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1. Introduction. Poenaru [5] and Mazur [4] gave the first examples of contractible compact combinatorial 4-manifolds with boundary which were not topological 4-cells, but whose products with the unit interval were combinatorial 5 -cells. Curtis [1] and Glaser [3] gave similar examples for $n \geqq 5$. In the latter result the product of the pseudo $n$-cell $M^{n}$ with an interval was shown to be a combinatorial $(n+1)$-cell rather than just merely topological. In addition, it was shown in [3], that for $n \geqq 5, M^{n}$ was a compact combinatorial $n$-manifold with boundary not topologically $I^{n}$, but could be expressed as the union of two combinatorial $n$-cells whose intersection is also a combinatorial $n$-cell. Unfortunately the techniques used in [3] gave no hope of lowering the result to $n=4$.

The purpose of this paper is to give another example of a pseudo 4-cell $W$ with the property that $W \times I \approx I^{5}$, but in addition $W$ also can be expressed as the union of two combinatorial 4-cells whose intersection is also a combinatorial 4-cell. This also gives an example of two Euclidean 4 -spaces intersecting in an Euclidean 4-space so that the union is not topologically $E^{4}$.
2. Definitions. We will use the standard terminology $I^{n}, E^{n}$, and $S^{n}$ for the unit $n$-cell, Euclidean $n$-space and the $n$-sphere respectively. If $M$ is an $n$-manifold, then int $M$ and $\operatorname{Bd} M$ will denote the interior and boundary of $M$, respectively. All manifolds and all mappings or homeomorphisms will be considered in the combinatorial sense. Topological equivalence will be denoted by $=$, and we will use $\approx$ to denote combinatorial equivalence. We will use technique of collapsing polyhedra, denoted by $\searrow$, and the notion of regular neighborhoods as in Whitehead [7] or Zeeman [8].
3. Construction. In this section we will give an example of a certain contractible 2-complex $K$ and an embedding of $K$ in a combinatorial 4-manifold $W$ with boundary so that $\pi_{1}(\mathrm{Bd} W) \neq 1$ and $W$ can be considered as a regular neighborhood of $K . W$ will be the pseudo 4-cell promised in the introduction.
$K$ is obtained by attaching two disks along a figure eight. Let us consider the figure eight as four line segments $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$ and $\delta$ and three vertices $a, b$, and $c$ as indicated in Figure 1. The two disks are attached by the formula $\beta \gamma \gamma^{-1} \delta^{-1} \delta \alpha$
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and $\delta \alpha \alpha^{-1} \beta^{-1} \beta \gamma$. The resulting 2 -complex $K$ is also indicated in Figure 1. We observe that $K$ iss a contractible noncollapsible 2 -complex by noting that we can easily get $K$ as a deformation retract of a 3 -cell and that $K$ has no free edges.

Let $T$ be a solid two-holed 3-dimensional torus in $E^{3}$. Let us consider two simple closed curves $\Gamma_{1}$ and $\Gamma_{2}$ embedded in $\operatorname{int}(T \times\{1\}) \subset T \times[0,1]$ as indicated in Figure 2. $W$ will be formed by attaching two 2 -handles to the boundary of $T \times[0,1]$ along the curves $\Gamma_{1}$ and $\Gamma_{2}$.
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More precisely, let $j$ be an embedding of Bd $I^{2} \times I^{2} \rightarrow \operatorname{int}(T \times\{1\})$ such tha $j\left(\operatorname{Bd} I^{2} \times 0\right)=\Gamma_{1}$ and $k$ an embedding of $\operatorname{Bd} I^{2} \times I^{2} \rightarrow \operatorname{int}(T \times\{1\})-j\left(\mathrm{Bd} I^{2} \times I^{2}\right)$ such that $k\left(\operatorname{Bd} I^{2} \times 0\right)=\Gamma_{2}$, where $0 \in \operatorname{int} I^{2}$. Also let us choose $j$ and $k$, so that in forming the tubular neighborhoods $j\left(\mathrm{Bd} I^{2} \times I^{2}\right)$ and $k\left(\mathrm{Bd} I^{2} \times I^{2}\right)$ we do not have any twisting as we go around each of $\Gamma_{1}$ and $\Gamma_{2}$ respectively.

Define $W$ as $I^{2} \times I_{j}^{2} \cup T \times[0,1] \cup_{k} I^{2} \times I^{2}$.
Lemma 1. W can be considered as a regular neighborhood of a combinatorial embedding of $K$ in $W$.

Proof. Divide $T \times\{1\}$ into seven 3-cells $B_{1}, B_{2}, \cdots, B_{7}$ as indicated in Figure 2. Let us denote the figure eight forming the core of $T \times\left\{\frac{1}{2}\right\}$ by $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$ and $\delta$ as we did in defining $K$. This is also indicated in Figure 2. Let us triangulate $\operatorname{Bd}(T \times[0,1]) \approx 2 T$ so that $\Gamma_{1}, \Gamma_{2}, j\left(\operatorname{Bd} I^{2} \times I^{2}\right), k\left(\operatorname{Bd} I^{2} \times I^{2}\right), B_{1}, B_{2}, \cdots, B_{7}$ are subcomplexes of our triangulation. We also triangulate each copy of $I^{2} \times I^{2}$ so that $j^{-1}\left(j\left(\operatorname{Bd} I^{2} \times I^{2}\right)\right)$ and $k^{-1}\left(k\left(\operatorname{Bd} I^{2} \times I^{2}\right)\right)$ are subcomplexes of their respective 4 -cells. Next we want to extend the triangulation of $\operatorname{Bd}(T \times[0,1])$
which we now will consider as $2 T$ to $T \times[0,1]$ so that the figure eight $\alpha \beta \gamma \delta$ is a subcomplex of $T \times[0,1], K \subset T \times[0,1]$ and so that $W \searrow K$.

In considering $\operatorname{Bd}(T \times[0,1])$ as $2 T$ let $B_{1}^{\prime}, B_{2}^{\prime}, \cdots, B_{7}^{\prime}$ denote the corresponding 3-cells of the other copy of $T$. Now we triangulate $T \times[0,1]$ so that the cones $a\left(B_{1} \cup B_{1}^{\prime}\right), b\left(B_{4} \cup B_{4}^{\prime}\right)$ and $c\left(B_{7} \cup B_{7}\right)$ are subcomplexes of $T \times[0,1]$. Let us denote these cones as $C_{1}, C_{4}$ and $C_{7}^{\prime}$ respectively. Each of $B_{2} \cup B_{2}^{\prime}, B_{3} \cup B_{3}^{\prime}$, $B_{5} \cup B_{5}^{\prime}$ and $B_{6} \cup B_{6}^{\prime}$ can be considered as a copy of $[0,1] \times S^{2}$. For notational purposes we will denote this as $[0,1]_{i} \times S^{2}, i=2,3,5,6$. Let $f_{2}$ be a simplicial homeomorphism taking $[0,1]_{2}$ onto $\gamma ;$ similarly, $f_{3}:[0,1]_{3} \rightarrow \delta, f_{5}:[0,1]_{5} \rightarrow \beta$
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and $f_{6}:[0,1]_{6} \rightarrow \alpha$. Let $g_{i}$ be the simplicial map taking $[0,1]_{i} \times S^{2}$ onto the appropriate segment by taking $[0,1]_{i} \times S^{2} \rightarrow[0,1]_{i}$ and then following this by $f_{i}$. Let $M_{i}$ denote the mapping cylinders of $g_{i}, i=2,3,5,6$. Now map each $[0,1]_{i} \times S^{2} \subset M_{i}$ homeomorphically onto $[0,1]_{i} \times S^{2} \subset 2 T$. Next extend the map so that $a\left(\{0\}_{2} \times S^{2}\right), a\left(\{0\}_{3} \times S^{2}\right), b\left(\{1\}_{2} \times S^{2}\right), b\left(\{1\}_{3} \times S^{2}\right), b\left(\{0\}_{5} \times S^{2}\right)$,
$b\left(\{0\}_{6} \times S^{2}\right), c\left(\{1\}_{5} \times S^{2}\right)$ and $c\left(\{1\}_{6} \times S^{2}\right)$ agrees with the corresponding complexes in the cones constructed above. Finally extend each homeomorphism so that $M_{i}$ maps homeomorphically into $\mathrm{Cl}\left(T \times[0,1]-C_{1}-C_{4}-C_{7}\right)$ in a natural manner. This now gives our desired triangulation of $T \times[0,1]$.

Let $F_{i}$ be the submapping cylinder of $M_{i}$ gotten by restricting $g_{i}$ to $\left(\Gamma_{1} \cup \Gamma_{2}\right) \cap\left([0,1]_{i} \times S^{2}\right), i=2,3,5,6$ and $L_{i}$ the subcone of $C_{i}$ gotten as the cone over the appropriate vertex on $\left(B_{i} \cup B_{i}^{\prime}\right) \cap\left(\Gamma_{1} \cup \Gamma_{2}\right), i=1,4,7$. The embedding of $K$ in $W$ is gotten by considering the subcomplex $\left(I^{2} \times 0\right)_{j} \cup L_{1} \cup F_{2} \cup$ $F_{3} \cup L_{4} \cup F_{5} \cup F_{6} \cup L_{7} \cup_{k}\left(I^{2} \times 0\right)$. Since $C_{i} \downarrow L_{i}, i=1,4,7, \quad M_{i} \searrow F_{i}$, $i=2,3,5,6$ and each $I^{2} \times I^{2} \searrow I^{2} \times 0$ and the collapses are such that they match up on the corresponding parts, we get that $W \searrow K$.

Theorem 1. $\quad \pi_{1}(\mathrm{Bd} W) \neq 1$.
Proof. $\pi_{1}(\mathrm{Bd} W)$ can be obtained by looking at the fundamental group of $E^{3}-\left(K_{1}+K_{2}+\Gamma_{1}+\Gamma_{2}\right)$ as indicated in Figure 3 and adding in the relations corresponding to curves slightly above each of $K_{1}, K_{2}, \Gamma_{1}$ and $\Gamma_{2}$ respectively.
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The resulting group has the following presentation:
generators: $a, b, x, y$, and $z$ relations:

1. ( $\bar{a} \bar{x} \bar{a} \bar{y} \bar{a} y a x a)(x \bar{a} \bar{x} \bar{a} \bar{y} a y a x a \bar{x})(\bar{a} \bar{x} \bar{a} \bar{y} a y a x a) \bar{a}=1$,
II. $\bar{x}(x \bar{a} \bar{x} \bar{a} \bar{y} a y a x a \bar{x}) \bar{a} z a(x \bar{a} \bar{x} \tilde{a} \bar{y} \tilde{a} y a x a \bar{x})=1$,
III. $\bar{y}(\bar{b} y z b \bar{z} \bar{y} b) y(b y z b \bar{z} \bar{y} b y z \bar{b} \bar{z} \bar{y} b)=1$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 1V. } \bar{y}(\bar{b} y z b \bar{z} \bar{y} b y z \bar{b} \bar{z} \bar{y} b)(b \bar{a} y a x b \bar{z})(\bar{b} y z b \bar{z} \bar{y} b y z b \bar{z} \bar{y} b)=1, \\
& \Gamma_{1}: \bar{x}(\bar{a} \bar{y} a)(\bar{a} \bar{x} \bar{a} \bar{y} \bar{a} y a x a) x \bar{a}=1, \\
& \Gamma_{2}: \bar{z} \bar{y} b(\bar{b} y z b \bar{z} \bar{y} b)=1, \\
& K_{1}:(x \bar{a} \bar{x} \bar{a} \bar{y} a y a x a \bar{x}) \bar{a} \bar{b}=1, \\
& K_{2}: \bar{a}(\bar{b} y z b \bar{z} \bar{y} b y z \bar{b} \bar{z} \bar{y} b) \bar{b}=1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

We note that relations I-IV give $\pi_{1}\left(E^{3}-\left(\Gamma_{1}+\Gamma_{2}+K_{1}+K_{2}\right)\right)$, adding in relations $K_{1}$ and $K_{2}$ give $\pi_{1}\left(2 T-\left(\Gamma_{1}+\Gamma_{2}\right)\right)$, and adding in relations $\Gamma_{1}$ and $\Gamma_{2}$ gives $\pi_{1}(\mathrm{Bd} W)$.

Now $\Gamma_{1}$ gives that $\bar{a} \bar{x} \bar{a} \bar{y} \bar{a} y a x a=\bar{a} y a x a \bar{x}$. This relation applied to I gives $1=1$. By $K_{1}$ we have $x \bar{a} \bar{x} \bar{a} \bar{y} a y a x a \bar{x}=b a$. Applying this to II gives that $\bar{x} b z \dot{b}=1$ or $z=\bar{b} x b$. Using $\Gamma_{2}$ and $K_{2}$ in III we get $\bar{y}(\bar{z} \bar{y} b y) y a b=1$. Using $K_{2}$ and the fact that $z=\bar{b} x b$ in IV we get $1=1$.

Using $\Gamma_{2}, \bar{z} \bar{y} b y=\bar{b} y z \bar{b} \bar{z} \bar{y} b$ in $K_{2}$ gives that $\bar{a}(\bar{y} \bar{b} y z) y z \bar{b} \bar{z} \bar{y}=1$. Next applying the new relation III $\bar{y} \bar{b} y z=y a b \bar{y}$ and $z=\bar{b} x b$ to the preceding relation for $K_{2}$ we then get $x \bar{b} \bar{x} b \bar{y}=\bar{a} \bar{y} a$.

Writing $\Gamma_{2}$ as $\bar{z} \bar{y} b y=\bar{b} y z \bar{b} \bar{z} \bar{y} b$, replacing $z$ by $\bar{b} x b$ and using the fact that $x \bar{b} \bar{x} b \bar{y}=\bar{a} \bar{y} a$ we get that $\bar{x} b \bar{y} b y=y \bar{b} \bar{a} \bar{y} a b$.

In considering III, $\bar{z} \bar{y} b y=y \bar{b} \bar{y} \bar{y}$, if we replace $z$ by $\bar{b} x b$ and $\bar{x} b \bar{y}$ by $b \bar{x} \bar{a} \bar{y} a$, we get $\bar{x} \bar{a} \bar{y} a b y=y \bar{b} \bar{a} \bar{y}$.

Finally, using $\Gamma_{1}, \bar{a} \bar{x} \bar{a} \bar{y} \bar{a} y a \times a=\bar{a} y a \times a \bar{x}$ in $K_{1}$, gives that $x^{2} \bar{a} \bar{x} \bar{a} \bar{y} a \bar{x} \bar{a}$ $=b$.
Our group now has the following presentation:
I. $\quad 1=1$,
II. $z=\bar{b} \times b$,
III. $\bar{x} \bar{a} \bar{y} a b y=y \bar{b} \bar{a} \bar{y}$,
IV. $1=1$,
$\Gamma_{1}: \bar{a} \bar{x} \bar{a} \bar{y} \bar{a} y a x a=\bar{a} y a x a \bar{x}$,
$\Gamma_{2}: \bar{x} b \bar{y} b y=y \bar{b} \bar{a} \bar{y} a b$,
$K_{1}: x^{2} \bar{a} \bar{x} \bar{a} \bar{y} a \bar{x} \bar{a}=b$,
$K_{2}: x \bar{b} \bar{x} b \bar{y}=\bar{a} \bar{y} a$.
If we replace the first $x$ in $K_{2}$ by using relation III, and replace the $\bar{x}$ by using $\Gamma_{2}$ we get that $a b \bar{y} \bar{b} y \bar{b} \bar{a} \bar{y} a b y=1$. Using the fact that the $x$ from III equals the $x$ from $\Gamma_{2}$, that is, that $\bar{a} \bar{y} a b y=b \bar{y} b y \bar{b} \bar{a}$, the preceding relation just becomes $1=1$.

Now using III, $x=\bar{a} \bar{y} a b y^{2} a b \bar{y}$ we get:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { III }=\Gamma_{2}: \bar{a} \bar{y} a b y=b \bar{y} b y \bar{b} \bar{a}, \\
& K_{1}:\left[\bar{a} \bar{y} a\left(b y^{2} a b \bar{y}\right)\right]^{2} \bar{a}\left(y \bar{b} \bar{a} \bar{y}^{2} \bar{b}\right)^{2} \bar{a} y=b, \\
& \Gamma_{1}: \bar{a}\left(y \bar{b} \bar{a} \bar{y}^{2} \bar{b}\right) \bar{a}\left(b y^{2} a b \bar{y}\right)=\left(b y^{2} a b \bar{y}\right) a\left(y \bar{b} \bar{a} \bar{y}^{2} \bar{b}\right) \bar{a} y .
\end{aligned}
$$

Here we have used in $K_{1}$ and $\Gamma_{1}$ the fact that III also gives $\bar{a} \bar{y} a=x$ y $\bar{b} \bar{a} \bar{y}^{2} \bar{b}$.

Setting $\bar{a} y=\beta$ and $\alpha=y \bar{b} \bar{a} \bar{y}^{2} \bar{b}$ we get:
$\Gamma_{1}: \bar{a} \alpha \bar{a} \bar{\alpha}=\bar{\alpha} a \alpha \beta$,
$K_{1}:(\bar{a} \bar{\beta} \alpha)^{2} \bar{a}\left(\alpha^{2}\right) \beta=b$.
Also $x=\bar{a} \bar{\beta} \bar{\alpha}$.
Using $\Gamma_{1}$ to solve for $\beta$ and applying this to $K_{1}$ we have $b=\bar{a} \alpha a \bar{\alpha} a \alpha \bar{a} \bar{\alpha}$. We now have $b$ and $\beta$ in terms of $a$ and $\alpha$; and hence $y$ in terms of $a$ and $\alpha$ also. Thus we now have only two relations to consider. Namely, $\alpha=y \bar{b} \quad \bar{a} \bar{y}^{2} \quad \bar{b}$ and $\bar{a} \bar{y} a b l y$ $=b \bar{y} b y \bar{b} \bar{a}$. Writing $y$ and $b$ in terms of $a$ and $\alpha$ we get the following group presentation:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { generators: } a, \alpha, \\
& \text { relations: }
\end{aligned}
$$

$\bar{\alpha} a \bar{\alpha} \bar{a} \alpha \bar{a} \bar{\alpha} a \alpha \bar{a} \alpha a \bar{\alpha}=\bar{a} \alpha a \bar{\alpha} a \alpha \bar{a} \bar{\alpha} a \bar{\alpha} \bar{a} \alpha \bar{a}$

$$
=a \bar{\alpha} \bar{a} \alpha \bar{a} \bar{\alpha} a^{2} \bar{\alpha} \bar{a} \alpha \bar{a} \bar{\alpha} a \alpha \bar{a} \alpha a \bar{\alpha} a .
$$

Now if we add the relation that $\bar{\alpha} a=\bar{a} \alpha$, the first equality becomes $\alpha^{5}=a^{5}$ and the second $\bar{a} \alpha^{3} \bar{a}^{2} \bar{\alpha}=a \bar{\alpha}^{3} a^{2} \bar{\alpha}^{3} a^{2} \alpha$. Adding the relation $\alpha^{5}=a^{5}=\left(a^{2} \alpha^{2}\right)^{2}=1$, we get the group

$$
\left\{a, \alpha \mid \bar{\alpha} a=\bar{a} \alpha, \alpha^{5}=a^{5}=\left(a^{2} \alpha^{2}\right)^{2}=1\right\} .
$$

Replacing $a^{2}$ by $u$ and $\alpha^{2}$ by $v$ we get

$$
\left\{u, v \mid v^{2} u^{3} v^{2}=u^{2}, u^{5}=v^{5}=(u v)^{2}=1\right\}
$$

This group can be shown to have a nontrivial representation in $P_{5}$ by letting $u \rightarrow$ (12345) and $v \rightarrow$ (12354). If we desire to check that this does indeed give a nontrivial representation of the original group, we have the following:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\alpha \rightarrow(15243), \quad \beta \rightarrow(254), \quad a \rightarrow(14253), \quad b \rightarrow(12543), \\
x \rightarrow(14352), \quad y \rightarrow(12453) \quad \text { and } z \rightarrow(14523) .
\end{gathered}
$$

4. Main results. In this section we will discuss some additional properties of the pseudo 4-cell $W$ and show how the particular chosen 2-complex $K$ leads to the desired results.

Lemma 2. Suppose $K$ is a contractible -subcomplex in the interior of a combinatorial 4-manifold $M$ and $W$ is a regular neighborhood of $K$ in $M$. If $K$ can be combinatorially embedded in $E^{3}$, then $W$ can be embedded in $E^{4}$ and $W \times I \approx I^{5}$.

Proof. By [1, Proposition 2] $W \times I^{2}=I^{6}$. Since $\mathrm{Bd}\left(W \times I^{2}\right)$ is homeomorphic to $S^{5}$ triangulated as a combinatorial 5 -manifold and $2(W \times I) \approx \mathrm{Bd}\left(W \times I^{2}\right)$, $W \times I$ can be combinatorially embedded in a combinatorial triangulation of
$E^{5}$. Let $K^{\prime}$ be a combinatorial embedding of $K$ in $E^{3} \subset E^{5}$. Since the regular neighborhood of $K^{\prime}$ in $E^{3}$ is necessarily a combinatorial 3-cell, the regular neighborhood $N$ of $K^{\prime}$ in $E^{5}$ is a combinatorial 5-cell. By the corollary of [6], this implies that $W \times I \approx N \approx I^{5}$. The fact that $2 W \approx \operatorname{Bd}(W \times I) \approx S^{4}$ gives that $W$ can be combinatorially embedded in $E^{4}$.

Theorem 2. There exists a pseudo 4-cell $W \neq I^{4}$ such that $W \subset E^{4}$, $W \times I \approx I^{5}$ and $W \approx X \cup Y$, where $X \approx Y \approx X \cap Y \approx I^{4}$.

Proof. $W$ is the pseudo 4-cell of $\S 3$. Since $\pi_{1}(\mathrm{Bd} W) \neq 1$ we have $W \neq I^{4}$. Since $W \searrow K$ and $K$ can be embedded in $E^{3}$, the fact that $W \subset E^{4}$ and $W \times I \approx I^{5}$ follows from Lemma 2.

Let $A$ be the middle polyhedral arc going from the vertex $b$ to the vertex $c$ in the top disk used in the construction of $K$. Similarly, let $B$ be the middle polyhedral arc going from the vertex $a$ to the vertex $b$ in thebottom disk. If we separate $K$ along the polyhedral $\operatorname{arc} B \cup A$ we end up with two collapsible complexes which we will denote as $K_{1}$ and $K_{2}$. Hence $K \equiv K_{1} \cup K_{2}, K_{1} \cap K_{2} \equiv B \cup A$ and each of $K_{1}, K_{2}$, and $K_{1} \cap K_{2}$ collapses to a point. Let $W^{\prime}$ be a regular neighborhood of $K$ in $W$ under the secondary centric subdivision of $W$. Let $X^{\prime}$ be the regular neighborhood of $K_{1}$ and $Y^{\prime}$ the regular neighborhood of $K_{2}$ under this subdivision. Now $X^{\prime} \cap Y^{\prime}$ is combinatorially equivalent to the regular neighborhood of $K_{1} \cap K_{2} \equiv B \cup A$. Since $X^{\prime} \searrow K_{1} \searrow 0, \quad Y^{\prime} \searrow K_{2} \searrow 0$, and $X^{\prime} \cap Y^{\prime} \searrow$ $B \cup A \searrow 0$ we have $X^{\prime} \approx Y^{\prime} \approx X^{\prime} \cap Y^{\prime} \approx I^{4}$ by the results of Whitehead [7]. Again using [7] we have that $W \approx W^{\prime}$ and hence the conclusion to the theorem.

Corollary 1. For $n \geqq 4$ there exist pseudo $n$-cells $W^{n} \neq I^{n}$ such that

$$
W^{n} \times I \approx I^{n+1}
$$

and $W^{n} \approx X^{n} \cup Y^{n}$, where $X^{n} \approx Y^{n} \approx X^{n} \cap Y^{n} \approx I^{n}$.
Proof. The result for $n=4$ is just Theorem 2 and for $n \geqq 5$ follows from [3].
Corollary 2. For $n \geqq 3$ there exists open contractible combinatorial $n$ manifolds $O^{n} \neq E^{n}$ such that $O^{n} \approx U^{n} \cup V^{n}$, where $U^{n} \approx V^{n} \approx U^{n} \cap V^{n} \approx E^{n}$.

Proof. The result for $n \geqq 5$ follows from [3]. For $n=4$ we use $U \approx$ int $X$, $V \approx$ int $Y$ and $O^{4} \approx$ int $W$ of Theorem 2 . We have that $O^{4} \neq E^{4}$ since $\pi_{1}(\operatorname{Bd} W) \neq 1$. That is, if $O^{4}=E^{4}$ then simple closed curves near "infinity" could be shrunk near "infinity", but the collar of Bd $W$ is not simply connected.

For $n=3$, the result has been known for some time, but apparently is not too well known. Hence for completeness, the example will be included here. Consider the double Fox-Artin arc $A$ in $S^{3}$ intersecting the 2 -sphere $S^{2}$ in the point $p$ as indicated in Figure 4. Taking $U^{\prime}$ and $V^{\prime}$ as the two components of $S^{3}-S^{2}$, one can easily express each of $U^{\prime}-A$ and $V^{\prime}-A$ as a monotone increasing sequence of open 3-cells. Let $C^{\prime}$ be a small double collar of $S^{2}$ so that $C^{\prime} \cap A$ is an open
straight line segment and let $C=C^{\prime}-A$. Then taking $U=\left(U^{\prime}-A\right) \cup C$ and $V=\left(V^{\prime}-A\right) \cup C$ we have $S^{3}-A=U \cup V$ where $U \approx V \approx E^{3}$ and $U \cap V \approx E^{3}$ since $U \cap V \approx C \approx\left\{S^{2}-p\right\} \times(-1,1)$. We get that $S^{3}-A \neq E^{3}$ since $S^{3}-(A+B)$ is not simply connected, where $B$ is the simple closed curve in dicated in Figure 4. That is, if $S^{3}-A=E^{3}$, then simple closed curve near "infinity" (here this means curves in an arbitrarily small neighborhood of $A$ in $S^{3}$ ) could be shrunk missing $B$ and this will not always be possible.
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Clearly in the construction of $W$ we could have altered slightly our embeddings of $\Gamma_{1}$ and $\Gamma_{2}$ in int $T$, say link $\Gamma_{1}$ or $\Gamma_{2}$ with itself differently, add local knots, or link $\Gamma_{1}$ with $\Gamma_{2}$, and still get a contractible 4-manifold with boundary which also collapses to $K$. Also, it is interesting to note that in some sense the given embeddings are the simplest possible in order to get an example where $\pi_{1}(\operatorname{Bd} W)$ $\neq 1$. In fact the crucial part of the construction is the linking of $\Gamma_{1}$ over $a$ and the linking of $\Gamma_{2}$ over $c$. Moreover, our next result says that as long as $\operatorname{lk}(a, K)$ and $\mathrm{lk}(c, K)$ are "nice'", no matter how badly $\Gamma_{1}$ and $\Gamma_{2}$ are locally knotted or linked together in the middle section of $T$, if we repeat the same construction the resulting $W$ is indeed $\approx I^{4}$.
In the following we apply some of the techniques of [8]. It is easy to see that each of $1 \mathrm{k}(a, K)$ and $1 \mathrm{k}(c, K)$ is merely two circles, $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ say, joined by an $\operatorname{arc} A=x y$ (refer to Figure 2 ). We will say that the embedding of $K$ in the interior of a combinatorial 4-manifold $M^{4}$ is nice at $a$ if $1 \mathrm{k}(a, K)$ in $\mathrm{lk}\left(a, M^{4}\right) \approx S^{3}$ is such that there exist a 2 -sphere $S^{2}$ in $\operatorname{lk}\left(a, M^{4}\right)$ separating $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ and meeting $A$ in a single point $z \in$ int $A$. Similarly for the vertex $c$. We note in the given construction that we have embedded $K$ in $W$ so that the circles corresponding to $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ in each of $1 \mathrm{k}(a, K)$ and $\mathrm{lk}(c, K)$ are linked in $1 \mathrm{k}(a, W)$ and $\mathrm{lk}(c, W)$ respectively.

Theorem 3. Let $K \subset \operatorname{int} M^{4}$ and suppose $M^{4} \searrow K$. If the embedding of $K$ is nice at $a$ and $c$, then $M^{4} \approx I^{4}$.

Proof. Let us write $\operatorname{lk}(a, K)=C_{1} \cup A \cup C_{2}$ and $\operatorname{lk}(c, K)=C_{1}^{\prime} \cup A^{\prime} \cup C_{2}^{\prime}$. There exists a 2-dimensional polyhedron $P$ such that:
(i) $C_{1} \subset P \subset 1 \mathrm{k}\left(a, M^{4}\right)$;
(ii) $P \downarrow x$;
(iii) $P \cap A=x$;
(iv) $P \cap C_{2}=\varnothing$.

Such a $P$ is not difficult to get and the actual construction of such a polyhedron is given in the proof of Theorem 8 of [8]. Similarly there exists a $P^{\prime}$ such that:
(i) $C_{1}^{\prime} \subset P^{\prime} \subset 1 \mathrm{k}\left(c, M^{4}\right)$;
(ii) $P^{\prime} \searrow x^{\prime}$;
(iii) $P^{\prime} \cap A^{\prime}=x^{\prime}$;
(iv) $P^{\prime} \cap C_{2}^{\prime}=\varnothing$.

Now $C_{1}$ intersects either $\gamma$ or $\delta$ in a single point $x$ and $C_{1}^{\prime}$ intersects one of $\alpha$ or $\beta$ in $x^{\prime}$. Recall we used $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$ and $\delta$ in defining $K$ (refer back to Figure 1). For notational purposes let us suppose that $C_{1} \cap \gamma \neq \varnothing$ and $C_{1}^{\prime} \cap \alpha \neq \varnothing$. Now we have the following: $M^{4} \downarrow K \nearrow K \cup a P \not \subset K \cup a P \cup c P^{\prime}$. Since $P \searrow x$ and $P^{\prime} \searrow x^{\prime}$ we have $a P \searrow a x \cup P$ and $c P^{\prime} \searrow c x^{\prime} \cup P^{\prime}$. Therefore,

$$
K \cup a P \cup c P^{\prime} \searrow \mathrm{Cl}\left(K-a C_{1}-c C_{1}^{\prime}\right) \cup P \cup P^{\prime}
$$

which we will denote by $K^{\prime}$.
Let us consider the top half of $K^{\prime} . c x^{\prime}$ is now a free edge and hence we can collapse the right half and back part of the top half to the remainder $\cup P$. Then we can collapse $P \searrow x$ and the remaining complex of the top half to $\delta$. Similarly, in considering the bottom half of $K^{\prime}$, we have that $a x$ is a free edge on this half and hence we can collapse this half to $\beta$. Hence we have $K^{\prime} \searrow \delta \cup \beta \searrow b$. We now have obtained a sequence of elementary collapses and expansions going from $M^{4}$ to $b$; hence by Lemma 3 of [8], $M^{4} \approx I^{4}$.

Corollary 3. If $K \subset$ int $M^{n}(n \geqq 5)$ and $M^{n} \searrow K$, then $M^{n} \approx I^{n}$.
Proof. Since $n \geqq 5$ we can get $C_{1}$ to bound a disk $P$ in $\operatorname{lk}\left(a, M^{n}\right)$ and $C_{1}$ to bound a disk $P^{\prime}$ in $\operatorname{lk}\left(c, M^{n}\right)$ with the same properties as the $P$ and $P^{\prime}$ of Theorem 3.

We can also prove Corollary 3 by making use of [6]. That is $M^{n} \times I=I^{n+1}$ and hence $M^{n}$ can be embedded in a combinatorial triangulation of $E^{n}$. Since $K$ can be embedded in $E^{3}$, say as $K^{\prime}$, and $n \geqq 5$, the corollary of [6] says that the regular neighborhoods of $K^{\prime}$ and $K$ in $E^{n}$ are combinatorially equivalent and hence $M^{n} \approx I^{n}$.
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