde{z}$. Then $u \in L^2(G)$, since $y * z \in L^2(\hat{G})$. Also $u \in L^1(G)$ since $\tilde{y}, \tilde{z} \in L^2(G)$. Thus $u \in L^1 \cap L^2(G)$, and $\hat{u}(\alpha) = (y * z)(\alpha)$ a.e., and since each of $\hat{u}$ and $y * z$ is continuous, $\hat{u} = y * z$. Let $x = u / m(V)$. This x is the desired function.

REMARK. By translation, it follows that if K is a compact subset of $\hat{G}$ and if U is any open neighborhood of K , there exists a function $x \in L^1 \cap L^2$ such that $\hat{x} \equiv 1$ on K , $\hat{x} \equiv 0$ off U , and $0 \leq \hat{x} \leq 1$.

NOTATION 2.12. Let A be a commutative Banach algebra, and let $\Delta(A)$ denote the set of all continuous homomorphisms of A onto the complex numbers. If A^* is the conjugate space of A , then $\Delta(A)$ is a subset of A^* , and $\Delta(A)$ is locally compact in the weak*-topology of A^* .

Let $\mathfrak{M}$ be the set of all regular maximal ideals of A . Then $\Delta(A)$ may be identified with $\mathfrak{M}$ by associating with $\phi \in \Delta(A)$ the corresponding regular maximal ideal $M_\phi \in \mathfrak{M}_\phi$: $M_\phi \equiv \phi^{-1}(0)$. If I is an ideal of A , the hull $h(I)$ of I is the set of all regular maximal ideals containing I . If $S \subset \mathfrak{M}$, the kernel $k(S)$ of S is the ideal which is the intersection of all the regular maximal ideals $M \in S$. If the closure of a set $S \subset \mathfrak{M}$ is defined as $h(k(S))$, the hull-kernel topology is induced on $\mathfrak{M}$. If this topology coincides with the weak*-topology on $\mathfrak{M}$, A is said to be regular.

Let A be the algebra $L^1 \cap L^2$ and let $M \in \mathfrak{M}$. Then M is $\phi^{-1}(0)$ for some homomorphism $\phi \in \Delta(L^1 \cap L^2)$. Denote $\phi(x)$ by $x'(M)$ for each $x \in L^1 \cap L^2$. Thus x' is a function defined on $\mathfrak{M}$. Fix $M \in \mathfrak{M}$, and let $\alpha_M(s) = x'_s(M) / x'(M)$, where $x \in L^1 \cap L^2$ is so chosen that $x'(M) \neq 0$ (i.e. $x \notin M$).

THEOREM 2.13.

- (i) α_M is a character of G ,
- (ii) α_M is continuous on $G \times \mathfrak{M}$,
- (iii) If u runs through an approximate identity, $u'_s(M)$ converges uniformly to $\alpha_M(s)$.

The proof is the same as that in Loomis [8, pp. 135–136].

THEOREM 2.14. *The mapping $M \rightarrow \alpha_M$ is a one-to-one mapping of $\mathfrak{M}$ onto the set of all characters of G , and $x'(M) = \int x(s) \cdot \overline{\alpha_M(s)} ds$ (cf. Loomis [8, p. 136]).*

THEOREM 2.15. *The topology of $\hat{G}$ (the weak*-topology of $(L^1 \cap L^2)^*$ induced on $\hat{G}$) is the usual topology of $\hat{G}$ as the dual of G .*

Proof. Let F be the set of Fourier transforms of all functions in $L^1 \cap L^2$. Then $F \subset C_0(\hat{G})$, the set of continuous functions vanishing at infinity on $\hat{G}$. Suppose $\alpha, \beta \in \hat{G}$ and $\alpha \neq \beta$. Since $\hat{G}$ is normal, there exist open disjoint neighborhoods $U(\alpha), V(\beta)$, and disjoint compact neighborhoods $K(\alpha) \subset U$ and $C(\beta) \subset V$. By Theorem 2.11 there exist $x, y \in L^1 \cap L^2$ such that $\hat{x} \equiv 1$ on K , $\hat{x} \equiv 0$ on C and $\hat{y} \equiv 1$ on C , $\hat{y} \equiv 0$ on K . Therefore, for each $\alpha \in \hat{G}$ there exists $\hat{x} \in F$ such that $\hat{x}(\alpha) = 1$, and also, F separates the points of $\hat{G}$. By a theorem (5G) of Loomis [8], it follows that the weak topology induced on $\hat{G}$ by F is precisely the one in which the functions of F are continuous; i.e., it is the usual topology for $\hat{G}$ as the dual of G .

THEOREM 2.16. *$L^1 \cap L^2$ is semi-simple and regular.*

Proof. We have established that if $x \in L^1 \cap L^2$, $x' = \hat{x}$. It follows that if $x' \equiv 0$, $x \equiv 0$ a.e.; i.e., $L^1 \cap L^2$ is semi-simple. By a theorem in Loomis [8, p. 57] to prove that $L^1 \cap L^2$ is regular we have only to prove that if $F \subset \mathfrak{M}$ is closed in the hull-kernel topology, and $\alpha \notin F$, then there exists $x \in L^1 \cap L^2$ such that $\hat{x} \equiv 0$ on F and $\hat{x}(\alpha) \neq 0$. Let $U = \hat{G} - F$ so that U is open and $\alpha \in U$. Choose a compact neighborhood K of α such that $K \subset U$. Apply Theorem 2.11 to obtain $x \in L^1 \cap L^2$ such that $\hat{x} \equiv 0$ off U , $\hat{x} \equiv 1$ on K so that $x \equiv 0$ on F , and $\hat{x}(\alpha) = 1 \neq 0$.

3. **Šilov's Theorem for $L^1 \cap L^2$.** Let A be a commutative Banach algebra. Then A satisfies the condition D if, given $x \in M \in \mathfrak{M}$ there exists a sequence $\{x_n\} \subset A$ such that $\hat{x}_n \equiv 0$ in a neighborhood V_n of M for $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$, and $\|xx_n - x\| \rightarrow 0$. If $\mathfrak{M}$ is not compact the condition must also be satisfied for the point at infinity; i.e., for each point $x \in A$, there exists a sequence $\{x_n\} \subset A$ such that $\{\hat{x}_n\} \subset L(\mathcal{M})$, and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|xx_n - x\| = 0$.

ŠILOV'S THEOREM 3.1 (LOOMIS [8, p. 86]). *Let A be a regular semisimple commutative Banach algebra satisfying condition D , and let I be a closed ideal in A . Then I contains every element $x \in k(h(I))$ such that $[\text{bd } h(x)] \cap h(I)$ includes no nonempty perfect set; i.e., is scattered (a closed scattered set is one which contains no nonempty perfect subset).*

Since we have already established that $L^1 \cap L^2$ is regular, commutative, and semi-simple, we have only to show that $L^1 \cap L^2$ satisfies the condition D . We shall first prove that $L^1 \cap L^2$ satisfies the condition D at infinity. After that, the remaining part of this section will be devoted to showing that $L^1 \cap L^2$ satisfies the condition D at finite points. The proof given in Loomis [8, p. 151] that $L^1(G)$ satisfies Ditkin's Condition at finite points appears to depend upon the uniform boundedness of the approximate identity. Since this boundedness is never available

in the $L^1 \cap L^2$ case (cf. 2.6), it is somewhat surprising that in spite of this lack a proof similar to the $L^1(G)$ case can be constructed.

LEMMA 3.2. $L^1 \cap L^2$ satisfies the condition D at infinity.

Proof. Assume that $\hat{G}$ is not compact. Let $x \in L^1 \cap L^2$, and $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. Use the construction of Theorem 2.10 to obtain $q \in S$ (so that $\hat{q} \in L(\hat{G})$) such that $\|q * x - x\| < \varepsilon$. Clearly then, there exists a sequence $\{x_n\} \subset S$ for which $\lim_n \|x * x_n - x\| = 0$. Since this can be done for every $x \in L^1 \cap L^2$, $L^1 \cap L^2$ satisfies the condition D at infinity.

Let $\mathcal{U} = \{U_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ denote the family of all symmetric Baire neighborhoods of $\hat{e}$ of measure less than or equal to one. Then $\mathcal{U}$ is a directed system under inclusion. Let $\{V_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ denote any net of symmetric Baire neighborhoods of $\hat{e}$ defined on $\mathcal{U}$, and satisfying the following conditions:

- (i) If $V \in \{V_\lambda\}$, $\bar{V}$ is compact;
- (ii) Given $U_\lambda \in \mathcal{U}$, $\bar{V}_\lambda \subset U_\lambda$ and $m(U_\lambda) < 4m(V_\lambda)$ (where m is Haar measure on $\hat{G}$);
- (iii) Given $U_\lambda \in \mathcal{U}$ and V_λ , there exists a neighborhood $W(\hat{e})$ depending on U_λ and V_λ , such that $V_\lambda W \subset U_\lambda$.

LEMMA 3.3. There exists a net $\{z_\lambda\} \subset L^1 \cap L^2$ defined on $\mathcal{U}$ such that for every $\lambda \in \Lambda$:

- (i) $\|z_\lambda\| < 3$, and
- (ii) $\hat{z}_\lambda \equiv 1$ on some neighborhood of $\hat{e}$.

Proof. Given U_λ , let V_λ be the corresponding set in the net of sets defined above. Let $\hat{u}_\lambda, \hat{v}_\lambda$ be the characteristic functions of U_λ, V_λ respectively, and let $z_\lambda = \hat{u}_\lambda * \hat{v}_\lambda / m(V_\lambda)$. Since $\hat{u}_\lambda, \hat{v}_\lambda$ and $\hat{z}_\lambda$ all belong to $L^1 \cap L^2(\hat{G})$, the inverse Fourier-Plancherel transform of each exists. These may be designated as u_λ, v_λ and $\hat{z}_\lambda$, respectively.

Proof of (i). $\|z_\lambda\|_2 = \|\hat{z}_\lambda\|_2 = [1/m(V_\lambda)] \cdot \|\hat{u}_\lambda * \hat{v}_\lambda\|_2 \leq [1/m(V_\lambda)] \cdot \|\hat{u}_\lambda\|_2 \cdot \|\hat{v}_\lambda\|_2 \leq 1$.

Thus $\|z_\lambda\|_2 \leq 1$. Similarly $\|z_\lambda\|_1 = [1/m(V_\lambda)] \cdot \|u_\lambda v_\lambda\|_1 < 2$. Hence $\|z_\lambda\| < 3$.

Proof of (ii). Corresponding to U_λ and V_λ there exists a neighborhood $W = W(\hat{e})$ such that $V_\lambda W \subset U_\lambda$. Let $\beta \in W$. Then

$$\hat{z}_\lambda(\beta) = [1/m(V_\lambda)] \cdot (\hat{u}_\lambda * \hat{v}_\lambda)(\beta) = [1/m(V_\lambda)] \int_{V_\lambda} \hat{u}_\lambda(\alpha\beta) d\alpha = 1.$$

Hence $\hat{z}_\lambda \equiv 1$ on $W(\hat{e})$.

Let $C \subset G$ be a compact subset, and let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. Then $U(C, \varepsilon/5, \hat{e})$ is open in $\hat{G}$, where $U(C, \varepsilon/5, \hat{e}) = \{\alpha \in \hat{G} \mid |1 - (s, \alpha)| < \varepsilon/5, \text{ all } s \in C\}$. Since $\mathcal{U}$ contains a basis for the topology of $\hat{G}$ at $\hat{e}$, there exists a λ_0 such that if $\lambda < \lambda_0$, $U_\lambda^2 \subset U(C, \varepsilon/5, \hat{e})$. For convenience of notation, let S_λ denote the net $\{z_\lambda\}$ constructed in Lemma 3.3, and let $S_{\lambda_0} = \{z_\lambda \mid \lambda > \lambda_0\}$.

LEMMA 3.4. Given $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists λ_0 such that if $z \in S_{\lambda_0}$, then

$$\|z - z_s\| < \varepsilon$$

for every $s \in C$.

Proof. Choose an appropriate λ_0 as above so that if $\lambda > \lambda_0$, $U_\lambda^2 \subset U(C, \varepsilon/5, \hat{e})$. Let $z \in S_{\lambda_0}$ and suppose that $z = uv/m(V)$. Let $s \in C$, and note that $\hat{z} \equiv 0$ off UV . Then $\|z - z_s\|_2^2 = \|\hat{z} - \hat{z}_s\|_2^2 = \int_{UV} |\hat{z}(\alpha)|^2 \cdot |1 - (s, \alpha)|^2 d\alpha < (\varepsilon/5)^2$. Hence $\|z - z_s\|_2 < \varepsilon/5$. Similarly $\|u - u_s\|_2 < [m(U)]^{1/2}(\varepsilon/5)$, and

$$\|v - v_s\|_2 < [m(V)]^{1/2}(\varepsilon/5).$$

We observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \|z - z_s\|_1 &\leq [1/m(V)] [\|u(v - v_s)\|_1 + \|v_s(u - u_s)\|_1] \\ &< 2(\varepsilon/5) \left[\frac{m(U)}{m(V)} \right]^{1/2} < 4\varepsilon/5, \end{aligned}$$

so that $\|z - z_s\| < \varepsilon$.

COROLLARY. If $x \in L^1 \cap L^2$, and $\hat{x}(\hat{e}) = 0$, then $\lim_\lambda \|x * z_\lambda\| = 0$.

Proof. Let $\delta > 0$ be given, and choose $C \subset G$ to be compact, symmetric and such that $\int_{G-C} |x(s)| ds < \delta/12$. Set $\varepsilon = \delta/2 \|x\|_1$, and choose λ_0 as before so that if $z \in S_{\lambda_0}$, then $\|z - z_s\| < \varepsilon$ for every $s \in C$. Hence

$$(x * z)(t) = \int x(s) [z(ts^{-1}) - z(t)] ds.$$

We observe that $\|z * x\|_1 = \sup_{\|h\|_\infty=1} |\langle z * x, h \rangle|$, and that

$$\|z * x\|_2 = \sup_{\|p\|_2=1} |\langle z * x, p \rangle|.$$

Thus, by a straightforward computation,

$$(*) \quad \|x * z\| \leq \int_C |x(s)| \|z_{s^{-1}} - z\| ds + \int_{G-C} |x(s)| \|z_{s^{-1}} - z\| ds.$$

If $z \in S_{\lambda_0}$, then $s \in C$ implies that $\|z_{s^{-1}} - z\| < \varepsilon$. In this case the inequality $(*)$ becomes $\|x * z\| < \varepsilon \|x\|_1 + 2 \|z\| \delta/12 < \delta$, so that $\lim_\lambda \|x * z_\lambda\| = 0$.

THEOREM 3.5. There exists a net $\{v_q\} \subset L^1 \cap L^2$ such that each $\hat{v}_q = 0$ in a neighborhood of $\hat{e}$ (depending on v_q) and such that if $x \in L^1 \cap L^2$, and $\hat{x}(\hat{e}) = 0$, then $\lim_q \|x * v_q - x\| = 0$.

Proof. Let $\{u_p\}$ be the approximate identity defined in Theorem 2.7 and let $\{z_\lambda\}$ denote the net defined in Lemma 3.3. Let $v(p, \lambda) = u_p - z_\lambda u_p$. Clearly $v(p, \lambda) \in L^1 \cap L^2$. The set of all ordered pairs (p, λ) may be directed by: (p_1, λ_1)

$> (p_2, \lambda_2)$ iff $p_1 > p_2$ and $\lambda_1 > \lambda_2$. If we allow q to run through this directed set, $\{v_q\}$ is a net, and we note that $\hat{v}(p, \lambda) = \hat{u}_p(1 - \hat{z}_\lambda) \equiv 0$ in the neighborhood of $\hat{e}$ where $\hat{z}_\lambda \equiv 1$. Finally $\|v(p, \lambda) * x - x\| \leq \|u_p * x - x\| + \|u_p\|_1 \|x * z_\lambda\|$. Hence $\lim_q \|v_q * x - x\| \leq \lim_p \|u_p * x - x\| + \lim_\lambda \|u_p\|_1 \|x * z_\lambda\| = 0$.

COROLLARY 1. $L^1 \cap L^2$ satisfies condition D .

Proof. In the above theorem we have just established that $L^1 \cap L^2$ satisfies condition D at $\hat{e}$. The condition D follows for all other finite points upon translation. It was established for the point at infinity in Lemma 3.2.

COROLLARY 2. Šilov's theorem is valid for $L^1 \cap L^2$.

4. Translation-invariant subspaces of $L^2(G)$. Let the notation $[m]$ following an assertion denote that the assertion is valid except for sets of zero m -measure (on $\hat{G}$).

EXAMPLE. $E \subset F [m]$ means that $m(E - F) = 0$.

If $x \in L^2(G)$, $N(x)$ will denote the set of all finite linear combinations of translates of x . $H(x)$ will denote the L^2 -closure of $N(x)$. The spaces $L^1(G)$, $L^2(G)$ will be written as L^1 , L^2 respectively unless possible ambiguity prevents this. If N is any subspace of L^2 , N is invariant if, for every $s \in G$, $x \in N$ implies that $x_s \in N$. If $x \in L^2$, define $h(x) = \{\alpha \in \hat{G} \mid \hat{x}(\alpha) = 0\} [m]$.

The result (4.1) of this section is taken from S. Bochner and K. Chandrasekharan [1, pp. 148–149], where it is established for the case of $G = \mathbb{R}$. Their proof carries over without change to the general case, so there is no need to reproduce it here.

THEOREM 4.1. Let $x, y \in L^2$. Then $x \in H(y)$ iff $h(y) \subset h(x) [m]$.

In this section, let N denote an arbitrary closed proper ($\{0\} \neq N$ and $N \neq L^2$) subspace of L^2 , invariant under translation. If $E \subset L^2$, $\text{cl}(E)$ will denote the L^2 -closure of the set E .

LEMMA 4.2. If $x \in N$, $m(h(x)) > 0$.

LEMMA 4.3. Let $x, y \in N$. Then there exists $z \in N$ for which

$$h(z) = h(x) \cap h(y) [m].$$

THEOREM 4.4. Let $\{x_n\} \subset N$. Then there exists $x_0 \in N$ such that

$$h(x_0) = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} h(x_n) [m].$$

Proof. Without loss of generality, assume that $\|x_n\|_2 > 0$ for $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$, and let $c_k = \{2^k \cdot \|x_k\|_2\}^{-1}$ for each $k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$. Let $\hat{p}_n = \sum_{k=1}^n c_k |\hat{x}_k|$, and let p_n be the inverse Fourier-Plancherel transform of $\hat{p}_n$. Then it is clear that $\{p_n\} \subset N$, and $h(p_n) = \bigcap_{k=1}^n h(x_k) [m]$ by Lemma 4.3. There exists $x_0 \in N$ for which $\lim_n \|p_n - x_0\|_2 = 0$. Hence $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} h(x_n) = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} h(p_n) \subset h(x_0) [m]$, and

consequently, we have only to prove that for each n , $h(x_0) \subset h(p_n) [m]$. These remarks lead to a straightforward proof by contradiction.

THEOREM 4.5. *Let E be a measurable subset of $\hat{G}$, and suppose that for some $x' \in N$, $m(E \cap h(x'))$ is finite. Then there exists a $z \in N$ such that for every $x \in N$, $E \cap h(z) \subset E \cap h(x) [m]$.*

Proof. Let $c = \inf_{x \in N} m(E \cap h(x)) < \infty$. Choose a sequence $\{x_n\} \subset N$ such that $m(E \cap h(x_n)) < c + 1/n + 1$ ($n! = 1, 2, 3, \dots$). Then, by Theorem 4.4, there exists a $z \in N$ such that $h(z) = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} h(x_n) [m]$. Hence $m(E \cap h(z)) = c$. Let $x \in N$. Then, by Lemma 4.3 there exists $y \in N$ for which $h(y) = h(x) \cap h(z) [m]$. Thus $m(E \cap h(x) \cap h(z)) = c$. Observe that $h(x) \cap h(z)$ and $h(z) - h(x)$ are disjoint and that $h(z) = [h(x) \cap h(z)] \cup [h(z) - h(x)]$. Therefore $c = m(E \cap h(z)) = c + m(E \cap [h(z) - h(x)])$. Thus $m([E \cap h(z)] - [E \cap h(x)]) = 0$.

THEOREM 4.6. *Let G be metric, and let N be a closed proper invariant subspace of $L^2(G)$. Then there exists a $z \in N$ such that $H(z) = N$.*

Proof. Since $\hat{G}$ is σ -compact, we may set $\hat{G} = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} K_n$, where each K_n is compact; thus $0 \leq m(K_n) < \infty$, for $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$. Hence if $x \in N$, $m(K_n \cap h(x))$ is finite, and we apply Theorem 4.5 to obtain a sequence $\{z_n\} \subset N$ such that for every $x \in N$, $K_n \cap h(z_n) \subset K_n \cap h(x) [m]$. By Theorem 4.4, there exists a $z \in N$ such that $h(z) = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} h(z_n) [m]$. Suppose $x \in N$; then except for a null set,

$$h(z) = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} [K_n \cap h(z)] \subset \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} [K_n \cap h(z_n)] \subset \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} [K_n \cap h(x)] = h(x).$$

By Theorem 4.1, $x \in H(z)$; i.e., $N \subset H(z)$. Since $z \in N$, $H(z) \subset N$, so that $N = H(z)$.

5. The closed ideals I and $I^\perp$ in $L^1 \cap L^2$. If I is an ideal in $L^1 \cap L^2$, $\text{cl } I$ will be denoted by J . The class of all closed proper ideals I in $L^1 \cap L^2$ for which $J \neq L^2$ will be denoted by $\mathcal{J}$. I is symmetric if $x \in I$ implies that $x^* \in I$, where $x^*(s) = \overline{x(s^{-1})}$ ($s \in G$). If N is a closed subspace of $L^2(G)$, we shall denote the orthogonal complement of N by $N^\perp$. Let $I \in \mathcal{J}$, and let $(x, y) = \int x(s) \overline{y(s)} ds$. Then, define $I^\perp = \{x \in L^1 \cap L^2 \mid (x, y) = 0 \text{ for all } y \in I\}$.

REMARK 5.1. If M is a regular maximal ideal of $L^1 \cap L^2$, then M is symmetric. Thus, if I is a closed ideal in $L^1 \cap L^2$ such that $I = k(h(I))$, then I is symmetric.

LEMMA 5.2. *Let N be a closed proper invariant subspace of L^2 such that $N \cap L^1 \neq \{0\}$. Then $N \cap L^1 \in \mathcal{J}$ and $N \cap L^1$ is symmetric.*

THEOREM 5.3. *Let $I \in \mathcal{J}$. Then $I^\perp = J^\perp \cap L^1$.*

Proof. Let $y \in J$. Then there exists a sequence $\{y_n\} \subset I$ such that $\|y_n - y\|_2 \rightarrow 0$. If $x \in I^\perp$, $(x, y_n) = 0$ $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$, and since strong convergence implies weak convergence, it follows that $(x, y) = 0$. Thus if $x \in I^\perp$, $(x, y) = 0$ for every $y \in J$,

so that $x \in J^\perp \cap L^1$; i.e., $I^\perp \subset J^\perp \cap L^1$. Now let $x \in J^\perp \cap L^1$. Then if $y \in I$, $(x, y) = 0$ since $y \in J$. Thus $x \in I^\perp$.

LEMMA 5.4. *Let $I \in \mathcal{J}$. Then J and $J^\perp$ are closed proper invariant subspaces of L^2 .*

COROLLARY. *If $I \in \mathcal{J}$, $I^\perp = \{0\}$, or $I^\perp \in \mathcal{J}$. In either event, $I^\perp$ is symmetric.*

Let the ideal $I \oplus I^\perp$ be defined as the direct sum of the two ideals I and $I^\perp$. The notation $I \oplus I^\perp$ will denote the $L^1 \cap L^2$ -closure of $I \oplus I^\perp$.

THEOREM 5.5. *Let I_1 and I_2 be closed proper ideals of $L^1 \cap L^2$ such that $I_1 \cap I_2 = \{0\}$. Then $h(I_1) \cup h(I_2) = \hat{G}$.*

Proof. Let $E = h(I_1) \cup h(I_2)$, and suppose that $E \neq \hat{G}$. Then there exists $\alpha \in \hat{G}$ such that $\alpha \notin E$, and there exist open disjoint neighborhoods $V_1(\alpha)$ and $V_2(E)$. The compact neighborhoods of α are a basis for the topology of $\hat{G}$ at α , so there exists an open neighborhood $U_1(\alpha)$ such that $\bar{U}_1$ is a compact subset of V_1 . By Theorem 2.11 there exists $0 \neq y \in L^1 \cap L^2$ such that $\hat{y} \equiv 0$ on $\bar{U}_1$, $\hat{y} \equiv 0$ off V_1 , and $0 \leq \hat{y} \leq 1$. Observe that $E \subset V_2$ (open) $\subset \hat{G} - V_1 \subset h(y)$ so that $E \subset h(y)^0$ ($=$ interior of $h(y)$). Thus $h(I_1) \subset h(y)^0$ and $h(I_2) \subset h(y)^0$, so that $y \in I_1$ and $y \in I_2$; i.e., $y \in I_1 \cap I_2$, i.e., $y = 0$. This is a contradiction.

COROLLARY. *Let $I \in \mathcal{J}$. Then $h(I) \cup h(I^\perp) = \hat{G}$.*

THEOREM 5.6. *If $I \in \mathcal{J}$, then $I^\perp \in \mathcal{J}$ iff $h(I)^0 \neq \emptyset$.*

Proof. Part 1. (Necessity) Claim: If $h(I)^0 = \emptyset$, then $I^\perp = \{0\}$. In fact, it follows from the above corollary that $h(I) \cup h(I^\perp) = \hat{G}$, and $\hat{G} - h(I^\perp) \subset h(I)^0 = \emptyset$; so that $\hat{G} - h(I^\perp) = \emptyset$, and $h(I^\perp) = \hat{G}$; i.e., $I^\perp = \{0\}$.

Part 2. (Sufficiency) Claim: If $h(I)^0 \neq \emptyset$, then $I^\perp \neq \{0\}$. In fact, let $\alpha \in h(I)^0$, and let $C = C(\alpha)$ be a compact neighborhood of α such that $C \subset h(I)^0$. By Theorem 2.11 there exists $0 \neq y \in L^1 \cap L^2$ such that $\hat{y} \equiv 1$ on C , $\hat{y} \equiv 0$ off $h(I)^0$, and $0 \leq \hat{y} \leq 1$. Thus if $x \in I$, and $\beta \in h(I)$, $\hat{x}(\beta) = 0$; and if $\beta \notin h(I)$, $\beta \notin h(I)^0$, so that $\hat{y}(\beta) = 0$. Therefore $\hat{x}\hat{y} = \hat{x}\hat{y} \equiv 0$, and therefore $\int \hat{x}(\beta) \cdot \hat{y}(\beta) d\beta = 0$. Thus $(x, y) = (\hat{x}, \hat{y}) = 0$ for every $x \in I$. Hence $0 \neq y \in I^\perp$; i.e., $I^\perp \neq \{0\}$.

THEOREM 5.7. *Let I_1 and I_2 be closed proper ideals in $L^1 \cap L^2$ such that $I_1 \cap I_2 = \{0\}$. Then $h(I_1) \cap h(I_2) = h(I_1 \oplus I_2)$.*

COROLLARY $\overline{h(I_1 \oplus I_2)} = h(I_1) \cap h(I_2)$.

6. Closed ideals in $L^1 \cap L^2$ when G is metric. The topological group G has been assumed to be a locally compact abelian group. It will be assumed from this point on that, in addition to this, G is metric. It follows by Theorem 1.2 that $\hat{G}$ is σ -compact. Hence every F_σ set in $\hat{G}$ is also σ -compact.

DEFINITION 6.1. If $x \in L^1 \cap L^2$, the ideal $I(x)$ denotes the closed ideal generated by x together with its translates. The linear subspace $N(x)$ denotes the set of all finite linear combinations of translates of x . Thus the $L^1 \cap L^2$ -closure of $N(x)$ is $I(x)$.

LEMMA 6.2. If $x \in L^1 \cap L^2$, then $h[I(x)] = h(x)$.

Proof. Observe that if $y \in N(x)$, $h(x) \subset h(y)$. Let $z \in I(x)$. Then, since $N(x)$ is $L^1 \cap L^2$ -dense in $I(x)$, there exists a sequence $\{z_n\} \subset N(x)$ such that $\|z - z_n\| \rightarrow 0$. If $\alpha \in h(x)$, then $\hat{z}_n(\alpha) = 0$ for $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$. Hence

$$|\hat{z}(\alpha)| \leq \|\hat{z} - \hat{z}_n\|_\infty \leq \|z - z_n\|,$$

and since $\|z - z_n\| \rightarrow 0$, $\hat{z}(\alpha) = 0$. Thus $h(x) \subset h(z)$. Since

$$h[I(x)] = \bigcap \{h(z) \mid z \in I(x)\},$$

it follows that $h(x) \subset h[I(x)]$. But $x \in I(x)$, so that $h[I(x)] \subset h(x)$. Consequently, $h(x) = h[I(x)]$.

THEOREM 6.3. Let I be a closed ideal of $L^1 \cap L^2$. Then there exists an $x \in I$ such that $h(x) = h(I)$ iff $h(I)$ is a G_δ set.

Proof. If $h(I) = h(x)$ for some $x \in I$, then since $\hat{x}$ is continuous, $h(I)$ is a G_δ set. Now suppose $h(I)$ is a G_δ set, and let $U = \hat{G} - h(I)$ so that U is an open F_σ -set and $U = \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty K_n$, with $K_n \subset U$ and K_n compact. Since $K_n \cap h(I) = \emptyset$, there exist disjoint open neighborhoods $U_n(K_n)$ and $V_n(h(I))$. By Theorem 2.11, there exists $w_n \in L^1 \cap L^2$ such that $\hat{w}_n \equiv 1$ on K_n , $\hat{w}_n \equiv 0$ off U_n , and $0 \leq \hat{w}_n \leq 1$. Observe that V_n (open) $\subset h(w_n)$, so that $V_n \subset h(w_n)^0$. Therefore $h(I) \subset h(w_n)^0$, since $h(I) \subset V_n$. Hence $\{w_n\} \subset I$.

Let $x_m = \sum_{k=1}^m w_k \cdot \{2^k \|w_k\|\}^{-1}$. Then $\{x_m\} \subset I$, and $\{x_m\}$ is $L^1 \cap L^2$ -Cauchy so there exists $x \in L^1 \cap L^2$ such that $x_m \rightarrow x$. But I is closed, so it follows that $x \in I$. Therefore $h(I) \subset h(x)$. Let $\alpha \notin h(I)$; i.e., $\alpha \in U$. Then there exists some n for which $\alpha \in K_n$, so that $\hat{w}_n(\alpha) = 1$, and hence $\hat{x}(\alpha) \neq 0$. Therefore $\alpha \notin h(x)$; i.e., $h(x) \subset h(I)$.

COROLLARY. Let I be a closed ideal in $L^1 \cap L^2$. If $h(I)$ is a G_δ set with a scattered boundary, then there exists an $x \in I$ such that $I = I(x)$.

Proof. This follows from Šilov's Theorem (Theorem 3.5).

REMARK. This corollary shows that if $\hat{G}$ is also metric, then the only instances of Helson's Theorem [4] for $L^1 \cap L^2$ (and, similarly for $L^1(G)$) are given by principal ideals. Theorem 6.3 shows that if $E \subset \hat{G}$ is closed, then E is the hull of a closed principal ideal in $L^1 \cap L^2$ iff E is a G_δ set. Thus, for example, if G is σ -compact, every closed set in $\hat{G}$ is the hull of a closed principal ideal (since $\hat{G}$ would then be metric), and any nonprincipal closed ideal in $L^1 \cap L^2$ would

therefore provide an example of an ideal for which spectral synthesis fails [$I \neq I(x)$, but $h(I(x)) = h(x) = h(I)$].

It should be remarked here that Schwartz' counterexample to spectral synthesis in $L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ($n \geq 3$) carries over to $L^1 \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ($n \geq 3$) with only minor modifications. The proof of the following theorem, therefore, is omitted.

THEOREM. *There exists $x \in L^1 \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, for $n \geq 3$, such that $x \notin I(x * x)$ (Reiter [11, pp. 469–470]).*

7. The family $\mathcal{J}$ of ideals of $L^1 \cap L^2$.

THEOREM 7.1. *Let I be a closed nonzero ideal of $L^1 \cap L^2$. If $m(h(I)) > 0$, then $I \in \mathcal{J}$.*

Proof. We have only to prove that $J \neq L^2$. We will accomplish this by assuming that $J = L^2$ and showing that this leads to a contradiction.

By Theorem 6.3, there exists a function $u_1 \in L^1 \cap L^2$ such that $\hat{u}_1 > 0$ on $\hat{G}$. Let y = the characteristic function of $h(I)$, and set $\hat{u} = \hat{u}_1 y$. Then $\hat{u} \in L^2(\hat{G})$, so that, by the Plancherel theorem, there exists $u \in L^2(G)$ such that the Fourier transform of u is equal to $\hat{u}$ a.e. Let $\int_{h(I)} |\hat{u}(\alpha)|^2 d\alpha = p^2 > 0$. Since $u \in L^2$, there exists a sequence $\{x_n\} \subset I$ such that $\lim_n \|u - x_n\|_2 = 0$. Hence $0 = \lim_n \|\hat{u} - \hat{x}_n\|_2^2 \geq p^2 > 0$. This is the desired contradiction.

COROLLARY⁽⁵⁾. *If $\hat{G}$ has a closed subset E of positive measure such that $E^0 = \emptyset$, then there exists a closed proper invariant subspace $N \subset L^2(G)$ for which $N \cap L^1 = \{0\}$.*

Proof. Let $I = k(E)$, so that $h(I) = E$ and $m(h(I)) > 0$. Then $I \in \mathcal{J}$ by the above theorem and $h(I)^0 = \emptyset$. Hence $I^\perp = \{0\}$ by Theorem 5.6. But $I^\perp = J^\perp \cap L^1$ by Theorem 5.3 and $J^\perp$ is a closed proper invariant subspace of $L^2(G)$ by Theorem 5.4. Therefore the desired subspace is $N = J^\perp$.

EXAMPLE. Let G be the real line under addition, and let E be a Cantor set of positive measure. Note that if G is compact, $\hat{G}$ is discrete so that no set $E \neq \emptyset$ can be found such that $E^0 = \emptyset$. However, in this case, $N \cap L^1 = N$ for every $N \subset L^2(G)$.

THEOREM 7.2. *If I is any closed proper ideal in $L^1 \cap L^2$, there exists $x \in I$ such that $h(x) = h(I)$ [m].*

Proof. Let $\{U_n\}$ be a family of open neighborhoods of $h(I)$ such that $m(U_n - h(I)) < 1/n$ ($n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$). If $F_n = \hat{G} - U_n$, then F_n is closed, and therefore σ -compact. Hence, let $F_n = \bigcup_{k=1}^\infty K_{nk}$, where K_{nk} is compact for each

(5) A proof of the fact that every nondiscrete locally compact group contains a compact nowhere dense subset of positive measure was communicated to the author in the summer of 1963 by K. A. Ross and K. Stromberg.

$n, k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$. Observe that $h(I) \cap K_{nk} = \emptyset$ so that we may choose disjoint open neighborhoods U_{nk}, V_{nk} of $h(I)$ and K_{nk} respectively, and we can always choose $U_{nk} \subset U_n$. Let $x_{nk} \in L^1 \cap L^2$ be constructed by Theorem 2.11 so that $\hat{x}_{nk} \equiv 1$ on K_{nk} , and $\hat{x}_{nk} \equiv 0$ off V_{nk} . Then $h(I) \subset U_{nk} \subset h(x_{nk})^0$, and it follows that $x_{nk} \in I$. Let $y_{np} = \sum_{k=1}^p x_{nk} \cdot \{2^k \|x_{nk}\|\}^{-1}$. Then $\{y_{np}\} \subset I$ and $\{y_{np}\}$ is $L^1 \cap L^2$ -Cauchy and I is closed, so that $\lim_p \|y_{np} - x_n\| = 0$ for some $x_n \in I$. By proceeding in this manner, we obtain a sequence $\{x_n\} \subset I$. By construction $\hat{x}_n > 0$ on each K_{nk} (for $k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$); i.e., $\hat{x}_n > 0$ on F_n , and $\hat{x}_n \equiv 0$ on each U_{nk} ($k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$). Now let $E = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} U_n$, so that $m(E - h(I)) = 0$, and proceeding as before, let $x = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n \cdot \{2^n \|x_n\|\}^{-1}$. Then $x \in I$, and $\hat{x} > 0$ on each $F_n = \hat{G} - U_n$ (for $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$). Hence $\hat{x} > 0$ on $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (\hat{G} - U_n) = \hat{G} - \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} U_n = \hat{G} - E$. Moreover $\hat{x} \equiv 0$ on $h(I)$, so that $h(x) = h(I)$ [m].

COROLLARY 1. *If I is a closed proper ideal in $L^1 \cap L^2$, then $J = H(x)$.*

Proof. Let $y \in J$. Then there exists a sequence $\{y_n\} \subset I$ such that $\|y - y_n\|_2 \rightarrow 0$. Let $F = h(x) - h(y)$ [m], where $x \in I$, and $h(I) = h(x)$ [m] as in the above theorem. Suppose $F \neq \emptyset$ [m], and let $\int_F |\hat{y}(\alpha)|^2 d\alpha = \delta > 0$, since $|\hat{y}(\alpha)|^2 > 0$ a.e. on F . Then $\int_F |\hat{y}(\alpha) - \hat{y}_n(\alpha)|^2 d\alpha = \int_F |\hat{y}(\alpha)|^2 d\alpha = \delta > 0$, since each $\hat{y}_n \equiv 0$ a.e. on F . It follows that $\lim_n \|y - y_n\|_2 \neq 0$, which contradicts our initial assumption. Hence $F = \emptyset$ [m], and if $y \in J$, $h(x) \subset h(y)$ [m]. By Theorem 4.1, it follows that $y \in H(x)$. Hence $J \subset H(x)$, so that $J = H(x)$.

The proofs of the following results are direct applications of Theorem 7.1, Theorem 4.1, and the above Corollary 1.

COROLLARY 2. *Let I be a closed proper ideal in $L^1 \cap L^2$. Then $I \in \mathcal{J}$ iff $m(h(I)) > 0$.*

COROLLARY 3. *Let I_1, I_2 be closed proper ideals in $L^1 \cap L^2$. Then $\text{cl } I_1 = \text{cl } I_2$ iff $h(I_1) = h(I_2)$ [m].*

THEOREM 7.3. *The group $\hat{G}$ is connected iff for every pair $I, I^\perp \in \mathcal{J}$, $\overline{I \oplus I^\perp}$ is a proper ideal in $L^1 \cap L^2$.*

Proof. Suppose that $\hat{G}$ is connected and that for some pair $I, I^\perp \in \mathcal{J}$, $\overline{I \oplus I^\perp}$ is not proper; i.e., $\overline{I \oplus I^\perp} = L^1 \cap L^2$. Then by the corollary to Theorem 5.7, $\emptyset = h(I) \cap h(I^\perp)$ and by the corollary to Theorem 5.5, $h(I) \cup h(I^\perp) = \hat{G}$. Hence, $\hat{G}$ is not connected, contrary to our assumption. Conversely, suppose that $\hat{G}$ is not connected; i.e., suppose $\hat{G} = P \cup Q$ where P and Q are open-closed and disjoint in $\hat{G}$. Let $j(P) = \{x \in L^1 \cap L^2 \mid \hat{x} \in L(\hat{G}) \text{ and } P \subset h(x)^0\}$ and let $I = L^1 \cap L^2$ -closure of $j(P)$. Thus, $I \in \mathcal{J}$, $I^\perp \in \mathcal{J}$ and $h(I) = P$. Let $I' = L^1 \cap L^2$ -closure of $j(Q)$, and observe that since Q is open-closed, $x \in I'$ iff $Q \subset h(x)$. Note that $I' \subset I^\perp$ and that $Q = \hat{G} - h(I) \subset h(I^\perp)$. Therefore, if $x \in I^\perp$, $Q \subset h(x)$, so that $x \in I'$; i.e., $I^\perp = L^1 \cap L^2$ -closure of $j(Q)$. Hence

$$h(\overline{I \oplus I^\perp}) = h(I) \cap h(I^\perp) = P \cap Q = \emptyset,$$

so that $\overline{I \oplus I^\perp}^\perp = L^1 \cap L^2$.

THEOREM 7.4. *If $I \in \mathcal{J}$, then $k(h(I)) \subset J \cap L^1$.*

Proof. By Theorem 7.2, there exists $x \in I$ such that $h(I) = h(x) [m]$, and by Corollary 1 of the same theorem $H(x) = J$. Let $y \in k(h(I))$, so that $h(I) \subset h(y)$. Then $h(x) \subset h(y) [m]$, and by Theorem 4.1, $y \in H(x) = J$. Since $y \in L^1 \cap L^2$, it follows that $y \in J \cap L^1$. Hence $k(h(I)) \subset J \cap L^1$.

COROLLARY 1. *If $I \in \mathcal{J}$, then $J \cap L^1 = k(h(J \cap L^1))$.*

COROLLARY 2. *If N is a closed proper invariant subspace of $L^2(G)$, then $N \cap L^1 = k(h(N \cap L^1))$. In particular, if $I, I^\perp \in \mathcal{J}$, then $I^\perp = k(h(I^\perp))$.*

Proof. We assume that $N \cap L^1 \neq 0$ without loss of generality. The above Corollary 1 implies that $N \cap L^1 = k(h(L^1 \cap \text{cl}(N \cap L^1)))$. The observation that $N \cap L^1 = L^1 \cap \text{cl}(N \cap L^1)$ completes the proof.

THEOREM 7.5. *Let $I, I^\perp \in \mathcal{J}$. If $I \oplus I^\perp = k(h(I \oplus I^\perp))$, then $I = k(h(I))$.*

Proof. By Theorem 5.7, $h(I \oplus I^\perp) = h(I) \cap h(I^\perp)$. Hence $x \in k(h(I))$ implies that $x \in k(h(I \oplus I^\perp))$, and $x \in k(h(I^\perp))$ implies that $x \in k(h(I \oplus I^\perp))$ so that $k(h(I)) \cup k(h(I^\perp)) \subset k(h(I \oplus I^\perp))$. By the above Corollary 2, $I^\perp = k(h(I^\perp))$, so that $k(h(I)) \cup I^\perp \subset k(h(I \oplus I^\perp))$. However, by Theorem 7.4, $k(h(I)) \subset J \cap L^1$, so it follows that $k(h(I)) \cap I^\perp = \{0\}$, and thus $k(h(I)) \oplus I^\perp \subset k(h(I \oplus I^\perp))$. Hence $I \oplus I^\perp \subset k(h(I)) \oplus I^\perp \subset I \oplus I^\perp$, i.e., $I \oplus I^\perp = k(h(I)) \oplus I^\perp$. Since both members of the last equation are direct sums, it follows that $I = k(h(I))$.

THEOREM 7.6. *If $I \in \mathcal{J}$, then $h(I)^0 = h(J \cap L^1)^0$.*

Proof. Since $I \subset J \cap L^1$, and $\text{cl } I = J$, it follows that $\text{cl } I = \text{cl } (J \cap L^1)$, so that by Corollary 3 of Theorem 7.2, $h(I) = h(J \cap L^1) [m]$. Let $A = h(I)^0 - h(J \cap L^1)$, so that A is open, and thus either $A = \emptyset$ or $m(A) > 0$. But $A \subset h(I) - h(J \cap L^1)$ so that $m(A) = 0$. Hence $A = \emptyset$, and therefore $h(I)^0 \subset h(J \cap L^1)$. Thus $h(I)^0 \subset h(J \cap L^1)^0$. However $h(J \cap L^1) \subset h(I)$, so that $h(J \cap L^1)^0 \subset h(I)^0$, and therefore $h(I)^0 = h(J \cap L^1)^0$.

THEOREM 7.7. *Let $I \in \mathcal{J}$ and let F be a closed subset of $h(J \cap L^1)$. If $h(J \cap L^1) = F [m]$, then $h(J \cap L^1) = F$.*

Proof. As before, $h(I) = h(J \cap L^1) [m]$. Hence, by assumption, $F = h(I) [m]$. Therefore if $x \in k(F)$, $h(I) \subset h(x) [m]$, so that, by Theorem 7.2, Corollary 1, $x \in J \cap L^1$; i.e., $k(F) \subset J \cap L^1$. But if F is closed, then $F = h(k(F)) \supset h(J \cap L^1) \supset F$. Therefore $F = h(J \cap L^1)$.

COROLLARY. *If $\hat{G}$ is not discrete, and if $I \in \mathcal{J}$, then $h(J \cap L^1)$ is perfect.*

Proof. Since $h(J \cap L^1)$ is closed, it can be written $h(J \cap L^1) = S \cup P$ where S is scattered, P is perfect (Sierpiński [16, Chapter 1]). By a theorem of Rudin [12, Theorem 5, p. 41] it follows that $m(S) = 0$ so that $m(h(J \cap L^1) - P) = m(S) = 0$. Hence $P = h(J \cap L^1)$.

With suitable modifications, many of the results of §§4–7 that depend upon the restrictive assumption that G is metric can be extended to the more general case where the metric hypothesis is removed. A consequence of this is that a significant generalization of a theorem due to I.E. Segal will be presented in a forthcoming paper.

Added in proof. I wish to thank Professor David M. Burton for bringing to my attention: S. Kantorovitz, *The annihilator of a closed ideal in a function algebra*. Bull. Res. Council Israel Sect. 9F (1960), 132–134. These results are closely associated with those in §5.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. S. Bochner and K. Chandrasekharan, *Fourier Transforms*, Annals of Math. Studies, No. 19, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, N. J., 1949.
2. R. E. Edwards, *Convex sets invariant under group representations*, Studia Math. **19** (1960), 159–176.
3. P. Halmos, *Measure theory*, Van Nostrand, New York, 1950.
4. H. Helson, *Spectral synthesis of bounded functions*, Ark. Mat. **1** (1952), 497–502.
5. E. Hewitt and K. Ross, *Abstract harmonic analysis*, Vol. 1, *Structure and Integration Theory*, Springer, Berlin, 1962.
6. I. Kaplansky, *Primary ideals in group algebras*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. **35** (1949), 133–136.
7. J. L. Kelley, *General topology*, Van Nostrand, New York, 1955.
8. L. Loomis, *An introduction to abstract harmonic analysis*, Van Nostrand, New York, 1953.
9. P. Malliavin, *Impossibilité de la synthèse spectrale sur les groupes abéliens non compacts*, Inst. Hautes Etudes Sci. Publ. Math. **2** (1959), 61–68.
10. M. Naimark, *Normed rings*, Noordhoff, Groningen, 1961.
11. H. Reiter, *Contributions to harmonic analysis*. IV, Math. Ann. **135** (1958), 467–476.
12. W. Rudin, *Continuous functions on compact spaces without perfect subsets*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **8** (1957), 39–42.
13. R. Schatten, *A theory of cross-spaces*, Annals of Mathematics Studies, No. 26, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, N. J., 1950.
14. L. Schwartz, *Sur une propriété de synthèse spectrale dans les groupes non compacts*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris **227** (1948), 424–426.
15. I. E. Segal, *The group algebra of a locally compact group*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **61** (1947), 69–105.
16. W. Sierpiński, *General topology*, Mathematical Expositions, No. 7, University of Toronto Press, Toronto, 1952.
17. G. E. Šilov, *On regular normed rings*, Trav. Inst. Math. Stekloff **21** (1947), 1–118.
18. A. Weil, *L'intégration dans les groupes topologiques et ses applications*, 2nd ed., Hermann, Paris, 1953.

UNIVERSITY OF MARYLAND,
COLLEGE PARK, MARYLAND